



**2015/0276(COD)**

6.7.2016

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **64 - 261**

**Draft report**

**Simona Bonafè**

(PE582.447v01-00)

on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Directive 94/62/EC on packaging and packaging waste

Proposal for a directive

(COM2015(0596) – C8-0385/2015 – 2015/0276(COD))



**Amendment 64**  
**Notis Marias**

**Draft legislative resolution**  
**Citation 3 a (new)**

*Draft legislative resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the Protocol (No. 2) of the TFEU on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality,*

Or. el

**Amendment 65**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital -1 (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(-1) In view of the EU's dependence on the import of raw materials and the rapid depletion of a significant amount of natural resources at short term, it is a key challenge to reclaim as many resources as possible within the EU and to enhance the transition towards a circular economy.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*It is important to highlight the wider framework of moving towards a circular economy and stress the opportunity the revision of the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive offers in enhancing this transition.*

**Amendment 66**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital -1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(-1a) Waste management should be transformed into sustainable material management. The revision of the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive offers an opportunity to this end.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*It is important to highlight the wider framework of moving towards a circular economy and to stress the opportunity the revision of the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive offers in enhancing this transition.*

#### **Amendment 67**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(1) Waste management in the Union should be improved, with a view to protecting, preserving and improving the quality of the environment, protecting human health, ensuring ***prudent and rational utilisation*** of natural resources and promoting ***a more*** circular economy.

(1) Waste management in the Union should be improved, with a view to protecting, preserving and improving the quality of the environment, protecting human health, ensuring ***efficient use*** of natural resources and promoting ***the principles of the*** circular economy.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 68**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(1) Waste management in the Union should be improved, with a view to

(1) Waste management in the Union should be improved, with a view to

protecting, preserving and improving the quality of the environment, protecting human health, ensuring prudent **and rational** utilisation of natural resources and promoting a more circular economy.

protecting, preserving and improving the quality of the environment, protecting human health, ensuring prudent, **rational and reduced** utilisation of natural resources and promoting a more circular economy, **which is key to the EU's long-term competitiveness.**

Or. en

### *Justification*

*The essence of a circular economy is that waste is considered as a valuable resource ("trash is cash"), which we need to reclaim as much as possible within the EU in view of ensuring the EU's long-term competitiveness.*

## **Amendment 69** **Julie Girling**

### **Proposal for a directive** **Recital 1**

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) Waste management in the Union should be improved, with a view to protecting, preserving and improving the quality of the environment, protecting human health, ensuring prudent and rational utilisation of natural resources **and** promoting a more circular economy.

#### *Amendment*

(1) Waste management in the Union should be improved, with a view to protecting, preserving and improving the quality of the environment, protecting human health, ensuring prudent and rational utilisation of natural resources, promoting ***the transition towards*** a more circular economy, ***increasing energy efficiency and reducing the EU's resource dependence. However, a comprehensive and integrated approach to the circular economy goes beyond conventional end-of-pipe approaches. It requires a paradigm shift in pollution control and should take account of water and energy consumption, as well as transport pollution throughout the life of a product and its packaging.***

Or. en

## *Justification*

*The aims of better waste management at European level should include not only protecting the environment and human health and improving the use of resources but also increasing energy efficiency and reducing the Union's resource dependence.*

### **Amendment 70**

**José Blanco López, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 1**

###### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) Waste management in the Union should be improved, with a view to protecting, preserving and improving the quality of the environment, protecting human health, ensuring prudent and rational utilisation of natural resources and promoting a more circular economy.

###### *Amendment*

(1) Waste management in the Union should be improved, with a view to protecting, preserving and improving the quality of the environment, protecting human health, ensuring prudent and rational utilisation of natural resources and promoting a more circular economy, ***increasing energy efficiency and reducing the Union's resource dependence.***

Or. es

### **Amendment 71**

**Pavel Poc, Jytte Guteland, Karin Kadenbach**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 1 a (new)**

###### *Text proposed by the Commission*

###### *Amendment*

***(1a) A clean, effective and sustainable circular economy requires the removal of hazardous substances from products at the design stage and in this context circular economy should recognise explicit provisions in the Seventh Environment Action Programme which calls for the development of non-toxic material cycles so that recycled waste can be used as a major, reliable source of raw material for the Union.***

### *Justification*

*The EU should focus on creating a clean circular economy and avoid possible major risk of a future loss in public and market confidence in recycled material while creating an endless legacy. The main burden for recyclers is the presence of hazardous substances in material. The focus of the EU should be on getting these hazardous substances out of products and waste, and not endangering public health and the environment by exempting certain classes of businesses or products from safe requirements and by making it impossible to identify those contaminated materials in the future.*

#### **Amendment 72**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 2**

###### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>13</sup> for the recovery and recycling of packaging and packaging waste should be amended ***by increasing the preparing for re-use and recycling of packaging waste in order to better*** reflect the Union's ambition to move towards a circular economy.

---

<sup>13</sup> Directive 94/62/EC of European Parliament and Council of 20 December 1994 on packaging and packaging waste (OJ L 365, 31.12.1994, p. 10).

###### *Amendment*

(2) The targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>13</sup> for the recovery and recycling of packaging and packaging waste should be amended ***to*** reflect the Union's ambition to move towards a circular economy.

---

<sup>13</sup> Directive 94/62/EC of European Parliament and Council of 20 December 1994 on packaging and packaging waste (OJ L 365, 31.12.1994, p. 10).

#### **Amendment 73**

**Mark Demesmaecker**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>13</sup> for the recovery and recycling of packaging and packaging waste should be amended by increasing the ***preparing for re-use and*** recycling of packaging waste in order to better reflect the Union's ambition to move towards a circular economy.

---

<sup>13</sup> Directive 94/62/EC of European Parliament and Council of 20 December 1994 on packaging and packaging waste (OJ L 365, 31.12.1994, p. 10).

*Amendment*

(2) The targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>13</sup> for the recovery and recycling of packaging and packaging waste should be amended by increasing the recycling of packaging waste in order to better reflect the Union's ambition to move towards a circular economy.

---

<sup>13</sup> Directive 94/62/EC of European Parliament and Council of 20 December 1994 on packaging and packaging waste (OJ L 365, 31.12.1994, p. 10).

Or. en

*Justification*

*In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and could divert attention away from recycling.*

**Amendment 74**  
**Pavel Poc, Karin Kadenbach**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2a) A political and societal incentive to promote recovery and recycling as a sustainable way to handle natural resources within circular economy should respect the waste management hierarchy laid down in Article 4 of Directive 2008/98/EC and strictly apply approach, where prevention takes priority over***



*recycling.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*A circular economy cannot work without clean production. Toxic substances should be avoided at the design stage to allow products and materials to circulate in a closed loop without endangering the quality of materials and the health of citizens, workers and the environment. This requires changing the approach to toxic substances so that in a circular economy, hazardous substances will not hinder the processes of reuse, repair and recycling.*

**Amendment 75**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) Furthermore, in order to ensure greater coherence in waste legislation, the definitions in Directive 94/62/EC should be aligned to those of Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>14</sup> applicable to waste in general.

---

<sup>14</sup> Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312, 22.11.2008, p. 3).

*Amendment*

(3) Furthermore, in order to ensure greater coherence in waste legislation, ***without prejudice to packaging and packaging waste specificity***, the definitions in Directive 94/62/EC should be aligned, ***where relevant***, to those of Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>14</sup> applicable to waste in general.

---

<sup>14</sup> Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives (OJ L 312, 22.11.2008, p. 3).

Or. en

*Justification*

*Ensuring consistency between across all EU waste legislation is essential.*

## Amendment 76

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

### Proposal for a directive

#### Recital 3 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(3a) Waste prevention is the most efficient way to improve resource efficiency, to reduce the environmental impact of waste and to promote recycling materials of high quality. For these reasons, Member States should adopt a life-cycle approach in order to reduce the environmental impact of products. They should in particular reduce single-use, non-recycled packaging and excessive packaging, especially where it consists of non-recycled materials.**

Or. fr

## Amendment 77

Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini

### Proposal for a directive

#### Recital 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(4) **Clear environmental**, economic **and social** benefits would be derived from further increasing the targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC for preparation for re-use and recycling of packaging waste.

(4) **Environmental and** economic benefits would be derived from further increasing the targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC for preparation for **a significant reduction in packaging and the** re-use and recycling of packaging waste.

Or. fr

## Amendment 78

Mark Demesmaeker

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(4) Clear environmental, economic and social benefits would be derived from further increasing the targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC for **preparation for re-use and** recycling of packaging waste.

*Amendment*

(4) Clear environmental, economic and social benefits would be derived from further increasing the targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC for recycling of packaging waste.

Or. en

*Justification*

*In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and could divert attention away from recycling.*

**Amendment 79**  
**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(4) Clear environmental, economic and social benefits would be derived from further increasing the targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC for preparation for re-use and recycling of packaging waste.

*Amendment*

(4) Clear environmental, economic and social benefits would be derived from further increasing the targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC for preparation for re-use and recycling of packaging waste, **while taking into account the need to achieve clean material cycles. To this end, the targets for preparation for re-use and recycling of packaging waste should be increased to at least 75% by 2025 and to at least 95% by 2030. The targets for preparation for re-use of packaging waste should be increased to at least 10% by 2025 and to at least 15% by 2030.**

Or. en

**Amendment 80**  
**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(4) Clear environmental, economic and social benefits would be derived from further increasing the targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC for preparation for re-use and recycling of packaging waste.

*Amendment*

(4) Clear environmental, economic and social benefits would be derived from further increasing the targets laid down in Directive 94/62/EC for preparation for re-use and recycling of packaging waste. ***For these reasons the targets for preparation for re-use and recycling of packaging waste should be increased to at least 70% by 2025 and to at least 80% by 2030. The targets for preparation for re-use of packaging waste should be increased to at least 10% by 2025 and to at least 15% by 2030.***

Or. en

**Amendment 81**  
**Julie Girling**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4a) Waste prevention is the most efficient way to advance resource efficiency, reduce the negative environmental impact of waste, and promote recycling materials of high quality. For these reasons, Annex II of Directive 94/62/EC should be reviewed and if necessary updated, and the Commission should invite CEN to revise the mandated standards EN 1347 to EN 13432, taking full account of the global standards ISO 18601 to ISO 18606.***

*Justification*

*The Commission proposal includes a legal requirement to reduce packaging to the minimum weight and volume needed for safety and acceptability of the packaged product in the Essential Requirements in Annex II of the Directive. It is necessary to review and, if required, update them, as well as aligning European standards with global standards.*

**Amendment 82****Giovanni La Via, Alberto Cirio****Proposal for a directive****Recital 4 a (new)***Text proposed by the Commission**Amendment*

***(4a) Waste prevention is the most efficient way to improve resource efficiency, to reduce the environmental impact of waste and to promote recycling materials of high quality. For those reasons, Member States should endeavour to promote reduction in the use of non-recyclable packaging and of excess packaging items. Waste prevention efforts should not compromise the role of packaging in preserving hygiene and safety for consumers.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*A key priority for waste prevention should be to promote recyclable packaging and the infrastructure and systems needed to enable it to be recycled.*

**Amendment 83****Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha****Proposal for a directive****Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(4a)** *Waste prevention is the most efficient way to improve resource efficiency, to reduce the environmental impact of waste and to promote recycling materials of high quality. For those reasons, Member States should promote an approach based on the life cycle of the product, with a view to reducing the environmental impact of products, including their packaging.*

Or. pl

#### **Amendment 84**

**José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(4a)** *Fostering a sustainable bioeconomy can help reduce dependence on imported raw materials and replace raw materials based on fossil fuels with renewable sources by improving market conditions for bio-based packaging and reviewing existing legislation hampering the use of such materials.*

Or. es

#### **Amendment 85**

**Sirpa Pietikäinen**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(4a)** *Waste prevention is the most efficient way to improve resource*

*efficiency, and to reduce the environmental impact of waste. Member States shall ensure that packaging is designed and manufactured in a way that the packaging volume and weight is limited to the minimum adequate amount to maintain the necessary level of safety and hygiene and avoid product wastage.*

Or. en

**Amendment 86**  
**Merja Kyllönen, Kateřina Konečná**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4a) Waste prevention is the most efficient way to improve resource efficiency, to reduce the environmental impact of waste. Member States shall ensure that packaging is so manufactured that the packaging volume and weight be limited to the minimum adequate amount to maintain the necessary level of safety and hygiene and avoid product wastage.*

Or. en

**Amendment 87**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4a) Waste prevention is the most efficient way to improve resource efficiency, to reduce the environmental impact of waste. Member States shall ensure that packaging is so manufactured that the packaging volume and weight be*

*limited to the minimum adequate amount to maintain the necessary level of safety and hygiene and avoid product wastage.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*The Essential Requirements set out in the Annex II of the PPWD outline the requirements specific to the manufacturing and composition of packaging, including requirements regarding excess packaging. Member States shall ensure that these essential requirements are adhered to*

**Amendment 88**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4a) Waste prevention is the most efficient way to improve resource efficiency and reduce the environmental impact of waste. For those reasons, Member States should achieve a significant and sustained reduction in the use of non-recyclable packaging and of excess packaging items and phase out non-recyclable packaging when that packaging is not re-usable.*

Or. en

**Amendment 89**

**Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Jens Gieseke, Norbert Lins**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4a) Waste prevention is the most*



*efficient way to improve resource efficiency, to reduce the environmental impact of waste and to promote recycling materials of high quality. For those reasons, Member States should adopt measures that take account of the impact of packaging throughout its life-cycle;*

Or. de

**Amendment 90**

**Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4a) Increasing the reuse of packaging can reduce the overall cost in the supply chain and reduce the environmental impact of packaging waste. Member States should support the introduction on the market of re-usable packaging which is recyclable at the end of its life.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 91**

**Pavel Poc, Karin Kadenbach**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4a) Once recycled material re-enters the economy due to it receiving end of waste status, by complying with specific end of waste criteria or being incorporated in a new product, it must be fully compliant with chemical legislation.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*REACH does not apply to waste, as stated in Article 2(2) “Waste as defined in Directive 2006/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council is not a substance, mixture or article within the meaning of Article 3 of this Regulation”.*

**Amendment 92**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4b) Increased re-use of packaging could bring a reduction of the overall costs along the supply chain and reduce the environmental impact of re-usable packaging waste. Therefore, it is necessary that Member States provide financial and fiscal incentives for producers which put reusable packaging, which at the end of its life can be effectively recycled, on the market.***

Or. en

**Amendment 93**

**Merja Kyllönen, Kateřina Konečná**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 4 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4b) Increased re-use of packaging may bring a reduction of the overall costs along the supply chain and reduce the environmental impact of packaging waste. However, the decision to do so should be based on life-cycle thinking.***

Or. en

**Amendment 94**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4b) Increased re-use of packaging may bring a reduction of the overall costs along the supply chain and reduce the environmental impact of packaging waste. However, the decision to do so should be based on life cycle thinking.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*Reuse of packaging makes environmental/economic sense in certain cases, especially in the case of tertiary/transport packaging. However, the decision as to whether or not to opt for this solution, in particular in the case of primary packaging, should be based on life cycle thinking*

**Amendment 95**  
**Giovanni La Via, Alberto Cirio**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4b) In certain situations, such as food service, single use packaging is required to guarantee food hygiene and the health and safety of consumers. Member States shall take account of this when developing prevention measures and shall promote greater access to recycling for such packaging.***

Or. en

### *Justification*

*Prevention measures must take account of the need to prioritise food hygiene and consumer health and safety. There are certain situations where food hygiene and consumer safety do not permit the use of re-usable forms of packaging, such as for takeaway meals, for example. On the other hand, Member States need to make more effort needs to support out-of-home collection of such packaging and its recycling.*

#### **Amendment 96**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4c) Fostering a sustainable bio-economy can contribute to decreasing Europe's dependence on imported raw materials. Improving market conditions for sustainable bio-based packaging from renewable sources and reviewing existing legislation hampering the use of those materials may offer the opportunity to substitute fossil sources for packaging with renewable ones when environmentally beneficial from a lifecycle perspective.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 97**

**Merja Kyllönen, Kateřina Konečná**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4c) Fostering a sustainable bioeconomy may contribute to decreasing Europe's dependence on imported raw materials. However, the decision to do so should be based on life-cycle thinking.***

**Amendment 98**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 4 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(4c) *Fostering a sustainable bio-economy may contribute to decreasing Europe's dependence on imported raw materials. However, the decision to do so should be based on life cycle thinking.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*While a sustainable bioeconomy may contribute to decreasing Europe's dependence on imported raw materials, it is important not to restrict considerations to imported raw materials alone but to base the decision taking into account other possible impacts on other aspects which such a decision would have.*

**Amendment 99**  
**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(5) ***Through*** a progressive increase of the existing targets on preparing for re-use and recycling of packaging waste, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the

(5) ***Firstly, efforts should be made to encourage a reduction in packaging of very little use value; Then, through*** a progressive increase of the existing targets on preparing for re-use and recycling of packaging waste, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the

creation of a circular economy.

European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the creation of a circular economy.

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

Or. fr

## **Amendment 100** **Mark Demesmaeker**

### **Proposal for a directive** **Recital 5**

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(5) Through a progressive increase of the existing targets on ***preparing for re-use and*** recycling of packaging waste, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the creation of a circular economy.

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

#### *Amendment*

(5) Through a progressive increase of the existing targets on recycling of packaging waste, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the creation of a circular economy.

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and could divert attention away from recycling.*

## Amendment 101

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

### Proposal for a directive

#### Recital 5

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(5) Through a progressive increase of the existing targets on preparing for re-use and recycling of packaging waste, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the creation of a circular economy.

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

##### *Amendment*

(5) Through a progressive increase of the existing targets on preparing for re-use and recycling of packaging waste, ***without undermining security of food supply, consumer health and legislation on materials in contact with food***, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the creation of a circular economy.

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

Or. fr

## Amendment 102

Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic

### Proposal for a directive

#### Recital 5

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(5) Through a progressive increase of the existing targets on preparing for re-use and recycling of packaging waste, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste

##### *Amendment*

(5) Through a progressive increase of the existing targets on preparing for re-use and recycling of packaging waste, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste

management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the creation of a circular economy.

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the creation of a circular economy ***and, in the case of packaging material, without prejudice to food safety, consumer health and food contact materials legislation.***

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

Or. en

### **Amendment 103** **Julie Girling**

#### **Proposal for a directive** **Recital 5**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(5) Through a progressive increase of the existing targets on preparing for re-use and recycling of packaging waste, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the creation of a circular economy.

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

##### *Amendment*

(5) Through a progressive increase of the existing targets on preparing for re-use and recycling of packaging waste, it should be ensured that economically valuable waste materials are progressively and effectively recovered through proper waste management and in line with the waste hierarchy. That way it should be ensured that valuable materials found in waste are returned into the European economy, thus making progress in the implementation of the Raw Materials Initiative<sup>15</sup> and the creation of a circular economy ***and, in the case of packaging materials, without prejudice to food safety, consumer health and food contact materials.***

---

<sup>15</sup> COM(2013) 442.

Or. en



*Justification*

*Increased recycling targets for all materials should be assessed in the context of other EU policies, particularly in the area of food safety and consumer health where recycled materials may be used for packaging as food contact materials.*

**Amendment 104**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 5 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5a) The increase of the existing targets for preparation for re-use and recycling of packaging waste must be accompanied by adequate control measures to ensure the safety of materials intended to come into contact with food, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1935/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 October 2004.***

Or. en

**Amendment 105**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 5 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5a) Extended Producer Responsibility is an important instrument in achieving the targets. In view of creating a level playing field, Extended Producer Responsibility should also be mandatory for packaging and packaging waste.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*For reasons of clarity and comprehensibility, it should be made explicit that the provisions on Extended Producer Responsibility in the Waste Framework Directive (Articles 8 and 8a) also apply to the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive.*

**Amendment 106**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 5 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5b) There are substantial differences between household packaging waste and commercial and industrial packaging waste. In order to obtain a clear and accurate insight, Member States should report on both streams separately.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*Belgium is currently the only Member State which has a separate collection and reporting system for household packaging waste and commercial and industrial packaging waste. We believe this is a best practice example which has the potential to be replicated at the EU level. The economic reality for household packaging versus commercial and industrial packaging significantly differs: 1) they deal with different products and hence require different packaging, which lead to different waste streams; 2) the commercial dimension is different (B-to-B setting for commercial and industrial packaging versus B-to-C setting for household packaging; 3) the volumes of both streams highly differ. These are the three most compelling reasons for making a clear distinction between both streams.*

**Amendment 107**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 6**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(6) Many Member States have not yet completely developed the necessary waste**

**(6) Many Member States have not yet completely developed the necessary waste**

management infrastructure. It is therefore essential to set clear policy objectives in order to avoid locking recyclable materials at the bottom of the waste hierarchy.

management infrastructure. It is therefore essential to set clear policy objectives in order to avoid locking recyclable materials at the bottom of the waste hierarchy *and to enhance a shift towards prevention, reuse and recycling.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*It should be made clear that we need a shift towards the upper tiers of the waste hierarchy.*

**Amendment 108**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 6 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(6a) In order to help achieve the targets of this Directive and to boost the transition to a circular economy, the Commission should promote the coordination and exchange of information and best practices between Member States and between different sectors of the economy. This exchange could be facilitated through communication platforms that could help raise awareness of new industrial solutions and allow for a better overview of available capacities and would contribute to connecting the waste industry and other sectors and to support industrial symbiosis.*

Or. en

**Amendment 109**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) With the combination of recycling targets and landfill restrictions laid down in Directives 2008/98/EC and 1999/31/EC, the Union targets for energy recovery **and the recycling targets** for packaging waste laid down in Directive 94/62/EC are no longer necessary.

*Amendment*

(7) With the combination of recycling targets and landfill restrictions laid down in Directives 2008/98/EC and 1999/31/EC, the Union targets for energy recovery for packaging waste laid down in Directive 94/62/EC are no longer necessary.

Or. en

*Justification*

*Recycling targets for packaging waste remain important.*

**Amendment 110**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(8) This Directive sets long-term objectives for the Union's waste management and gives the economic operators and the Member States a clear direction for the necessary investments to attain the objectives of this Directive. In developing their national waste management strategies and planning investments in waste management infrastructure, Member States should make a sound use of the European Structural and Investment Funds in line with the waste hierarchy **by** promoting prevention, **re-use and** recycling.

*Amendment*

(8) This Directive sets long-term objectives for the Union's waste management and gives the economic operators and the Member States a clear direction for the necessary investments to attain the objectives of this Directive. In developing their national waste management strategies and planning investments in waste management infrastructure **and the circular economy by large**, Member States should make a sound use of the European Structural and Investment Funds in line with the waste hierarchy **and devise these strategies and investment plans so that they are geared primarily to** promoting waste prevention **and re-use, followed by** recycling, **in line with the waste hierarchy.**

**Amendment 111**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(8) This Directive sets long-term objectives for the Union's waste management and gives the economic operators and the Member States a clear direction for the necessary investments to attain the objectives of this Directive. In developing their national waste management strategies and planning investments in waste management infrastructure, Member States should make a sound use of the European Structural and Investment Funds in line with the waste hierarchy by promoting prevention, re-use and recycling.

*Amendment*

(8) This Directive sets long-term objectives for the Union's waste management and gives the economic operators and the Member States a clear direction for the necessary investments to attain the objectives of this Directive. In developing their national waste management strategies and planning investments in waste management infrastructure, Member States should make a sound use of the European Structural and Investment Funds in line with the waste hierarchy by promoting prevention, re-use and recycling ***meaning that those Funds should no longer be used for incineration nor landfilling.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*It should be made explicit that the EU Structural and Investment Funds can no longer be used for incineration nor landfill.*

**Amendment 112**  
**Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Jens Gieseke**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 8 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(8a) The rules on the further raising of recycling targets from 2030 onwards should be reviewed in the light of the***

*experience gained in applying this Directive.*

Or. de

### **Amendment 113**

**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 9**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(9) Targets for the recycling of plastic packaging waste for **2025** have been set taking into account what was technically feasible at the time of the revision of the Directive; the Commission may propose revised levels of the targets for plastics **for 2030** based on a review of progress made by Member States towards reaching those targets, taking into account the evolution of the types of plastics placed on the market and the development of new recycling technologies and the demand for recycled plastics.

*Amendment*

(9) Targets for the recycling of plastic packaging waste for **2030** have been set taking into account what was technically feasible at the time of the revision of the Directive; the Commission may propose revised levels of the targets for plastics based on a review of progress made by Member States towards reaching those targets **or major economic difficulties destabilising European enterprises**, taking into account the evolution of the types of plastics placed on the market and the development of new recycling technologies and the demand for recycled plastics.

Or. fr

### **Amendment 114**

**Julie Girling**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 10**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(10) Separate recycling targets should be set for ferrous metals and aluminium in order to achieve significant economic and environmental benefits because more **aluminium** would be recycled leading to significant energy and carbon dioxide savings. The existing preparing for re-use

*Amendment*

(10) Separate recycling targets should be set for ferrous metals and aluminium in order to achieve significant economic and environmental benefits because more **metals** would be recycled leading to significant energy and carbon dioxide savings. The existing preparing for re-use

and recycling target for metal packaging should therefore be split into separate targets for those two types of waste.

and recycling target for metal packaging should therefore be split into separate targets for those two types of waste.

Or. en

*Justification*

*Separate targets not only help to improve the aluminium recycling rates, but also steel recycling rates, it is therefore appropriate to use the word ‘metals’ rather than ‘aluminium’.*

**Amendment 115**

**Damiano Zoffoli, Nicola Caputo, Massimo Paolucci, Renata Briano, Elena Gentile**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 10 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(10a) Research in bio-based materials has progressed over the last years, but progress could be much faster if there were more market penetration of these materials. The use of sustainable biodegradable and bio-based packaging should therefore be encouraged, including by appropriate labelling of packaging, as already provided by article 8a of the consolidated version of Directive 94/62/EC in respect to plastic carrier bags.***

Or. en

**Amendment 116**

**Frédérique Ries, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 10 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(10a) Research in bio-based materials has progressed over the last years, and***

*could be further stimulated by deeper market penetration of such materials. Therefore, the use of sustainable biodegradable and bio-based content in packaging should be encouraged, in compliance with health standards and requirements, including by promoting appropriate and voluntary labelling of packaging.*

Or. en

**Amendment 117**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(11) *Member States should, for the purposes of calculating whether the preparation for re-use and recycling targets are achieved, be able to take into account products and components that are prepared for re-use by recognised preparation for re-use operators and deposit-refund schemes.* To ensure harmonised conditions *for those calculations*, the Commission will adopt detailed rules on the determination of *recognised* preparation for re-use operators and deposit-refund schemes and on the collection, verification and reporting of data.

*Amendment*

(11) To ensure harmonised conditions, the Commission will adopt detailed rules on the determination of *registered* preparation for re-use operators and deposit-refund schemes and on the collection, verification and reporting of data.

Or. en

*Justification*

*In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and could divert attention away from recycling.*



**Amendment 118**  
**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(11) *Member States should, for the purposes of calculating whether the preparation for re-use and recycling targets are achieved, be able to take into account products and components that are prepared for re-use by recognised preparation for re-use operators and deposit-refund schemes. To ensure harmonised conditions for those calculations, the Commission will* adopt detailed rules on the determination of recognised preparation for re-use operators and *deposit-refund schemes* and on the collection, verification and reporting of data.

*Amendment*

(11) *In order to ensure a uniform calculation* for re-use and recycling targets, the Commission *should* adopt detailed rules on the determination of recognised preparation for re-use operators and *recycling operators* and on the collection verification and reporting of data.

Or. en

**Amendment 119**  
**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(11) Member States should, for the purposes of calculating whether the preparation for re-use and recycling targets are achieved, be able to take into account products and components that are prepared for re-use by recognised preparation for re-use operators and deposit-refund schemes. *To ensure harmonised conditions* for those calculations, *the Commission* will adopt detailed rules on the determination of recognised preparation for re-use operators

*Amendment*

(11) Member States should, for the purposes of calculating whether the preparation for re-use and recycling targets are achieved, be able to take into account products and components that are prepared for re-use by recognised preparation for re-use operators and deposit-refund schemes. For those calculations, *Member States* will adopt detailed rules on the determination of recognised preparation for re-use operators and deposit-refund schemes and on the

and deposit-refund schemes and on the collection, verification and reporting of data.

collection, verification and reporting of data.

Or. en

**Amendment 120**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 12**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(12) ***In order to ensure the reliability of the data gathered on preparation for reuse it is essential to establish common rules for reporting. Similarly, it is important to lay down more precisely the rules according to which Member States should report what is effectively recycled and can be counted towards the attainment of the recycling targets. To that effect, as a general rule, the reporting on the attainment of the recycling targets must be based on the input to the final recycling process. In order to limit administrative burdens, Member States should be allowed, under strict conditions, to report recycling rates on the basis of the output of sorting facilities.*** Losses in weight of materials or substances due to physical and/or chemical transformation processes inherent to the final recycling process should not be deducted from the weight of the waste reported as recycled.

*Amendment*

(12) It is important to lay down more precisely the rules according to which Member States should report what is effectively recycled and can be counted towards the attainment of the recycling targets. To that effect the reporting on the attainment of the recycling targets must be based on the input to the final recycling process. ***To ensure a diligent application of the single calculation method, the Commission will adopt specific rules on the exact point of "input to the final recycling process" per material stream.*** Losses in weight of materials or substances due to physical and/or chemical transformation processes inherent to the final recycling process should not be deducted from the weight of the waste reported as recycled.

Or. en

*Justification*

*In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and could divert attention away from*

recycling. Furthermore, a clear choice should be made for one single calculation method, notably the "input to the final recycling process". As the exact point where materials enter the final recycling process may differ between material streams, the Commission should adopt common rules per waste stream in order to strengthen the calculation method and ensure a diligent application.

## Amendment 121

Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki

### Proposal for a directive

#### Recital 12

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(12) In order to ensure the reliability of the data gathered on preparation for re-use it is essential to establish common rules for reporting. Similarly, it is important to lay down more precisely the rules according to which Member States should report what is effectively recycled and can be counted towards the attainment of the recycling targets. ***To that effect, as a general rule, the reporting on the attainment of the recycling targets must be based on the input to the final recycling process. In order to limit administrative burdens, Member States should be allowed, under strict conditions, to report recycling rates on the basis of the output of sorting facilities. Losses in weight of materials or substances due to physical and/or chemical transformation processes inherent to the final recycling process should not be deducted from the weight of the waste reported as recycled.***

##### *Amendment*

(12) In order to ensure the reliability of the data gathered on preparation for re-use it is essential to establish common rules for reporting. Similarly, it is important to lay down more precisely the rules according to which Member States should report what is effectively recycled and can be counted towards the attainment of the recycling targets. ***Calculation of the attainment of the targets should be based on one solid and harmonised method that prevents reporting of discarded waste as recycled waste. To that end, the reporting on the attainment of the recycling targets must be based on the input to the final recycling process. In order to add to the reliability of the data gathered and to better understand the different waste streams, Member States should also gather data on the output of sorting facilities and ensure that waste streams are tracked.*** Losses in weight of materials or substances due to physical and/or chemical transformation processes inherent to the final recycling process should not be deducted from the weight of the waste reported as recycled.

Or. en

**Amendment 122**  
**Tibor Szanyi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 12**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(12) In order to ensure the reliability of the data gathered on preparation for re-use it is essential to establish common rules for reporting. Similarly, it is important to lay down more precisely the rules according to which Member States should report what is effectively recycled and can be counted towards the attainment of the recycling targets. To that effect, as a general rule, the reporting on the attainment of the recycling targets must be based on the input to the final recycling process. In order to limit administrative burdens, Member States should be allowed, under strict conditions, to report recycling rates on the basis of the output of sorting facilities. Losses in weight of materials or substances due to physical and/or chemical transformation processes inherent to the final recycling process should not be deducted from the weight of the waste reported as recycled.

*Amendment*

(12) In order to ensure the reliability of the data gathered on **recycling and** preparation for re-use it is essential to establish common rules for **on data collection, traceability, verification and** reporting. Similarly, it is important to lay down more precisely the rules according to which Member States should report what is effectively recycled and can be counted towards the attainment of the recycling targets. To that effect, as a general rule, the reporting on the attainment of the recycling targets must be based on the input to the final recycling process. In order to limit administrative burdens, Member States should be allowed, under strict conditions, to report recycling rates on the basis of the output of sorting facilities. Losses in weight of materials or substances due to physical and/or chemical transformation processes inherent to the final recycling process should not be deducted from the weight of the waste reported as recycled.

Or. en

**Amendment 123**  
**Ivo Belet**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 12**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(12) In order to ensure the reliability of the data gathered on preparation for re-use it is essential to establish common rules for reporting. Similarly, it is important to lay down more precisely the rules according to

*Amendment*

(12) In order to ensure the reliability of the data gathered on preparation for re-use it is essential to establish common rules for reporting. Similarly, it is important to lay down more precisely the rules according to

which Member States should report what is effectively recycled and can be counted towards the attainment of the recycling targets. To that effect, as a general rule, the reporting on the attainment of the recycling targets must be based on the input to the final recycling process. In order to limit administrative burdens, Member States should be allowed, under strict conditions, to report recycling rates on the basis of the output of sorting *facilities*. Losses in weight of materials or substances due to physical and/or chemical transformation processes inherent to the final recycling process should not be deducted from the weight of the waste reported as recycled.

which Member States should report what is effectively recycled and can be counted towards the attainment of the recycling targets. To that effect, as a general rule, the reporting on the attainment of the recycling targets must be based on the input to the final recycling process. In order to limit administrative burdens, Member States should be allowed, under strict conditions, to report recycling rates on the basis of the output of sorting *operations*. Losses in weight of materials or substances due to physical and/or chemical transformation processes inherent to the final recycling process should not be deducted from the weight of the waste reported as recycled.

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*In order to avoid the risk of discrimination between sorting operations performed as part of an industrial process in a facility on the one hand and performed by citizens using selective collection on the other hand, the more general word operations should be used.*

#### **Amendment 124**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Recital 13**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(13) In order to ensure *better, timelier and more uniform implementation of this Directive and anticipate implementation weaknesses, an early warning system should be established to detect shortcomings and allow taking action ahead of the deadlines for meeting the targets.*

##### *Amendment*

(13) In order to ensure *the reliability of the data gathered on preparation for re-use and recycling it is essential to establish common rules on data collection, traceability, verification and for reporting. Similarly, it is important to lay down more precisely the rules according to which Member States should report what is effectively recycled and can be counted towards the attainment of the recycling targets. To that effect, as a general rule, the reporting on the attainment of the recycling targets must be*

*based on the output of sorting facilities. Losses in weight of materials or substances due to physical and/or chemical transformation processes inherent to the final recycling process should not be deducted from the weight of the waste reported as recycled.*

Or. en

## **Amendment 125**

**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

### **Proposal for a directive Recital 13**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(13) In order to ensure better, *timelier and more uniform* implementation of this Directive and anticipate implementation weaknesses, *an early warning* system should be established to detect shortcomings and allow taking action ahead of the deadlines for meeting the targets.

*Amendment*

(13) In order to ensure better *and timelier* implementation of this Directive and anticipate implementation weaknesses, *a progress estimation report* system should be established to detect shortcomings and allow taking action ahead of the deadlines for meeting the targets.

Or. en

## **Amendment 126**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

### **Proposal for a directive Recital 13 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(13a) The exchange of best practices and information is important in order to ensure a full implementation of the Directive and enable all Member States to achieve the targets laid down in this Directive.*

Or. en

## *Justification*

*Various best practices can incentivise both Member States who are frontrunners and those Member States still facing challenges to achieve the targets.*

### **Amendment 127**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 14**

###### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(14) *Statistical data* reported by Member States are essential for the Commission to assess compliance with waste legislation across the Member States. The quality, reliability and comparability of *statistics* should be improved by introducing a single entry point for all waste data, deleting obsolete reporting requirements, benchmarking national reporting methodologies and introducing a data quality check report.

###### *Amendment*

(14) *Data and information* reported by Member States are essential for the Commission to assess compliance with waste legislation across the Member States. The quality, reliability and comparability of *reported data* should be improved *by establishing a common methodology for collection and processing of data based reliable sources and* by introducing a single entry point for all waste data, deleting obsolete reporting requirements, benchmarking national reporting methodologies and introducing a data quality check report.

Or. en

### **Amendment 128**

**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

##### **Recital 15**

###### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(15) Implementation reports prepared by Member States every three years have not proved to be an effective tool for verifying compliance and ensuring good implementation, and are generating unnecessary administrative burden. It is

###### *Amendment*

(15) Implementation reports prepared by Member States every three years have not proved to be an effective tool for verifying compliance and ensuring good implementation, and are generating unnecessary administrative burden. It is

therefore appropriate to repeal provisions obliging Member States to produce such reports and for compliance monitoring purposes use exclusively the statistical data which Member States report every *year* to the Commission.

therefore appropriate to repeal provisions obliging Member States to produce such reports and for compliance monitoring purposes use exclusively the statistical data which Member States report every *three years* to the Commission.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 129**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic**

#### **Proposal for a directive Recital 16**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(16) Reliable reporting of statistical data concerning waste management is paramount to efficient implementation and to ensuring comparability of data among Member States. Therefore, when *preparing the reports on compliance with* the targets set out in Directive 94/62/EC, Member States *should be required to* use the *most recent* methodology developed by the Commission *and* the national statistical offices of the Member States.

##### *Amendment*

(16) Reliable reporting of statistical data concerning waste management is paramount to efficient implementation and to ensuring comparability of data among Member States. Therefore, when *reporting on the achievements of* the targets set out in Directive 94/62/EC, Member States *shall* use the *common* methodology developed by the Commission *in cooperation with* the national statistical offices of the Member States *and the national authorities responsible for waste management*.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 130**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

#### **Proposal for a directive Recital 16**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(16) Reliable reporting of statistical data concerning waste management is paramount to efficient implementation and

##### *Amendment*

(16) Reliable reporting of statistical data concerning waste management is paramount to efficient implementation and



to ensuring comparability of data among Member States. Therefore, when preparing the reports on compliance with the targets set out in Directive 94/62/EC, Member States should be required to use *the most recent* methodology developed by the Commission *and* the national statistical offices of the Member States.

to ensuring comparability of data among Member States. Therefore, when preparing the reports on compliance with the targets set out in Directive 94/62/EC, Member States should be required to use *a common methodology for data collection and processing* developed by the Commission, the national statistical offices of the Member States *and national, regional and local authorities responsible for waste management*.

Or. en

**Amendment 131**  
**Kateřina Konečn**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 16**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(16) Reliable reporting of statistical data concerning waste management is paramount to efficient implementation and to ensuring comparability of data among Member States. Therefore, when preparing the reports on compliance with the targets set out in Directive 94/62/EC, Member States should be required to use the most recent methodology developed by the Commission *and* the national statistical offices of the Member States.

*Amendment*

(16) Reliable reporting of statistical data concerning waste management is paramount to efficient implementation and to ensuring comparability of data among Member States. Therefore, when preparing the reports on compliance with the targets set out in Directive 94/62/EC, Member States should be required to use the most recent *common* methodology developed by the Commission, the national statistical offices of the Member States *and national authorities responsible for waste management*.

Or. en

**Amendment 132**  
**Jean-Franois Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Recital 17**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(17) In order to supplement or amend Directive 94/62/EC, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission in respect of Articles **6a(2)**, **6a(5)**, 11(3), 19(2) and 20. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level. The Commission, when preparing and drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and the Council.

*Amendment*

(17) In order to supplement or amend Directive 94/62/EC, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty should be delegated to the Commission in respect of Articles 11(3), 19(2) and 20. It is of particular importance that the Commission carries out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level. The Commission, when preparing and drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and the Council.

Or. en

**Amendment 133**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Recital 21**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(21) Since the objectives of this Directive, namely ***on the one hand***, to prevent any impact from packaging and packaging waste on the environment or to reduce such impact, thus providing a high level of environmental protection, ***and, on the other hand, to ensure the*** functioning of the internal market ***and to avoid obstacles to trade and distortion and restriction of competition within the Union***, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, but can rather, by reason of the scale or effects of the measures, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance

*Amendment*

(21) Since the objectives of this Directive, namely to prevent any impact from packaging and packaging waste on the environment or to reduce such impact, thus providing a high level of environmental protection, ***taking into account*** functioning of the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, but can rather, by reason of the scale or effects of the measures, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those

with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives,

objectives,

Or. en

**Amendment 134**  
**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point-1 (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 1 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

"1. This Directive aims to harmonize national measures concerning the management of packaging and packaging waste in order, *on the one hand*, to prevent any impact thereof on the environment of all Member States as well as of third countries or to reduce such impact, thus providing a high level of environmental protection, *and, on the other hand, to ensure* the functioning of the internal market *and to avoid obstacles to trade and distortion and restriction of competition within the Community.*"

*Amendment*

**(-1) In Article 1, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:**

"1. This Directive aims to harmonize national measures concerning the management of packaging and packaging waste in order, to prevent any impact thereof on the environment of all Member States as well as of third countries or to reduce such impact, thus providing a high level of environmental protection, taking into account the functioning of the internal market."

Or. en

**Amendment 135**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point-1 a (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 1 – paragraph 2

*Present text*

"2. To this end this Directive lays down measures aimed, as a first priority, at preventing the production of packaging waste and, as additional fundamental principles, at reusing packaging, at recycling and other forms of recovering packaging waste and, hence, at reducing the final disposal of such waste. "

*Amendment*

**(-1a) In Article 1, paragraph 2 is replaced by the following:**

"2. To this end this Directive lays down measures aimed, as a first priority, at preventing the production of packaging waste and, as additional fundamental principles, at reusing packaging, at recycling and other forms of recovering packaging waste and, hence, at reducing the final disposal of such waste ***in order to contribute to the transition towards a circular economy.***"

Or. en

*Justification*

*In the objectives, it is important to highlight the importance of this directive for the transition towards a circular economy.*

**Amendment 136**

**Merja Kyllönen**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 3 – point 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. 'packaging waste' shall mean any packaging or packaging material covered by the definition of waste laid down in Article 3(1) of Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council(\*);

*Amendment*

2. 'packaging waste' shall mean any packaging or packaging material covered by the definition of waste laid down in Article 3(1) of Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, ***excluding production residues*** (\*);

Or. en

**Amendment 137**

**Giovanni La Via, Alberto Cirio**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b a (new)**

Directive 94/62 EC

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(ba)** *In Article 3, the following point 2a is inserted:*

**2a.** *"Reusable packaging" means packaging which has been conceived and designed to accomplish within its lifecycle a minimum number of trips or rotations, is refilled or used for the same purpose for which it was conceived, without compromising food hygiene and the safety of consumers, and with or without the support of auxiliary products present on the market enabling the packaging to be refilled; such reusable packaging will become packaging waste when no longer subject to reuse;*

Or. en

*Justification*

*The Commission's definition of re-use in Directive 2008/98/EC (Waste Directive), which the Commission proposes be applied in the Packaging Directive, is not appropriate for packaging and should not be introduced. However, a definition of "re-use of packaging" is required for clarity, notably in relation to food hygiene and the safety of consumers. This amendment reintroduces with modifications the definition of re-use contained in Directive 94/62/EC which the Commission proposed to delete. A definition of "re-usable packaging" should also be introduced.*

**Amendment 138**

**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(ba) the following point 2a is inserted:  
“2a. 'bio-based packaging' means bio-based materials derived from biomass as material of biological origin excluding material embedded in geological formations and/or fossilized;”*

Or. en

### **Amendment 139**

**Davor Škrlec**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(ba) the following point 2a is inserted:  
"2a "Multi-layered packaging" shall mean packaging composed of more than one layer of material."*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The multi-layered packaging is broadly used by the producers as packaging solution, thus because of its specificities it requires separate definition by the Directive.*

### **Amendment 140**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point c a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(ca) the following point 12a is added:  
“12a. 'bio-based' shall mean derived  
from biomass.”*

Or. en

**Amendment 141**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point c b (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12 b (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(cb) the following point 12b is added:  
“12b. 'biomass' shall mean material of  
biological origin excluding material  
embedded in geological formations and/or  
fossilized.”*

Or. en

**Amendment 142**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point c c (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 12 c (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(cc) the following point 12c is added:  
12c. 'Multi-layered packaging' shall  
mean packaging composed of more than  
one layer of material.*

Or. en

**Amendment 143**  
**Kateřina Konečná**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point d**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 3 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

In addition, the definitions of ‘waste’, ‘waste producer’, ‘waste holder’, ‘waste management’, ‘collection’, ‘separate collection’, ‘prevention’, ‘re-use’, ‘treatment’, ‘recovery’, ‘preparing for re-use’, ‘recycling’, ***‘final recycling process’*** and ‘disposal’ laid down in Article 3 of Directive 2008/98/EC shall apply.

*Amendment*

In addition, the definitions of ‘waste’, ‘waste producer’, ‘waste holder’, ‘waste management’, ‘collection’, ‘separate collection’, ‘prevention’, ‘re-use’, ‘treatment’, ‘recovery’, ‘preparing for re-use’, ‘recycling’ and ‘disposal’ laid down in Article 3 of Directive 2008/98/EC shall apply.

Or. en

**Amendment 144**  
**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

*Present text*

Member States ***shall ensure that***, in addition to the measures to prevent the formation of packaging waste taken in accordance with Article 9, other preventive measures ***are implemented***.

*Amendment*

***(1a) In Article 4(1) the first subparagraph is replaced by the following:***

‘Member States ***may***, in addition to the measures to prevent the formation of packaging waste taken in accordance with Article 9, ***implement*** other preventive measures.’

Or. en

**Amendment 145**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**



**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).*

*Amendment*

*Those measures shall contribute to reaching a reduction in the packaging waste generation in order to contribute to the attainment of the objectives of the waste prevention programmes as set out in Article 29 of Directive 2008/98/EC and shall include incentives to minimise the environmental impact of packaging over its life cycle.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*It is crucial to take the whole life cycle into account when talking about minimising the environmental impact of packaging.*

**Amendment 146**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Present text*

*Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together*

*Amendment*

*Those measures shall contribute to reaching a reduction in the packaging waste generation in order to contribute to the objectives of the waste prevention programmes as set out in Article 29 of Directive 2008/98/EC. They shall include incentives to minimise the environmental*

and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

impact of packaging *through extended producer responsibility schemes, and incentives for the take-up of re-usable packaging, which at the end of its life could be effectively recycled. Member States shall take measures to achieve a sustained reduction in the consumption of non-recyclable packaging and of excess packaging* or similar actions adopted in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. *Those measures may include the use of national reduction targets.* They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

Or. en

#### **Amendment 147**

**Giovanni La Via, Alberto Cirio**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).*

*Amendment*

*Those measures shall contribute to the attainment of the objectives of the waste prevention programmes as set out in Article 29 of Directive 2008/98/EC. They may include incentives to minimise the environmental impact of packaging through extended producer responsibility schemes. Promotion of reusable packaging shall not compromise food hygiene and consumer health and safety. Member States may take measures to promote reduction in the consumption of non-recyclable packaging or similar actions adopted in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as*

regards prevention. ***Such measures shall not compromise hygiene and safety of consumers.*** They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1);

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*Given that reusable packing is neither practical nor desirable in many situations, and that consumers will continue to demand packaged products, the environmental impact of packaging can be best reduced by making available more recycling infrastructure to recover valuable raw materials for the circular economy. There are certain situations where food hygiene and consumer safety do not permit the use of re-usable forms of packaging, such as for takeaway meals, for example. It is essential that consumer health priorities are not undermined by prevention measures in this growing sector of the economy. On the other hand, Member States need to make more effort to support out-of-home collection of such packaging and its recycling.*

#### **Amendment 148**

**Andrzej Grzyb**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

*Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).*

#### *Amendment*

*Those measures shall contribute to the attainment of the objectives of the waste prevention programmes as set out in Article 29 of Directive 2008/98/EC. They may include incentives to minimise the environmental impact of packaging through extended producer responsibility schemes. Promotion of reusable packaging shall not compromise food hygiene and consumer health and safety. Member States may take measures to promote reduction in the consumption of non-recyclable packaging or similar actions adopted in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many*

initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. ***Such measures shall not compromise hygiene and safety of consumers.*** They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1);

Or. en

## **Amendment 149**

**Pilar Ayuso**

### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

***'Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).'***

*Amendment*

***'Those measures shall contribute to reaching a reduction in the packaging waste generation in order to contribute to the attainment of the objectives of the waste prevention programmes as set out in Article 29 of Directive 2008/98/EC. They may include incentives to minimise the environmental impact of packaging through extended producer responsibility schemes. Member State may take measures to achieve a sustained reduction in the consumption of non-recyclable packaging and over-packaging or similar actions adopted in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).'***

Or. en

## **Amendment 150**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Such other measures *may* consist of ***national*** programmes, ***incentives through*** extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

*Amendment*

Such other measures ***shall*** consist of programmes, ***the establishment of*** extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging, ***the stimulation of smart business models based on resource efficiency and life-cycle assessment*** or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

Or. en

*Justification*

*It is important to insert the most important overarching principles here (EPR, smart business models and life-cycle assessment) in order to achieve the objectives and targets put forward.*

**Amendment 151**  
**Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic

*Amendment*

***Member States shall take measures to reduce the use of non-recycled, single packaging and excessive packaging, especially where it consists of non-recycled materials, where appropriate and provided the quality and safety of***

operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).';

***products are not compromised.*** Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of ***packaging, measures to encourage the re-use of end-of-life recyclable*** packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).';

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 152**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz, Kateřina Konečná**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, ***incentives through*** extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

#### *Amendment*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

Or. en

#### **Amendment 153**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder,**

Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

*Amendment*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. ***These measures may also involve information aimed at the general public through educational or awareness raising activities, including digital solutions.*** They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1). ***Member States shall make use of adequate economic instruments and other measures to provide incentives for the application of the waste hierarchy in order to contribute to the objectives laid down in this Directive. Annex V provides examples of such instruments and measures.***

Or. en

**Amendment 154**

**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through

*Amendment*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, ***support for local***

extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

*initiatives and short supply chains*, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).';

Or. fr

**Amendment 155**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

*Amendment*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise *or eliminate through biobased packaging and packaging-free* the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

Or. en

**Amendment 156**  
**Davor Škrlec**



**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

*Amendment*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators **and environmental organisations**, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

Or. en

*Justification*

*In order to achieve better results of the consultations, environmental organisations' views should be taken into consideration as they can provide with the added value in the relevant field.*

**Amendment 157**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together

*Amendment*

Such other measures may consist of national programmes **that promote separate collection at source by local authorities, including through legislation**, incentives through extended producer responsibility schemes to minimise the environmental impact of packaging or

and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

similar actions adopted, if appropriate, in consultation with economic operators, and designed to bring together and take advantage of the many initiatives taken within Member States as regards prevention. They shall comply with the objectives of this Directive as defined in Article 1(1).

Or. es

**Amendment 158**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 1– subparagraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2a) In Article 4(1), the following subparagraph 2a is inserted:**

***To this end and to encourage prevention, the Commission is called on to assess the reduction in emissions into the air of significant amounts of methane/CO<sub>2</sub> as a result of significant recycling rates, with a view to proposing possible incentives when allocating CO<sub>2</sub> rights to companies that carry out recycling.***

Or. es

**Amendment 159**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2a) In Article 4(1), the following**

*subparagraph 2a is inserted:*

*Member States shall take the necessary measures in order to set up education, raising of public awareness and training programmes, including incorporation of environmental education into primary and secondary curricula, aimed at achieving the waste prevention, re-use and recycling objectives of this Directive.*

Or. en

## **Amendment 160**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 3

#### *Present text*

"3. The Commission shall, *as appropriate*, present proposals for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements and to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging."

#### *Amendment*

*(2a) In Article 4, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:*

"3. *No later than 31 December 2018* the Commission shall present proposals for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements and to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging. *The Commission shall in particular present a proposal for measures concerning non-recyclable packaging, packaging containing hazardous substances, single use packaging, disposable and excess packaging, and consider market restrictions for those items at Union level.*"

Or. en

**Amendment 161**  
**Mark Demesmaecker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 3

*Present text*

"3. The Commission shall, *as appropriate*, present proposals for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of *the essential* requirements *and* to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging."

*Amendment*

**(2a) In article 4, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:**

"3. **No later than 31 December 2018** the Commission shall present proposals **to update the essential requirements**, for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of **these** requirements **so as** to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging. **The Commission shall in particular focus on measures that enhance design for reuse and recycling, in consultation with all stakeholders involved.**"

Or. en

*Justification*

*In 1994 the essential requirements of this Directive were highly innovative. However, anno 2016 there is definitely scope for improvement. It is a missed opportunity that the Commission did not include an update of the requirements in the review provisions, nor measures to strengthen their enforcement. It is important to specifically enhance design for reuse and recycling and to address the entire chain and not only end-of-pipe measures.*

**Amendment 162**  
**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 – paragraph 3

*Present text*

*Amendment*

"3. The Commission shall, *as appropriate*, present proposals *for measures* to strengthen and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements *and to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging.*"

**(2a) In Article 4, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:**

"3. The Commission shall, present proposals *by 2020* to strengthen, *upgrade* and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements *to improve the eco-design, reusability and recyclability of packaging as well as to phase out all hazardous materials in packaging.*"

Or. en

### **Amendment 163**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

"3. The Commission shall, as appropriate, present proposals for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements and to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging."

**(2a) In Article 4, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:**

"3. The Commission shall, as appropriate, present proposals for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements and to ensure that packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging. *The Commission shall in particular propose measures concerning non-recyclable packaging, packaging containing hazardous substances, disposable and excess packaging, as well as evaluating new packaging material,*

*and assess their impact at Union level. "*

Or. en

**Amendment 164**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 3

*Present text*

"3. The Commission shall, as appropriate, present proposals for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements and to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging."

*Amendment*

***(2a) In Article 4, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:***

"3. The Commission shall, as appropriate, present proposals for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements and to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging. ***The Commission shall examine the life cycle environmental impact of recyclable and non-recyclable packaging, packaging containing hazardous substances, single use packaging, disposable excess packaging and present proposals for measures, if appropriate. "***

Or. en

*Justification*

*Before the Commission decides whether or not to come up with proposals regarding certain types of packaging, it is important that it first examine the actual impact of these types of packaging over their life cycle, and that proposals, if any, are based on the outcome of this examination and are accompanied by a proper impact assessment.*

## Amendment 165

Andrzej Grzyb

### Proposal for a directive

#### Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The Commission shall, as appropriate, present proposals for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements and to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging.

*Amendment*

***(2b) In Article 4, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:***

3. The Commission shall, as appropriate, present proposals for measures to strengthen and complement the enforcement of the essential requirements, ***including their application to packaging products placed on the market from third countries***, and to ensure that new packaging is put on the market only if the producer has taken all necessary measures to minimise its environmental impact without compromising the essential functions of the packaging, ***notably the protection of food hygiene and the health and safety of consumers***.

Or. en

## Amendment 166

Jytte Guteland, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Pavel Poc

### Proposal for a directive

#### Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 3 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(2a) In Article 4, the following paragraph 3a is inserted:***

***'3a. Member States shall encourage the use of bio-based packaging by taking measures such as:***

***(a) promoting their use through, inter***

*alia, the use of economic instruments;*

*(b) improving market conditions for such products;*

*(c) reviewing existing legislation hampering the use of those products.*

*In addition, the Commission shall assess by 31 December 2018 options for promoting the use of environmentally friendly food packaging, including an assessment of the feasibility of gradually replacing food packaging with bio-based and products in accordance with European standards.*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*Biodegradable packaging that is bio-based often consist of plastic and can only break down under certain conditions. When entering the market, they either become collected with plastic waste and contributes to its pollution or collects along with bio-waste and therefore pollutes it. Therefore it is questioned whether it should be promoted.*

#### **Amendment 167**

**Davor Škrlec**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 3 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(2a) In Article 4, the following paragraph 3a is inserted:*

*3a. By 31 December 2018, the Commission shall produce a report assessing the environmental, social and economic impacts of increased European consumption of bio-based packaging, including impacts on land use, forests and the aquatic environment. The Commission shall also produce a report by 31 December 2018 assessing the environmental, social and economic*



*benefits of reducing and reusing packaging in comparison to (a) continuing to use non-bio-based packaging and (b) gradually replacing non-bio-based packaging with bio-based packaging.*

Or. en

## **Amendment 168**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz, Kateřina Konečná**

### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/32/EC

Article 4 – paragraph 3a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(2a) In Article 4, the following paragraph 1a is inserted:*

*3a. By 31 December 2018, the Commission shall produce a report assessing the global environmental, social and economic impacts of increased European consumption of all bio-based packaging, including impacts on land use, forests and the aquatic environment. On the basis of this report, the Commission shall adopt legislative measures to reduce these impacts, abiding by the principles of cascading use of biomass. The Commission shall also produce a report by 31 December 2018 assessing the environmental, social and economic benefits of reducing and reusing packaging in comparison to (a) continuing to use non-bio-based packaging and (b) gradually replacing non-bio-based packaging with bio-based packaging.*

Or. en

## **Amendment 169**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

#### **Article 4 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2a) In Article 4, the following paragraph 3a is inserted:**

**'3a. Member States shall, where appropriate and environmentally beneficial from a lifecycle perspective, encourage the use of sustainable bio-based packaging from renewable sources.**

Or. en

## **Amendment 170**

**Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin, Françoise Grossetête**

### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

#### **Article 4 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2a) In Article 4, the following paragraph 3a is inserted:**

**"3a. Member States shall encourage, where appropriate, the use of biological materials and materials from recycled packaging waste in the manufacturing of packaging and other products, through measures such as:**

- a) improving market conditions for such materials;**
- b) reviewing existing legislation hampering the use of those materials.**

Or. fr

**Amendment 171**  
**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 4 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2a) The following Article 4a is inserted:**

**'Article 4a**

***Member States shall, encourage the use of bio-based materials for the manufacturing of packaging.***

***In addition, the Commission shall assess by 2017 the use of environmentally friendly food packaging, including an assessment of the feasibility of gradually replacing food packaging with bio-based and/or biodegradable, compostable material in accordance with European standards. '***

Or. en

**Amendment 172**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 5 – title

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2b) In Article 5, the following title is inserted:**

***"Preparation for reuse and reuse"***

Or. en

## Justification

*In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and divert attention away from recycling. It therefore seems appropriate to consider "preparation for reuse" and "reuse" in Article 5.*

### **Amendment 173**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 c (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 5 – title

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2c) In Article 5, the following title is inserted:**

**"Re-use"**

Or. en

### **Amendment 174**

**Henna Virkkunen**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 5 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

*Amendment*

Member States *may encourage reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the Treaty.*"

**(2a) In Article 5, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:**

**1. Member States shall attain the following targets for re-used packaging and a deposit-refund scheme covering the whole of their territory:**

**(a) No later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of 5 % by weight of all packaging waste will be re-used;**

*(b) No later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of 10 % by weight of all packaging waste will be re-used.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 175**

**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jasenko Selimovic**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 5 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

*"Member States may encourage reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the Treaty."*

*Amendment*

*(2a) In Article 5, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:*

*'In order to achieve the objectives laid down in this Directive, Member States shall take measures to promote the re-use of products. These measures may include the setting up of systems promoting environmentally sound re-use activities of packaging. '*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 176**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 d (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 5 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

*"Member States **may** encourage reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in*

*Amendment*

*(2d) In Article 5, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:*

*"Member States **shall** encourage reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in*

conformity with the Treaty."

conformity with the Treaty. ***This shall be done through:***

- ***Encouraging the use of deposit return schemes for re-useable packaging products and materials***
- ***Setting a minimum percentage of re-useable packaging placed on the market every year per packaging stream."***

Or. en

### **Amendment 177**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 5 – paragraph 1

#### *Present text*

"Member States ***may*** encourage ***reuse*** systems of packaging, which can be ***reused*** in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the ***Treaty***."

#### *Amendment*

***(2b) In Article 5, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:***

"Member States ***shall*** encourage ***re-use*** systems of packaging, which can be ***re-used*** in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the ***TFEU***. ***This shall be done by:***

- ***encouraging the use of deposit return schemes for re-useable packaging products and materials,***
- ***setting a minimum percentage of re-useable packaging placed on the market every year for each packaging stream, and***
- ***providing tax incentives to producers of re-usable packaging."***

Or. en

**Amendment 178**  
**Giovanni La Via, Alberto Cirio**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 d (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 5 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

"Member States *may* encourage reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the Treaty."

*Amendment*

**(2d) In Article 5, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:**

"Member States *shall* encourage reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the Treaty, *without compromising food hygiene and the safety of consumers.*"

Or. en

**Amendment 179**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)**  
Directive 94/62/ EC  
Article 5 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

"Member States *may* encourage reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the Treaty."

*Amendment*

**(2b) In Article 5, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:**

"*Where appropriate*, Member States *shall* encourage reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the Treaty."

Or. en

*Justification*

*Reuse systems require a supporting infrastructure for collection, return and prepare for repair / reuse. Their environmental impacts will vary by the types of products packaged and*

transported, e.g. meet and populations served, e.g. island/remote communities. For such reasons such systems should be considered only where appropriate.

**Amendment 180**  
**Andrzej Grzyb**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 5 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

Member States may encourage reuse systems of packaging, **which** can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, **in conformity with the Treaty**.

*Amendment*

**(2a) In Article 5, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:**

**'In conformity with the Treaty and without compromising food hygiene and the safety of consumers, Member States may encourage reuse systems of packaging that can be reused in an environmentally sound manner.'**

Or. en

**Amendment 181**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 c (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 5 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

"Member States may encourage reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the Treaty."

*Amendment*

**(2c) In Article 5, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:**

"Member States may encourage **preparation for reuse of packaging waste and** reuse systems of packaging, which can be reused in an environmentally sound manner, in conformity with the Treaty.  
**The Commission will encourage the exchange of best practices, information and data between Member States in this**



*area, with a clear distinction between household packaging and commercial and industrial packaging.*

*The Commission shall adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 21a establishing minimum quality and operational requirements for the determination of registered preparation for reuse operators and deposit-refund schemes, including specific rules on data collection, verification and reporting."*

Or. en

### *Justification*

*In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and divert attention away from recycling. It therefore seems appropriate to consider "preparation for reuse" and "reuse" in Article 5. We do not support a mandatory EU reuse target for packaging since it has no clear added value and would increase administrative burden. For commercial and industrial purposes, reusable packaging are already spontaneously trending, based on market demand and cost-efficiency. For household packaging however, a mandatory reuse target would probably entail an obligatory deposit refund scheme: we believe this choice should be left up to the Member States. Some Member States have already very effective collection systems for packaging in place (which are cost-efficient and achieve high collection ratio's), without a deposit-refund scheme. Moreover a life-cycle approach should always be considered. Finally, part of the text of Article 6a, §2, is inserted here.*

## **Amendment 182**

**Christel Schaldemose**

### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 5 – paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2a) In Article 5, the following paragraph 1a is inserted:**

**'1a. Member States may let re-use of**

*packaging contribute with a proportional share to the attainment of the targets laid down in Article 6 (1) (f) to (i). The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 21a in order to determine the specific conditions for contribution, including the proportional share. The total contribution can regardless of proportions never exceed a fourth of the targets laid down in Article 6 (1) (f) to (i).'*

Or. en

### *Justification*

*Reuse of packaging products that has not become waste should be considered a superior aspiration in a future resource efficient circular economy. In this perspective recycling of packaging waste is only the second best option. Re-use and recycling are often interrelated and in a competition with each other. If re-use packaging is not included as a part of the attainment of the re-cycling targets there is a strong bias to favour one way packaging. Therefore as an incentive for member states to promote prevention of packaging waste through packaging reuse there should be established a rewarding linkage between the EU-recycling targets for all packaging waste and the different packaging materials in art. 6 and realized amounts of packaging reuse in the member states. However, Member States with well-developed re-use systems shall also make an effort on the waste part of the packaging and therefore the proposal includes a maximum share on how much the re-use can contribute with. The Commission shall adopt delegated acts for the establishment of harmonized conditions for reasonably taking into account reuse of packaging in calculation of the packaging waste recycling targets for 2025 and 2030.*

### **Amendment 183**

**Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 5 – paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2b) In Article 5, the following paragraph 1b is inserted:**

**"1a. In order to attain the targets set out in paragraph 2, Member States may**

*take the following measures:*

- *encouraging the use of deposit return schemes for re-usable packaging products;*
- *encouraging the adoption of a minimum percentage of re-usable packaging placed on the market every year per re-usable packaging stream;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 184**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz, Kateřina Konečná**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 e (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 5 – paragraph 1a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(2e) In Article 5, the following paragraph 1a is inserted:*

*1a. Member States shall attain the following targets for re-used packaging and a deposit-refund scheme covering the whole of their territory:*

*(a) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of 10% by weight of all packaging waste will be re-used;*

*(b) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of 15% by weight of all packaging waste will be re-used.*

Or. en

**Amendment 185**

**Pilar Ayuso**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 1 – paragraph 1a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2a)** *In Article 5, the following paragraph 1a is inserted:*

**1a.** *Re-used package may be counted towards the attainment of prevention targets established by national prevention programmes adopted in accordance with the criteria laid down in Article 4. '*

Or. en

**Amendment 186**  
**Henna Virkkunen**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 5– paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2b)** *In Article 5, the following paragraph 1a is inserted:*

**1a.** *'The Member States are allowed to deduct the recycling target defined in Article 6 based on the amount of reused packaging above the targets based on 1a (a) and (b) of this Article in accordance with paragraph 1c.*

*The Commission shall adopt implementing acts to establish the deduction mechanism in accordance with 1b, which shall not exceed 25 % of the recycling target.'*

Or. en

**Amendment 187**  
**Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Catherine Bearder, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 5 – paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2b) In Article 5, the following paragraph 1a is inserted:**

**(1a) In order to achieve the objectives of this Directive and the waste hierarchy, the Commission shall, no later than 31 December 2018, assess the possibilities for encouraging the re-use of packaging, and the appropriateness of specific measures for the re-use of packaging, especially in relation to the targets referred to in Article 6 (f) to (i). This assessment shall, if appropriate, be accompanied by proposals to be sent to the European Parliament and the Council.**

Or. en

**Amendment 188**  
**Christel Schaldemose**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 5 – paragraph 1 b (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2b) In Article 5, the following paragraph 1b is inserted:**

**"1b. Member States shall attain the following targets for re-used packaging:**

**(a) no later than 31 December 2025 an amount of packaging, corresponding to a minimum of 5% by weight of all packaging waste, will be re-used;"**

**(b) no later than 31 December 2030 an amount of packaging, corresponding to a minimum of 10% by weight of all packing waste, will be re-used."**

*Justification*

*In order to reduce the generation of packaging waste, it is necessary to set specific targets for the re-use of packaging. In accordance with the principle of subsidiarity Member States shall be free to prescribe by which instruments such targets shall be fulfilled. It is underlined that the respective 5% and 10% amounts are re-usable packaging and these amounts are calculated based on the waste amounts of packaging.*

**Amendment 189****Merja Kyllönen****Proposal for a directive****Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point a**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – title

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the title is replaced by 'Recovery, re-use **and recycling**';

*Amendment*

(a) the title is replaced by 'Recovery, re-use, **recycling and re-refining**' ;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Re-refining of packaging waste, especially plastics, is a resource efficient and technically feasible way to decrease the carbon emissions from transport sector and to decrease the dependency of imported crude oil. The EU refining industry is developing methods to utilize waste plastics as a substitute to crude oil in current existing refineries.*

**Amendment 190****Mark Demesmaeker****Proposal for a directive****Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point a**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – title

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the title is replaced by 'Recovery, **re-use** and recycling';

*Amendment*

(a) the title is replaced by 'Recovery and recycling';

*Justification*

*In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and divert attention away from recycling. It therefore seems appropriate to consider "preparation for re-use" and "re-use" in Article 5.*

**Amendment 191**  
**Merja Kyllönen**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point a a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph –1 (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(aa) In Article 6, the following paragraph -1 is inserted:**

**-1. Member States shall put in place sorting systems for all packaging materials.**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Separate collection and sorting for all packaging needs to be mandated not just promoted. Packaging that is not separately collected and sorted is not recycled. Only by introducing a clear legal obligation to mandate separate collection and sorting for all packaging, will all recyclable packaging materials have guaranteed access to collection and recycling schemes. Requiring Member States to set up systems to collect and sort all packaging is also necessary to secure a constant supply of recyclable materials thus providing a predictable scenario for innovation and investment in existing and new collection and sorting technologies and infrastructure.*

**Amendment 192**

**Jo Leinen, Susanne Melior, Renata Briano**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point a a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph -1 (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(aa) In Article 6, the following paragraph -1 is inserted:*

*-1. Member States shall put in place sorting systems for all packaging materials.*

Or. en

**Amendment 193**

**Sirpa Pietikäinen**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point a a (new)**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph -1 new

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(aa) In Article 6, the following paragraph -1 is inserted:*

*-1. Member States shall put in place sorting systems for all packaging materials.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*Separate collection and sorting for all packaging needs to be mandated. Only by introducing a clear legal obligation to mandate separate collection and sorting for all packaging, will all recyclable packaging materials have guaranteed access to collection and recycling schemes.*

**Amendment 194**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point a a (new)**

PE583.979v01-00

80/108

AM\1096333EN.doc



Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph –1 (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(aa) In Article 6, the following paragraph -1 is inserted:**

**-1. Member States shall ensure that sorting systems for all packaging materials are set up.**

Or. en

*Justification*

*Effective sorting systems are a prerequisite for high-quality recycling.*

### **Amendment 195**

**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point f

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of 65% by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled;**

**deleted**

*(The references to this point are deleted throughout the text.)*

Or. en

### **Amendment 196**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point f

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of **65%** by weight of all packaging waste will be **prepared for reuse and** recycled;

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of **70 %** by weight of all packaging waste will be recycled;

Or. en

*Justification*

*The targets should be raised to the level of the original proposal of the Commission in 2014. Moreover, the target should focus exclusively on recycling. In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and divert attention away from recycling. It therefore seems appropriate to consider "preparation for reuse" and "reuse" in Article 5.*

**Amendment 197**

**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point f

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of **65%** by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of **55%** by weight of all **generated** packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

Or. pl

**Amendment 198**

**Andrzej Grzyb**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point f

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(f) no later than 31 December **2025** a minimum of **65%** by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

*Amendment*

(f) no later than 31 December **2030** a minimum of **75%** by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled ***in countries with per capita generation of waste exceeding EU average and 65% in countries with per capita generation of waste not exceeding EU average;***

Or. en

### **Amendment 199** **Merja Kyllönen**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point f

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of 65% by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse ***and recycled;***

*Amendment*

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of 65% by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse, ***recycled and re-refined;***

Or. en

### **Amendment 200** **Marijana Petir**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point f

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of 65% by weight of all

*Amendment*

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of 65% by weight of all

packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

packaging waste **generation** will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

Or. hr

*Justification*

*It should be made clear that the text refers to packaging waste generation as a whole.*

**Amendment 201**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point f

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of **65%** by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for **reuse** and recycled;

*Amendment*

(f) no later than 31 December 2025 a minimum of **75%** by weight of all packaging waste **generated** will be prepared for **re-use** and recycled;

Or. en

**Amendment 202**

**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(g) ***no later than 31 December 2025 the following minimum targets by weight for preparing for reuse and recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:***

(i) ***55 % of plastic;***

(ii) ***60% of wood;***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

- (iii) **75% of ferrous metal;**
- (iv) **75% of aluminium;**
- (v) **75% % of glass;**
- (vi) **75% of paper and cardboard;**

*(The references to this point are deleted throughout the text.)*

Or. en

**Amendment 203**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – introductory words

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(g) no later than 31 December 2025 the following minimum targets by weight **for preparing for reuse and** recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:

(g) no later than 31 December 2025 the following minimum targets by weight recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:

Or. en

*Justification*

*The target should focus exclusively on recycling. In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and divert attention away from recycling. It therefore seems appropriate to consider "preparation for reuse" and "reuse" in Article 5.*

**Amendment 204**  
**Andrzej Grzyb**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g– introductory words

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(g) no later than 31 December 2025 the following minimum targets by weight for preparing for reuse and recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:

*Amendment*

(g) no later than 31 December 2025 the ***in Member States with per capita generation exceeding EU average*** following minimum targets by weight for preparing for reuse and recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:

Or. en

**Amendment 205**  
**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) 55 % of plastic;

*Amendment*

(i) 65 % of plastic;

Or. en

**Amendment 206**  
**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) 55 % of plastic;

*Amendment*

(i) 35 % of plastic;

Or. pl

*Justification*

*Plastic packaging characteristically has the highest proportion of low-quality materials which are difficult to recycle.*

**Amendment 207**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(i) 55 % of plastic;

(i) 60 % of plastic;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

**Amendment 208**

**Christofer Fjellner**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point ii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) 60% of wood;

(ii) 40% of wood;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Today the target is set to 15%; to quadruple the target while limiting the scope of products that could be reused is not realistic and will create costs without any environmental benefits.*

**Amendment 209**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point ii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) **60%** of wood;

(ii) **45%** of wood;

Or. en

*Justification*

*The proposed target of 60% for wood is not attainable realistically. A target of 45% constitutes a threefold increase compared to the current 15%. It should be taken into account that wood is also used as bioenergy and thus not creating a waste problem.*

**Amendment 210**  
**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point ii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) **60%** of wood;

(ii) **50%** of wood;

Or. pl

**Amendment 211**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point ii



*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) **60%** of wood;

(ii) **65%** of wood;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

#### **Amendment 212**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point ii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) **60%** of wood;

(ii) **65%** of wood;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 213**

**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point iii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iii) **75%** of ferrous metal;

(iii) **55%** of ferrous metal;

Or. pl

#### **Amendment 214**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point iii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iii) 75% of ferrous metal;

(iii) **80%** of ferrous metal;

Or. en

**Amendment 215**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point iii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iii) 75% of ferrous metal;

(iii) **80%** of ferrous metal;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

**Amendment 216**  
**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point iv

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iv) 75% of aluminium;

(iv) **55%** of aluminium;

Or. pl

**Amendment 217**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point iv

| <i>Text proposed by the Commission</i> | <i>Amendment</i>       |
|--|------------------------|
| (iv) 75% of aluminium;                 | (iv) 80% of aluminium; |

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

**Amendment 218**  
**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point iv

| <i>Text proposed by the Commission</i> | <i>Amendment</i>       |
|--|------------------------|
| (iv) 75% of aluminium;                 | (iv) 80% of aluminium; |

Or. en

**Amendment 219**  
**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point v

| <i>Text proposed by the Commission</i> | <i>Amendment</i>  |
|--|-------------------|
| (v) 75% of glass;                      | (v) 65% of glass; |

**Amendment 220**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point v

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(v) 75% % of glass;

(v) **80%** of glass;

Or. en

**Amendment 221**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point v

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(v) 75% of glass;

(v) **80%** of glass;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

**Amendment 222**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point vi

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(vi) 75% of paper and cardboard;

(vi) **90%** of paper and cardboard;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

### **Amendment 223**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point vi

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(vi) 75% of paper and cardboard;

(vi) **90%** of paper and cardboard;

Or. en

### **Amendment 224**

**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point vi

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(vi) 75% of paper and cardboard;

(vi) **60%** of paper and cardboard;

Or. pl

### **Amendment 225**

**Birgit Collin-Langen, Sabine Verheyen, Jens Gieseke**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point vi

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(vi) 75% of paper and cardboard;

*Amendment*

(vi) 80% of paper and cardboard;

Or. de

**Amendment 226**  
**Davor Škrlec**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point vi a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(vi a) 50% of multi-layered packaging;

Or. en

**Amendment 227**  
**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g – point vi a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(vi a) 55% of multi-layered packaging;

Or. en

**Amendment 228**  
**Andrzej Grzyb**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point g a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(g a) no later than 31 December 2025 the in Member States with per capita generation not exceeding EU average following minimum targets by weight for preparing for reuse and recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:**

- (i) 40% of plastic;**
- (ii) 50% of wood;**
- (iii) 70% of ferrous metal;**
- (iv) 70% of aluminium;**
- (v) 70% % of glass;**
- (vi) 65% of paper and cardboard;**

Or. en

**Amendment 229**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point h

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of **75%** by weight of all packaging waste will be **prepared for reuse and** recycled;

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of **80%** by weight of all packaging waste will be recycled;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal. Moreover, the target should focus exclusively on recycling. In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste*

*Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and divert attention away from recycling. It therefore seems appropriate to consider "preparation for reuse" and "reuse" in Article 5.*

#### **Amendment 230**

**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Boleslaw G. Piecha**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point h

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of **75%** by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

*Amendment*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of **65%** by weight of all **generated** packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

Or. pl

#### **Amendment 231**

**Merja Kyllönen**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point h

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of 75% by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse **and recycled**;

*Amendment*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of 75% by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse, **recycled and re-refined**;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 232**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**



**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point h

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of **75%** by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for *reuse* and recycled;

*Amendment*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of **95%** by weight of all packaging waste *generated* will be prepared for *re-use* and recycled;

Or. en

**Amendment 233**  
**Andrzej Grzyb**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point h

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of 75% by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

*Amendment*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of 75% by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled *in countries with per capita generation of waste exceeding EU average and 65% in countries with per capita generation of waste not exceeding EU average*;

Or. en

**Amendment 234**  
**Marijana Petir**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point h

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of 75% by weight of all packaging waste will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

(h) no later than 31 December 2030 a minimum of 75% by weight of all packaging waste **generation** will be prepared for reuse and recycled;

Or. hr

*Justification*

*It should be made clear that the text refers to packaging waste generation as a whole.*

**Amendment 235**  
**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(i) no later than 31 December 2030 the following minimum targets by weight for **preparing for reuse and** recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:

(i) no later than 31 December 2030 the following minimum targets by weight for recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:

Or. en

*Justification*

*The target should focus exclusively on recycling. In the area of packaging there is a clear distinction between single use packaging and reusable packaging. This distinction is also present in Decision 2005/270 which is relevant for reporting. Therefore, for the Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive a combined target of "preparation for reuse and recycling" is neither logical nor desirable. A combined target could potentially lead to artificially high results and divert attention away from recycling. It therefore seems appropriate to consider "preparation for reuse" and "reuse" in Article 5.*

**Amendment 236**  
**Andrzej Grzyb**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) no later than 31 December 2030 the following minimum targets by weight for preparing for reuse and recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:

*Amendment*

(i) no later than 31 December 2030 the ***in Member States with per capita generation not exceeding EU average*** following minimum targets by weight for preparing for reuse and recycling will be met regarding the following specific materials contained in packaging waste:

Or. en

**Amendment 237**  
**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point -i (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(-i) ***85% of plastic;***

Or. en

**Amendment 238**  
**Jean-François Jalkh, Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Matteo Salvini**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point -i (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(-i) ***55% of plastic;***

Or. en

**Amendment 239**

**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(i) 75% of wood;

(i) 65% of wood;

Or. pl

**Amendment 240**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(i) 75% of wood;

(i) 80% of wood;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

**Amendment 241**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(i) 75% of wood;

(i) **80%** of wood;

Or. en

**Amendment 242**  
**Christofer Fjellner**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point i

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(i) 75% of wood;

(i) **50%** of wood;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Today the target is set to 15%; to fivefold the target while limiting the scope of products that could be reused is not realistic and will create costs without any environmental benefits.*

**Amendment 243**  
**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point i a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(i a) **65% of plastics;**

Or. en

**Amendment 244**  
**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point ii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) **85%** of ferrous metal;

(ii) **65%** of ferrous metal;

Or. pl

**Amendment 245**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point ii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) **85%** of ferrous metal;

(ii) **90%** of ferrous metal;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

**Amendment 246**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point ii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(ii) **85%** of ferrous metal;

(ii) **95%** of ferrous metal;

Or. en

**Amendment 247**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point iii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iii) 85% of aluminium;

(iii) 95% of aluminium;

Or. en

**Amendment 248**

**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point iii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iii) 85% of aluminium;

(iii) 65% of aluminium;

Or. pl

**Amendment 249**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point iii

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iii) 85% of aluminium;

(iii) 90% of aluminium;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

**Amendment 250**

**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph – 1 point i – point iv

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iv) 85% of glass;

(iv) 95% of glass;

Or. en

**Amendment 251**

**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point iv

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iv) 85% of glass;

(iv) 75% of glass;

Or. pl

**Amendment 252**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point iv

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(iv) 85% of glass;

(iv) 90% of glass;



*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

**Amendment 253**

**Davor Škrlec**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point iv a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(iva) 55% of multi-layered packaging;*

Or. en

**Amendment 254**

**Mark Demesmaeker**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point v

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(v) 85% of paper and cardboard. deleted*

Or. en

*Justification*

*Returns the ambition level back to the original 2014 proposal.*

**Amendment 255**

**Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/CE  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point v

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(v) 85% of paper and cardboard.

*Amendment*

(v) 70% of paper and cardboard.

Or. pl

**Amendment 256**  
**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point v

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(v) 85% of paper and cardboard.

*Amendment*

(v) 90% of paper and cardboard.

Or. en

**Amendment 257**  
**Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**  
Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point v

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(v) 85% of paper and cardboard.

*Amendment*

(v) 95% of paper and cardboard.

Or. en

**Amendment 258**  
**Davor Škrlec**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC  
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point v

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(v) **85%** of paper and cardboard.

(v) **95%** of paper and cardboard.

Or. en

*Justification*

*The following is added in order to continue progress 2025 as suggested by the rapporteur in the AM 40.*

### **Amendment 259**

**Davor Škrlec**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point v a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(va) **65% of plastics;**

Or. en

*Justification*

*The following is added in order to continue progress from 2025.*

### **Amendment 260**

**Josu Juaristi Abaunz**

#### **Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i – point vi (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(va) **60% of multi-layered packaging**

**Amendment 261**

**Andrzej Grzyb**

**Proposal for a directive**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b**

Directive 94/62/EC

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point i a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(ia) no later than 31 December 2030  
the in Member States with per capita  
generation not exceeding EU average  
following minimum targets by weight for  
preparing for reuse and recycling will be  
met regarding the following specific  
materials contained in packaging waste:***

- (i) 65% of wood;***
- (ii) 75% of ferrous metal;***
- (iii) 75% of aluminium;***
- (iv) 75% of glass;***
- (v) 75% of paper and cardboard.***

Or. en