



2015/2254(INL)

21.6.2016

AMENDMENTS

1 - 403

Draft report
Sophia in 't Veld
(PE576.988v01-00)

with recommendations to the Commission on the establishment of an EU
mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights
(2015/2254(INL))

Amendment 1
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Heading 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*with recommendations to the Commission
on the establishment of an EU
mechanism on democracy, the rule of law
and fundamental rights*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Heading 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*with recommendations to the Commission
on the establishment of an EU
mechanism on democracy, the rule of law
and fundamental rights*

*The LIBE committee rejects the draft
report.*

Or. en

Amendment 3
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Heading 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*with recommendations to the Commission
on the establishment of an EU
mechanism on democracy, the rule of law
and fundamental rights*

*on new ways for the EU institutions and
the Member States to promote democracy,
the rule of law and fundamental rights*

Amendment 4

Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution

Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the preamble to the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the second, fourth and seventh recitals thereof,

Amendment

— having regard to the preamble to the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the second, fourth, **the sixth** and seventh recitals thereof,

Or. en

Amendment 5

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the preamble to the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the second, fourth and seventh recitals thereof,

Amendment

— having regard to the preamble to the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the second, fourth, **fifth** and seventh recitals thereof,

Or. en

Amendment 6

Sylvie Guillaume, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3

Motion for a resolution

— having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 6

Amendment

— having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles

and 7 TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 TFEU,

6, 7 and 11 TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 TFEU,

Or. fr

Amendment 7

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3

Motion for a resolution

— having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 6 and 7 TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 TFEU,

Amendment

— having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles **4, 5, 6** and 7 TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 TFEU,

Or. en

Amendment 8

Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3

Motion for a resolution

— having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles **6 and 7** TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263

Amendment

— having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles **6, 7 and 11** TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258,

and 265 TFEU,

259, 260, 263 and 265 TFEU,

Or. en

Amendment 9

Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3

Motion for a resolution

— having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 6 and 7 TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 TFEU,

Amendment

— having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 5, 6 and 7 TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 TFEU,

Or. en

Amendment 10

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to Article 4(3) TEU, Article 295 TFEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

Amendment

— having regard to Article 4(3) **and 5** TEU, Article 295 TFEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union **and Protocol No 2 on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality;**

Or. en

Amendment 11

Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to Article 4(3) TEU, Article 295 TFEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

Amendment

— having regard to Article 4 **(2) and (3)** TEU, Article 295 TFEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union, **and No 2 on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality,**

Or. en

Amendment 12

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to Article 4(3) TEU, Article 295 TFEU **and** Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

Amendment

— having regard to Article 4(3) TEU, Article 295 TFEU, Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union, **and Protocol No 2 on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality**

Or. en

Amendment 13

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to Article 4(3) TEU, Article 295 TFEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the

Amendment

— having regard to Article 4(3) **and 5** TEU, Article 295 TFEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the

European Union,

European Union,

Or. en

Amendment 14

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to Article 4(3) TEU, **Article 295 TFEU** and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

Amendment

— having regard to Article 4(1) **and** (3) TEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

Or. pl

Amendment 15

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to Article 4(3) TEU, **Article 295 TFEU** and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

Amendment

— having regard to Article 4 (2) TEU, **4(3) TEU** and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

Or. en

Amendment 16

Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to Article 4(3) TEU,

Amendment

— having regard to Article 4(3) TEU,

Article 295 TFEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

Or. en

Amendment 17

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union of 7 December 2000 ('the Charter'), proclaimed on 12 December 2007 in Strasbourg, which entered into force with the Treaty of Lisbon in December 2009,

Amendment

— having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union of 7 December 2000 ('the Charter'), proclaimed on 12 December 2007 in Strasbourg, which entered into force with the Treaty of Lisbon in December 2009, ***with particular reference to Article 51 thereof, under which the Charter does not extend the scope of Union law outside the areas of Union competence and does not establish any new power or task for the Union or modify powers and tasks defined by the Treaties,***

Or. pl

Amendment 18

Marijana Petir, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union of 7 December 2000 ('the Charter'), proclaimed on 12 December 2007 in Strasbourg, which entered into force with the Treaty of Lisbon in December 2009,

Amendment

— having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union of 7 December 2000 ('the Charter'), proclaimed on 12 December 2007 in Strasbourg, which entered into force with the Treaty of Lisbon in December 2009,

and in particular its Articles 52(4) and 53,

Or. en

Amendment 19

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to Declaration 1 concerning the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union annexed to the Final Act of the Intergovernmental Conference which adopted the Treaty of Lisbon, in particular the second paragraph thereof, under which the Charter does not extend the field of application of Union law beyond the powers of the Union or establish any new power or task for the Union, or modify powers and tasks as defined by the Treaties,*

Or. pl

Amendment 20

Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the European Social Charter, in particular article E;*

Or. en

Amendment 21

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Declaration 53 by the Czech Republic on the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, in particular paragraph 3 thereof, under which, in so far as the Charter recognises fundamental rights and principles as they result from constitutional traditions common to the Member States, those rights and principles are to be interpreted in harmony with those traditions,*

Or. pl

Amendment 22
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Article 51 TEU, under which the protocols and annexes to the Treaties form an integral part thereof,*

Or. pl

Amendment 23
Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Copenhagen criteria, in particular Chapters 23 and 24,*

- *having regard to the Copenhagen criteria, and the body of EU rules a candidate country must fulfil if it wishes*

to join the EU - the acquis - in particular
Chapters 23 and 24,

Or. en

Amendment 24
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard the Council of Europe's Recommendation No R(2000)21 of 25 October 2000 and the United Nations Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers of 1990 which call upon states to guarantee a free and independent legal profession;*

Or. en

Amendment 25
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the 'Memorandum of Understanding between the Council of Europe and the European Union', 23 May 2007,*

Or. en

Amendment 26
Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution
Citation 7 a (new)

PE583.901v01-00

12/174

AM\1095788EN.doc

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities of the Council of Europe;*

Or. en

Amendment 27

Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the European Charter for Regional or Minority Languages of the Council of Europe;*

Or. en

Amendment 28

Iratxe García Pérez

on behalf of the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality

Motion for a resolution

Citation 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the UN Convention on the elimination of all forms of discrimination against women,*

Or. en

Amendment 29

Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution

Citation 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the UN Approach to Rule of Law Assistance of April 2008,*

Or. en

Amendment 30
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Citation 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the UN Sustainable Development Goals, Goal #16 in particular,*

Or. en

Amendment 31
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Citation 10 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the COSAC Twenty-fifth Bi-annual Report: Developments in European Union Procedures and Practices Relevant to Parliamentary Scrutiny of 18th May 2016,*

Or. en

Amendment 32
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA), ***including the proposed European Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS) in the FRA paper 'Fundamental rights in the future of the European Union's Justice and Home Affairs', 31 December 2013¹***,

1

http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_submission_on_the_future_of_eu_justice.pdf

Amendment

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA),

1

http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_submission_on_the_future_of_eu_justice.pdf

Or. pl

Amendment 33
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA), ***including the proposed European Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS) in the FRA paper 'Fundamental rights in the future of the European Union's Justice and Home Affairs', 31 December 2013¹***,

1

http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_submission_on_the_future_of_eu_justice.pdf

Amendment

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA);

1

http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_submission_on_the_future_of_eu_justice.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 34
Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA), ***including the proposed European Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS) in the FRA paper 'Fundamental rights in the future of the European Union's Justice and Home Affairs', 31 December 2013¹***,

¹

http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_submission_on_the_future_of_eu_justice.pdf

Amendment

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA);

Or. en

Amendment 35
Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA), ***including the proposed European Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS) in the FRA paper 'Fundamental rights in the future of the European Union's Justice and Home Affairs', 31 December 2013¹***,

¹

http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_submission_on_the_future_of_eu_justice.pdf

Amendment

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA),

¹

http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_submission_on_the_future_of_eu_justice.pdf

Amendment 36
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Opinion of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights on the development of an integrated tool of objective fundamental rights indicators able to measure compliance with the shared values listed in Article 2 TEU based on existing sources of information of 8 April 2016,*

Or. en

Amendment 37
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the letter of the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of Germany, Denmark, Finland and the Netherlands to the Commission President of 6 March 2013²,*

deleted

²

<http://www.rijksoverheid.nl/bestanden/documenten-en-publicaties/brieven/2013/03/13/brief-aan-europese-commissie-over-opzetten-rechtsstatelijkheidsmechanisme/brief-aan-europese-commissie-over-opzetten-rechtsstatelijkheidsmechanisme.pdf>

Amendment 38

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Citation 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to Council Legal Service opinion 10296/14 of 27 May 2014, in particular paragraph 17 thereof, according to which respect for the rule of law by the Member States cannot be, under the Treaties, the subject matter of an action by the institutions of the Union irrespective of the existence of a specific material competence to frame this action, with the sole exception of the procedure described in Article 7 TEU; only this legal basis provides for a Union competence to supervise the application of the rule of law, as a value of the Union, and, according to paragraph 18 of the opinion, it does not set a basis to further develop or amend that procedure,*

Or. pl

Amendment 39

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Citation 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Italian presidency note on 'Ensuring respect for the rule of law in the European Union' of 15 November 2014³,*

deleted

3

<http://register.consilium.europa.eu/doc/srv?l=EN&f=ST%2015206%202014%20IN>
IT

Or. cs

Amendment 40
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Italian presidency note on 'Ensuring respect for the rule of law in the European Union' of 15 November 2014³,*

deleted

3

<http://register.consilium.europa.eu/doc/srv?l=EN&f=ST%2015206%202014%20IN>
IT

Or. pl

Amendment 41
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Citation 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the first and second rule of law dialogue during the Luxembourg and Dutch Presidency of the Council of the EU, 17 November 2015 and 24 May 2016,*

Or. en

Amendment 42
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the 'Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies' of 19 December 2014, 5377/15⁴,* *deleted*

4

<http://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/docu-ment/ST-5377-2015-INIT/en/pdf>

Or. pl

Amendment 43
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Citation 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the 'Strategy for the effective implementation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights by the European Union' of 19 October 2010,*

Or. en

Amendment 44
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Citation 15 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the 'Operational*

*Guidance on taking account of
Fundamental rights in Commission
Impact Assessments' SEC(2011) 567
final, 06/05/2011,*

Or. en

Amendment 45
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Citation 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to European
Commission's Annual Colloquium on
Fundamental Rights,*

Or. en

Amendment 46
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to Council Legal
Service opinion 10296/14 of 27 May 2014,
according to which the new EU
Framework for the Rule of Law as set out
in the Commission's communication is
not compatible with the principle of
conferral which governs the competences
of the institutions of the Union,*

Or. pl

Amendment 47
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Citation 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by Commissioner for justice and fundamental rights, Vera Jourova, of 2 December 2015, on the situation in Hungary that "the Commission found that conditions to start a rule of law framework procedure are not fulfilled";*

Or. en

Amendment 48
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by First Vice-President Timmermans on the situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015, SPEECH/15/5010,* *deleted*

Or. pl

Amendment 49
Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution
Citation 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by First Vice-President Timmermans on the situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015, SPEECH/15/5010,* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 50

Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by* *deleted*
First Vice-President Timmermans on the
situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015,
SPEECH/15/5010,

Or. en

Amendment 51

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by* *deleted*
First Vice-President Timmermans on the
situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015,
SPEECH/15/5010,

Or. en

Amendment 52

Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution

Citation 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by* *deleted*
First Vice-President Timmermans on the
situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015,
SPEECH/15/5010,

Amendment 53
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Citation 19

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the statement by First Vice-President Timmermans on the situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015, SPEECH/15/5010,

Amendment

– having regard to the statement by First Vice-President Timmermans on the situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015, SPEECH/15/5010 ***acknowledging that "Prime-minister Orbán is a formidable campaigner, who has won most of the astonishing election victories and therefore has a huge democratic mandate", recognizing that "the Hungarian government does not have concrete plans to take any steps to introduce the death penalty, and that Prime Minister Orbán has assured President Schulz that the Hungarian government will respect and honour all European treaties and legislation" and recalling that "In general the Commission considers that public consultation can be an important tool for governments and other public authorities to develop policies that can count on support of the population."***

Amendment 54
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Citation 20

Motion for a resolution

– ***having regard to the statement by First Vice-President Timmermans and***

Amendment

deleted

*Commissioner Oettinger on the situation
in Poland of 19 January 2016,
SPEECH/16/114,*

Or. pl

Amendment 55

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux,
Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor**

Motion for a resolution

Citation 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by
First Vice-President Timmermans and
Commissioner Oettinger on the situation
in Poland of 19 January 2016,
SPEECH/16/114,* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 56

Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by
First Vice-President Timmermans and
Commissioner Oettinger on the situation
in Poland of 19 January 2016,
SPEECH/16/114,* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 57

Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution
Citation 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by
First Vice-President Timmermans and
Commissioner Oettinger on the situation
in Poland of 19 January 2016,
SPEECH/16/114,* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 58
Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution
Citation 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by
First Vice-President Timmermans and
Commissioner Oettinger on the situation
in Poland of 19 January 2016,
SPEECH/16/114,* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 59
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Citation 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– having regard to the statement by
First Vice-President Timmermans and
Commissioner Oettinger on the situation in
Poland of 19 January 2016,
SPEECH/16/114,

– having regard to the statement by
First Vice-President Timmermans and
Commissioner Oettinger on the situation in
Poland of 19 January 2016,
SPEECH/16/114, *stating "that Poland is
now more sovereign, more master of its
own destiny than in the thousand years
before"*

Amendment 60

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Commission's launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016,* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 61

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Commission's launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016,* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 62

Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Commission's* *deleted*

launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016,

Or. en

Amendment 63

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21

Motion for a resolution

– *having regard to the Commission's launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016,*

Amendment

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 64

Ulrike Lunacek

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the Commission's launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016,

Amendment

– having regard to the Commission's launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016, *and the Rule of Law Opinion adopted on 1 June 2016,*

Or. en

Amendment 65

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the 2016 EU Justice Scoreboard and the European Commission Annual Report 2015 on compliance with EU law.*

Or. en

Amendment 66

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution

Citation 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the 2015 Competitiveness report of the World Economic Forum.*

Or. en

Amendment 67

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Citation 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolutions of 7 July 2013 on the situation of fundamental rights: standards and practices in Hungary, in particular paragraph 79⁵, 27 February 2014 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2012)⁶, 8 September 2015 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2013-2014)⁷, and 10 June 2015 on the situation in Hungary, in particular paragraph ⁸,*
- deleted*

⁵ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2013)0315.*

⁶ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0173.*

⁷ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0286.*

⁸ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0227.*

Or. pl

Amendment 68

Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolutions of 7 July 2013 on the situation of fundamental rights: standards and practices in Hungary, in particular paragraph 79⁵, 27 February 2014 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2012)⁶, 8 September 2015 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2013-2014)⁷, and 10 June 2015 on the situation in Hungary, in particular paragraph 12⁸,*

deleted

⁵ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2013)0315.*

⁶ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0173.*

⁷ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0286.*

⁸ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0227.*

Or. en

Amendment 69

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Citation 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– **having regard to its resolutions of 7 July 2013 on the situation of fundamental rights: standards and practices in Hungary, in particular paragraph 79⁵, 27 February 2014 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2012)⁶, 8 September 2015 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2013-2014)⁷, and 10 June 2015 on the situation in Hungary, in particular paragraph 12⁸,**

deleted

⁵ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2013)0315.*

⁶ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0173.*

⁷ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0286.*

⁸ *Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0227.*

Or. en

Amendment 70
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Citation 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– having regard to its resolutions of 7 July 2013 on the situation of fundamental rights: standards and practices in Hungary, in particular paragraph 79⁵, 27 February 2014 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2012)⁶, 8 September 2015 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2013-2014)⁷, **and** 10 June 2015 on the situation in Hungary, in particular paragraph 12⁸,

– having regard to its resolutions of 7 July 2013 on the situation of fundamental rights: standards and practices in Hungary, in particular paragraph 79⁵, 27 February 2014 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2012)⁶, 8 September 2015 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2013-2014)⁷, 10 June 2015 on the situation in Hungary, in particular paragraph 12⁸, **16 December 2015 on the situation in Hungary: follow-up to the European Parliament Resolution of 10 June 2015, and on 13 April 2016 on the**

situation in Poland,

⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2013)0315.

⁶ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0173.

⁷ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0286.

⁸ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0227.

⁵ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2013)0315.

⁶ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0173.

⁷ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0286.

⁸ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0227.

Or. en

Amendment 71
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Citation 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 4 September 2007 on institutional and legal implications of the use of "soft law" instruments (2007/2028(INI)), notably paragraph 15;*

Or. en

Amendment 72
Iratxe García Pérez

on behalf of the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality

Motion for a resolution
Citation 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the European Parliament resolution of 10 March 2015 on progress on equality between women and men in the European Union in 2013,*

Or. en

Amendment 73

Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution

Citation 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the assessment of the European added value of Union measures concerning Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights, carried out by the European Added Value Unit of the European Parliament,* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 74

Therese Comodini Cachia, Danuta Maria Hübner, Eva Paunova, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Jean-Marie Cavada, Michał Boni

Motion for a resolution

Citation 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to Article 11 TEU on the inclusion of civil society and citizens in decision-making in the EU*

Or. en

Amendment 75

Therese Comodini Cachia, Danuta Maria Hübner, Eva Paunova, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Jean-Marie Cavada, Michał Boni

Motion for a resolution

Citation 25 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Council of Europe's 'Code of Good Practice for Civil Participation in the Decision-Making Process'*

Amendment 76

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is a community of values, based on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is a community of values, based on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership; ***whereas the full respect and promotion of those principles is the essential prerequisite for the legitimacy of the European project as a whole and the basic condition for building citizens' trust in the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 77

Pál Csáky

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is ***a community of*** values, ***based on*** democracy, the rule of law and ***fundamental*** rights, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is ***founded on the*** values ***of respect for human dignity, freedom,*** democracy, ***equality,*** the rule of law and ***respect for human rights, including the*** rights ***of persons belonging to minorities,*** enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Iratxe García Pérez

on behalf of the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is a community of values, based on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is a community of values, based on democracy, the rule of law, ***equality between women and men*** and fundamental rights, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Lorenzo Fontana

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is a community of values, based on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is a community of values, based on democracy, ***protecting jobs***, the rule of law and fundamental rights, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Or. it

Amendment 80

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is a community of values, based on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union ***should be*** a community of values, based on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;·

Or. el

Amendment 81

Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor, Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is ***a community of values, based on*** democracy, the rule of law and ***fundamental*** rights, enshrined ***in its core principles and objectives*** in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is ***founded on the values of respect for human dignity, freedom,*** democracy, ***equality,*** the rule of law and ***respect for human*** rights, enshrined in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the European Union is ***a community of values, based on*** democracy, the rule of law and ***fundamental*** rights, enshrined ***in its core***

Amendment

A. whereas the European Union is ***founded on the values of respect for human dignity, freedom,*** democracy, ***equality,*** the rule of law and ***respect for***

principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

human rights, enshrined in the first articles of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and in the criteria for Union membership;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. whereas, according to Opinion 2/13 and the relevant case-law of the Court of Justice, fundamental rights recognised by the EU Charter of fundamental rights are at the heart of the legal structure of the Union and respect for those rights is a condition of the lawfulness of EU acts, so that measures incompatible with those rights are not acceptable in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B. whereas, in accordance with Article 2, Article 3(1) and Article 7 TEU, the Union avails itself of the possibility to act *in order to protect its "constitutional core", reflected by the common values it shares with its Member States;*

B. whereas, in accordance with Article 7 TEU, the Union avails itself of the possibility to act;

Or. en

Amendment 85

Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in accordance with Article 2, Article 3(1) and Article 7 TEU, the Union avails itself of the possibility to act in order to protect *its "constitutional core", reflected by the common values it shares with its Member States;*

Amendment

B. whereas, in accordance with Article 2, Article 3(1) and Article 7 TEU, the Union avails itself of the possibility to act in order to protect *the values on which it is founded;*

Or. en

Amendment 86

Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor, Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in accordance with Article 2, Article 3(1) and Article 7 TEU, the Union avails itself of the possibility to act in order to protect *its "constitutional core", reflected by the common values it shares with its Member States;*

Amendment

B. whereas, in accordance with Article 2, Article 3(1) and Article 7 TEU, the Union avails itself of the possibility to act in order to protect *the values on which it is founded;*

Or. en

Amendment 87

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas, in accordance with Article 2, Article 3(1) and Article 7 TEU, the Union avails itself of the possibility to act in order to protect its "constitutional core", reflected by the common values it shares with its Member States;

Amendment

B. whereas, in accordance with Article 7 TEU, the Union avails itself of the possibility to act in order to protect its "constitutional core", reflected by the common values it shares with its Member States, ***though it must do so on the basis of unanimity***;

Or. pl

Amendment 88

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ba. whereas the Charter has been legally binding ever since the adoption of the Treaty of Lisbon, and whereas the Union's institutions, bodies, offices and agencies have to abide by it, as do the Member States when implementing Union law; whereas the Charter should have a real legislative scope;

Amendment

Or. fr

Amendment 89

Péter Niedermüller, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution

Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ba. whereas, the rule of law is the backbone of European liberal democracy, and is one of the founding principles of the European Union stemming from the common constitutional traditions of all Member States

Amendment

Amendment 90
Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas Article 67 TEU states that the Union shall constitute an area of freedom, security and justice with respect for fundamental rights and the different legal systems and traditions of the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 91
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas Article 67 TEU states that the Union shall constitute an area of freedom, security and justice with respect for fundamental rights and the different legal systems and traditions of the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bb. whereas Art 4.2 TEU states that the Union shall respect the equality of Member States before the Treaties as well as their national identities, inherent in their fundamental structures, political and constitutional, inclusive of regional and local self-government. It shall respect their essential State functions, including ensuring the territorial integrity of the State, maintaining law and order and safeguarding national security. In particular, national security remains the sole responsibility of each Member State.;

Or. en

Amendment 93

Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas all Member States, the institutions of the Union and candidate countries are obliged to ***uphold*** those principles and values, and they have the duty of loyal cooperation;

Amendment

C. whereas all Member States, the institutions of the Union and candidate countries are obliged to ***respect, protect and promote*** those principles and values, and they have the duty of loyal cooperation ***and an obligation of transparency of the decision-making process;***

Or. en

Amendment 94

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas all Member States, the institutions of the Union and candidate countries are obliged to uphold those principles and values, and they have the duty of loyal cooperation;

Amendment

C. whereas all Member States, the institutions, ***bodies, offices and agencies*** of the Union, and candidate countries are obliged to uphold those principles and values, and they have the duty of loyal cooperation;

(This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)

Or. fr

Amendment 95

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas all Member States, the institutions of the Union and candidate countries ***are obliged to uphold those*** principles and values, ***and they have the duty of loyal cooperation;***

Amendment

C. whereas all Member States, the institutions of the Union and candidate countries ***share*** principles and values ***which result from the constitutional traditions coming from the Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 96

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas, according to, amongst others, Protocol n. 24 to the Treaties, recital 10 of Decision 2002/584/JHA and

the case-law of the ECtHR ("M.S.S. v. Belgium and Greece") and ECJ ("N.S. and M.E.", "Aranyosi and Căldăraru"), Member States, including the national courts, have an obligation to refrain from implementing EU law vis-à-vis other Member States in the event that there is a clear risk of serious breach or a serious and persistent breach of the rule of law and fundamental rights in the latter States;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas inter institutional agreements are binding only on those institutions which have signed them, and cannot contradict or amend the provisions of the founding Treaties of the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 98
Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual recognition and trust, *key factors for policy areas such as the internal market, police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and migration policies, and as a consequence,*

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual recognition and trust;

the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability, security and prosperity of the Union;

Or. cs

Amendment 99

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual recognition and trust, *key factors* for policy areas such as the internal market, *police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and migration policies, and as a consequence, the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability, security and prosperity of the Union;*

Amendment

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual recognition and trust *and a key factor* for policy areas such as the internal market, *the monetary union and the common area of freedom, security and justice;*

Or. en

Amendment 100

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual recognition and trust, *key factors for policy areas such as the internal market, police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and migration policies, and as a consequence,*

Amendment

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual recognition and trust and as a consequence, the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability, security and prosperity of the Union;'

the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability, security and prosperity of the Union;

Or. el

Amendment 101
Enrico Gasbarra

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual recognition and trust, key factors for policy areas such as the internal market, police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and migration policies, and as a consequence, the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability, security and prosperity of the Union;

Amendment

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual recognition and trust, key factors for policy areas such as the internal market, ***policies on growth, employment and training, combating discrimination, social inclusion strategies***, police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and migration policies, and as a consequence, the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability, security and prosperity of the Union;

Or. it

Amendment 102
Péter Niedermüller, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a ***precondition for mutual recognition and trust, key factors for policy areas such as the internal market, police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and***

Amendment

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a ***prerequisite for the protection of fundamental rights and is of particular importance within the EU since it is also a prerequisite for upholding all rights and obligations deriving from the***

migration policies, and as a consequence, the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability, security and prosperity of the Union;

Treaties and from international law.

Or. en

Amendment 103

Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual *recognition and trust, key factors for policy areas such as the internal market, police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and migration policies*, and as a consequence, the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability, security and prosperity of the Union;

Amendment

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a precondition for mutual trust *between the Member States*, and as a consequence, the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability, security and prosperity of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is *a precondition for* mutual recognition and trust, *key factors for* policy areas such as the internal market, police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and migration policies, and as a consequence, the erosion

Amendment

D. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is *an important part of* mutual recognition and trust, *which are relevant to* policy areas such as the internal market, police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area and asylum and migration policies, and as a consequence the erosion

of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights *are* a *serious* threat to the *stability, security and prosperity* of the *Union*;

of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights *is* a threat to *which* the *response should be to initiate* the *procedure provided for in Article 7 TEU*;

Or. pl

Amendment 105

Péter Niedermüller, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas the way the rule of law is implemented at national level plays a key role in ensuring mutual trust among Member States and in their legal systems, hence it is of vital importance to establish an area of freedom, security and justice without internal borders

Or. en

Amendment 106

Péter Niedermüller, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution

Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Db. whereas such confidence has been seriously damaged in recent years and current challenges, the Union faces, require even closer cooperation in the field of police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and migration policies in order to ensure the welfare and security of citizens and those in need of international protection alike, this confidence has to be rebuilt

Or. en

Amendment 107

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they ***must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;***

Amendment

E. ***whereas the EU shall respect the equality of Member States before the Treaties as well as their national identities; Whereas the EU is based on a common set of core values and principles;*** Whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they ***should be the basis for political decisions, independent of different political majorities and resist temporary changes***

Or. en

Amendment 108

Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process, ***and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;***

Amendment

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process;

Or. fr

Amendment 109

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas *the definition of* core values and principles *is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;*

Amendment

E. whereas core values and principles *should be permanent and immutable;*

Or. pl

Amendment 110

Péter Niedermüller, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Amendment

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities, *hence an independent, impartial judiciary with the responsibility to interpret them plays a vital role*

Or. en

Amendment 111

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Amendment

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles, ***which allow democracy to flourish and fundamental rights to be protected***, is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Or. fr

Amendment 112

Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of *core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities*;

Amendment

E. whereas the definition of *the values on which the Union is founded is to be grounded on respect for national traditions and identities*;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of *core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over*

Amendment

E. whereas the definition of *the values on which the Union is founded is to be grounded on full respect for national traditions and identities*;

time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Salvatore Domenico Pogliese

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Amendment

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles, ***based on a shared historical, cultural and spiritual heritage***, is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Or. it

Amendment 115
Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles ***is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they*** must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Amendment

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles ***reinforces our union of sovereign nations and*** must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Or. en

Amendment 116

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Amendment

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles **must be** a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;

Or. el

Amendment 117

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and ***while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected against short termism and ad hoc changes as a result of different political majorities;***

Amendment

E. whereas the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process, and ***should be recognised on the basis of human dignity taking into account the particular ethos of each Member State's society;***

Or. en

Amendment 118

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas Union citizens and

residents are not always sufficiently aware of all their rights as Europeans; whereas they should be in a position where they are able to shape the Union's core values and principles together and above all take ownership of them;

Or. fr

Amendment 119

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas respect for *cultural diversity and national traditions may not impede* a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Amendment

F. *whereas the principle of equality and non-discrimination is a universal principle and it represents the common thread of all EU's policies and activities; whereas respect for this principle should lead the Union to promote and adopt* a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) *throughout the EU;*

Or. en

Amendment 120

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas *respect for cultural diversity and national traditions may not impede a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);*

Amendment

F. whereas *the promotion of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) by the EU institutions must respect the cultural diversity and national traditions of the Member States according to Art. 4(2) TEU;*

Or. en

Amendment 121

Marijana Petir, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions **may not impede a uniform and high level of** protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Amendment

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions **and identities is the precondition for any initiative aimed at promoting the** protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Or. en

Amendment 122

Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions **may not impede a uniform and high level of** protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Amendment

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions **within and among member states does not impede a** uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Or. en

Amendment 123

Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas respect for cultural

Amendment

F. whereas respect for cultural

diversity and national traditions may not impede a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

diversity and national, ***and regional and local***, traditions may not impede a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Or. hr

Amendment 124
Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity ***and*** national traditions ***may not impede a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF)***;

Amendment

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity, national traditions ***and democratic rules and the principle of subsidiarity is essential***;

Or. fr

Amendment 125
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas ***respect for cultural diversity and national traditions may not impede*** a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Amendment

F. whereas ***in accordance with Article 4(2) TEU***, a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights ***should respect the Member States' constitutional identity***;

Or. pl

Amendment 126
Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas *respect for cultural diversity and national traditions may not impede a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF)*;

Amendment

F. whereas *policies implemented by Member States should not undermine fundamental rights by circumventing them in the name of national practices and traditions*;

Or. fr

Amendment 127

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas *respect for cultural diversity and national traditions may not impede a uniform and high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF)*;

Amendment

F. whereas a high level of protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) *is the sine qua non for guaranteeing cultural diversity and national traditions*;

Or. de

Amendment 128

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions *may not impede a uniform and high level of protection of* democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Amendment

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions *do not contradict, but strengthen* democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Or. en

Amendment 129
Lorenzo Fontana

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions ***may not impede a uniform and high level of protection of*** democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Amendment

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and ***local, regional and*** national traditions ***helps protect*** democracy, ***the*** rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Or. it

Amendment 130
Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions ***may not impede a uniform and high level of*** protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Amendment

F. whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions ***are key elements in the*** protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF);

Or. en

Amendment 131
Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Fa. whereas the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality shall constitute key reference points for the present instrument;

Amendment

Amendment 132

Marijana Petir, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality shall constitute key reference points for the present instrument;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution

Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas the rule of law cannot be based on the imposition of laws by a majoritarian demographic or national group.

Or. en

Amendment 134

Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

G. whereas a high degree of rule of law and effective justice systems play a key role in creating an investment-friendly

G. whereas a high degree of rule of law and effective justice systems play a key role in creating *a positive political*

environment, restoring confidence, providing greater regulatory predictability and sustainable growth;

environment able to regain public trust in institutions an investment-friendly environment, restoring confidence, providing greater regulatory predictability and sustainable growth;

Or. en

Amendment 135

Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas a high degree of rule of law and effective justice systems play a key role in creating an investment-friendly environment, restoring confidence, providing greater regulatory predictability and sustainable growth;

Amendment

G. whereas a high degree of rule of law and *independent* effective justice systems play a key role in creating an investment-friendly environment, restoring confidence, providing greater regulatory predictability and sustainable growth;

Or. fr

Amendment 136

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas *a high degree of* rule of law and effective justice systems *play* a key role in creating an investment-friendly environment, *restoring confidence*, providing greater regulatory predictability and sustainable growth;

Amendment

G. whereas *safeguarding the* rule of law and effective justice systems *plays* a key role in creating *confidence, and hence also for* an investment-friendly environment *and for* providing greater regulatory predictability and sustainable growth;

Or. de

Amendment 137

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the improvement of the effectiveness of justice systems in Member States has been identified by the Commission as a key component for structural reforms in the European Semester, the annual cycle for the coordination of economic policies at Union level;

Amendment

deleted

Or. el

Amendment 138

Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas the improvement of the effectiveness of justice systems in Member States has been identified by the Commission as a key component for structural reforms in the European Semester, the annual cycle for the coordination of economic policies at Union level;

Amendment

H. whereas the effectiveness of justice systems in Member States is a key aspect of the rule of law and it is essential for ensuring equal treatment, sanctioning government abuses and preventing arbitrariness;

Or. en

Amendment 139

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution

Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. *whereas the European Parliament, in its resolution of 8 September 2015 on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU (2013–2014), called on the Commission to establish a scoreboard on the basis of common and objective indicators that would enable it to carry out an annual country assessment of compliance with the rule of law and the situation of fundamental rights in all Member States of the European Union;*

Or. de

Amendment 140
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. *whereas an independent legal profession is the cornerstone of a free and democratic society, an exclusive direct state regulation, without a leading role for the profession in the setting and enforcing of standards of conduct and of service, is incompatible with an independent legal profession.*

Or. en

Amendment 141
Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos

Motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. *whereas the UN Guidance Note of*

the Secretary-General "UN Approach to the Rule of Law Assistance" recommends that the rule of law should include a public and civil society that contributes to strengthening the rule of law and holding public officials and institutions accountable;

Or. en

Amendment 142

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the European Parliamentary Research Service study on *The Cost of Non-Europe in the area of Organised crime and Corruption* highlights that integrating existing EU monitoring mechanisms into a broader Rule of Law monitoring framework would result in cost savings of € 70 billion annually;

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 143

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the European Parliamentary Research Service study on *The Cost of Non-Europe in the area of Organised crime and Corruption* highlights that integrating existing EU monitoring mechanisms into a broader Rule of Law monitoring framework would

deleted

result in cost savings of € 70 billion annually;

Or. en

Amendment 144
Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the European Parliamentary Research Service study on *The Cost of Non-Europe in the area of Organised crime and Corruption highlights that integrating existing EU monitoring mechanisms into a broader Rule of Law monitoring framework would result in cost savings of € 70 billion annually;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 145
Filiz Hyusmenova

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the European Parliamentary Research Service study on The Cost of Non-Europe in the area of Organised crime and Corruption highlights that integrating existing EU monitoring mechanisms into a broader Rule of Law monitoring framework would result in cost savings of € 70 billion annually;

I. whereas the European Parliamentary Research Service study on The Cost of Non-Europe in the area of Organised crime and Corruption highlights that integrating existing EU monitoring mechanisms, *such as the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism (CVM), the Justice Scoreboard and the Anti-Corruption reports* into a broader Rule of Law monitoring framework would result in cost savings of € 70 billion annually;

Amendment 146

Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Michal Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas the European Parliamentary Research Service study on The Cost of Non-Europe in the area of Organised crime and Corruption **highlights** that integrating existing EU monitoring mechanisms into a broader Rule of Law monitoring framework **would** result in cost savings of € 70 billion annually;

Amendment

I. whereas the European Parliamentary Research Service study on The Cost of Non-Europe in the area of Organised crime and Corruption **estimates** that integrating existing EU monitoring mechanisms into a broader Rule of Law monitoring framework **might** result in cost savings of € 70 billion annually;

Or. en

Amendment 147

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas it "Considers that inter institutional agreements can produce legal effects only on relationships between EU institutions and that they therefore do not constitute soft law defined in terms of a legal effect in relation to third parties" (i.e. individual Member States), as expressed in § 15 of its resolution of 4 September 2007 on institutional and legal implications of the use of "soft law" instruments (2007/2028(INI));

Or. en

Amendment 148

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas the Union's democratic and legal governance does not have as solid a legislative basis as its economic governance, as the Union does not display the same intransigence and firmness in demanding respect for its core values as it does when making sure its economic and fiscal rules are implemented properly;

Or. fr

Amendment 149

Péter Niedermüller, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas there is a strong link between corruption, conflict of interest and the quality of rule of law, national authorities investigating, combating or prosecuting such crimes shall be closely monitored; preserving the integrity of the public sector is a key element of rule of law

Or. en

Amendment 150

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. *whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;*

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 151
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. *whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while **the** failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those **same standards has little consequence in practice;***

J. *whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while **a proven** failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those **standards is monitored by an important number of already existing EU instruments: - the procedure following Art. 7 TEU, - the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism, - the EU Anti-Corruption Report, - the Justice Scoreboard, which is part of the European Semester for economic policy coordination, - the EU inter-institutional annual reporting on fundamental rights and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights; - infringement proceedings, - judicial procedures of the Court of Justice;***

Or. en

Amendment 152
Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while **the** failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those **same standards has little consequence in practice**;

Amendment

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while **a** failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those **standards is monitored by an important number of already existing EU instruments such as the procedure following Art. 7 TEU, the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism, the EU Anti-Corruption Report, the Justice Scoreboard, which is part of the European Semester for economic policy coordination, the EU inter-institutional annual reporting on fundamental rights and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights; infringement proceedings, and judicial procedures of the Court of Justice**;

Or. en

Amendment 153
Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;

Amendment

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, **until it fully meets these standards**, while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice, **as violations of fundamental rights can be observed daily in all EU Member States**;

Amendment 154

Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;

Amendment

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice; ***while this failure is especially pertinent in case of the Copenhagen criteria regarding the respect for and protection of ethnic and national minorities;***

Or. en

Amendment 155

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, ***while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;***

Amendment

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union;·

Or. el

Amendment 156

Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Filiz Hyusmenova, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;

Amendment

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards, ***values and democratic principles*** results in a delay of accession to the Union, while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;

Or. fr

Amendment 157

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the ***failure of a candidate country to meet*** the required standards ***results in a delay of accession to the Union, while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;***

Amendment

J. whereas the ***instruments for enforcing compliance with*** the required standards ***change fundamentally after a candidate country has acceded to*** the Union;

Or. cs

Amendment 158

Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the failure of a candidate

Amendment

J. whereas the failure of a candidate

country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, *while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice*;

country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, *and undermines the Union's credibility if the accession process is not interrupted*;

Or. fr

Amendment 159

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while the failure of a Member State *or an institution of the Union* to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;

Amendment

J. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards results in a delay of accession to the Union, while the failure of a Member State to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;

Or. de

Amendment 160

Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas *the failure of a candidate country* to meet the required standards *results in a delay of* accession to the Union, *while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice*;

Amendment

J. whereas candidate *countries need* to meet the required standards *for the* accession to the Union; *Whereas for negative developments in a Member State or breaches of the rule of law in the Union, the EU has limited possibilities to act*;

Or. en

Amendment 161
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas the broader question is whether the EU-institutions, especially Parliament and Commission, are entitled to require Member States to perform an impact assessment on additional obligations while implementing EU law;

Or. en

Amendment 162
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance with the Union's common values;

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union;

Or. el

Amendment 163
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, *and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance with the Union's common values;*

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 164

Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, *and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance with the Union's common values;*

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 165

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, ***and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance with the Union's common values;***

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation;

Or. cs

Amendment 166

Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance with the Union's common values;

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere ***and loyal*** cooperation ***established in Article 4 TEU***, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis ***in an objective and impartial manner*** in order to verify their continued compliance with the Union's common values ***to which they have fully subscribed;***

Or. fr

Amendment 167

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore ***be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance*** with the Union's common values;

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore ***comply*** with the Union's common values;

Or. pl

Amendment 168

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their ***continued compliance*** with the Union's common values;

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify ***that their laws and practices continue to comply*** with the Union's common values;

Or. fr

Amendment 169

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their *continued* compliance with the Union's common values;

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all, *not only new, but also the old* Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their compliance with the Union's common values;

Or. en

Amendment 170

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance with the *Union's common values*;

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance with the *values on which the Union was founded*;

Or. en

Amendment 171

Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance with the *Union's common values*;

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, and whereas all Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify their continued compliance with the *values on which the Union is founded*;

Or. en

Amendment 172

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation, *and whereas all* Member States should therefore be *assessed* on a regular basis *in order to verify their continued compliance with the Union's common values*;

Amendment

K. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation; *Whereas an analysis and verification of the Member States' compliance with those criteria* should therefore be *provided* on a regular basis.

Or. en

Amendment 173

Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas approximately 8% of the EU-28 citizens belong to a national minority and approximately 10% speak a regional or minority language; whereas there is no EU legal framework to guarantee their rights as a minority; whereas the establishment of an effective mechanism to monitor their rights in the EU is of utmost importance; whereas there is a difference between the protection of minorities and antidiscrimination policies; whereas equal treatment is a basic right, not a privilege, of all citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 174
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the 2016 EU Justice Scoreboard from the European Commission as well as the judiciary independence ranking from the World Economic Forum show that beyond the already perceived cases of Poland or Hungary, some other Member States from Western Europe have also deep problems regarding the rule of law and judiciary independence.

Or. en

Amendment 175
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. *whereas coherence and consistency of internal and external democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights policy is key to the credibility of the EU;*

Or. en

Amendment 176
Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution
Recital L

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

L. *whereas there are few instruments to correct legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union;* **deleted**

Or. de

Amendment 177
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital L

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

L. *whereas **there are few** instruments to correct legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union;*

L. *whereas **it exist already an important number of EU instruments: - the procedure following Article 7 of the Treaty on the European Union, - the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism, - the EU Anti-Corruption Report, - the Justice Scoreboard, which is part of the European Semester for economic policy coordination, - the EU inter-institutional annual reporting on fundamental rights***

and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights; - infringement proceedings, - judicial procedures of the Court of Justice;

Or. en

Amendment 178
Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution
Recital L

Motion for a resolution

L. whereas there *are few* instruments to correct legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union;

Amendment

L. whereas there *already exists an important number of EU* instruments, such as the procedure following Article 7 of the Treaty on the European Union, the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism, the EU Anti-Corruption Report, the Justice Scoreboard, which is part of the European Semester for economic policy coordination, the EU inter-institutional annual reporting on fundamental rights and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights; the infringement proceedings, and the judicial procedures of the Court of Justice;

Or. en

Amendment 179
Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution
Recital L

Motion for a resolution

L. whereas there are *few* instruments to correct legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union;

Amendment

L. whereas there are instruments to correct legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union, *and these should be tested to be fit for purpose before new mechanisms are*

created;

Or. en

Amendment 180

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital L

Motion for a resolution

L. whereas there are few instruments to ***correct*** legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union;

Amendment

L. whereas there are few instruments to ***ensure that*** legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union ***comply with the Union's core principles and values***;

Or. fr

Amendment 181

Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Recital L

Motion for a resolution

L. whereas ***there are few*** instruments to correct legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union;

Amendment

L. whereas ***the existing*** instruments to correct legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union ***should be properly applied and enforced***;

Or. en

Amendment 182

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Recital L

Motion for a resolution

L. whereas there are few instruments to correct legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union;

Amendment

L. whereas ***the*** instruments to correct legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union ***are inadequate***;

Or. el

Amendment 183

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Recital M

Motion for a resolution

M. whereas the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) has recently issued ***various rulings*** invalidating certain Union laws, Commission Decisions or legislative practices, ***for being in breach of the Charter of Fundamental Rights or contrary to Treaty principles on transparency and access to documents, but in several cases the institutions of the Union fail to*** fully comply with the letter and the spirit of the rulings;

Amendment

M. whereas the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) has recently issued ***rulings confirming, correcting or*** invalidating certain Union laws, Commission Decisions or legislative practices; ***Whereas the Commission should, within its legislative powers, find the proper balance between different fundamental rights and*** fully comply with the letter and the spirit of the rulings;

Or. en

Amendment 184

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital M a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ma. whereas the Court of Justice of the European Union is competent to interpret EU law, while assessment of the compatibility of national law with EU law

Amendment

is a matter for national courts;

Or. pl

Amendment 185
Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution
Recital N

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

N. whereas the accession of the Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Fundamental Rights and Fundamental Freedom is a Treaty obligation under Article 6(2) TEU;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 186
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Recital N

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

N. whereas the accession of the Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Fundamental Rights and Fundamental Freedom is a Treaty obligation under Article 6(2) TEU;

N. whereas the accession of the Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Fundamental Rights and Fundamental Freedom is a Treaty obligation under Article 6(2) TEU ***and must be carried out;***

Or. el

Amendment 187
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Recital N a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Na. *whereas the promotion and the protection of pluralistic democracy, the respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, the rule of law, political and legal co-operation, social cohesion and cultural interchange lies at the heart of co-operation between the Council of Europe and the European Union*^{1a} ;

^{1a} *'Memorandum of Understanding between the Council of Europe and the European Union', 23 May 2007*

Or. en

Amendment 188

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital O

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

O. *whereas the need for more effective and binding mechanisms to ensure full application of Treaty principles and values has been recognised by both Commission and Council, and put into practice by the creation of the European Commission Rule of Law Framework and the Council Rule of Law Dialogue;*

O. *whereas the Commission's creation of a Rule of Law Framework and the start of the Council's Rule of Law Dialogue from the very beginning gave rise to doubts about compatibility with the Treaties, in particular with the principle of conferral;*

Or. pl

Amendment 189

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Recital O

Motion for a resolution

O. whereas the need for more effective ***and binding mechanisms to ensure full*** application of Treaty principles and values has been recognised by both Commission and Council, and put into practice by the creation of the European Commission Rule of Law Framework and the Council Rule of Law Dialogue;

Amendment

O. whereas the need for more effective application of Treaty principles and values has been recognised by both Commission and Council, and put into practice by the creation of the European Commission Rule of Law Framework and the Council Rule of Law Dialogue;

Or. en

Amendment 190

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values ***but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;***

Amendment

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values;

Or. pl

Amendment 191

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and

Amendment

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and

processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values but in practice they *appear* limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;

processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values but in practice they *are* limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used, *owing to the hesitation of Union and Member State institutions to trigger them, whereas they are only corrective in nature and not preventive*; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;

Or. fr

Amendment 192
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and *values but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries*;

Amendment

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and *the Commission found each time that conditions to start a rule of law framework procedure are not fulfilled*;

Or. en

Amendment 193
Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution
Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and

Amendment

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and

processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values ***but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;***

processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values; ***whereas the existing instruments should be applied and enforced in order to be adequate and effective;***

Or. en

Amendment 194
Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos

Motion for a resolution
Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;

Amendment

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used ***being based on difficult political agreements between the Member States and the EU institutions;*** while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution
Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper

Amendment

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper

application of Treaty principles and values but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used; ***while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;***

application of Treaty principles and values but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used;

Or. cs

Amendment 196
Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution
Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values ***but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;***

Amendment

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values; ***whereas the Commission found each time that conditions to start a rule of law framework procedure are not fulfilled;***

Or. en

Amendment 197
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they

Amendment

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they

are unlikely to be used; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;

are unlikely to be used; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries, *principally in the south of Europe creating conflicts within the Union;*

Or. el

Amendment 198

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Recital P

Motion for a resolution

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values *but in practice they appear limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used; while their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;*

Amendment

P. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values; *whereas the existing instruments should be applied and enforced and, if necessary, evaluated and complemented in the framework of a rule of law mechanism to be adequate and effective;*

Or. en

Amendment 199

Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution

Recital Q

Motion for a resolution

Q. whereas the number of CJEU cases quoting the Charter has risen from 43 in 2011 to 210 in 2014;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 200
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital Q

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Q. *whereas the number of CJEU cases quoting the Charter has risen from 43 in 2011 to 210 in 2014;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 201
Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution
Recital Q a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Qa. *whereas the role of the European Court of Justice should be strengthened as regards respect for fundamental rights, including by making it possible for one fifth of the Members of the European Parliament to refer a text to the Court after its final adoption and before its implementation, if they consider that it undermines fundamental rights;*

Or. fr

Amendment 202
Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution
Recital R

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

R. *whereas coherence between the* **deleted**

institutions and Member States in DRF compliance will provide obvious benefits, such as less costly court cases, better clarity for Union citizens and their rights, and more certainty for Member States in terms of implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 203
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital R

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

R. *whereas coherence between the institutions and Member States in DRF compliance will provide obvious benefits, such as less costly court cases, better clarity for Union citizens and their rights, and more certainty for Member States in terms of implementation;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 204
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Recital S

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

S. *whereas some Member State governments deny that upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, or that the Union has the authority to ensure compliance;*

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 205

Kati Piri

Motion for a resolution

Recital S

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

S. whereas some Member State governments deny that upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, or that the Union has the authority to ensure compliance; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 206

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital S

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

S. whereas some Member State governments deny that upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, or that the Union has the authority to ensure compliance; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 207

József Nagy

Motion for a resolution

Recital S

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

S. whereas some Member State governments deny that upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, or that the Union has the authority to ensure compliance;

S. whereas upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, the Union has the authority to ensure compliance and the mechanism for ensuring this compliance should be

signed and put into practice based on a unanimous agreement of all EU Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 208

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Recital S

Motion for a resolution

S. whereas some Member State governments deny that upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, ***or that the Union has the authority to ensure compliance;***

Amendment

S. whereas some Member State governments deny that upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation; ·

Or. el

Amendment 209

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Recital S

Motion for a resolution

S. whereas some Member *State governments deny that upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, or that the Union has the authority to ensure compliance;*

Amendment

S. whereas ***recent developments in some Member States raise concerns whether the rule of law is still upheld throughout the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 210

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Recital S

Motion for a resolution

S. whereas *some Member State governments deny that* upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, *or that* the Union has the authority to ensure compliance;

Amendment

S. whereas upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation; **and** the Union has the authority to ensure compliance;

Or. en

Amendment 211

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Recital S

Motion for a resolution

S. whereas *some Member State governments deny that* upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, *or that* the Union has the authority to ensure compliance;

Amendment

S. whereas upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation **for all Member States equally and** the Union has the authority to ensure compliance;

Or. en

Amendment 212

Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution

Recital T

Motion for a resolution

T. whereas in situations where a Member State no longer guarantee respect for DRF, the Union and its Member States have a duty to protect the rights of the residents of that Member State;

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 213
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Recital T

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

T. *whereas in situations where a Member State no longer guarantee respect for DRF, the Union and its Member States have a duty to protect the rights of the residents of that Member State;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 214
Kati Piri

Motion for a resolution
Recital T

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

T. *whereas in situations where a Member State no longer guarantee respect for DRF, the Union and its Member States have a duty to protect the rights of the residents of that Member State;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 215
Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution
Recital T

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

T. *whereas in situations where a Member State no longer guarantee respect for DRF, the Union and its* **deleted**

Member States have a duty to protect the rights of the residents of that Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 216

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital T

Motion for a resolution

T. whereas in situations where a Member State no longer ***guarantee*** respect for DRF, ***the Union and its Member States have a duty to protect*** the rights of the residents of that Member State;

Amendment

T. whereas in situations where a Member State no longer ***guarantees*** respect for DRF, ***although mechanisms exist under international law which can be applied with regard to the protection of*** the rights of the residents of that Member State, ***new international agreements in this area can broaden the protection of fundamental rights;***

Or. pl

Amendment 217

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital T

Motion for a resolution

T. whereas in situations where a Member State ***no longer guarantee respect for DRF, the Union and its Member States have a duty to protect the rights of the residents of that Member State;***

Amendment

T. whereas in situations where a Member State ***systemically breaches DRF, the Commission must first find that conditions to start a rule of law framework procedure are fulfilled in application of the Treaty;***

Or. en

Amendment 218

Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Recital T

Motion for a resolution

T. whereas in *situations where a Member State no longer guarantee respect for DRF*, the Union and *its* Member States *have a duty to* protect the *rights of the residents of that Member State*;

Amendment

T. whereas in *cases of breaches of the rule of law*, the Union and *the* Member States *shall* protect the *integrity and application of the Treaties*;

Or. en

Amendment 219

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital T

Motion for a resolution

T. whereas in situations where a Member State no longer guarantee respect for DRF, the Union and its Member States have a duty to protect the rights of *the residents of* that Member State;

Amendment

T. whereas in situations where a Member State no longer guarantee respect for DRF, the Union and its Member States have a duty to protect the rights of *anyone who is in* that Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 220

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution

Recital T

Motion for a resolution

T. whereas in situations where a Member State no longer guarantee respect for DRF, the Union and its Member States

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

have a duty to protect the rights of the residents of that Member State;

Or. de

Amendment 221

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital T a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ta. whereas in instances where a Member State refuses to comply with the Union's fundamental principles and values, and dialogue produces neither a tangible outcome nor progress, consideration ought to be given to sanctions against that Member State, including temporarily suspending access to its Union funding, until such time as it again complies with the Union's standards and principles;

Or. fr

Amendment 222

Therese Comodini Cachia, Danuta Maria Hübner, Eva Paunova, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Jean-Marie Cavada, Michał Boni

Motion for a resolution

Recital T a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ta. whereas civil society plays an important role in building and strengthening democracy, monitoring, and restraining the power of the state and promoting good governance, transparency, effectiveness, openness, responsiveness and accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 223

Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Recital T a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ta. whereas the subsidiarity principle cannot be invoked to reject any Union intervention to ensure Member State compliance with Treaty principles and values;

Or. fr

Amendment 224

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution

Recital T a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ta. whereas the systematic non-compliance of EU laws by a Member State should also be considered as a trigger for the new procedure.

Or. en

Amendment 225

Louis Michel, Filiz Hyusmenova, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Recital T b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Tb. whereas action by the Union to ensure that the Member States and institutions abide by the values on which it is founded, and from which Europeans' rights are

derived, is an essential condition for them to be part of the European project;

Or. fr

Amendment 226

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital U

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

U. whereas recent developments have shown it is urgent to revise and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union; *deleted*

Or. pl

Amendment 227

Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution

Recital U

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

U. whereas recent developments have shown it is urgent to revise and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 228

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital U

Motion for a resolution

U. whereas recent developments have shown it is urgent to revise and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 229

Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Recital U

Motion for a resolution

U. whereas recent developments have shown it is urgent to revise and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are **upheld** throughout the Union;

Amendment

U. whereas recent developments have shown it is urgent to revise and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective **and binding** mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are **respected, protected and promoted** throughout the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Recital U

Motion for a resolution

U. whereas **recent developments have shown** it is **urgent** to revise and integrate existing mechanisms **and develop an effective mechanism** to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld

Amendment

U. whereas it is **necessary** to revise and integrate existing mechanisms to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

throughout the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 231

Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Recital U

Motion for a resolution

U. whereas recent developments have shown it is urgent to revise and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

Amendment

U. whereas recent developments *in some Member States* have shown it is urgent to revise and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 232

József Nagy

Motion for a resolution

Recital U

Motion for a resolution

U. whereas *recent developments have shown it is urgent to* revise and *integrate* existing mechanisms *and develop* an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

Amendment

U. whereas *the ongoing European integration process implies a* revise and *integration of* existing mechanisms, *and development of* an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 233

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Recital U

Motion for a resolution

U. whereas recent developments have shown it is urgent to revise and integrate existing mechanisms ***and develop an effective mechanism*** to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

Amendment

U. whereas recent developments have shown it is urgent to revise and integrate existing mechanisms ***to close remaining gaps and*** to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 234

Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution

Recital U

Motion for a resolution

U. whereas ***recent developments have shown*** it is ***urgent to revise*** and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

Amendment

U. whereas it is ***necessary to apply, enforce*** and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective mechanism to ensure Treaty principles and values are upheld throughout the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 235

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

V. ***whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;***

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 236

Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

V. *whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 237

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

V. *whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 238

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Amendment

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective ***and not subject to outside influence, in particular political influence***; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm, ***put into practice by an independent body acting on its own behalf***;

Or. fr

Amendment 239

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Amendment

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing, ***respecting the principle of subsidiarity, necessity and proportionality***; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Or. en

Amendment 240

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Amendment

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; ***respecting the principle of subsidiarity***; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Or. en

Amendment 241

József Nagy

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Amendment

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; ***respecting the principle of subsidiarity*** and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Or. en

Amendment 242

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; ***and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;***

Amendment

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union;:

Or. el

Amendment 243

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

V. whereas ***a new mechanism*** should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Amendment

V. whereas ***any assessment in the field of DRF*** should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Or. en

Amendment 244

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution

Recital V

Motion for a resolution

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-

Amendment

V. whereas a new mechanism should be based on the following guiding principles: evidence based; objective; non-

discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to **both** Member States **and institutions of the Union**; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; applying to Member States; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;

Or. de

Amendment 245

Monika Flašíková Beňová

Motion for a resolution

Recital V a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Va. whereas in its judgement in joint cases C-404/15 and C-659/15, the Court of Justice of the European Union stressed that the executing judicial authority must, initially, rely on information that is objective, reliable, specific and properly updated on the detention conditions prevailing in the issuing Member State and that demonstrates that there are deficiencies, which may be systemic or generalised, or which may affect certain groups of people, or which may affect certain places of detention. That information may be obtained from, inter alia, judgments of international courts, such as judgments of the ECtHR, judgments of courts of the issuing Member State, and also decisions, reports and other documents produced by bodies of the Council of Europe or under the aegis of the UN

Or. en

Amendment 246

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital W

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

W. *whereas a new mechanism should aim at offering a single, coherent framework, building on and incorporating existing instruments and mechanisms, and closing any remaining gaps;* **deleted**

Or. pl

Amendment 247

Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution

Recital W

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

W. *whereas a new mechanism should aim at offering a single, coherent framework, building on and incorporating existing instruments and mechanisms, and closing any remaining gaps;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 248

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital W

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

W. *whereas a new mechanism should aim at offering a single, coherent framework, building on and incorporating existing instruments and mechanisms, and closing any remaining gaps;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 249

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Recital W

Motion for a resolution

W. whereas ***a new mechanism should aim at offering a single, coherent framework, building on and incorporating existing instruments and mechanisms, and closing any remaining gaps;***

Amendment

W. whereas ***any assessment in the field of DRF should build on and incorporate existing instruments and mechanisms, and close remaining gaps;***

Or. en

Amendment 250

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Recital W a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Wa. whereas the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights helps ensure that fundamental rights are observed and safeguarded in the Union, and whereas its role, powers and budget should be expanded under the new mechanism;

Amendment

Or. fr

Amendment 251

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution

Recital W a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Wa. whereas the new system should link up the compliance with the rule of law and respect for fundamental rights

Amendment

with receiving structural funds from the EU institutions.

Or. en

Amendment 252

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Recital X

Motion for a resolution

X. whereas the establishment of an EU Pact for DRF is without prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 253

Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution

Recital X

Motion for a resolution

X. whereas the establishment of an EU Pact for DRF *is without* prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU;

Amendment

X. whereas the establishment of an EU Pact for DRF **would produce a concrete** prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU, **as the EU Pact for DRF creates politically motivated parallel structures and procedures based on a simple inter-institutional agreement between Parliament and Commission against the Member States;**

Or. en

Amendment 254

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Recital X

Motion for a resolution

X. whereas the establishment of an EU Pact for DRF *is without* prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU;

Amendment

X. whereas the establishment of an EU Pact for DRF ***produces a concrete*** prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU, ***as the EU Pact for DRF creates politically motivated parallel structures and procedures based on a simple inter-institutional agreement between Parliament and Commission against the Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 255

Ulrike Lunacek

Motion for a resolution

Recital X

Motion for a resolution

X. whereas the establishment of an EU Pact for DRF is without prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU;

Amendment

X. whereas the establishment of an EU Pact for DRF is without prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU ***and the right of the Commission to launch an infringement procedure if a Member State has failed to fulfil an obligation under the Treaties, including Article 2 TEU;***

Or. en

Amendment 256

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Recital X

Motion for a resolution

X. whereas the establishment of ***an***

Amendment

X. whereas the establishment of

EU Pact for DRF is without prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU;

cooperation and dialogue between Member States on DRF is without prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU;

Or. pl

Amendment 257
Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 258
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights

deleted

(DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. en

Amendment 259

Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto; *deleted*

Or. hu

Amendment 260

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to *deleted*

submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. pl

Amendment 261
Ulrike Lunacek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto ***and ensuring that civil society is widely consulted, and its contribution and role is firmly built into the proposal;***

Or. en

Amendment 262
Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Requests the Commission to submit, **by the end of 2016**, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, **integrating, aligning and** complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, **once the process of accession of the European Union to the European Convention of Human Rights will have been completed**, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. en

Amendment 263

Marijana Petir, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Requests the Commission to submit, **by the end of 2016**, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, **integrating, aligning and** complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, **once the process of accession of the European Union to the European Convention of Human Rights will have been completed**, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed

recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. en

Amendment 264

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States *in the framework of Article 7 TEU*, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto *and with a view to extend this Pact also to all European Institutions and bodies*;

Or. en

Amendment 265

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of **2016**, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy,

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of **2017**, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy,

the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. en

Amendment 266

Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by *the end of 2016*, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by *September 2017*, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. en

Amendment 267

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union **and its Member States** in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Amendment

1. Requests the Commission to submit, by the end of 2016, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of institutions of the Union in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex hereto;

Or. en

Amendment 268

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment 269

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Calls on the Member States to conclude a new international agreement establishing permanent cooperation and dialogue on promoting DRF;

Or. pl

Amendment

1a. Recommends that the Commission engages in a meaningful dialogue with civil society and ensures that its

contributions are clearly taken into account in the proposal;

Or. en

Amendment 270

Iratxe García Pérez

on behalf of the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Notes that such mechanism should respect and safeguard the principle of equality between women and men, being one of the founding principles of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 271

Kati Piri

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Underlines that an effective rule of law mechanism can only be established by involving national governments and civil society organizations;

Or. en

Amendment 272

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union;

deleted

Or. pl

**Amendment 273
Beatrix von Storch**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union;

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 274
Anna Záborská**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 275
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. ***Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union;***

Amendment

2. ***Points out that the power to conclude interinstitutional agreements is based on the right of organisational self-determination of the EU institutions concerned; notes that they could be made binding on third parties, whether positively or negatively, only to the extent that the institutions involved had the power to make them binding on third parties on the basis of the right of organisational self-determination, within the framework of this purely internal and unilateral arrangement; notes further that interinstitutional agreements rationae materiae are addressed only to the institutions involved, and consequently they are binding exclusively on those institutions, and not on individual Member States;***

Or. de

Amendment 276
Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. ***Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union;***

Amendment

2. ***Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union *with respect to the principles of****

subsidiarity, necessity and proportionality;

Or. en

Amendment 277

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as *the three main institutions* of the *Union*;

Amendment

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as *to all the acts of the European Commission, the European Parliament, the Council of the EU and the European Council*;

Or. en

Amendment 278

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union;

Amendment

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union *with respect to the subsidiarity principle*;

Or. en

Amendment 279

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union;

Amendment

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States ***equally*** as well as the three main institutions of the Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 280
Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States ***as well as the three main institutions of the Union;***

Amendment

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply to all Member States;

Or. de

Amendment 281
Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recommends, ***in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and apply*** to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the

Amendment

2. Recommends ***preventative and corrective elements in the field of DRF, and their application*** to all Member States as well as the three main institutions of the Union;

Union;

Or. en

Amendment 282

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and ***apply to all Member States as well as*** the three main institutions of the Union;

Amendment

2. Recommends, in particular, that the mechanisms of the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and ***should apply only to*** the three main institutions of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 283

Péter Niedermüller, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Considers that while the main purpose of such a mechanism is to prevent and correct breaches of Union values, suggests that such mechanism also contains possible sanctions that can act as effective deterrence such as freezing of EU funds or other fines;

Or. en

Amendment 284

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Recommends furthermore that the EU Pact for DRF include the regular monitoring of the compatibility of the international agreements ratified by the Member States and the Union with the European and international provisions regarding the protection and promotion of human rights;

Or. en

Amendment 285
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Takes the view that the first, pilot phase of this promotion could be a Europe-wide debate with the participation of Member State governments, national parliaments and European institutions, as well as other institutions that are responsible for promoting fundamental rights;

Or. pl

Amendment 286
Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Reiterates that the Member States

are obliged to cooperate with each other and the EU, based on the principles of mutual trust and sincere cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 287

Kati Piri

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Stresses that a culture of respect for the rule of law needs to be nourished and that this can be done best by strengthening independent national institutions;

Or. en

Amendment 288

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2b. Welcomes the establishment of an inter-parliamentary debate in order to sort out as much as possible misunderstandings, maladministration and incorrect implementation via a structured dialogue;

Or. en

Amendment 289

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2b. *Expresses once again its deep concern for the lack of transparency and democratic accountability that characterises the Eurogroup; recommends that the EU Pact for DRF also apply to this body;*

Or. en

Amendment 290
Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2c. *Recalls that the Commission, as guardian of the Treaties, has the duty to monitor and assess the correct implementation of the EU law and the respect of the principles and objectives enshrined in the Treaties by the Member States and by all the EU institutions and bodies; recommends, therefore, to take into consideration this task of the Commission in assessing its compliance with DRF through the DRF Scoreboard;*

Or. en

Amendment 291
Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. *Calls on the Commission to*

deleted

present, by June 2017 at the latest, a new draft agreement for the accession of the Union to the ECHR, taking into account the Opinion 2/13 of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) of 18 December 2014;

Or. fr

Amendment 292

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on the Commission to present, by June 2017 at the latest, a new draft agreement for the accession of the Union to the ECHR, *taking into account the Opinion 2/13 of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) of 18 December 2014;*

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to present, by June 2017 at the latest, a new draft agreement for the accession of the Union to the ECHR, *providing positive solutions to the objections raised by the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) in its Opinion 2/13 of 18 December 2014; furthermore, asks the Commission to start negotiations with the Council of Europe for the accession of the EU to the European Social Charter;*

Or. en

Amendment 293

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on the Commission to *present*, by **June 2017** at the latest, a *new draft agreement* for the accession of the Union to the ECHR, taking into account *the Opinion 2/13 of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) of 18*

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to *submit*, by **December 2016** at the latest, a *report on the state of play in the negotiations* on the accession of the Union to the ECHR, taking into account Opinion 2/13 of the Court of Justice of the European Union

December 2014;

(CJEU) of 18 December 2014;

Or. pl

Amendment 294
Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on the Commission to present, **by June 2017 at the latest**, a new draft agreement for the accession of the Union to the ECHR, taking into account the Opinion 2/13 of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) of 18 December 2014;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to present a new draft agreement for the accession of the Union to the ECHR, taking into account the Opinion 2/13 of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) of 18 December 2014;

Or. cs

Amendment 295
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Calls on the Commission to bundle, from 2018 onwards, its relevant annual thematic reports as well as the outcome of existing monitoring mechanisms and periodic assessment tools, to be presented all on the same day feeding into a Democracy, Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights policy cycle;

Or. en

Amendment 296
Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Recalls that the financial, economic and social crisis and the measures adopted to tackle it have had a deep impact on large sections of the population; underlines that social rights are fundamental rights, as recognised by international treaties, the ECHR, the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and the European Social Charter; calls therefore on the Commission to duly take into account social rights while proposing the conclusion of the EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) and to properly fulfil its obligation to mainstream social objectives throughout all European initiatives as provided for in articles 3 TEU and 9 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 297
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Invites the European Ombudsman to *issue*, as part of its annual report, *specific* recommendations *to the institutions of the Union in the field of DRF*;

4. Invites the European Ombudsman to **highlight and consolidate within a dedicated chapter**, as part of its annual report, *cases, recommendations and decisions related to citizens' fundamental rights, as well as the principles of democracy and the rule of law*;

Or. en

Amendment 298
Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Invites the European Ombudsman to *issue, as part of its annual report, specific recommendations to the institutions of the Union in the field of DRF*;

Amendment

4. Invites the European Ombudsman to *act solely within the boundaries of its mandate which is to investigate complaints about maladministration in the institutions and bodies of the European Union* ;

Or. en

Amendment 299
Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Invites the *European Ombudsman to issue, as part of its annual report, specific recommendations to the institutions of the Union in the field of DRF*;

Amendment

4. Invites the *Commission to analyse recommendations of the European Ombudsman to the institutions of the Union and the Member States in the field of fundamental rights and rule of law.*

Or. en

Amendment 300
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Invites the European Ombudsman to *issue, as part of its annual report, specific recommendations to the*

Amendment

4. Invites the European Ombudsman to *stick to its mandate which is to investigate complaints about*

institutions *of the Union in the field of DRF*;

maladministration in the institutions and bodies of the European Union ;

Or. en

Amendment 301

Therese Comodini Cachia, Danuta Maria Hübner, Eva Paunova, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Jean-Marie Cavada, Michał Boni

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Recommends that the European Ombudsman develops the DRF recommendations to the institutions of the Union in consultation with NGOs and other civil society organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 302

Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or the institutions of the Union;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 303

Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or the institutions of the Union; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 304
Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or the institutions of the Union; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 305
Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to *deleted*

*DRF violations by national governments
or the institutions of the Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 306

Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. *Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or the institutions of the Union;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 307

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. *Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or the institutions of the Union;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 308

Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or the institutions of the Union;

Amendment

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by national ***or subnational*** governments or the institutions of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 309
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or the institutions of the Union;

Amendment

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or the institutions of the Union;·

Or. el

Amendment 310
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by ***national governments***

Amendment

5. Calls for the creation of a Union Fund, on the basis of a pilot project, for legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to DRF violations by the institutions of the

or the institutions of the Union;

Union;

Or. pl

Amendment 311

Laura Ferrara

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission to bring forward a proposal for a directive on class actions, in order to ensure that minimum standards are observed in all Member States and also to regulate cases of human rights violations;

Or. it

Amendment 312

Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Reiterates that the Member States are obliged to cooperate with each other and the institutions based on principles of mutual trust and sincere cooperation.

Or. en

Amendment 313

Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union; *deleted*

Or. fr

Amendment 314
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 315
Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments *deleted*

or institutions of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 316

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign *to enable Union citizens and residents to take full ownership of their rights deriving from the Treaties and from the Charter of Fundamental Rights*, providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 317

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union, *especially in southern European countries such as Greece, Italy and Portugal, as well as Ireland, where austerity policies and memorandum provisions have been*

implemented;

Or. el

Amendment 318

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' rights *to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union;*

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' *fundamental* rights *at EU level;*

Or. pl

Amendment 319

Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about *citizens'* rights *to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union;*

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about *fundamental* rights, *the rule of law and the possibility to enforce those rights;*

Or. en

Amendment 320

Therese Comodini Cachia, Danuta Maria Hübner, Eva Paunova, Juan Fernando López

Aguilar, Jean-Marie Cavada, Michal Boni

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls on the Commission to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to ***partner with civil society to*** develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 321

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Calls for the organisation of an annual "European Justice Summit" to be held at the European Parliament, bringing together members of the EU institutions, the Court of Justice, the European Court of human rights, the Council of Europe, the FRA, the national supreme courts and representatives of civil society, in order to promote a structured and permanent pan-European dialogue on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 322

Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Calls for the setting up of an endowment for democracy grant-giving organisation that supports local actors promoting democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights within the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 323

Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Invites the CJEU to make proposals in order to speed up its procedures, with a view to improving access to justice for individuals challenging violations of DRF;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 324

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Invites the CJEU to make proposals in order to speed up its procedures, with a view to improving access to justice for individuals challenging violations of DRF;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 325
Enrico Gasbarra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Invites the CJEU to make proposals in order to speed up its procedures, with a view to *improving* access to justice for *individuals* challenging violations of DRF;

Amendment

7. Invites the CJEU to make proposals in order to speed up its procedures, with a view to *facilitating* access to justice, *in administrative and financial terms*, for *citizens* challenging violations of DRF;

Or. it

Amendment 326
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Invites the CJEU to make proposals in order to speed up its procedures, with a view to improving access to justice for individuals challenging violations of DRF;

Amendment

7. Invites the CJEU to make proposals in order to speed up its procedures, with a view to improving access to justice *at EU level* for individuals challenging violations of DRF *by the EU institutions*;

Or. pl

Amendment 327
Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. *Invites the CJEU to make proposals in order to speed up its procedures, with a view to improving access to justice for individuals challenging violations of DRF;*

Amendment

7. *Welcomes the reform of the Court of Justice of the European Union, through which the number of judges at the Court is gradually being increased in order to handle the workload and reduce*

the duration of procedures;

Or. de

Amendment 328

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Reaffirms that victim protection is founded in a series of fundamental rights to be observed by the Union and that, to ensure that those rights are observed, there must be full mutual recognition of victim protection orders so that victims' status is recognised throughout the Union; takes the view that victims should be helped and assisted at European level in the event that their status is not recognised if they travel from one Member State to another; considers that that might ultimately become one of the powers of the prospective European Public Prosecutor's Office;

Or. fr

Amendment 329

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7b. Takes the view that, along the lines of the Stability and Growth Pact, financial penalties, including the freezing of European funds, should be imposed on Member States that refuse to comply with the principles and values of the Union;

Amendment 330

Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 331

Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress; **deleted**

Amendment 332

Anna Záborská

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 333

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress; *deleted*

Amendment 334
Enrico Gasbarra

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress;

Amendment

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress; ***considers in this connection that attention should focus on the provisions of Article 298 TFEU on the right of EU citizens to have an open, efficient and independent European administration;***

Or. it

Amendment 335
Monika Flašíková Beňová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also ***assess*** access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges,

Amendment

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also ***undertake an assessment of*** access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts

length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress;

and judges, *an independent legal profession, legal standing rules, duration* and cost of litigation, *adequacy and effectiveness of the legal aid system, as well as the existence of the necessary funds for it*, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress;

Or. en

Amendment 336
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress;

Amendment

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, *an independent legal profession*, length and cost of litigation, *adequacy and effectiveness of the legal aid system, as well as the existence of the necessary funds for it*, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress;

Or. en

Amendment 337
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recommends that the **DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also** assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress;

Amendment

8. Recommends that the **Commission** assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress;

Or. pl

Amendment 338

Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8**

Motion for a resolution

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, **applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR,** including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, **and options for cross border collective redress;**

Amendment

8. Recommends that the DRF expert panel, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 339

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Recommends that the DRF *expert panel*, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress;

Amendment

8. Recommends that the *independent DRF commission*, as per the proposed interinstitutional agreement, also assess access to justice at the European level, applying the same benchmarks to the CJEU and ECtHR, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, length and cost of litigation, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress;

Or. fr

Amendment 340
Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Considers that beside the conclusion of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) the Union needs to further strengthen its democratic legitimacy by providing for a greater involvement of civil society in the decision-making process; recalls that in its follow up to the European Parliament resolution on the European Citizens' Initiative, adopted on 2 February 2016, the Commission stated "that after only three years after its effective entry into application, it is at this point too early to launch a legislative revision of the Regulation"; recalls however that from the establishment of the ECI only three initiatives were deemed admissible and no one has received an

appropriate follow-up; therefore stresses once more the need to revise Regulation 211/2011 in order to encourage the Commission to have a less restrictive approach on the legal admissibility of an ECI and to allow a successful initiative to have an appropriate and concrete follow-up;

Or. en

Amendment 341

Marijana Petir, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor, Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Considers that the DRF Scoreboard may draw on the expertise of a variety of actors, including academics, representative associations and civil society, Churches and religious associations or communities, professional and sectoral associations;

Or. en

Amendment 342

Costas Mavrides, Andrejs Mamikins, Demetris Papadakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Considers that the DRF Scoreboard may draw on the expertise of a variety of actors, including academics, representative associations and civil society, Churches and religious associations or communities, professional and sectoral associations;

Amendment 343

Therese Comodini Cachia, Danuta Maria Hübner, Eva Paunova, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Jean-Marie Cavada, Michał Boni

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Recommends that the Commission engages in a meaningful dialogue with civil society and ensure that its contribution and role is built into any pact or mechanism on Democracy, Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights.

Or. en

Amendment 344

Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Recommends that the Commission engages in a meaningful dialogue with civil society and ensures that its contribution and role is firmly built into the EU Pact on Democracy, Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights;

Or. en

Amendment 345

Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. *Considers that the existing tools and mechanisms for enforcement of rights should be properly applied and strengthened if possible breaches appear.*

Or. en

Amendment 346

Therese Comodini Cachia, Danuta Maria Hübner, Eva Paunova, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Jean-Marie Cavada, Michał Boni

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8b. *Recommends that any inter-parliamentary debate on DRF should include civil society and considers that civic participation and strength of civil society should be taken into consideration as indicator for Democracy;*

Or. en

Amendment 347

Marijana Petir, Kinga Gál, Anna Záborská, Michaela Šojdrová, Andrea Bocskor

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. *Considers, furthermore, that any future Treaty revision should include the following changes:*

deleted

- Article 2 TEU to become a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;*
- Enabling national courts under Article 2*

TEU to bring before the CJEU actions on the legality of Member States' actions;

- Enabling individuals to bring actions before the CJEU;

- Abolition of Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, and the conversion of the Charter into a Bill of Rights of the Union;

- Reviewing the unanimity requirement in areas relating to respect for and protection and promotion of fundamental rights, such as equality and non-discrimination;

Or. en

Amendment 348

Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers, furthermore, that *any* future Treaty *revision* should *include the following changes*:

Amendment

9. Considers, furthermore, that *if in the future further Treaty changes would be considered, the attention should be drawn*

- to Article 2 and the fulfilment of the Member States' obligation to protect the fundamental values the EU is based upon

- to the consideration of further mechanisms how the rule of law could be strengthened or, if breaches appear, how the rule of law could be re-established while respecting national competences and sovereignty;

- to a possible revision of Article 7, in order to make sanctions against Member States relevant and applicable;

Or. en

Amendment 349

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

9. Considers, furthermore, that any future Treaty revision should **include** the **following changes**:

Amendment

9. Considers, furthermore, that any future Treaty revision should **develop the promotion of DRF**;

Or. pl

Amendment 350

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

- **Article 2 TEU to become a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;**

deleted

Amendment

Or. pl

Amendment 351

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

- **Article 2 TEU to become a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;**

deleted

Amendment

Amendment 352
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Article 2 TEU to become a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 353
Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Article 2 TEU to become a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure,*

- *Introducing an article in the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union to provide a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure, on the basis of the values set out in Article 2 TEU,*

Or. de

Amendment 354
Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

- Article 2 TEU to become a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Amendment

- Article 2 TEU **and the Charter of Fundamental Rights** to become a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 355

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

Article 2 TEU to become a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Amendment

- Article 2 TEU **and the Charter** to become a legal base for infringement procedures and legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Or. fr

Amendment 356

Ulrike Lunacek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

- Article 2 TEU to become a legal base for ***infringement procedures and*** legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Amendment

- Article 2 TEU to become a legal base for legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 357
Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Changing the prerequisite for a European Council decision set out in Article 7(2) TEU from unanimity to a qualified majority;*

Or. de

Amendment 358
Salvatore Domenico Pogliese

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *enabling national courts under Article 2 TEU to bring before the CJEU actions on the legality of Member States' actions;* *deleted*

Or. it

Amendment 359
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Enabling national courts under Article 2 TEU to bring before the CJEU actions on the legality of Member States' actions;* *deleted*

Or. pl

Amendment 360
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Enabling national courts under Article 2 TEU to bring before the CJEU actions on the legality of Member States' actions;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 361
Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Enabling national courts under Article 2 TEU to bring before the CJEU actions on the legality of Member States' actions;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 362
Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- Enabling national courts under Article 2 TEU to bring before the CJEU actions on the legality of Member States' actions;
- Enabling national courts under Article 2 TEU **and the Charter of Fundamental Rights** to bring before the CJEU actions on the legality of Member States' actions;

Amendment 363

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Enabling individuals to bring actions before the CJEU;* *deleted*

Or. pl

Amendment 364

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Enabling individuals to bring actions before the CJEU;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 365

Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- Enabling *individuals* to bring actions before the CJEU;
- Enabling *natural and legal persons* to bring actions before the CJEU *for alleged violations of the Charter of Fundamental Rights either by the EU institutions or by a Member State, by amending Articles 258 and 259 of the*

TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 366

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

- Enabling *individuals* to bring actions before the CJEU,

Amendment

- Enabling *natural or legal persons who are directly and individually affected by an action* to bring actions before the CJEU *against a Member State*,

Or. de

Amendment 367

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

- Enabling individuals to bring actions before the CJEU;

Amendment

- Enabling individuals to bring actions before the CJEU, *including class actions and strategic litigations*;

Or. en

Amendment 368

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

- Enabling individuals to bring actions before the CJEU;

Amendment

- Enabling individuals, *local and regional governments* to bring actions

directly before the CJEU;

Or. en

Amendment 369

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Enabling individuals to bring actions before the CJEU for failure to act with regard to decisions adopted by the EU institutions under article 7 TEU;*

Or. en

Amendment 370

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Enabling the Court of Justice to review the legitimacy of the decisions adopted under article 7 TEU;*

Or. en

Amendment 371

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Abolition of Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, and the* *deleted*

conversion of the Charter into a Bill of Rights of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 372

Monika Hohlmeier, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 4**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Abolition of Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, and the conversion of the Charter into a Bill of Rights of the Union;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 373

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 4**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Abolition of Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, and the conversion of the Charter into a Bill of Rights of the Union,* *deleted*

Or. de

Amendment 374

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 4**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***Abolition of Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, and the conversion of the Charter into a Bill of Rights of the Union;*** ***deleted***

Or. pl

Amendment 375

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***Abolition of Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, and the conversion of the Charter into a Bill of Rights of the Union;***

- ***Strengthening Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights;***

Or. en

Amendment 376

Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- **Reviewing the unanimity requirement in areas relating to respect for and protection and promotion of fundamental rights, such as equality and non-discrimination;** ***deleted***

Or. fr

Amendment 377

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Reviewing the unanimity requirement in areas relating to respect for and protection and promotion of fundamental rights, such as equality and non-discrimination;* *deleted*

Or. pl

Amendment 378
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Reviewing the unanimity requirement in areas relating to respect for and protection and promotion of fundamental rights, such as equality and non-discrimination;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 379
Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – indent 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Reviewing the unanimity requirement in areas relating to respect for and protection and promotion of fundamental rights, such as equality and non-discrimination;* *deleted*

Amendment 380

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 5

Motion for a resolution

- **Reviewing** the unanimity requirement in areas relating to respect for and protection and promotion of fundamental rights, such as equality and non-discrimination;

Amendment

- **Maintaining** the unanimity requirement in areas relating to respect for and protection and promotion of fundamental rights, such as equality and non-discrimination;

Or. en

Amendment 381

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- **Making it possible for a text, after it has been definitively adopted and before it is implemented, to be referred to the CJEU by one fifth of the Members of the European Parliament;**

Or. fr

Amendment 382

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- **Enabling the European**

*Parliament to propose the launch of
infringement procedures under article
258 TFEU;*

Or. en

Amendment 383

Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Reviewing article 7 in order to
make sanctions against any Member State
relevant and applicable*

Or. en

Amendment 384

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 – indent 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *Amending Article 7 TEU so that it
can be more easily activated and produces
tangible outcomes where fundamental
rights have been violated, and identifying
the rights of Member States at fault (in
addition to Council voting rights) that
may be suspended;*

Or. fr

Amendment 385

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Alessandra Mussolini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. *Considers that existing tools and mechanisms for enforcement of rights should be properly applied and strengthened if possible breaches appear;*

Or. en

Amendment 386

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Alessandra Mussolini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. *Considers that the existing tools for rule of law monitoring, reporting and assessment should be further streamlined; Considers that a common platform could be established where all information sources are included and made public;*

Or. en

Amendment 387

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. *Confirms that the recommendations respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;*

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 388
Kinga Gál

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. *Confirms* that the recommendations respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;

Amendment

10. *Underlines* that the recommendations *should* respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity, *they should apply even-handedly to all Member States and avoid double standards*;

Or. en

Amendment 389
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Confirms that the recommendations respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;

Amendment

10. Confirms that the recommendations respect fundamental rights, the principle of subsidiarity *and the principle of proportionality*;

Or. el

Amendment 390
Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Elisabetta Gardini, Alessandra Mussolini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Confirms that the recommendations respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;

Amendment

10. Confirms that the recommendations *must* respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;

Amendment 391

Timothy Kirkhope, Branislav Škripek, Arne Gericke

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Confirms that the recommendations respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;

Amendment

10. Confirms that the recommendations **must** respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;

Or. en

Amendment 392

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Confirms that the recommendations **respect fundamental rights and the principle** of subsidiarity;

Amendment

10. Confirms that the recommendations **breaches the principles** of subsidiarity **and proportionality**;

Or. en

Amendment 393

Marine Le Pen, Gilles Lebreton

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. **Confirms** that the recommendations respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;

Amendment

10. **Insists** that the recommendations respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;

Or. fr

Amendment 394

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Emphasises that any action in the field of promoting DRF should be in keeping with the principle of subsidiarity;

Or. pl

Amendment 395

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Considers that any financial implications of the requested proposals for the budget of the Union should be covered by the existing budgetary allocations; stresses that both for the EU and its Member States, as well as for citizens, the adoption and implementation of those proposals would lead to substantial cost and time savings, and will thus be beneficial both in economic and social terms;

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 396

Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers that *any financial implications of the requested proposals for the budget of the Union should be covered by the existing budgetary allocations; stresses that both for the EU and its Member States, as well as for citizens, the adoption and implementation of those proposals would lead to substantial cost and time savings, and will thus be beneficial both in economic and social terms;*

Amendment

11. Considers that *the creation of that new mechanism will have financial implications for the budget of the Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 397

Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers that any financial implications of the requested proposals for the budget of the Union should be covered by the existing budgetary allocations; stresses that both for the EU and its Member States, as well as for citizens, the adoption and implementation of those proposals would lead to substantial cost and time savings, and *will* thus be beneficial both in economic and social terms;

Amendment

11. Considers that any financial implications of the requested proposals for the budget of the Union should be covered by the existing budgetary allocations; stresses that both for the EU and its Member States, as well as for citizens, the adoption and implementation of those proposals would lead to substantial cost and time savings, *would foster mutual confidence in and recognition of Member States' decisions and actions, and would* thus be beneficial both in economic and social terms;

Or. fr

Amendment 398

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini, Alessandra Mussolini, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Csaba Sógor

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers that any financial implications of the requested proposals for the budget of the Union should be covered by the existing budgetary allocations; stresses that both for the EU and its Member States, as well as for citizens, the adoption and implementation of those proposals **would** lead to substantial cost and time savings, and **will** thus be beneficial both in economic and social terms;

Amendment

11. Considers that any financial implications of the requested proposals for the budget of the Union should be covered by the existing budgetary allocations; stresses that both for the EU and its Member States, as well as for citizens, the adoption and implementation of those proposals **could** lead to substantial cost and time savings, and **could** thus be beneficial both in economic and social terms;

Or. en

Amendment 399
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Regards Merkelian austerity as responsible for mass violations of human rights in Greece and in other EU Member States;

Or. el

Amendment 400
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11b. Condemns Union doubletalk in claiming to uphold human rights at the same time as austerity policies and

memorandum provisions are being imposed by the Troika, of which the Commission and European Central Bank are a part, leading to infringements of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the Union in Greece, Cyprus, Portugal and Ireland;

Or. el

Amendment 401
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Instructs its President to forward this resolution and the accompanying detailed recommendations to the Commission and the Council, and to the parliaments and governments of the Member States.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 402
Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Instructs its President to forward this resolution and the accompanying detailed recommendations to the Commission and the Council, and to the parliaments and governments of the Member States.

12. Instructs its President to forward this resolution and the accompanying detailed recommendations to the Commission and the Council, and to the parliaments and governments of the Member States, *as well as to the Committee of the Regions for distribution to subnational parliaments and councils.*

Or. en

Amendment 403

Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Instructs its President to forward this resolution ***and the accompanying detailed recommendations*** to the Commission and the Council, and to the parliaments and governments of the Member States.

Amendment

12. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Commission and the Council, and to the parliaments and governments of the Member States.

Or. pl