



4.10.2016

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 214**

**Draft report**  
**Jens Geier, Indrek Tarand**  
(PE589.175v02-00)

on the Council position on the draft general budget of the European Union for  
the financial year 2017  
(COM(2016)0300 – C8-0373/2016 – 2016/2047(BUD))



**Amendment 1**

**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

— having regard to **Article 314** of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

*Amendment*

— having regard to **Articles 174 to 178 and 314** of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Or. en

**Amendment 2**

**Ernest Maragall**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- **having regard to its resolution of 6 July 2016 on "Preparation of the post-electoral revision of the MFF 2014-2020: Parliament's input ahead of the Commission's proposal"<sup>1a</sup>,**

---

<sup>1a</sup> **Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2016)0309.**

Or. en

**Amendment 3**

**Ernest Maragall**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

1. Notes that budget 2017 has to be considered in the wider context of the mid-term revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF);

*Amendment*

1. Notes that budget 2017 has to be considered in the wider context of the mid-term revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF); ***stresses therefore that budget 2017 has to be in line with the EU2020 targets, especially the social and environmental ones;***

Or. en

**Amendment 4**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

1. Notes that budget 2017 has to be considered in the wider context of the mid-term revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF);

*Amendment*

1. Notes that budget 2017 has to be considered in the wider context of the mid-term revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF), ***even if it failed to address the structural problems overall;***

Or. en

**Amendment 5**  
**Liadh Ní Riada**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

1. ***Notes*** that budget 2017 has to be considered in the wider context of the mid-term revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF);

*Amendment*

1. ***Stresses*** that budget 2017 has to be considered in the wider context of the mid-term revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF);

Or. en

**Amendment 6**

**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***1 a. Reiterates its firm conviction that, in this particular current context, initiatives such as the suspension of the ESI funds by the European Commission, as foreseen by Article 23(15) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 (Common Provisions Regulation - CPR), are not only unfair and disproportionate, but also legally unsustainable;***

Or. en

**Amendment 7**

**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***1 b. Calls instead for the establishment of emergency plans to support the economies of countries in which the troika has intervened, which shall provide added financial resources to implement investment policies in order to reach social and economical convergence, while establishing the necessary exceptions to the functioning of the single market and common policies;***

Or. en

**Amendment 8**  
**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*1 c. Stresses that the Union budget should be used in such a way to give priority to policies of real convergence, integration and cohesion, based on social progress and solidarity, safeguarding and promoting decent, quality and stable job creation, sustainable use of natural resources and protection of the environment; underlines that the Union budget has proven to be scarce despite its potential to become an important resource in tackling recent crises and responding to needs which were not anticipated during the negotiation of the MFF 2014-2020, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), the migration and refugee crisis or geopolitical tensions in Europe's neighbourhood causing a number of serious challenges and emergencies, while within the Union the pace of economic recovery and a continuous lowering of both public and private investment levels below its potential led to an investment gap and the aggravation of inequalities between Member States, its regions and its citizens;*

Or. en

**Amendment 9**  
**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1 d (new)**

***1 d. Reiterates its conviction whereas in order to offset the weak economic recovery, the new latent crisis trends and the increased divergence in the Union it is necessary to provide an adequate increase to the Union's budget to ensure the adequate level of resources in next year's budget with particular emphasis on the programs and projects aimed at boosting growth and decent employment, eradicating poverty, investing in smart, sustainable, green growth, development and humanitarian aid; underlines that contributions to this increase should result from an increase in the payments made by Member States with the highest GNI and the highest per capita income, correcting the current biased and unfair system of contribution keys; reiterates that it is imperative to increase support to Member States, especially those facing economic recession, for investment in infrastructure, social facilities, research, innovation and development;***

Or. en

**Amendment 10**  
**Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

2. Stresses that Parliament's reading of the 2017 budget **fully** reflects the **political** priorities adopted by an overwhelming majority in its abovementioned resolution of 9 March 2016 on general guidelines and its resolution of 6 July 2016 on the “Preparation of the post-electoral revision of the MFF 2014-2020: Parliament’s input ahead of the Commission’s proposal”;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that Parliament's reading of the 2017 budget reflects the **budgetary** priorities adopted by an overwhelming majority in its abovementioned resolution of 9 March 2016 on general guidelines and its resolution of 6 July 2016 on the “Preparation of the post-electoral revision of the MFF 2014-2020: Parliament’s input ahead of the Commission’s proposal”;

**Amendment 11**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

2. Stresses that Parliament's reading of the 2017 budget *fully* reflects the political priorities adopted by an overwhelming majority in its abovementioned resolution of 9 March 2016 on general guidelines and its resolution of 6 July 2016 on the “Preparation of the post-electoral revision of the MFF 2014-2020: Parliament’s input ahead of the Commission’s proposal”;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that Parliament's reading of the 2017 budget reflects the political priorities adopted by an overwhelming majority in its abovementioned resolution of 9 March 2016 on general guidelines and its resolution of 6 July 2016 on the “Preparation of the post-electoral revision of the MFF 2014-2020: Parliament’s input ahead of the Commission’s proposal”;

Or. en

**Amendment 12**  
**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***2 a. Emphasis that peace and stability are core values that need to be maintained by the Union; considers that the Good Friday agreement which has proven vital to peace and reconciliation must be protected; underlines the need for specific measures and programs to ensure support for any region which might be affected in case of a negotiated exit from the Union upon the invocation of Article 50 of the Treaty of Lisbon, in accordance with the expressed will of its citizens;***

Or. en

**Amendment 13**  
**Victor Negrescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**2 b. Stresses the need for the 2017 budget to meet the needs of the migration crisis and slow economic growth following the economic crisis; notes that funding should be boosted for research and infrastructure projects as well as for fighting youth unemployment;**

Or. en

**Amendment 14**  
**Ernest Maragall**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

3. Highlights that the Union is currently facing a number of serious emergencies and is convinced that the necessary financial resources need to be deployed from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; considers that a strong political commitment is needed to secure fresh appropriations in 2017 and until the end of the programming period for this purpose;

3. Highlights that the Union is currently facing a number of serious emergencies and is convinced that the necessary financial resources need to be deployed from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; **stresses that the Union ratified the COP 21 agreement and needs to dedicate part of its financial resources to respect its international commitments; considers therefore that climate-related spending must be significantly increased in order to reach, at least, 20% of the Union budget for the period 2014-2020;** considers that a strong political commitment is needed to

secure fresh appropriations in 2017 and until the end of the programming period for this purpose;

Or. en

**Amendment 15**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Highlights that the Union is currently facing a number of serious emergencies and is convinced that the necessary financial resources need to be deployed from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; considers that a strong political commitment is needed to secure fresh appropriations in 2017 and until the end of the programming period for this purpose;

*Amendment*

3. Highlights that the Union is currently facing a number of serious emergencies, ***including migration and homegrown and external terrorism, as well as the ongoing economic crisis disproportionately affecting some Member States***, and is convinced that the necessary financial resources need to be deployed from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and, ***with the Member States***, effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; considers that a strong political commitment is needed to secure fresh appropriations in 2017 and until the end of the programming period for this purpose;

Or. en

**Amendment 16**  
**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Highlights that the Union is currently facing a number of serious emergencies and is convinced that the

*Amendment*

3. Highlights that the Union is currently facing a number of serious emergencies and ***new challenges, which***

necessary financial resources need to be deployed from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; considers that a strong political commitment is needed to secure fresh appropriations in 2017 and until the end of the programming period for this purpose;

*could not be foreseen at the time when the MFF 2014-2020 was set-up*; is convinced that the necessary financial resources need to be deployed from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; considers that a strong political commitment is needed to secure fresh appropriations in 2017 and until the end of the programming period for this purpose;

Or. en

### **Amendment 17** **Notis Marias**

#### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 3**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

3. Highlights that *the Union is* currently facing a number of serious emergencies and is convinced that the necessary financial resources need to be deployed from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; considers that a strong political commitment is needed to secure fresh appropriations in 2017 and until the end of the programming period for this purpose;

##### *Amendment*

3. Highlights that the *Member States are* currently facing a number of serious emergencies and is convinced that the necessary financial resources need to be deployed *and increased* from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; considers that a strong political commitment is needed to secure fresh appropriations in 2017 and until the end of the programming period for this purpose;

Or. en

### **Amendment 18** **Bernd Kölmel**

#### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Highlights that the Union is currently facing a number of serious emergencies and is convinced that the necessary financial resources need to be deployed from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; considers that ***a strong political commitment is needed to secure fresh appropriations in 2017 and until the end of the programming period for this purpose;***

*Amendment*

3. Highlights that the Union is currently facing a number of serious emergencies and is convinced that the necessary financial resources need to be deployed from the Union budget, in order to meet the political challenges and allow the Union to deliver answers and effectively respond to those crises as a matter of utmost urgency and priority; considers that ***the Union must undertake a thorough re-evaluation of its priorities in order to redeploy necessary resources to the areas of most urgent need;***

Or. en

**Amendment 19**

**Xabier Benito Ziluaga**

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***3 a. Insists on the need to provide the Union with new own resources, among others, with new own resources based upon taxation of financial transactions and the appropriate taxation of all multinationals which are involved in tax evasion; considers this to be is an adequate answer to the large majority of the Union citizens requests;***

Or. en

**Amendment 20**

**Liadh Ní Riada**

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

## Motion for a resolution

### Paragraph 4

#### *Motion for a resolution*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge not take precedence over other important Union policies, *for example* in the *field of jobs and* growth; notes that the Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the migration and refugee crisis as well as priority programmes, such as culture programmes;

#### *Amendment*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge not take precedence over other important Union policies, *in particular* in the *creation of decent and quality employment and the development of enterprises and entrepreneurship for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth across the Union*; notes that the Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the migration and refugee crisis *and insists on the need for adopting a comprehensive and human rights-based approach linking migration with development and guaranteeing the integration of migrant workers and asylum seekers and refugees*, as well as priority programmes, such as *public health, consumer protection, culture and dialogue with the citizens and civil society stakeholders* programmes;

Or. en

## Amendment 21

Bernd Kölmel

## Motion for a resolution

### Paragraph 4

#### *Motion for a resolution*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth; notes that the

#### *Amendment*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth; notes that the

Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the migration and refugee crisis *as well as priority programmes, such as culture programmes*;

Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the migration and refugee crisis *and believes further consideration ought to be given to readjusting the ceilings in each heading to meet this challenge, provided that the overall spending limits agreed in 2013 are respected*;

Or. en

**Amendment 22**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has *supported the Commission's actions* in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth; *notes that the Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the migration and refugee crisis as well as priority programmes, such as culture programmes*;

*Amendment*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has *immediately approved the additional financing needed* in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge *should* not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth; *stresses, in this respect, the need to ensure a balance between long-term priorities and new challenges and underlines the key role that the Union budget must play in achieving the Europe 2020 strategy, which represents its main orientation and overarching priority*;

Or. en

**Amendment 23**  
**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions in the tackling of the **migration and refugees crisis**, it has always insisted that **this challenge** not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth; notes that the Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the **migration and refugee crisis** as well as priority programmes, such as culture programmes;

*Amendment*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions in the tackling of the **current humanitarian and migratory challenges and the sustainable development goals**, it has always insisted that **these challenges** not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth; notes that the Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the **current humanitarian and migratory challenges** as well as priority programmes, such as culture programmes;

Or. en

**Amendment 24**  
**Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth; notes that the Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the migration and refugee crisis as well as priority programmes, such as culture programmes;

*Amendment*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth, **agriculture and rural development**; notes that the Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the migration and refugee crisis as well as priority programmes, such as culture programmes;

Or. en

**Amendment 25**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth; notes that the Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the migration and refugee crisis as well as priority programmes, such as culture programmes;

*Amendment*

4. Recalls that whilst Parliament has supported the Commission's actions ***yet to be delivered*** in the tackling of the migration and refugees crisis, it has always insisted that this challenge not take precedence over other important Union policies, for example in the field of jobs and growth; notes that the Heading 3 ceiling is vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the internal dimension of the migration and refugee crisis as well as priority programmes, such as culture programmes;

Or. en

**Amendment 26**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***4 a. Notes that the Heading 3 ceiling (Security and Citizenship) has proven to be insufficient to provide for adequate funding, in order to tackle the migration and refugee crisis; stresses that, in order to ensure the necessary additional funding in this field, an unprecedented resource to the MFF special instruments, including the full use of the Flexibility Instrument, as well as the substantial mobilisation of the "last resort" Contingency Margin, was proposed by the Commission in the Draft Budget 2017, and accepted by the Council;***

**Amendment 27**

Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 5***Motion for a resolution*

5. Reiterates its position that requests for additional funding needed for addressing the **migration and refugee crisis** should not be deployed to the detriment of the Union's existing external action, including its development policy; repeats that the setting-up of the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT), Trust Funds, and any other ad-hoc instruments cannot be financed by cuts to other existing instruments; notes that the Heading 4 ceiling (Global Europe) is vastly insufficient to provide a sustainable and effective response to the current external challenges, including the **migration and refugee crisis**;

*Amendment*

5. Reiterates its position that requests for additional funding needed for addressing the **current humanitarian and migratory challenges** should not be deployed to the detriment of the Union's existing external action, including its development policy; repeats that the setting-up of the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT), Trust Funds, and any other ad-hoc instruments cannot be financed by cuts to other existing instruments; **is concerned that the establishment of financial instruments outside the Union budget could threaten its unicity and circumvent the budgetary procedure, that requires the involvement and scrutiny of the European Parliament**; notes that the Heading 4 ceiling (Global Europe) is vastly insufficient to provide a sustainable and effective response to the current external challenges, including the **current humanitarian and migratory challenges**;

Or. en

**Amendment 28**

Eleftherios Synadinos

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 5***Motion for a resolution*

5. Reiterates its position that requests for additional funding needed for

*Amendment*

5. Reiterates its position that requests for additional funding needed for

addressing the migration and refugee crisis should not be deployed to the detriment of the Union's existing external action, including its development policy; repeats that the setting-up of the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT), Trust Funds, and any other ad-hoc instruments cannot be financed by cuts to other existing instruments; notes that the Heading 4 ceiling (Global Europe) is vastly insufficient to provide a sustainable and effective response to the current external challenges, including the migration and refugee crisis;

addressing the migration and refugee crisis **and effectively limiting those migration flows** should not be deployed to the detriment of the Union's existing external action, including its development policy; repeats that the setting-up of the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT), Trust Funds, and any other ad-hoc instruments cannot be financed by cuts to other existing instruments, **even if they have not yet delivered on their objectives**; notes that the Heading 4 ceiling (Global Europe) is vastly insufficient to provide a sustainable and effective response to the current external challenges, including the migration and refugee crisis;

Or. en

## **Amendment 29** **Bernd Kölmel**

### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 5**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Reiterates its position that requests for additional funding needed for addressing the migration and refugee crisis should not be deployed to the detriment of the Union's existing external action, including its development policy; repeats that the setting-up of the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT), Trust Funds, and any other ad-hoc instruments **cannot be financed by cuts to other existing instruments**; notes that the Heading 4 ceiling (Global Europe) is vastly insufficient to provide a sustainable and effective response to the current external challenges, including the migration and refugee crisis;

#### *Amendment*

5. Reiterates its position that requests for additional funding needed for addressing the migration and refugee crisis **must have a clear implementation strategy and** should not be deployed to the detriment of the Union's existing external action, including its development policy; repeats that the setting-up of the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT), Trust Funds, and any other ad-hoc instruments **have been undertaken will little input from one arm of the budgetary authority**; notes that the Heading 4 ceiling (Global Europe) is vastly insufficient to provide a sustainable and effective response to the current external challenges, including the migration and refugee crisis;

Or. en

**Amendment 30**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Reiterates its position that requests for additional funding needed for addressing the migration and refugee crisis should not be deployed to the detriment of the Union's existing external action, including its development policy; repeats that the setting-up of the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT), Trust Funds, and any other ad-hoc instruments cannot be financed by cuts to other existing instruments; **notes that** the Heading 4 ceiling (Global Europe) is **vastly insufficient** to provide a sustainable and effective response to the current external challenges, including the migration and refugee crisis;

*Amendment*

5. Reiterates its position that requests for additional funding needed for addressing the migration and refugee crisis should not be deployed to the detriment of the Union's existing external action, including its development policy; repeats that the setting-up of the Facility for Refugees in Turkey (FRT), Trust Funds, and any other ad-hoc instruments cannot be financed by cuts to other existing instruments; **questions whether** the Heading 4 ceiling (Global Europe) is **sufficient** to provide a sustainable and effective response to the current external challenges, including the migration and refugee crisis;

Or. en

**Amendment 31**  
**Ernest Maragall**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. **Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies and is disappointed that the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in the next three years will be squeezed under the current budget**

*Amendment*

6. **Strongly rejects the plan to use EUR 80 million of Union budget resources to fund a Preparatory Action for defence research which should lead, under the next MFF, to a multi-billion EUR EU defence research budget line; reminds that such a step will have many far reaching consequences for what is thus far a civilian Union budget and**

of the *MFF*; *is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;*

*would represent a paradigm shift which will deeply affects the nature of the Union; stresses that important structural and political pre-conditions for such a decision are not fulfilled such as a change of the purely intergovernmental structure of the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) and the willingness of Member States to seriously pool and share national resources in the field of defence; recalls that national defence administrations in Member States dispose of around EUR 200 billion of combined defence budgets, but are since 2007 unable to meet a 20% target for collaborative research and development of national defence budgets which was agreed at Council level and is regularly monitored by the European Defence Agency (EDA); underlines that for Member States collaborative defence research has no priority as the 2013 data generates a 8% instead of the 20% target according to a study commissioned by the European Parliament;*

Or. en

**Amendment 32**  
**Alain Lamassoure**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies *and is disappointed that the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in the next three years will be squeezed under the current budget of the MFF; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget,*

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies;

*additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;*

Or. en

### **Amendment 33**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 6**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies *and is disappointed that the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in the next three years will be squeezed under the current budget of the MFF*; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;

##### *Amendment*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies; *underlines the need to improve the competitiveness and innovation in the European defence industry and to stimulate growth and job creation*; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect; *calls for a defence research programme with a dedicated budget within the next MFF*;

Or. en

### **Amendment 34**

**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies and is disappointed that the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in the next three years will be squeezed under the current budget of the MFF; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies and is disappointed that the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in the next three years will be squeezed under the current budget of the MFF ***and should be fully safeguarded***; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States ***while respecting any objective limitations to fully finance those actions***; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;

Or. en

**Amendment 35**  
**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies and ***is disappointed that*** the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in the next three years ***will***

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies and ***reiterates its objection to the proposal of financing*** the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in

*be squeezed* under the current budget of the MFF; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy *also need additional financial means* by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;

the next three years under the current budget of the MFF; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy *should be sole financed, if they so decide*, by the Member States *and not by the Union budget*; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;

Or. en

**Amendment 36**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies *and is disappointed that the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in the next three years will be squeezed under the current budget of the MFF*; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect; *in expectation of proposals by the Commission to concretize key projects within the preparatory action on defence research, requests the Member States to clarify the long-term funding for common defence research*;

Or. en

**Amendment 37**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies and *is disappointed that* the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in the next three years *will be squeezed under the current budget of the MFF*; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;

*Amendment*

6. Reiterates its conviction that the Union budget should find ways of financing new initiatives which are not to the detriment of existing Union programmes and policies and *calls for the identification of sustainable means to finance* the Preparatory Action for defence research, which will amount to EUR 80 million in the next three years; is convinced that with an already underfinanced Union budget, additional efforts for operations, administrative costs, preparatory actions and pilot projects in relation to the common security and defence policy also need additional financial means by the Member States; considers that the current MFF mid-term review/revision should be used by the Member States in that respect;

Or. en

**Amendment 38**  
**G rard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds, Anneli J tteenm ki**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*6 a. Recalls that, in compliance with the goals of the Europe 2020 Strategy, and in order to respond to the challenges and investment needs related to climate action, the Commission took the commitment to mainstream climate action in the 2014-2020 MFF and aims at reaching the target of at least 20% of*

*Amendment*

*Union spending dedicated to climate-related actions; notes that, according to the Statement of Estimates for the financial year 2017, the draft budget is expected to allocate 19,2% of expenditure to this aim; strongly encourages the Commission to pursue on this track so to apply the 20% target for the second half of this MFF;*

Or. en

**Amendment 39**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. *Restores* all cuts proposed by the Council to the Commission's Draft Budget (DB); *fails to understand the reasoning behind the proposed cuts and contests* Council's declared intention to *recreate artificial* margins in some headings such as subheading 1a (Competitiveness for Growth and Jobs) and Heading 4 (Global Europe), *particularly considering that margins would in any way be too small* to react to unforeseen circumstances or crises;

*Amendment*

7. *Notes Parliament's restoration of* all cuts proposed by the Council to the Commission's Draft Budget (DB); *notes also* Council's declared intention to *create* margins in some headings such as subheading 1a (Competitiveness for Growth and Jobs) and Heading 4 (Global Europe); *considers margins to be the most fiscally prudent way of creating flexibility in the budget in order* to react to unforeseen circumstances or crises;

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Jens Geier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*7 a. Takes note that the Council's reading failed to predict the actual execution of the Union budget for the last*

*Amendment*

*five consecutive years and that, taking all amending budgets into account, considerably more funds were needed in each of the final budgets; therefore calls on the Council to adjust its position in the conciliation committee in order to provide adequate funding for the Union budget 2017 immediately from the beginning;*

Or. en

**Amendment 41**  
**Jens Geier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*7 b. recalls the European Court of Auditor's Special Report 19/2016 "Implementing the EU budget through financial instruments - lessons to be learnt from the 2007-2013 programme period", which concludes that financial instruments had high administrative costs and fees in comparison to private funds and often had an insufficient leverage effect in mobilising private investment; therefore warns Commission and Council to overestimate the effects of financial instruments and to further move the Union budget in this direction, particularly because this would change the fundamental set up of the Union budget and its financing structure;*

Or. en

**Amendment 42**  
**G rard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Announces that, for the purpose of adequately financing those pressing needs, and considering the very tight MFF margins in 2017, Parliament will finance the reinforcements above the DB by the exhaustion of all margins available and an increased recourse to the Contingency Margin, ***to be offset against the remaining margins of 2016 and 2017;***

*Amendment*

8. Announces that, for the purpose of adequately financing those pressing needs, and considering the very tight MFF margins in 2017, Parliament will finance the reinforcements above the DB by the exhaustion of all margins available and an increased recourse to the Contingency Margin;

Or. en

**Amendment 43**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. ***Announces that, for the purpose of adequately financing those pressing needs, and considering the very tight MFF margins in 2017, Parliament will finance the*** reinforcements above the DB by the exhaustion of all margins available and an increased recourse to the Contingency Margin, ***to be offset against the remaining margins of 2016 and 2017;***

*Amendment*

8. ***Believes that Parliament's*** reinforcements above the DB by the exhaustion of all margins available and an increased recourse to the Contingency Margin, ***to be offset against the remaining margins of 2016 and 2017, leave very little room for manoeuvre to cope with unforeseen circumstances next year;***

Or. en

**Amendment 44**  
**Notis Marias**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Announces that, for the purpose of adequately financing those pressing needs,

*Amendment*

8. Announces that, for the purpose of adequately financing those pressing needs,

and considering the very tight MFF margins in 2017, Parliament **will** finance the reinforcements above the DB by the exhaustion of all margins available and an increased recourse to the Contingency Margin, to be offset against the remaining margins of 2016 and 2017;

and considering the very tight MFF margins in 2017, Parliament **should** finance the reinforcements above the DB by the exhaustion of all margins available and an increased recourse to the Contingency Margin, to be offset against the remaining margins of 2016 and 2017;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 45**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 9**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

9. Restores all cuts related to the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) in the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) and Horizon 2020 for a total of EUR 1 240 million in commitments via new appropriations to be obtained through the mid-term revision of the MFF; increases the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations to **provide an effective response to youth unemployment**, which should also be financed by additional funds provided in the mid-term revision of the MFF;

###### *Amendment*

9. Restores all cuts related to the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) in the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) and Horizon 2020 for a total of EUR 1 240 million in commitments via new appropriations to be obtained through the mid-term revision of the MFF; **insists on the need to provide an effective response to youth unemployment accross the Union; therefore** increases the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations to **enable its continuation**, which should also be financed by additional funds provided in the mid-term revision of the MFF;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 46**

**Siegfried Mureşan, Jan Olbrycht**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. **Restores** all cuts related to the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) in the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) and Horizon 2020 for a total of EUR 1 240 million in commitments **via new appropriations to be obtained through the mid-term revision of the MFF**; increases the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment, **which should also be financed by additional funds provided in the mid-term revision of the MFF**;

*Amendment*

9. **Compensates in full** all cuts related to the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) in the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) and Horizon 2020 for a total of EUR 1 240 million in commitments **for 2017**; increases, **moreover**, the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; **considers that the appropriate additional financing for these important Union programmes should be decided in the framework of the mid-term revision of the MFF**;

Or. en

**Amendment 47**  
**Notis Marias**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Restores all cuts related to the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) in the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) and Horizon 2020 for a total of EUR 1 240 million in commitments via new appropriations to be obtained through the mid-term revision of the MFF; increases the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment, which should also be financed by additional funds provided in the mid-term revision of the MFF;

*Amendment*

9. Restores all cuts related to the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) in the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) and Horizon 2020 for a total of EUR 1 240 million in commitments via new appropriations to be obtained through the mid-term revision of the MFF; increases the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment, **especially to the Member States in southern Europe, such as Greece**, which should also be financed by additional funds provided in the mid-term revision of the MFF;

Or. en

**Amendment 48**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Restores all cuts related to the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) in the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) and Horizon 2020 for a total of EUR 1 240 million in commitments via new appropriations to be obtained through the mid-term revision of the MFF; increases the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment, which should also be financed by additional funds provided in the mid-term revision of the MFF;

*Amendment*

9. Restores all cuts related to the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) in the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) and Horizon 2020 for a total of EUR 1 240 million in commitments via new appropriations to be obtained through the mid-term revision of the MFF; increases the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment ***that has yet to be tackled and*** which should also be financed by additional funds provided in the mid-term revision of the MFF;

Or. en

**Amendment 49**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Expects that the Council will share this approach and that an agreement will easily be reached in conciliation, allowing the Union to rise to the occasion and effectively respond to the challenges ahead;

*Amendment*

10. Expects that the Council will share this approach and that an agreement will easily be reached in conciliation, allowing the Union to rise to the occasion and effectively respond to ***both the pressing current emergencies and*** the challenges ahead;

Or. en

**Amendment 50**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. *Sets* the overall level of appropriations for 2017 at EUR 161,8 billion and EUR 136,8 million in commitment and payment appropriations respectively;

*Amendment*

11. ***Regrets the proposed increase of EUR 4,13 billion above the DB in commitment appropriations, as a result of setting*** the overall level of appropriations for 2017 at EUR 161,8 billion and EUR 136,8 million in commitment and payment appropriations respectively;

Or. en

**Amendment 51**  
**Notis Marias**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Notes that, again this year, subheading 1a is severely affected by the Council's reading with 52 % of the overall Council cuts in commitments falling within this heading; questions therefore how the Council can claim that jobs and growth is one of their two priorities;

*Amendment*

12. Notes that, again this year, subheading 1a is severely affected by the Council's reading with 52 % of the overall Council cuts in commitments falling within this heading; questions therefore how the Council can claim that jobs and growth is one of their two priorities ***and stresses that the Union should immediately combat the high unemployment rates of its Member States;***

Or. en

**Amendment 52**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Notes that, ***again this year***, subheading 1a is severely affected by the Council's reading with 52 % of the overall Council cuts in commitments falling within this heading; questions therefore how the ***Council can claim that*** jobs and growth is ***one of their two priorities***;

*Amendment*

12. Notes that subheading 1a is ***once again*** severely affected by the Council's reading with 52 % of the overall Council cuts in commitments falling within this heading; questions therefore how the ***Council's political priority on*** jobs and growth is ***reflected in this reading***;

Or. en

**Amendment 53**  
**Victor Negrescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Strongly disagrees with these cuts in a heading that symbolises the European added value and delivers more growth and jobs for citizens; consequently decides to restore all cuts made by the Council;

*Amendment*

13. Strongly disagrees with these cuts in a heading that symbolises the European added value and delivers more growth and jobs for citizens; consequently decides to restore all cuts made by the Council, ***restoring two of the main Union priorities of jobs and growth***;

Or. en

**Amendment 54**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Strongly disagrees with these cuts in a heading that symbolises the European added value and ***delivers*** more growth and jobs for citizens; consequently decides to restore all cuts made by the Council;

*Amendment*

13. Strongly disagrees with these cuts in a heading that symbolises the European added value and ***could deliver*** more growth and jobs for citizens; consequently decides to restore all cuts made by the Council;

## **Amendment 55**

**Notis Marias**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 13**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

13. Strongly disagrees with these cuts in a heading that symbolises the European added value and delivers more growth and jobs for citizens; consequently decides to restore all cuts made by the Council;

##### *Amendment*

13. Strongly disagrees with these cuts in a heading that symbolises *mainly* the European added value and delivers more growth and jobs for citizens; consequently decides to restore all cuts made by the Council;

Or. en

## **Amendment 56**

**Ernest Maragall**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 14**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

14. Delivering on the commitment taken in June 2015 to minimise to the maximum the budgetary impact of the creation of the EFSI on Horizon 2020 and CEF in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure, decides to fully restore the original pre-EFSI profile of the Horizon 2020 and CEF lines that were cut for the provisioning of the EFSI Guarantee Fund; demands the corresponding additional commitments appropriations of EUR 1,24 billion above DB to be made available in the framework of the MFF revision;

##### *Amendment*

14. Delivering on the commitment taken in June 2015 to minimise to the maximum the budgetary impact of the creation of the EFSI on Horizon 2020 and CEF in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure, decides to fully restore the original pre-EFSI profile of the Horizon 2020 and CEF lines that were cut for the provisioning of the EFSI Guarantee Fund; demands the corresponding additional commitments appropriations of EUR 1,24 billion above DB to be made available in the framework of the MFF revision; *points out that EFSI should be improved in order to be fully efficient and effective by ensuring that the additionality principle is respected, by improving the*

*geographical and sectorial balances and by improving the transparency in the decision-making process;*

Or. en

**Amendment 57**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Delivering on the commitment taken in June 2015 to minimise to the maximum the budgetary impact of the creation of the EFSI on Horizon 2020 and CEF in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure, decides to fully restore the original pre-EFSI profile of the Horizon 2020 and CEF lines that were cut for the provisioning of the EFSI Guarantee Fund; demands the corresponding additional commitments appropriations of EUR 1,24 billion above DB to be made available in the framework of the MFF revision;

*Amendment*

14. Delivering on the commitment taken in June 2015 to minimise to the maximum the budgetary impact of the creation of the EFSI on Horizon 2020 and CEF in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure, decides to fully restore the original pre-EFSI profile of the Horizon 2020 and CEF lines that were cut for the provisioning of the EFSI Guarantee Fund; demands the corresponding additional commitments appropriations of EUR 1,24 billion above DB to be made available in the framework of the MFF revision *and fully respect prior political commitments towards Horizon 2020 and CEF;*

Or. en

**Amendment 58**  
**Siegfried Mureşan, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Delivering on the commitment taken in June 2015 to minimise to the maximum the budgetary impact of the creation of the EFSI on Horizon 2020 and

*Amendment*

14. Delivering on the commitment taken in June 2015 to minimise to the maximum the budgetary impact of the creation of the EFSI on Horizon 2020 and

CEF in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure, decides to fully restore the original pre-EFSI profile of the Horizon 2020 and CEF lines that were cut for the provisioning of the EFSI Guarantee Fund; ***demands the corresponding additional commitments appropriations of EUR 1,24 billion above DB to be made available*** in the framework ***of the*** MFF revision;

CEF in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure, decides to fully restore the original pre-EFSI profile of the Horizon 2020 and CEF lines that were cut for the provisioning of the EFSI Guarantee Fund; ***expects an overall agreement on this pressing matter to be reached*** in the framework MFF ***mid-term*** revision;

Or. en

### **Amendment 59**

**Marco Zanni, Marco Valli**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14 – subparagraph 1 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Notes that the projects selected for the EFSI do not represent new investment in the real economy but the refinancing of existing projects of questionable sustainability in economic, social and environmental terms; reiterates its conviction that the EFSI is not the right instrument to guarantee growth and development; believes therefore that the appropriations for the provisioning of the EFSI guarantee fund could be better used to respond to other urgencies and priorities;***

Or. en

### **Amendment 60**

**Michael Cramer**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14 a. Calls on the Commission to provide appropriate support from the budget line "Removing bottlenecks, enhancing rail interoperability, bridging missing links and improving cross-border sections" for the construction, signposting, maintenance, and management of the routes of the Trans-European EuroVelo Cycling Network;**

Or. en

**Amendment 61**  
**Victor Negrescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14 b. Stresses that Union's biggest research and innovation programme, Horizon 2020, is the only financial instrument to implement an innovation-friendly environment that can transform great ideas into products and services, thus stimulating growth and jobs;**

Or. en

**Amendment 62**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

15. In line with its continued priorities for Jobs and Growth and after careful assessment of their absorption capacity so far, decides to propose some selective

15. In line with its continued priorities for Jobs and Growth and after careful assessment of their absorption capacity so far, decides to propose some selective

increases above the level of the DB for the COSME, Progress, Marie Curie, European Research Council, Eures and Erasmus+ programmes;

increases above the level of the DB for the COSME, Progress, Marie Curie, European Research Council, Eures and Erasmus+ programmes; *notes that such increases can be financed within the available margin of this subheading;*

Or. en

**Amendment 63**  
**Ernest Maragall**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*15 a. Is concerned about possible further delays and additional costs of the ITER programme as well as the related potential repercussions on the Union budget, especially for other R&D programme funded by the Union; proposes to put appropriations into reserve, to be released only if the updated project plan provides sufficient evidence that Parliament's recommendations have been properly taken into account;*

Or. en

**Amendment 64**  
**Ernest Maragall**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*15 b. Underlines the huge discrepancy between funding for nuclear activities in the Union budget and support for future-oriented renewable energy; believes*

*therefore that abandoning the ITER project would free up much needed resources, which could be used for investing into already working renewable energy technologies;*

Or. en

**Amendment 65**  
**Ernest Maragall**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*15 c. Believes that the Euratom budget line on Nuclear fission and radiation protection should be reoriented towards the decommissioning of nuclear power plants; in the same vein, considers that the appropriations for nuclear activities of the Joint Research Centre should be used only for activities necessary for implementing safeguards;*

Or. en

**Amendment 66**  
**Liadh Ní Riada**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

17. Disapproves of Council's proposed cuts of EUR 3 million in commitments and, more importantly, EUR 199 million in payments under subheading 1b, including on support lines; calls on the Council to explain how these cuts are compatible with its objective of providing "necessary

17. Disapproves of Council's proposed cuts of EUR 3 million in commitments and, more importantly, EUR 199 million in payments under subheading 1b, including on support lines; calls on the Council to explain how these cuts are compatible with its objective of providing "necessary

appropriations enabling the smooth implementation of the new programmes in the fourth year of the multiannual financial framework 2014-2020”; notes that the cuts in payments go further than the already significant cuts proposed by the Commission, who suggested a decrease by -23,5 % compared to the 2016 EU budget;

appropriations enabling the smooth implementation of the new programmes in the fourth year of the multiannual financial framework 2014-2020”; ***underlines the negative impact caused by the delays in the implementation of the Union programs, to local, regional and national communities, in particular those which are facing social, financial and economic constraints***; notes that the cuts in payments go further than the already significant cuts proposed by the Commission, who suggested a decrease by -23,5 % compared to the 2016 EU budget; ***highlights the fact that the Union austerity policies have created downward pressure on real wages in Member States and that this will prolong the economic and social crisis; believes the Union budget should be used to underpin efforts to increase real wages as well as to fight social dumping in the Member States***;

Or. en

**Amendment 67**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. ***Disapproves of*** Council's proposed cuts of EUR 3 million in commitments and, more importantly, EUR 199 million in payments under subheading 1b, including on support lines; calls on the Council to explain how these cuts are compatible with its objective of providing “necessary appropriations enabling the smooth implementation of the new programmes in the fourth year of the multiannual financial framework 2014-2020”; notes that the cuts in payments go further than the already significant cuts proposed by the Commission, who suggested a decrease by

*Amendment*

17. ***Notes the*** Council's proposed cuts of EUR 3 million in commitments and, more importantly, EUR 199 million in payments under subheading 1b, including on support lines; calls on the Council to explain how these cuts are compatible with its objective of providing “necessary appropriations enabling the smooth implementation of the new programmes in the fourth year of the multiannual financial framework 2014-2020”; notes that the cuts in payments go further than the already significant cuts proposed by the Commission, who suggested a decrease by

-23,5 % compared to the 2016 EU budget;

-23,5 % compared to the 2016 EU budget;  
*believes however, that the lack of absorption capacity and difficulties caused by slower than expected implementation of the 2014-2020 programmes make these cuts regrettably justified;*

Or. en

**Amendment 68**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Disapproves of Council's proposed cuts of EUR 3 million in commitments and, more importantly, EUR 199 million in payments under subheading 1b, including on support lines; calls on the Council to explain how these cuts are compatible with its objective of providing “necessary appropriations enabling the smooth implementation of the new programmes in the fourth year of the multiannual financial framework 2014-2020”; *notes* that the *cuts in payments go further than the already significant cuts* proposed by the Commission, *who suggested a decrease* by -23,5 % compared to the 2016 EU budget;

*Amendment*

17. Disapproves of Council's proposed cuts of EUR 3 million in commitments and, more importantly, EUR 199 million in payments under subheading 1b, including on support lines; calls on the Council to explain how these cuts are compatible with its objective of providing “necessary appropriations enabling the smooth implementation of the new programmes in the fourth year of the multiannual financial framework 2014-2020”; *recalls* that the *level of payments* proposed by the Commission *under this heading is already lower* by -23,5 % compared to the 2016 EU budget; *stresses, in this respect, that any additional cuts in payments cannot be justified or accepted;*

Or. en

**Amendment 69**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Disapproves of Council's proposed cuts of EUR 3 million in commitments and, more importantly, EUR 199 million in payments under subheading 1b, including on support lines; calls on the Council to explain how these cuts are compatible with its objective of providing “necessary appropriations enabling the smooth implementation of the new programmes in the fourth year of the multiannual financial framework 2014-2020”; notes that the cuts in payments go further than the already significant cuts proposed by the Commission, who suggested a decrease by -23,5 % compared to the 2016 EU budget;

*Amendment*

17. Disapproves of Council's proposed cuts of EUR 3 million in commitments and, more importantly, EUR 199 million in payments under subheading 1b, including on support lines; calls on the Council to explain ***and fully substantiate*** how these cuts are compatible with its objective of providing “necessary appropriations enabling the smooth implementation of the new programmes in the fourth year of the multiannual financial framework 2014-2020”; notes that the cuts in payments go further than the already significant cuts proposed by the Commission, who suggested a ***significant*** decrease by -23,5 % compared to the 2016 EU budget;

Or. en

**Amendment 70**  
**Victor Negrescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***17 a. Calls for an impact evaluation of Union policies based on impact assessment reports in order to determine to what extent they managed, amongst others, to reduce economic disparities, develop competitive and diversified regional economies and boost sustainable growth and jobs;***

Or. en

**Amendment 71**  
**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**17 b. Recalls that cohesion policy represents the main Union investment policy and its legal objective of reducing disparities between European regions by strengthening economic, social and territorial cohesion;**

Or. en

**Amendment 72**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

18. Is alarmed by the significant delays in the implementation of the European Structural and Investment Fund cycle, which is likely to have a serious detrimental effect on the timely achievement of results on the ground but risks also to lead to the reconstitution of a new backlog of unpaid bills in the second half the current MFF; urges the Member States concerned to promptly designate the remaining managing authorities and tackle all other causes of delay in the implementation of the programmes; notes the Commission's proposals for more simplification for recipients of Union funds but sticks to the position that most remains to be done at the Member States' level to ensure that the programmes reach full swing;

18. Is alarmed by the significant delays in the implementation of the European Structural and Investment Fund cycle, which is likely to have a serious detrimental effect on the timely achievement of results on the ground but risks also to lead to the reconstitution of a new backlog of unpaid bills in the second half the current MFF; urges the Member States concerned to promptly designate the remaining managing authorities and tackle all other causes of delay in the implementation of the programmes; notes the Commission's proposals for more simplification for recipients of Union funds but sticks to the position that most remains to be done at the Member States' level **supported by the Commission** to ensure that the programmes reach full swing;

Or. en

## **Amendment 73**

**Notis Marias**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 18**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

18. Is alarmed by the significant delays in the implementation of the European Structural and Investment Fund cycle, **which is likely to** have a serious detrimental effect on the timely achievement of results on the ground but risks also to lead to the reconstitution of a new backlog of unpaid bills in the second half the current MFF; urges the Member States concerned to promptly designate the remaining managing authorities and tackle all other causes of delay in the implementation of the programmes; notes the Commission's proposals for more simplification for recipients of Union funds but sticks to the position that most remains to be done at the Member States' level to ensure that the programmes reach full swing;

##### *Amendment*

18. Is alarmed by the significant delays in the implementation of the European Structural and Investment Fund cycle, **will** have a serious detrimental effect on the timely achievement of results on the ground but risks also to lead to the reconstitution of a new backlog of unpaid bills in the second half the current MFF; urges the Member States concerned to promptly designate the remaining managing authorities and tackle all other causes of delay in the implementation of the programmes; notes the Commission's proposals for more simplification for recipients of Union funds but sticks to the position that most remains to be done at the Member States' level to ensure that the programmes reach full swing;

Or. en

## **Amendment 74**

**Siegfried Mureşan**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 18**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

18. Is alarmed by the significant delays in the implementation of the European Structural and Investment Fund cycle, which is likely to have a serious detrimental effect on the timely achievement of results on the ground but risks also to lead to the reconstitution of a new backlog of unpaid bills in the second half the current MFF; urges the Member

##### *Amendment*

18. Is alarmed by the significant delays in the implementation of the European Structural and Investment Fund cycle, which is likely to have a serious detrimental effect on the timely achievement of results on the ground but risks also to lead to the reconstitution of a new backlog of unpaid bills in the second half the current MFF; urges the Member

States concerned to promptly designate the remaining managing authorities and tackle all other causes of delay in the implementation of the programmes; notes the Commission's proposals for more simplification *for recipients of Union funds but sticks to the position that most remains to be done at the Member States' level* to ensure that the programmes reach full swing;

States concerned to promptly designate the remaining managing, *paying and certifying* authorities and tackle all other causes of delay in the implementation of the programmes; notes the Commission's proposals for more simplification *in this field and considers that every effort should urgently be made by* Member States to ensure that the programmes reach full swing;

Or. en

### **Amendment 75**

**Xabier Benito Ziluaga**

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 18 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*18 a. Stresses that further efforts to increase investment should be made, in particular by developing new financial instruments based upon a new European public investment plan financed by new own resources on the basis of a more progressive tax regime; insists that the contributions to the Union budget from the Member States should not be accounted when calculating Member States' structural deficits; believes that those elements should be taken into consideration in the preparation of the draft budget for 2017 insofar as this should help identify priorities within an economic context; calls, consequently, for more synergies and complementarity between in particular public investment policies by the Member States budgets and the Union budget, in particular those aimed at promoting the growth and sustainable employment creation which is the cornerstone for the Union;*

Or. en

**Amendment 76**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; ***decides***, in line with ***the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase*** the Youth Employment Initiative ***with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision***; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> ***Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).***

*Amendment*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; ***notes that***, in line with ***Parliament's requests, the Commission has proposed an additional EUR 1 billion for the Youth Employment Initiative until the end of 2020 in the context of the MFF mid-term review; believes the forthcoming mid-term evaluation of the Youth Employment Initiative will ultimately determine the agreed level of funding***; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

Or. en

**Amendment 77**  
**Ernest Maragall**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

*Amendment*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; ***reiterates its strong support to the continuation of the YEI and that it should receive at least the same level in commitment appropriations until the end of the current MFF as the one allocated annually to the programme during the first two years of this period (EUR 6 billion frontloaded in 2014-2015)***; decides ***as a first step and*** in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

Or. en

**Amendment 78**  
**Victor Negrescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

*Amendment*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; ***recalls that the Youth Guarantee scheme, part of the Youth Employment initiative is the only Union funding mechanism that aims at ensuring that all young Union citizens younger than 25 years receive a good-quality job within the first 4 months after leaving formal education;*** urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

**Amendment 79****Notis Marias****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 19***Motion for a resolution*

19. **Recalls** that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

*Amendment*

19. **Regrets** that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans; ***stresses the fact the Union should give priority to the Member States from southern Europe that suffer from the austerity measures;***

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

## Amendment 80

Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Nils Torvalds, Charles Goerens

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 19

##### *Motion for a resolution*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

##### *Amendment*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment, ***drawing lessons from the results of the coming Commission's evaluation of the YEI implementation***; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

Or. en

**Amendment 81**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

*Amendment*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to ***the still significant*** youth unemployment ***which has not been tackled yet***; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

Or. en

**Amendment 82**  
**Siegfried Mureşan, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, ***these new appropriations should be financed by the use of all financial means available under the current MFF Regulation and through the MFF mid-term revision***; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

*Amendment*

19. Recalls that the Commission has not proposed any commitment appropriations for the Youth Employment Initiative in 2017 as a result of its frontloading in the years 2014-2015; decides, in line with the Regulation on the European Social Fund<sup>7</sup> which foresees the possibility of such a continuation, to increase the Youth Employment Initiative with additional EUR 1 500 million in commitment appropriations and EUR 500 million in payment appropriations to provide an effective response to youth unemployment; notes that, in line with Parliament's requests, ***an overall agreement on the appropriate additional financing of YEI for the remainder of this programming period should be reached in the context of the upcoming MFF mid-term revision***; urges the Member States to do their utmost to speed up the implementation of the Initiative on the ground, for the direct benefit of young Europeans;

---

<sup>7</sup> Regulation (EU) No 1304/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the European Social Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1081/2006 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 470).

Or. en

**Amendment 83**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Decides to restore the DB in both commitments and payments for the lines cut by the Council; increases commitment appropriations for subheading 1b by EUR 1 500 million and payment appropriations by EUR 500 million above DB for the Youth Employment Initiative, and by EUR 4 million for commitments and 2 EUR million for payments for the Fund for European Aid to the Most Deprived, ***thus exceeding the current ceiling for commitments by EUR 1 486 798 635;***

*Amendment*

20. Decides to restore the DB in both commitments and payments for the lines cut by the Council; increases commitment appropriations for subheading 1b by EUR 1 500 million and payment appropriations by EUR 500 million above DB for the Youth Employment Initiative, and by EUR 4 million for commitments and 2 EUR million for payments for the Fund for European Aid to the Most Deprived;

Or. en

**Amendment 84**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Underlines that subheading 1b bears the biggest part of the current outstanding commitments ***which is impeding the reimbursement for resources already spent by the beneficiaries of the funds; warns that the high level of RAL under subheading 1b,*** which stood at EUR 151 119 million at the beginning of September 2016 risks jeopardizing the implementation of new programmes;

*Amendment*

21. Underlines that subheading 1b bears the biggest part of the current outstanding commitments (***RAL***), which stood at EUR 151 119 million at the beginning of September 2016 risks jeopardizing the implementation of new programmes;

Or. en

**Amendment 85**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Underlines that subheading 1b bears the biggest part of the current outstanding commitments which is impeding the reimbursement for resources already spent by the beneficiaries of the funds; warns that the high level of RAL under subheading 1b, which stood at EUR 151 119 million at the beginning of September 2016 risks jeopardizing the implementation of new programmes;

*Amendment*

21. Underlines that subheading 1b bears the biggest part of the current outstanding commitments which is impeding the reimbursement for resources already spent by the beneficiaries of the funds; warns that the high level of RAL under subheading 1b, which stood at EUR 151 119 million at the beginning of September 2016 risks jeopardizing the implementation of new ***and potentially much needed*** programmes;

Or. en

**Amendment 86**  
**Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***21 a. Recalls the need for further funding designed for the Union's peripheral regions aimed at slowing down the growing socioeconomic cleavage between the European centre and its peripheral regions;***

Or. en

**Amendment 87**  
**Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***21 b. Notes that the EIB's Evaluation of the functioning of the EFSI from September 2016 shows that as for the***

*period ending 30 June 2016, only two peripheral Member States, Cyprus and Malta, had no signed operations under either window of that Fund;*

Or. en

**Amendment 88**  
**Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Notes that the Council reduced appropriations in Heading 2 by EUR - 179,5 million in commitments and EUR - 198 million in payments, on administrative support lines, on operational technical assistance lines (such as the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and the LIFE programme), on operational lines under the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and on decentralised agencies; notes that the biggest cuts in payments are borne by rural development; considers that the Amending Letter should remain the basis for any reliable revision of EAGF appropriations; restores the DB levels accordingly;

*Amendment*

22. Notes that the Council reduced appropriations in Heading 2 by EUR - 179,5 million in commitments and EUR - 198 million in payments, on administrative support lines, on operational technical assistance lines (such as the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and the LIFE programme), on operational lines under the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF), ***which is an essential to maintain agriculture in living territories***, and on decentralised agencies; notes that the biggest cuts in payments are borne by rural development; considers that the Amending Letter should remain the basis for any reliable revision of EAGF appropriations; restores the DB levels accordingly;

Or. en

**Amendment 89**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. ***Awaits the presentation*** of the Amending Letter for the emergency

*Amendment*

23. ***In the context*** of the ***forthcoming*** Amending Letter for the emergency

support package in particular for the dairy sector; *considers that this measure will contribute significantly to improving market disposition and will be reflected in improved prices for European dairy producers, in particular after the end of the quota system, and the financial impact of the Russian ban;*

support package in particular for the dairy sector *decides to express its strong support for the agricultural sector in Union, thereby increasing by EUR 600 million above DB appropriations aimed at tackling the effects of the dairy sector crisis and the effects of the Russian embargo on the milk sector;*

Or. en

## **Amendment 90** **Eleftherios Synadinos**

### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 23**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

23. Awaits the presentation of the Amending Letter for the emergency support package in particular for the dairy sector; considers that this measure will contribute significantly to improving market disposition and will be reflected in improved prices for European dairy producers, in particular after the end of the quota system, and the financial impact of the Russian ban;

#### *Amendment*

23. Awaits the presentation of the Amending Letter for the emergency support package in particular for the dairy sector; considers that this measure will contribute significantly to improving market disposition and will be reflected in improved prices for European dairy producers *that in cases has been unjustifiably low*, in particular after the end of the quota system, and the *substantial* financial impact of the Russian ban *which has proved largely inefficient and ineffective;*

Or. en

## **Amendment 91** **Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 23**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

23. *Awaits* the presentation of the

#### *Amendment*

23. *Anticipates* the presentation of the

Amending Letter for the emergency support package in particular for the dairy sector; considers that this measure will contribute significantly to improving market disposition and will be reflected in improved prices for European dairy producers, in particular after the end of the quota system, and the financial impact of the Russian ban;

Amending Letter for the emergency support package in particular for the dairy sector ***and increases therefore the appropriations by EUR 600 million***; considers that this measure will contribute significantly to improving market disposition and will be reflected in improved prices for European dairy producers, in particular after the end of the quota system, and the financial impact of the Russian ban;

Or. en

**Amendment 92**  
**Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Awaits the presentation of the Amending Letter for the emergency support package in particular for the dairy sector; considers that this measure will contribute significantly to improving market disposition and will be reflected in improved prices for European dairy producers, in particular after the end of the quota system, and the financial impact of the Russian ban;

*Amendment*

23. Awaits the presentation of the Amending Letter for the emergency support package in particular for the dairy sector; considers that this measure will contribute significantly to improving market disposition and will be reflected in improved prices for European dairy producers, in particular after the end of the quota system, and the financial impact of the Russian ban, ***even if it is still insufficient***;

Or. en

**Amendment 93**  
**Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***23 a. Requests that more attention***

*should be given to small-scale farming and small-scale fishing, which are very often not in a position to properly benefit from the current system of Union funding;*

Or. en

**Amendment 94**

**Ernest Maragall**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 23 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23 b. *In line with its EU2020 targets and with its international commitments to tackle the climate change, decides to propose an increase above the level of the DB for LIFE + programme;***

Or. en

**Amendment 95**

**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

25. Underlines that Parliament continues to put the current migration challenge at the top of its agenda; welcomes the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration crisis in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; ***notes that the big deviation of the original programming shows the need of a full revision of the current MFF; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings***

25. Underlines that Parliament continues to put the current migration challenge at the top of its agenda; welcomes the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration crisis in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this

*accordingly, particularly of Heading 3;* stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union actions in this field;

year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union actions in this field;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 96**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmaz Paet, Charles Goerens**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 25**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Underlines that Parliament continues to put the current migration challenge at the top of its agenda; welcomes the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration *crisis* in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; notes that the big deviation of the original programming shows the need of a full revision of the current MFF; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings accordingly, particularly of Heading 3; stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the

##### *Amendment*

25. Underlines that Parliament continues to put the current migration challenge at the top of its agenda; welcomes the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration *challenge* in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; notes that the big deviation of the original programming shows the need of a full revision of the current MFF; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings accordingly, particularly of Heading 3; stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the

unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union actions in this field;

unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017 **in headings 3 and 4 and the mobilization of the European Development Fund**) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union actions in this field;

Or. en

**Amendment 97**  
**Siegfried Mureşan, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Underlines that Parliament continues to put the current migration challenge at the top of its agenda; welcomes the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration crisis in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; notes that the big deviation of the original programming **shows the need of a full revision of the current MFF; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings accordingly, particularly of Heading 3;** stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same

*Amendment*

25. Underlines that Parliament continues to put the current migration challenge at the top of its agenda; welcomes the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration crisis in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; notes that the big deviation of the original programming **advocates in favour of an upwards adjustment of the Heading 3 ceilings;** stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement **largely** through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union

time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union actions in this field;

actions in this field;

Or. en

**Amendment 98**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Underlines that Parliament continues to put the current migration challenge at the top of its agenda; welcomes the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration crisis in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; notes that the big deviation of the original programming shows the need of a full revision of the current MFF; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings accordingly, particularly of Heading 3; stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union actions in this field;

*Amendment*

25. Underlines that Parliament continues to put the current migration challenge ***and the overarching effects of illegal migration*** at the top of its agenda; welcomes the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration crisis in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; notes that the big deviation of the original programming shows the need of a full revision of the current MFF; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings accordingly, particularly of Heading 3; stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further ***though required*** reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union actions in this field;

Or. en

**Amendment 99**  
**Notis Marias**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Underlines that Parliament ***continues to*** put the current migration challenge at the top of its agenda; ***welcomes*** the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration crisis in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; notes that the big deviation of the original programming shows the need of a full revision of the current MFF; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings accordingly, particularly of Heading 3; stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union actions in this field;

*Amendment*

25. Underlines that Parliament ***should*** put the current migration challenge at the top of its agenda; ***takes notes of*** the Commission's proposal for an additional EUR 1,8 billion to tackle the migration crisis in the Union, above what had initially been programmed for 2017; notes that the big deviation of the original programming shows the need of a full revision of the current MFF; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings accordingly, particularly of Heading 3; stresses that the Commission proposes to finance this reinforcement through the mobilisation of the Flexibility Instrument (for EUR 530 million, thereby fully exhausting the funding available for this year) and the Contingency Margin (for EUR 1 160 million); given the unprecedented level of funding for migration-related expenditure (totalling EUR 5,2 billion in 2017) and the proposals for applying flexibility on the table, does not request further reinforcements for migration-related policies; at the same time, will resist any attempts to reduce funding for Union actions in this field;

Or. en

**Amendment 100**  
**Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Reiterates, that budgetary flexibility has its limits and can only be a short-term solution; is strongly convinced that a forward-looking and brave answer in the face of **a** crisis *that involves* the entire continent and shows no signs of abating, *is* an upwards adjustment of the ceiling of Heading 3; insists that such an adjustment is indispensable and urgent and is disappointed that the Commission forewent the opportunity to propose it at the occasion of the MFF mid-term revision;

*Amendment*

26. Reiterates, that budgetary flexibility has its limits and can only be a short-term solution; is strongly convinced that a forward-looking and brave answer in the face of **this long-term migrant and refugee crisis is needed; highlights that in the past years, when the impact of the same crisis was being predominantly felt only in Southern Europe, necessary priority has not been given; requests that at a time when the crisis has spilled over** to the entire continent and shows no signs of abating, an upwards adjustment of the ceiling of Heading 3 **is necessary**; insists that such an adjustment is indispensable and urgent and is disappointed that the Commission forewent the opportunity to propose it at the occasion of the MFF mid-term revision;

Or. en

**Amendment 101**

**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Reiterates, that budgetary flexibility has its limits and can only be a short-term solution; is strongly convinced that a forward-looking and brave answer in the face of a crisis that involves the entire continent and shows no signs of abating, *is* an upwards adjustment of the ceiling of Heading 3; insists that such an adjustment is indispensable and urgent and is disappointed that the Commission forewent the opportunity to propose it at the occasion of the MFF mid-term revision;

*Amendment*

26. Reiterates, that budgetary flexibility has its limits and can only be a short-term solution; is strongly convinced that a forward-looking and brave answer in the face of a crisis that involves the entire continent and shows no signs of abating, *is* an upwards adjustment of the ceiling of Heading 3, **provided there is an equivalent downwards adjustment across other headings, as well as a clear implementation strategy of how such additional funding would be used most effectively**; insists that such an adjustment is indispensable and urgent and is

disappointed that the Commission forewent the opportunity to propose it at the occasion of the MFF mid-term revision;

Or. en

**Amendment 102**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Reiterates, that budgetary flexibility has its limits and can only be a short-term solution; is strongly convinced that a forward-looking and brave answer in the face of **a** crisis that involves the entire continent and shows no signs of abating, is an upwards adjustment of the ceiling of Heading 3; insists that such an adjustment is indispensable and urgent and is disappointed that the Commission forewent the opportunity to propose it at the occasion of the MFF mid-term revision;

*Amendment*

26. Reiterates, that budgetary flexibility has its limits and can only be a short-term solution **on an ad hoc basis as need arises**; is strongly convinced that a forward-looking and brave answer in the face of **an unprecedented** crisis that involves the entire continent **and disproportionately affects some Member States** and shows no signs of abating, is an upwards adjustment of the ceiling of Heading 3; insists that such an adjustment is indispensable and urgent and is disappointed that the Commission forewent the opportunity to propose it at the occasion of the MFF mid-term revision;

Or. en

**Amendment 103**  
**Siegfried Mureşan, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Reiterates, that budgetary flexibility has its limits and can only be a short-term solution; is strongly convinced that a forward-looking and brave answer in the face of a crisis that involves the entire

*Amendment*

26. Reiterates, that budgetary flexibility has its limits and can only be a short-term solution; is strongly convinced that a forward-looking and brave answer in the face of a crisis that involves the entire

continent and shows no signs of abating, *is* an upwards adjustment of the ceiling of Heading 3; *insists that such an adjustment is indispensable and urgent and is disappointed that the Commission forewent the opportunity to propose it at the occasion of the MFF mid-term revision;*

continent and shows no signs of abating, *points to* an upwards adjustment of the ceiling of Heading 3; *considers that all recent budgetary decisions to secure fresh appropriations in this field have actually led to a de facto revision of this ceiling;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 104**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*26 a. In the context of the current security and migration challenges, welcomes the increase of the funding of AMIF (EUR 1,6 billion) and ISF (EUR 0,7 billion); considers that the increase of AMIF adds to the need to ensure a fair and transparent distribution of annual funding between the different programs and objectives of the fund and a better readability on how these financial resources will be spent;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 105**

**Eleftherios Synadinos**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

27. Notes that a new Instrument for emergency support within the Union was adopted on 15 March 2016, with an indicative envelope of EUR 700 million

27. Notes that a new Instrument for emergency support within the Union was adopted on 15 March 2016, with an indicative envelope of EUR 700 million

over three years (2016-2018), and has already led to immediate results on the ground in the form of emergency support measures in response to the humanitarian needs of a large number of refugees and migrants arriving in the Member States; however, reiterates its position that in the future a more sustainable legal and budgetary framework should be envisaged in order to allow for humanitarian aid to be mobilised within the Union; insists on holding a regular dialogue with the Commission on the functioning and financing, present and future, of this Instrument, based on full transparency of information and impact assessment reports;

over three years (2016-2018), and has already led to immediate results on the ground in the form of emergency support measures in response to the humanitarian needs of a large number of refugees and ***illegal*** migrants arriving in the Member States; however, reiterates its position that in the future a more sustainable legal and budgetary framework should be envisaged in order to allow for humanitarian aid to be mobilised within the Union; insists on holding a regular ***and constructive*** dialogue with the Commission on the functioning and financing, present and future, of this Instrument, based on full transparency of information and impact assessment reports;

Or. en

**Amendment 106**  
**Siegfried Mureşan, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**28. Welcomes the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current migrant and refugee crisis, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;**

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 107**  
**Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Welcomes the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the **current** migrant and refugee crisis, as well **as** a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

*Amendment*

28. Welcomes the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the migrant and refugee crisis, ***a crisis through which the Union's Mediterranean countries have long been in need of the adaptation of the tools applied to the Union's migration policy framework, amongst which the need for a boost in financial assistance; considers that the newly established Crisis Reserve should*** as well ***accommodate*** a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

Or. en

**Amendment 108**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Welcomes the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current **migrant** and refugee crisis, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

*Amendment*

28. Welcomes the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to ***external border threats and*** crises, such as the current ***migration flows*** and refugee crisis, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications ***due to terrorism and other unprecedented events;***

Or. en

## Amendment 109

Bernd Kölmel

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 28

##### *Motion for a resolution*

28. **Welcomes** the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current migrant and refugee crisis, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

##### *Amendment*

28. **Believes that similar levels of transparency and evaluation should apply to** the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current migrant and refugee crisis, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

Or. en

## Amendment 110

Isabelle Thomas

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 28

##### *Motion for a resolution*

28. Welcomes the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, ***such as the current migrant and refugee crisis***, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

##### *Amendment*

28. Welcomes the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

Or. en

## Amendment 111

Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 28

##### *Motion for a resolution*

28. Welcomes the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current *migrant and refugee crisis*, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

##### *Amendment*

28. Welcomes the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current *humanitarian and migratory challenges*, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

Or. en

## Amendment 112

Tamás Deutsch

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 28

##### *Motion for a resolution*

28. **Welcomes** the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current migrant and refugee crisis, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

##### *Amendment*

28. **Takes note of** the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current migrant and refugee crisis, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

Or. en

## Amendment 113

Notis Marias

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. **Welcomes** the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current migrant and refugee crisis, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

*Amendment*

28. **Points out** the Commission's proposal, as part of the MFF mid-term revision, to establish a new European Union Crisis Reserve, to be financed from de-committed appropriations, as an additional instrument to react rapidly to crises, such as the current migrant and refugee crisis, as well as a wider set of events with serious humanitarian or security implications;

Or. en

**Amendment 114**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

29. In the face of increased threat levels in several Member States **and** the concurrent challenges of migration management **and** the fight against terrorism and organised crime, requests funding for additional staff for Europol;

*Amendment*

29. In the face of increased threat levels in several Member States, the concurrent challenges of migration management, the fight against terrorism and organised crime **and the necessity for a coordinated European response**, requests funding for additional staff for Europol, **with the aim to establish a 24/7 counter-terrorism cell providing Member States' competent authorities with intelligence responses; considers that this increase is also provided to improve the fight against trafficking with human beings (with a special focus on unaccompanied minors) and to reinforce the human resources at the Italian and Greek hotspots;**

Or. en

**Amendment 115**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

29. In the face of increased threat levels in several Member States and the concurrent challenges of migration management and the fight against terrorism and organised crime, requests funding for additional staff for Europol;

*Amendment*

29. In the face of increased threat levels in several Member States and the concurrent challenges of migration management and the fight against terrorism and organised crime, requests funding for additional staff for Europol; ***recalls that Europol currently has only 3 staff members at their disposition to be deployed to 8 permanent and additional non-permanent Hot-Spots in Italy alone; considers this number to be too low for Europol to be able to fulfil their tasks in terms of fight against human trafficking, terrorism and other serious cross-border crime;***

Or. en

**Amendment 116**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

29. In the face of increased threat levels in several Member States and the concurrent challenges of migration management and the fight against terrorism and organised crime, requests funding for additional staff for Europol;

*Amendment*

29. In the face of increased threat levels in several Member States and the concurrent challenges of migration management and the fight against ***homegrown and imported*** terrorism and organised crime, requests funding for additional staff for Europol;

Or. en

## Amendment 117

Bernd Kölmel

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 30

##### *Motion for a resolution*

30. **Condemns** the Council's cuts to numerous programmes in the areas of culture, the media, citizenship, fundamental rights and public health by a total of EUR 24,3 million in commitment appropriations; considers it **a detrimental sign by the Council to cut culture programmes in order to free funds for the refugee and migration crisis; deplores that many of these cuts seem applied in an arbitrary manner and disregard excellent implementation rates; is of the opinion that even small cuts risk jeopardising the achievement of programme outcomes and the smooth implementation of Union actions; therefore restores all cuts to the level of the DB;**

##### *Amendment*

30. **Notes** the Council's cuts to numerous programmes in the areas of culture, the media, citizenship, fundamental rights and public health by a total of EUR 24,3 million in commitment appropriations; considers it **necessary for the Council to free funds for the refugee and migration crisis by reassessing Union priorities in this manner;**

Or. en

## Amendment 118

G rard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 30

##### *Motion for a resolution*

30. **Condemns** the Council's cuts to numerous programmes in the areas of culture, the media, citizenship, fundamental rights and public health by a total of EUR 24,3 million in commitment appropriations; considers it a detrimental sign by the Council to cut culture programmes in order to free funds for the **refugee and migration crisis**; deplores that many of these cuts seem applied in an arbitrary manner and disregard excellent

##### *Amendment*

30. **Condemns** the Council's cuts to numerous programmes in the areas of culture, the media, citizenship, fundamental rights and public health by a total of EUR 24,3 million in commitment appropriations; considers it a detrimental sign by the Council to cut culture programmes in order to free funds for the **current humanitarian and migratory challenges**; deplores that many of these cuts seem applied in an arbitrary manner

implementation rates; is of the opinion that even small cuts risk jeopardising the achievement of programme outcomes and the smooth implementation of Union actions; therefore restores all cuts to the level of the DB;

and disregard excellent implementation rates; is of the opinion that even small cuts risk jeopardising the achievement of programme outcomes and the smooth implementation of Union actions; therefore restores all cuts to the level of the DB;

Or. en

**Amendment 119**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Condemns the Council's cuts to numerous programmes in the areas of culture, the media, citizenship, fundamental rights and public health by a total of EUR 24,3 million in commitment appropriations; considers it a detrimental sign by the Council to cut culture programmes *in order to free funds for the refugee and migration crisis*; deplores that many of these cuts seem applied in an arbitrary manner and disregard excellent implementation rates; is of the opinion that even small cuts risk jeopardising the achievement of programme outcomes and the smooth implementation of Union actions; therefore restores all cuts to the level of the DB;

*Amendment*

30. Condemns the Council's cuts to numerous programmes in the areas of culture, the media, citizenship, fundamental rights and public health by a total of EUR 24,3 million in commitment appropriations; considers it a detrimental sign by the Council to cut culture programmes; deplores that many of these cuts seem applied in an arbitrary *and counterproductive* manner and disregard excellent implementation rates; is of the opinion that even small cuts risk jeopardising the achievement of programme outcomes and the smooth implementation of Union actions; therefore restores all cuts to the level of the DB;

Or. en

**Amendment 120**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32**

*Motion for a resolution*

32. ***Welcomes*** the creation of a budget line for an EU Search and Rescue Fund, which is to cover search and rescue activities carried out by the Member States and coordinated at the Union level, in particular in the Mediterranean; ***is of the opinion that creating a dedicated Fund constitutes a more adequate solution than continuously increasing the budgets of Frontex or the newly created European Border and Coast Guard;***

*Amendment*

32. ***Notes*** the creation of a budget line for an EU Search and Rescue Fund, which is to cover search and rescue activities carried out by the Member States and coordinated at the Union level, in particular in the Mediterranean;

Or. en

**Amendment 121**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32**

*Motion for a resolution*

32. ***Welcomes the creation of a budget line for an EU Search and Rescue Fund, which is to cover search and rescue activities carried out by the Member States and coordinated at the Union level, in particular in the Mediterranean; is of the opinion that creating a dedicated Fund constitutes a more adequate solution than continuously increasing the budgets of Frontex or the newly created European Border and Coast Guard;***

*Amendment*

32. ***is convinced that*** search and rescue activities are an important tool for Frontex and Member States financed by the AMIF Fund; ***therefore asks for an adequate level of funding to tackle the whole range of tasks caused by the migration crisis including the rescue operations and legal ways for refugees, such as resettlement, humanitarian visa and direct help, especially for victims of torture and for unaccompanied minors;***

Or. en

**Amendment 122**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32**

*Motion for a resolution*

32. Welcomes the creation of a budget line for an EU Search and Rescue Fund, which is to cover search and rescue activities carried out by the Member States and ***coordinated at the Union level***, in particular in the Mediterranean; is of the opinion that creating a dedicated Fund constitutes a more ***adequate solution*** than continuously increasing the budgets of Frontex or the newly created European Border and Coast Guard;

*Amendment*

32. Welcomes the creation of a budget line for an EU Search and Rescue Fund, which is to cover search and rescue activities carried out by the Member States and ***supported by the Union***, in particular in the Mediterranean; is of the opinion that creating a dedicated Fund constitutes a more ***effective response*** than continuously increasing the budgets of Frontex or the newly created European Border and Coast Guard;

Or. en

**Amendment 123**

**G rard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 32 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***32 a. Welcomes the establishment of a Victims of Terrorism Response Co-ordination Centre (EUR 2 million) which should overcome barriers such as poor knowledge and weak skills development by bringing together key operational experts, victim advocates and organisation from around Europe to identify key priorities and issues for victims of terrorism and deliver a coordinated support for victims of terrorism across borders in order to have a proper victim-oriented approach in emergency response infrastructures;***

Or. en

**Amendment 124**

**Bernd K lme**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**32 b. Welcomes the creation of a new budget line to provide funding for the victims of terrorism; supports resources being made available to tackle the broad areas of need of victims, including physical treatments, psycho-social services and financial support; believes that too often the needs of innocent victims of terrorism are either forgotten, or considered secondary, when measures to tackle the terrorist threat are proposed;**

Or. en

**Amendment 125**  
**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**32 c. Rejects any use of the Union budget to finance a militarist Union program; defends the need for an alternative set of programmes that support a more social Europe reinforcing sustainable development, strengthened, environment-friendly internal demand based on progressive wages, full employment with rights, social welfare, eradicating poverty and social exclusion and improved social and economic cohesion;**

Or. en

**Amendment 126**  
**Ernest Maragall**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***32 d. Welcomes the creation of a budget line for supporting the European Citizens' Initiative (ECI) which is a newly created instrument aiming at involving the citizens' role in the Union decision-making process and deepening our European democracy; is of the opinion that the level of commitment appropriations as proposed in the DB is too low; decides to increase the budget line;***

Or. en

**Amendment 127**  
**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***32 e. Expresses its deep concern at the high number of allegations of inhumane treatment and reception conditions of refugees and asylum-seekers within the refugee and asylum reception centres, in particular those receiving Union financial support and the lack of adequate protection of unaccompanied minors all along the route to a safe place and calls for a thorough investigation of the 10 000 missing children as reported by EUROPOL; calls for a thorough investigation of all measures and projects in particular those which have been benefiting from Union financial support, and which are being implemented with the support of the Member States in the***

*field of migration, asylum and border management, to check their compliance with European and international law, in particular the UN Human Rights Charter, the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, the Convention on the Rights of the Child, the European Convention on Human Rights and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU;*

Or. en

**Amendment 128**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 33 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**33 a. recalls that Directive (EU) 2016/681 (PNR Directive) has to be implemented in the Member States until May 2018; invites the Commission and Member States to provide sufficient financial resources within the budget line on 'Prevention and fight against cross-border organised crime and better management of security related risks and crisis' so that a swift implementation at a technical high level with full interoperability can be guaranteed and Member States, which face economic problems, are able to be part of the PNR system in the foreseen time delay;**

---

**<sup>1a</sup> Directive (EU) 2016/681 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the use of passenger name record (PNR) data for the prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences and serious crime (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 132).**

Or. en

**Amendment 129**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 34**

*Motion for a resolution*

34. Notes that, in the light of the ongoing refugee crisis, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, underlines that the Heading 4 ceilings are vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the migration and refugee crisis; **is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings, particularly of Heading 4 accordingly; deploras, that in order to fund new initiatives such as the FRT, the Commission chose in its DB to cut other programmes such as the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP) which is against the principle that humanitarian distress must go in parallel with the development processes;** **regrets** also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; **disapproves**, finally, the **irresponsible** cuts made by the Council, in particular on DCI and support expenditure lines;

*Amendment*

34. Notes that, in the light of the ongoing refugee crisis, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, underlines that the Heading 4 ceilings are vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the migration and refugee crisis; **notes** also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; **notes**, finally, the cuts made by the Council, in particular on DCI and support expenditure lines;

Or. en

**Amendment 130**  
**Siegfried Mureşan, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 34**

*Motion for a resolution*

34. Notes that, in the light of the ongoing refugee crisis, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, ***underlines that*** the Heading 4 ceilings are ***vastly insufficient*** to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the migration and refugee crisis; ***is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings, particularly of Heading 4 accordingly;*** deplores, that in order to fund new initiatives such as the FRT, the Commission chose in its DB to cut other programmes such as the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP) ***which is against the principle that humanitarian distress must go in parallel with the development processes;*** regrets also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; ***disapproves***, finally, the ***irresponsible*** cuts made by the Council, ***in particular on DCI and support expenditure lines;***

*Amendment*

34. Notes that, in the light of the ongoing ***migration and*** refugee crisis, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, ***questions whether*** the Heading 4 ceilings are ***sufficient*** to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the migration and refugee crisis; deplores, that in order to fund new initiatives such as the FRT, the Commission chose in its DB to cut other programmes such as the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP); ***stresses that this should not come at the cost of policies in other areas;*** regrets also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; ***deplores***, finally, the ***unjustified*** cuts made by the Council;

Or. en

**Amendment 131**  
**Ernest Maragall**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 34**

### *Motion for a resolution*

34. Notes that, in the light of the ongoing refugee crisis, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, underlines that the Heading 4 ceilings are vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the migration and refugee crisis; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings, particularly of Heading 4 accordingly; deplures, that in order to fund new initiatives such as the FRT, the Commission chose in its DB to cut other programmes such as the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP) which is against the principle that humanitarian distress must go in parallel with the development processes; regrets also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; disapproves, finally, the irresponsible cuts made by the Council, in particular on DCI and support expenditure lines;

### *Amendment*

34. Notes that, in the light of the ongoing refugee crisis, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, underlines that the Heading 4 ceilings are vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the migration and refugee crisis; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings, particularly of Heading 4 accordingly; deplures, that in order to fund new initiatives such as the FRT, the Commission chose in its DB to cut other programmes such as the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP) which is against the principle that humanitarian distress must go in parallel with the development processes; ***rejects these decisions as they shift important financial resources from two instruments which amongst other things address root causes of migratory flows; recalls that the primary objective of the Union's development policy must remain poverty reduction***; regrets also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; disapproves, finally, the irresponsible cuts made by the Council, in particular on DCI and support expenditure lines;

Or. en

### **Amendment 132**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

### **Motion for a resolution**

### **Paragraph 34**

*Motion for a resolution*

34. Notes that, in the light of the ongoing **refugee crisis**, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, underlines that the Heading 4 ceilings are vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the **migration and refugee crisis**; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings, particularly of Heading 4 accordingly; deplures, that in order to fund new initiatives such as the FRT, the Commission chose in its DB to cut other programmes such as the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP) which is against the principle that humanitarian distress must go in parallel with the development processes,; regrets also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; disapproves, finally, the irresponsible cuts made by the Council, in particular on DCI and support expenditure lines;

*Amendment*

34. Notes that, in the light of the ongoing **humanitarian and migratory challenges**, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, underlines that the Heading 4 ceilings are vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the **current humanitarian and migratory challenges**; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings, particularly of Heading 4 accordingly; deplures, that in order to fund new initiatives such as the FRT, the Commission chose in its DB to cut other programmes such as the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP) which is against the principle that humanitarian distress must go in parallel with the development processes,; regrets also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; disapproves, finally, the irresponsible cuts made by the Council, in particular on DCI and support expenditure lines;

Or. en

**Amendment 133**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 34**

*Motion for a resolution*

34. Notes that, in the light of the

*Amendment*

34. Notes that, in the light of the

ongoing refugee crisis, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, underlines that the Heading 4 ceilings are vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the migration and refugee crisis; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings, particularly of Heading 4 accordingly; deplores, that in order to fund new initiatives such as the FRT, the Commission chose in its DB to cut other programmes such as the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP) which is against the principle that humanitarian distress must go in parallel with the development processes,; regrets also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; disapproves, finally, the irresponsible cuts made by the Council, in particular on DCI and support expenditure lines;

ongoing *illegal migration and* refugee crisis, the Union's external action is faced with ever growing funding needs which largely exceed the current size of Heading 4; therefore, underlines that the Heading 4 ceilings are vastly insufficient to provide for appropriate funding for the external dimension of the migration and refugee crisis; is disappointed that the Commission did not use the opportunity to adjust the ceilings, particularly of Heading 4 accordingly; deplores, that in order to fund new initiatives such as the FRT, the Commission chose in its DB to cut other programmes such as the Development Cooperation Instrument (DCI) and the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP) which is against the principle that humanitarian distress must go in parallel with the development processes,; regrets also that appropriations for humanitarian aid and for the Mediterranean strand of the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) are below those approved in the 2016 budget, despite their obvious relevance in tackling the large number of external challenges; disapproves, finally, the irresponsible cuts made by the Council, in particular on DCI and support expenditure lines;

Or. en

**Amendment 134**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 35**

*Motion for a resolution*

35. Decides, therefore, to reverse all Council's cuts in Heading 4; decides also to reinstate the 2016 levels for the ENI Mediterranean lines and for humanitarian aid; furthermore decides to mitigate the cuts made by the Commission in the DCI

*Amendment*

35. Decides, therefore, to reverse all Council's cuts in Heading 4; decides also to reinstate the 2016 levels for the ENI Mediterranean lines and for humanitarian aid; furthermore decides to mitigate the cuts made by the Commission in the DCI

and the IcSP; considers it essential to maintain the Union's pivotal role and the level of financial support in supporting the Middle East Peace Process, the Palestinian Authority and UNRWA; underlines the importance of the European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights;

and the IcSP; considers it essential to maintain the Union's pivotal role and the level of financial support in supporting the Middle East Peace Process, the Palestinian Authority and UNRWA *as well as ENI Eastern Partnership lines*; underlines the importance of the European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights;

Or. en

**Amendment 135**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 35 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**35 a. Welcomes the proposed increase of macro-financial assistance which has been significantly cut compared to 2016; believes that a higher funding level than proposed will be required to ensure that all future requests for loans can be accommodated;**

Or. en

**Amendment 136**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 36**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**36. Lends its full support to the FRT and proposes to *frontload to 2016 part of the Union budget contribution planned in 2017 due to its good implementation record and the large margins still available in the 2016 budget; calls, therefore, for reinforcing IPA II by EUR 400 million via an amending budget for***

**36. Urges caution with regard to the FRT and proposes to *hold in reserve the EUR 750 million envisaged for the Facility from the 2017 budget, which will be released incrementally on the basis of independent UN evaluations in how the funds are being spent, and a full impact assessment from the Commission on the***

*2016 and to mobilise the Contingency Margin accordingly; puts the same amount in reserve in the 2017 budget pending a comprehensive agreement on an alternative financing for the FRT, which would alleviate the unprecedented pressure put on other external financing instruments;*

*effectiveness of the scheme, the quality of the detention facilities, and the impact on fundamental rights;*

Or. en

### **Amendment 137**

**Liadh Ní Riada**

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 36 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*36 a. Highlights the need to ensure and guarantee that human, civil rights and liberties, social protection, including protection for the most vulnerable, healthcare, social and financial benefits are implemented in full compliance with international law, in particular in particular the UN Human Rights Charter, the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees, the Convention on the Rights of the Child, the European Convention on Human Rights and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU; stresses the importance of enhanced financing for resettlement schemes and relocation procedures, notably under the Asylum, Migration and Immigration Fund (AMIF), in order to achieve a solidarity based approach to European asylum and migration policy as safe and legal ways are the only way to prevent and reduce irregular migration; underlines the need to create possibilities within the Union budget, eventually through the creation of new financial instruments, to develop resettlement areas and safe zones on the African continent and in the Middle East,*

*in cooperation with the African Union, the Arab League and UNHCR; points out that this should not preclude the Union of assuming its fair share of responsibility towards hosting refugees in Europe;*

Or. en

## **Amendment 138**

**Bernd Kölmel**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 37**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

37. Notes with concern that, despite their topical nature and significant size, EU Trust Funds as well as the FRT are virtually invisible in the Union budget; calls for them to be ***incorporated in a way that is*** more transparent and more respectful of the unity of the Union budget and of the prerogatives of the budgetary authority, ***and creates new budget lines to that end***; also calls on the Commission to provide evidence that the use of financial instruments under the Trusts Funds does not result in diverting appropriations from the objectives under their initial legal bases; notes that the objective of leveraging national contributions on top of the Union budget has so far notoriously failed; ***highlights in that respect that, in future calls for an EU budget contribution to the Trust Funds, the Parliament will only agree to them once a comparable amount of Member States' contributions has been delivered***;

##### *Amendment*

37. Notes with concern that, despite their topical nature and significant size, EU Trust Funds as well as the FRT are virtually invisible in the Union budget; calls for them to be more transparent and more respectful of the unity of the Union budget and of the prerogatives of the budgetary authority; also calls on the Commission to provide evidence that the use of financial instruments under the Trusts Funds does not result in diverting appropriations from the objectives under their initial legal bases; notes that the objective of leveraging national contributions on top of the Union budget has so far notoriously failed, ***and that this objective will only be successful if Member States agree to contribute such funding in advance, as occurred with the FRT***;

Or. en

## **Amendment 139**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

37. Notes with concern that, despite their topical nature and significant size, EU Trust Funds as well as the FRT are virtually invisible in the Union budget; calls for them to be incorporated in a way that is more transparent and more respectful of the unity of the Union budget and of the prerogatives of the budgetary authority, and creates new budget lines to that end; also calls on the Commission to provide evidence that the use of financial instruments under the Trusts Funds does not result in diverting appropriations from the objectives under their initial legal bases; notes that the objective of leveraging national contributions on top of the Union budget has so far notoriously failed; highlights in that respect that, in future calls for an EU budget contribution to the Trust Funds, the Parliament will only agree to them once a comparable amount of Member States' contributions has been delivered;

*Amendment*

37. Notes with concern that, despite their topical nature and significant size, EU Trust Funds as well as the FRT are virtually invisible in the Union budget; calls for them to be incorporated in a way that is more transparent and more respectful of the unity of the Union budget and of the prerogatives of the budgetary authority, and creates new budget lines to that end; also calls on the Commission to provide evidence that the use of financial instruments under the Trusts Funds does not result in diverting appropriations from the objectives under their initial legal bases; notes that the objective of leveraging national contributions on top of the Union budget has so far notoriously failed; highlights in that respect that, in future calls for an EU budget contribution to the Trust Funds, the Parliament will only agree to them once a comparable amount of Member States' contributions has been delivered; ***invites, therefore, Member States to live up as soon as possible to their commitments;***

Or. en

**Amendment 140**  
**Jens Geier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***37 a. Notes that the guarantee fund for external actions, which covers defaults on loans and loan guarantees granted to non-Member States or for projects in non-Member States, has, according to the Commission report on guarantees covered***

*by the general budget (COM(2016) 576 final) additional financial needs in order to reach the target amount, which consequently led to a provisioning of EUR 228,04 million being inserted in the DB 2017; is concerned that this requirements put additional pressure on the already very tight ceilings in Heading 4;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 141**

**Ernest Maragall**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 38**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**38. Looks forward to the Commission's budgetary proposals to finance the new Migration Partnership Framework and the External Investment Plan; expects to have a favourable approach to mobilising further flexibility in order to endow them with fresh appropriations, but warns against undermining Parliament's amendments;**

*deleted*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 142**

**Bernd Kölmel**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 38**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**38. Looks forward to the Commission's budgetary proposals to finance the new Migration Partnership Framework and the External Investment Plan; *expects to have a favourable approach to mobilising***

**38. Looks forward to the Commission's budgetary proposals to finance the new Migration Partnership Framework and the External Investment Plan;**

*further flexibility in order to endow them with fresh appropriations, but warns against undermining Parliament's amendments;*

Or. en

### **Amendment 143**

**Ernest Maragall**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 38**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

38. *Looks forward to* the Commission's budgetary proposals to finance the new Migration Partnership Framework and the External Investment Plan; expects to have a favourable approach to mobilising further flexibility in order to endow them with fresh appropriations, but warns against undermining Parliament's amendments;

##### *Amendment*

38. *is aware of* the Commission's budgetary proposals to finance the new Migration Partnership Framework and the External Investment Plan; *expresses its concerns for the creation of potential new "satellites" outside the Union budget; reiterates the need to keep full parliamentary scrutiny over the Union budget; strongly insists on the respect for the principle of the unity of the budget; is convinced that the new priority should not be financed to the detriment of the Union projects;* expects to have a favourable approach to mobilising further flexibility in order to endow them with fresh appropriations, but warns against undermining Parliament's amendments;

Or. en

### **Amendment 144**

**Siegfried Mureşan**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 38**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

38. *Looks forward to* the

##### *Amendment*

38. *Welcomes* the Commission's

Commission's *budgetary* proposals *to finance* the new Migration Partnership Framework and the External Investment Plan; *expects to have a favourable approach to mobilising further flexibility in order to endow them with fresh appropriations, but warns against undermining Parliament's amendments;*

proposals *of* the new Migration Partnership Framework and the External Investment Plan; *believes that additional flexibility should be mobilised in order to provide an ambitious framework to promote investment in Africa and the EU Neighbourhood equipped with adequate, fresh appropriations;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 145**

**Lefteris Christoforou, Takis Hadjigeorgiou, Costas Mavrides, Eleni Theocharous, Demetris Papadakis, Neoklis Sylikiotis**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 39 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*39 a. Deems it necessary to increase appropriations for the Turkish Cypriot Community budget line (+EUR 3 million) for the purpose of contributing decisively to the continuation and intensification of the mission of the Committee on Missing Persons in Cyprus and of supporting the bicomunal Technical Committee on Cultural Heritage, thus promoting trust and reconciliation between the two communities;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 146**

**Notis Marias**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 40**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

40. Considers that Council's cuts are unjustified and harmful and restores the DB for all Commission administrative

40. Considers that Council's cuts are unjustified and harmful *for the Member States* and restores the DB for all

expenditure, including administrative and research support expenditure in headings 1 to 4;

Commission administrative expenditure, including administrative and research support expenditure in headings 1 to 4;

Or. en

**Amendment 147**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41**

*Motion for a resolution*

41. Decides, in the light of recent revelations and in order to regain the confidence of EU citizens and the credibility of the EU institutions, to hold 20% of appropriations of the Temporary Allowances for former Members in reserve until the Commission enforces a stricter Code of conduct for Commissioners to prevent conflict of interests and the revolving doors;

*Amendment*

41. Decides, in the light of recent revelations and in order to regain the confidence of EU citizens and the credibility of the EU institutions, to hold 20% of appropriations of the Temporary Allowances for former Members in reserve until the Commission enforces a ***pragmatic, effective, enforceable and*** stricter Code of conduct for Commissioners to prevent conflict of interests and the revolving doors ***in the hope to regain some of the respect to the role after recent failures;***

Or. en

**Amendment 148**  
**Indrek Tarand, Jens Geier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***41 a. Considers that interinstitutional administrative cooperation is a source of efficiency as know-how, capacities and resources developed for an institution can be made available to others; therefore asks to establish a system which limits administrative burdens to the necessary***

*minimum, ensures the appropriate quality of services, provides the main responsible institution with the necessary budgetary means and incentivises the cooperation of the other institutions by limiting their share to the marginal costs caused by the cooperation and as such aligning sound financial management decisions on level of the institutions with the overall sound financial management of the budget;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 149**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 42 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*42 a. Welcomes the budget increase of the efficient JHA agencies, especially those involved with migration and security; stresses that these agencies must be sufficiently resourced (including for investing in new technologies) and staffed when their mandates are increased;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 150**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

43. In the context of the current security challenges, and, bearing in mind the necessity for a coordinated European response, ***decides to increase, within the overall package on security, the appropriations for the European Union***

43. In the context of the current security challenges, and, bearing in mind the necessity for a coordinated European response, ***considers that some of these increases are not sufficient and decides to reinforce*** the appropriations for the

*Agency for Network and Information Security (ENISA), the European Police Office (Europol) and the European Union's Judicial Cooperation Unit;*

*European Police Office (Europol), the European Union's Judicial Cooperation Unit (Eurojust), the European Agency for the operational management of large-scale IT systems (EU-LISA) and the European Union Agency for Network and Information Security (ENISA);*

Or. en

**Amendment 151**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

43. In the context of the current security challenges, and, bearing in mind the necessity for a coordinated European response, decides to increase, within the overall package on security, the appropriations for the European Union Agency for Network and Information Security (ENISA), the European Police Office (Europol) and the European Union's Judicial Cooperation Unit;

*Amendment*

43. In the context of the current security challenges ***and imported threats to the safety of the Union and its Member States*** and, bearing in mind the necessity for a coordinated European response, decides to increase, within the overall package on security, the appropriations for the European Union Agency for Network and Information Security (ENISA), the European Police Office (Europol) and the European Union's Judicial Cooperation Unit;

Or. en

**Amendment 152**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 43 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***43 a. In detail, stresses the need for sufficient human and material resources for Europol's newly established European Counter Terrorism Center, EC3 and IRU,***

*including with regards to joint operational planning and threat assessment in order to strengthen a coordinated approach between the Member States to fight organised crime, cybercrime and internet related crime, terrorism and other serious crime; asks for additional funding for joint investigation teams;*

Or. en

**Amendment 153**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 43 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*43 b. Recalls the planned improvement and interoperability of the different JHA information systems announced by the Commission in its communication "the future framework for stronger and smarter information systems for border management and internal security" dated 6 April 2016; urges to foresee the need for appropriate resources for those technical solutions to be implemented swiftly and efficiently;*

Or. en

**Amendment 154**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 43 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*43 c. Welcomes the inclusion in the 2017 budget of the adequate resources to support the long term transformation of Frontex into a European Border and*

*Coast Guard agency and the transformation of EASO into a fully-fledged asylum agency; stresses that, while the resources for the European Border and Coast Guard seem adequate for now, the future needs of the agency in terms of operational resources and staff will have to be closely monitored to that the agency does not lag behind reality;*

Or. en

**Amendment 155**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

44. In view of the deteriorating humanitarian situation in Europe's southern neighbourhood, and the increased number of asylum seekers, decides furthermore to increase the 2016 budget appropriations for the European Asylum Support Office;

*Amendment*

44. In view of the deteriorating humanitarian situation in Europe's southern neighbourhood *due to unprecedented migrant flows* and the *consequent imbalanced and disproportionate pressure towards affected Member States as well as the* increased number of *continually arriving* asylum seekers, decides furthermore to increase the 2016 budget appropriations for the European Asylum Support Office;

Or. en

**Amendment 156**  
**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

44. In view of the deteriorating humanitarian situation in Europe's southern neighbourhood, *and* the increased

*Amendment*

44. In view of the deteriorating humanitarian situation in Europe's southern neighbourhood, the increased

number of asylum seekers, decides furthermore to increase the 2016 budget appropriations for the European Asylum Support Office;

number of asylum seekers, **and mainly the intention to reinforce its mandate further than the Commission's proposal**, decides furthermore to increase the 2016 budget appropriations for the European Asylum Support Office;

Or. en

### **Amendment 157**

**Marco Zanni, Marco Valli**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 45**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

45. ***Reiterates its disagreement for the Commission's and the Council's approach to agencies' staffing, and therefore modifies a substantial number of establishment plans***; underlines once more that each agency should cut 5 % of posts over 5 years as agreed in the IIA, ***but that new posts that are needed to fulfil additional tasks due to new policy developments and new legislation since 2013 have to be accompanied by additional resources and need to be counted outside the IIA staff reduction target; emphasises therefore again its opposition to the concept of a redeployment pool amongst agencies, but reaffirms its openness to free posts by means of achieving efficiency gains between agencies through increased administrative cooperation or even mergers where appropriate and through pooling certain functions with either the Commission or another agency***;

##### *Amendment*

45. ***Welcomes the concept of a redeployment pool amongst agencies and encourages its use whenever possible***; underlines once more that each agency should cut 5 % of posts over 5 years as agreed in the IIA; reaffirms its openness to ***reduce*** posts by means of achieving efficiency gains between agencies through increased administrative cooperation or even ***analyse the possibilities of*** mergers where appropriate and through pooling certain functions with either the Commission or another agency;

Or. en

### **Amendment 158**

**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 45**

*Motion for a resolution*

45. Reiterates its **disagreement** for the Commission's and the Council's approach to agencies' staffing, **and therefore modifies a substantial number of establishment plans**; underlines once more that each agency should cut 5 % of posts over 5 years as agreed in the IIA, but that new posts that are needed to fulfil additional tasks due to new policy developments and new legislation since 2013 have to be accompanied by additional resources and need to be counted outside the IIA staff reduction target; **emphasises therefore again its opposition to the concept of a redeployment pool amongst agencies, but** reaffirms its openness to free posts by means of achieving efficiency gains between agencies through increased administrative cooperation or even mergers where appropriate and through pooling certain functions with either the Commission or another agency;

*Amendment*

45. Reiterates its **support** for the Commission's and the Council's approach to agencies' staffing; underlines once more that each agency should cut 5 % of posts over 5 years as agreed in the IIA, but that **in some agencies** new posts that are needed to fulfil additional tasks due to new policy developments and new legislation since 2013 have to be accompanied by additional resources and **may** need to be counted outside the IIA staff reduction target; reaffirms its openness to free posts by means of achieving efficiency gains between agencies through increased administrative cooperation or even mergers where appropriate and through pooling certain functions with either the Commission or another agency;

Or. en

**Amendment 159**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Stresses that substantial operational and personnel savings could be achieved if agencies operating from more than one place (ENISA, eu-LISA, ERA) were limited to one seat only; is of the opinion that current operational needs of those agencies make such change feasible; **underlines that moving** the European

*Amendment*

46. Stresses that substantial operational and personnel savings could be achieved if agencies operating from more than one place (ENISA, eu-LISA, ERA) were limited to one seat only; is of the opinion that current operational needs of those agencies make such change feasible; **points out, however, that in the case of the**

Banking Authority (EBA) away from London *and merging it with at least one of the two other Supervisory Authorities could lead to considerable savings in the costs of the two agencies; invites the Commission to put forward a proposal in this respect;*

European Banking Authority (EBA), *sufficient resources should be provided for in the 2017 and any future draft budget to prepare for a relocation away from London, such relocation to take place when the United Kingdom and the Union finish negotiations pursuant to Article 50 of the Treaty on the European Union and the UK withdraws from the Union; emphasises that the ESAs must stick strictly to the tasks assigned to them by the Union legislator and must not seek to de facto broaden their mandate beyond those assignments;*

Or. en

**Amendment 160**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Stresses that substantial operational and personnel savings could be achieved if agencies operating from more than one place (ENISA, eu-LISA, ERA) were limited to one seat only; is of the opinion that current operational needs of those agencies make such change feasible; underlines that moving the European Banking Authority (EBA) away from London and merging it with at least one of the two other Supervisory Authorities could lead to considerable savings in the costs of the two agencies; invites the Commission to put forward a proposal in this respect;

*Amendment*

46. Stresses that substantial operational and personnel savings could be achieved if agencies operating from more than one place (ENISA, eu-LISA, ERA) were limited to one seat only; is of the opinion that current operational needs of those agencies make such change feasible; underlines that moving the European Banking Authority (EBA) away from London and merging it with at least one of the two other Supervisory Authorities could lead to considerable *synergistic* savings in the costs of the two agencies; invites the Commission to put forward a proposal in this respect;

Or. en

**Amendment 161**  
**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 46 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**46 a.** *Considers that the grades of temporary posts for the European Border and Coast Guard Agency have to be increased to meet the complex nature of the new tasks assigned to the agency by the revised regulation;*

Or. en

**Amendment 162**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

47. Having carried out a careful analysis of the pilot projects and preparatory actions submitted as regards the rate of success of the on-going ones, excluding initiatives already covered by existing legal bases and taking fully into account the Commission's assessment of the projects' implementability, decides to adopt a compromise package made up of a limited number of PP-PAs, also in view of the limited margins available;

47. Having carried out a careful analysis of the pilot projects and preparatory actions submitted as regards the rate of success of the on-going ones, excluding initiatives already covered by existing legal bases and taking fully into account the Commission's assessment of the projects' implementability, decides to adopt a compromise package made up of a limited number of PP-PAs, also in view of the limited margins available ***and the ceilings for PP-PAs;***

Or. en

**Amendment 163**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47**

*Motion for a resolution*

47. Having carried out a careful analysis of the pilot projects and preparatory actions submitted as regards the rate of success of the on-going ones, excluding initiatives already covered by existing legal bases and taking fully into account the Commission's assessment of the projects' implementability, decides to adopt a compromise package made up of a limited number of PP-PAs, *also in view of the limited margins available*;

*Amendment*

47. Having carried out a careful analysis of the pilot projects and preparatory actions submitted as regards the rate of success of the on-going ones, excluding initiatives already covered by existing legal bases and taking fully into account the Commission's assessment of the projects' implementability, decides to adopt a compromise package made up of a limited number of PP-PAs;

Or. en

**Amendment 164**  
**Victor Negrescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47**

*Motion for a resolution*

47. Having carried out a careful analysis of the pilot projects and preparatory actions submitted as regards the rate of success of the on-going ones, excluding initiatives already covered by existing legal bases and taking fully into account the Commission's assessment of the projects' implementability, decides to adopt a compromise package made up of a limited number of PP-PAs, *also in view of the limited margins available*;

*Amendment*

47. Having carried out a careful analysis of the pilot projects and preparatory actions submitted as regards the rate of success of the on-going ones, excluding initiatives already covered by existing legal bases and taking fully into account the Commission's assessment of the projects' implementability, decides to adopt a compromise package made up of a limited number of PP-PAs, *taking into consideration* the limited margins available;

Or. en

**Amendment 165**  
**Victor Negrescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**47 a. Calls for the increase of commitment appropriations for pilot projects and preparatory actions, taking into consideration it is the only initiative of Members of the European Parliament to intervene on the Union budget, designed to test its feasibility and usefulness;**

Or. en

### **Amendment 166**

**Victor Negrescu**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 48**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

48. Recalls the importance of the Emergency Aid Reserve in providing a rapid response ***to specific aid requirements for third*** countries for unforeseen events and its earlier call for a substantial increase in its financial envelope, as part of the revision of the MFF; notes that its very quick consumption in 2016, likely to use up all possibilities of carry-over, is an indication that this special instrument will be vastly insufficient to address all additional needs in 2017; increases therefore its appropriations to reach an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion and expects the MFF Regulation to be adjusted accordingly;

48. Recalls the importance of the Emergency Aid Reserve in providing a rapid response ***such as financing humanitarian, civilian crisis management and protection operations in non-EU*** countries for unforeseen events and its earlier call for a substantial increase in its financial envelope, as part of the revision of the MFF; notes that its very quick consumption in 2016, likely to use up all possibilities of carry-over, is an indication that this special instrument will be vastly insufficient to address all additional needs in 2017; increases therefore its appropriations to reach an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion and expects the MFF Regulation to be adjusted accordingly, ***enabling the scope of intervention to be broadened;***

Or. en

**Amendment 167**  
**Siegfried Mureşan, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48**

*Motion for a resolution*

48. Recalls the importance of the Emergency Aid Reserve in providing a rapid response to specific aid requirements for third countries for unforeseen events and its earlier call for a substantial increase in its financial envelope, as part of the revision of the MFF; notes that its very quick consumption in 2016, likely to use up all possibilities of carry-over, is an indication that this special instrument will **be vastly** insufficient to address all additional needs in 2017; increases therefore its appropriations to reach an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion **and expects the MFF Regulation** to be **adjusted accordingly**;

*Amendment*

48. Recalls the importance of the Emergency Aid Reserve (**EAR**) in providing a rapid response to specific aid requirements for third countries for unforeseen events and its earlier call for a substantial increase in its financial envelope, as part of the revision of the MFF; notes that its very quick consumption in 2016, likely to use up all possibilities of carry-over, is an indication that this special instrument will **prove to be** insufficient to address all additional needs in 2017; increases therefore its appropriations to reach an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion, **pending a decision on the annual allocation of the EAR** to be **taken in the context of the MFF mid-term revision**;

Or. en

**Amendment 168**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48**

*Motion for a resolution*

48. Recalls the importance of the Emergency Aid Reserve in providing a rapid response to specific aid requirements for third countries for unforeseen events and its earlier call for a substantial increase in its financial envelope, as part of the revision of the MFF; notes that its very quick consumption in 2016, likely to use up all possibilities of carry-over, is **an** indication that this special instrument will

*Amendment*

48. Recalls the importance of the Emergency Aid Reserve in providing a rapid response to specific aid requirements for third countries for unforeseen events and its earlier call for a substantial increase in its financial envelope, as part of the revision of the MFF; notes that its very quick consumption in 2016, likely to use up all possibilities of carry-over, is **a strong** indication that this special

be vastly insufficient to address all additional needs in 2017; increases therefore its appropriations to reach an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion and expects the MFF Regulation to be adjusted accordingly;

instrument will be vastly insufficient to address all additional needs in 2017; increases therefore its appropriations to reach an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion and expects the MFF Regulation to be adjusted accordingly;

Or. en

**Amendment 169**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 49**

*Motion for a resolution*

49. **Restores the DB for Reserves for** the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund and the European Union Solidarity Fund in order to ease the mobilisation of these special instruments;

*Amendment*

49. **Considers the average annual use of** the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund and the European Union Solidarity Fund **does not justify a restoration of the DB for reserves** in order to ease the mobilisation of these special instruments;

Or. en

**Amendment 170**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 50**

*Motion for a resolution*

50. Voices concern over the severe decrease in payments appropriations in the 2017 Draft Budget as compared with the 2016 budget; notes that this reveals implementation delays which are not only worrying for the delivery of Union policies but also entail the risk of rebuilding a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the current programming period, unless a satisfactory agreement is found as part of the MFF revision; **regrets**, furthermore, the

*Amendment*

50. Voices concern over the severe decrease in payments appropriations in the 2017 Draft Budget as compared with the 2016 budget; notes that this reveals implementation delays which are not only worrying for the delivery of Union policies but also entail the risk of rebuilding a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the current programming period, unless a satisfactory agreement is found as part of the MFF revision; **notes**, furthermore, the

Council's cuts in payments, *despite the comfortable margins available below the ceilings*;

Council's cuts in payments, *primarily related to absorption and implementation difficulties in subheading 1b*;

Or. en

**Amendment 171**  
**Siegfried Mureşan, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 50**

*Motion for a resolution*

50. Voices concern over the *severe* decrease in payments appropriations in the 2017 Draft Budget as compared with the 2016 budget; notes that this reveals implementation delays which are not only worrying for the delivery of Union policies but also entail the risk of rebuilding a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the current programming period, *unless a satisfactory agreement is found* as part of the MFF revision; regrets, furthermore, the Council's cuts in payments, despite the comfortable margins available below the ceilings;

*Amendment*

50. Voices concern over the *important* decrease in payments appropriations in the 2017 Draft Budget as compared with the 2016 budget; notes that this reveals implementation delays which are not only worrying for the delivery of Union policies but also entail the risk of rebuilding a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the current programming period; *considers that this matter should be tackled* as part of the MFF revision; regrets, furthermore, the Council's cuts in payments, despite the comfortable margins available below the ceilings;

Or. en

**Amendment 172**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 50 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*50 a. Stresses that, at the request of Parliament, a payment plan has been agreed with the aim of reducing the backlog of outstanding cohesion policy-related payment claims for 2007-2013 to a 'normal' level of EUR 2 billion by the end*

*of 2016; points out that at least EUR 8,2 billion of unpaid bills were identified at the end of 2015 for 2007-2013 in the field of cohesion policy, a figure which is expected to fall below EUR 2 billion by the end of 2016; believes that a joint payment plan for 2016-2020 should be binding, developed and agreed between the three institutions; insists that such a new payment plan should be based on sound financial management and provide for a clear strategy to meet all payment needs in all headings until the end of the current MFF, and to avoid a 'hidden backlog' caused by an artificial slowdown in the implementation of certain multiannual programmes and other mitigating measures, such as the reduction of pre-financing rates;*

Or. en

**Amendment 173**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 52**

*Motion for a resolution*

52. *Maintains unchanged* the overall level of its budget for 2017, as adopted by the plenary on 14 April 2016 at EUR 1 900 873 000; incorporates the budgetary neutral technical adjustments to reflect into the budget its recent decisions *and releases* the reserve on the transport of Members, persons and goods budget line;

*Amendment*

52. *Believes further efficiency savings could be made in* the overall level of its budget for 2017, as adopted by the plenary on 14 April 2016 at EUR 1 900 873 000, *by focusing on Parliament's core function as a co-legislator*; incorporates the budgetary neutral technical adjustments to reflect into the budget its recent decisions; *regrets the need to release* the reserve on the transport of Members, persons and goods budget line, *and continues to question the added value and added expense of the internalisation of the chauffeur service*;

Or. en

**Amendment 174**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmaz Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 52**

*Motion for a resolution*

52. Maintains unchanged the overall level of its budget for 2017, as adopted by the plenary on 14 April 2016 at EUR 1 900 873 000; incorporates the budgetary neutral technical adjustments to reflect into the budget its recent decisions ***and releases the reserve on the transport of Members, persons and goods budget line;***

*Amendment*

52. Maintains unchanged the overall level of its budget for 2017, as adopted by the plenary on 14 April 2016 at EUR 1 900 873 000; incorporates the budgetary neutral technical adjustments to reflect into the budget its recent decisions; ***opposes the additional expense of EUR 3,7 million to internalize the chauffeur service, which is against the Parliament's will as expressed in the abovementioned paragraph 47 of its resolution of 14 April 2016 on Parliament's estimates of revenue and expenditure for 2017;***

Or. en

**Amendment 175**

**Marco Zanni, Marco Valli**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 52 – subparagraph 1 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Regrets the proposal of internalisation of chauffeur service which will correspond to other additional expenses; believes that, in order to reduce the expenditures, the transport service should eventually only be provided to/from the airport/railway station and the places of work and the preference should be given to the use of minivans and buses, at scheduled times; underlines the great advantage in economic, social and environmental terms offered by the new train connection from***

*Brussels Airport to Brussels-Gare du Luxembourg; considers that any other travel should be privately arranged by MEPs;*

Or. en

**Amendment 176**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 53**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**53. Approves the changes in its establishment plan and corresponding budgetary appropriations to respond to additional needs of the political groups; fully compensates these reinforcements by reducing the appropriations in the contingency reserve and fitting out of premises budget line;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 177**

**Marco Zanni, Marco Valli, Jonathan Arnott**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 53**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**53. Approves the changes in its establishment plan and corresponding budgetary appropriations to respond to additional needs of the political groups; fully compensates these reinforcements by reducing the appropriations in the contingency reserve and fitting out of premises budget line;**

**53. Deplores the increase of the expenditure relating to parliamentary assistance and the additional requests from the political groups; believes that the support to Members for the accomplishment of their parliamentary activities is already adequate; asks moreover for a reduction of MEPs' salaries, allowances and travel expenses;**

**Amendment 178**

**Notis Marias**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 53**

*Motion for a resolution*

53. *Approves* the changes in its establishment plan and corresponding budgetary appropriations *to* respond to additional needs of the political groups; fully compensates these reinforcements by reducing the appropriations in the contingency reserve and fitting out of premises budget line;

*Amendment*

53. *Takes note of* the changes in its establishment plan and corresponding budgetary appropriations *which will* respond to additional needs of the political groups; fully compensates these reinforcements by reducing the appropriations in the contingency reserve and fitting out of premises budget line;

Or. en

**Amendment 179**

**Marco Zanni, Marco Valli, Jonathan Arnott**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 54**

*Motion for a resolution*

54. *Recalls its political decision to exempt the political groups from the 5% staff reduction target, as underlined in its resolutions on the budgets 2014<sup>8</sup>, 2015<sup>9</sup> and 2016<sup>10</sup>;*

*Amendment*

54. *Believes that the total level of staff in political groups should be included in the 5 % staff reduction target;*

---

<sup>8</sup> *Texts adopted, P7\_TA(2013)0437*

<sup>9</sup> *Text adopted, P8\_TA(2014)0036*

<sup>10</sup> *Text adopted, P8\_TA(2015)0376*

Or. en

**Amendment 180**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 54**

*Motion for a resolution*

54. Recalls its political decision to exempt the political groups from the 5% staff reduction target, as underlined in its resolutions on the budgets 2014<sup>8</sup>, 2015<sup>9</sup> and 2016<sup>10</sup>;

---

<sup>8</sup> Texts adopted, P7\_TA(2013)0437

<sup>9</sup> Text adopted, P8\_TA(2014)0036

<sup>10</sup> Text adopted, P8\_TA(2015)0376

*Amendment*

54. Recalls its political decision to exempt the political groups ***and the non-attached*** from the 5% staff reduction target, as underlined in its resolutions on the budgets 2014<sup>8</sup>, 2015<sup>9</sup> and 2016<sup>10</sup>;

---

<sup>8</sup> Texts adopted, P7\_TA(2013)0437

<sup>9</sup> Text adopted, P8\_TA(2014)0036

<sup>10</sup> Text adopted, P8\_TA(2015)0376

Or. en

**Amendment 181**  
**Marco Zanni, Marco Valli, Jonathan Arnott**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 54 – subparagraph 1 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Deplores the increase of public funding for European political parties (from EUR 10 339 866 in 2008 to EUR 31 905 000 in 2017) and for European political foundations (from EUR 4 268 630 in 2008 to EUR 19 000 000 in 2017);***

Or. en

**Amendment 182**  
**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 56**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**56. Reduces further its establishment plan by 20 posts to reflect the end of the transfer of posts foreseen in the cooperation agreement with the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions; stresses that as these posts were not budgeted, no appropriations need to be reduced on Parliament's side;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 183  
Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 57**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

57. Encourages the Secretary-General to propose detailed arrangements for the full sharing of back office functions and services between Parliament, the Committee of the Regions and the European Economic and Social Committee;

57. Encourages the Secretary-General to propose detailed arrangements for the full sharing of back office functions and services between Parliament, the Committee of the Regions and the European Economic and Social Committee; ***calls on the Secretary-General to also undertake a study on whether synergies in back office functions and services can also be made between the Parliament, the Commission and the Council;***

Or. en

**Amendment 184  
Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 57**

*Motion for a resolution*

57. Encourages the **Secretary-General to propose detailed arrangements for the full sharing of back office functions and services between** Parliament, the Committee of the Regions and the European Economic and Social Committee;

*Amendment*

57. Encourages the **Secretaries-General of the European** Parliament, the Committee of the Regions and the European Economic and Social Committee **to work together on possible further arrangements for the sharing of back office functions and services between the three institutions;**

Or. en

**Amendment 185**

**Paul Rübzig**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 57**

*Motion for a resolution*

57. Encourages the Secretary-General to propose detailed arrangements for **the full** sharing of back office functions and services between Parliament, the Committee of the Regions and the European Economic and Social Committee;

*Amendment*

57. Encourages the Secretary-General to propose detailed arrangements for **more** sharing of back office functions and services between Parliament, the Committee of the Regions and the European Economic and Social Committee;

Or. en

**Amendment 186**

**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 59**

*Motion for a resolution*

59. Reiterates that the implementation of the staff reduction target should not jeopardize the proper functioning of the Institution and the exercise by the Parliament of its core powers, nor alter its

*Amendment*

59. Reiterates that the implementation of the staff reduction target should not jeopardize the proper functioning of the Institution and the exercise by the Parliament of its core powers **as exercised**

legislative excellence, or the quality of the working conditions for members and staff;

*by the Treaties*, nor alter its legislative excellence *or work output*, or the quality of the working conditions for members and staff;

Or. en

**Amendment 187**

**Indrek Tarand**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 59 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***59 a. In the light of the multiple problems encountered in this year's internal budgetary procedure, concludes that it is inevitable to overhaul Chapter 9 and relevant parts of other chapters of its Rules of Procedure to achieve what the European Parliament called for in its abovementioned resolution of 14 April 2016 on Parliament's estimates of revenue and expenditure for the financial year 2017, i.e. that "any relevant information should be presented to Members of the Bureau and the Committee on Budgets at every stage of the procedure in a timely and intelligible manner and with the necessary level of detail and breakdowns in order to enable the Bureau, the Committee on Budgets and the political groups to conduct proper deliberations and base decisions on a comprehensive picture of the state and needs of Parliament's budget;"***

Or. en

**Amendment 188**

**Vladimír Maňka, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 59 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**59 b.** Pursuant to point 15 of its abovementioned resolution of 14 April 2016 on Parliament's estimates of revenue and expenditure for the financial year 2017, requires that the method of establishment of the budget of the Parliament on the basis of the current needs and not on the basis of a system of coefficients is used for the first time during the budgetary procedure for the financial year 2018;

Or. en

**Amendment 189**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 60**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

60. Recalls that the administration committed to submit a medium and long term budgetary planning, including a clear distinction between investments and operational expenditure relating to the functioning of the institution, including the compulsory statutory obligations; expects therefore that 2018 preliminary draft estimates would be presented in the same format;

60. Recalls that the administration *is* committed to submit a medium and long term *practicable* budgetary planning, including a clear distinction between investments and operational expenditure relating to the functioning of the institution, including the compulsory statutory obligations; expects therefore that 2018 preliminary draft estimates would be presented in the same format;

Or. en

**Amendment 190**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 60 a (new)**

**60 a.** *Recalls the 2013 Fox-Häfner report<sup>1a</sup>, which estimated the costs of the geographic dispersion of the Parliament to be between EUR 156 million and EUR 204 million and equivalent to 10% of the Parliament's budget; notes the finding that 78% of all missions by Parliament statutory staff arise as a direct result of the Parliament's geographic dispersion; emphasises that the report also estimates the environmental impact of the geographic dispersion to be between 11,000 to 19,000 tonnes of CO2 emissions; reiterates the negative public perception caused by this dispersion and calls therefore for a roadmap to a single seat and a reduction in the relevant budget lines;*

---

<sup>1a</sup> *Texts adopted, P7\_TA(2013)0498.*

Or. en

**Amendment 191**

**Pina Picierno, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Daniele Viotti, Molly Scott Cato, Lynn Boylan, Merja Kyllönen, Peter van Dalen, Bart Staes, Luigi Morgano, Michaela Šojdrová, Elly Schlein, Helga Stevens, Jeppe Kofod, Lara Comi, Marietje Schaake, Jens Geier, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Romana Tomc, Jan Zahradil, Bas Eickhout, Judith Sargentini, Dennis de Jong, Tomáš Zdechovský, Monika Vana, Nicola Caputo, Nils Torvalds, Jeroen Lenaers, Ivan Štefanec, Ulrike Lunacek**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 60 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**60 b.** *stresses that the Parliament and the Council, in order to create long term savings in the Union budget, must address the need for a roadmap to a single seat, as requested by the large majority of this Parliament in several resolutions;*

**Amendment 192**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 60 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**60 c. *Regrets that despite numerous calls by the Committee on Budgets, mid-term and long-term strategy for Parliament buildings is not available for informed committee deliberations;***

Or. en

**Amendment 193**

**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 60 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**60 d. *Notes that the Discharge 2014: EU general budget - European Parliament report<sup>1a</sup> called for a clearer segregation between the roles of President and 'Spitzenkandidat' during the 2014 European Election campaign; believes that questions remain regarding the role of Parliament staff and international missions taken by the President during this campaign; calls for a portion of the relevant budget lines to be placed in reserve until these issues have been addressed satisfactorily;***

---

<sup>1a</sup> *Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2016)0150.*

Or. en

**Amendment 194**  
**Christofer Fjellner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 61**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**61. Given the substantial benefits that Google and similar companies are deriving from the Parliament's translation service to boost Google Translate and similar translation services, calls on the Secretary General to negotiate with Google and similar companies an appropriate contribution to the EP Budget;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 195**  
**Paul Rübiger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 61**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**61. Given the substantial benefits that Google and similar companies are deriving from the Parliament's translation service to boost Google Translate and similar translation services, calls on the Secretary General to negotiate with Google and similar companies an appropriate contribution to the EP Budget;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 196**  
**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 61**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**61.** *Given the substantial benefits that Google and similar companies are deriving from the Parliament's translation service to boost Google Translate and similar translation services, calls on the Secretary General to negotiate with Google and similar companies an appropriate contribution to the EP Budget;* **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 197**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 61**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**61.** *Given the substantial benefits that Google and similar companies are deriving from the Parliament's translation service to boost Google Translate and similar translation services, calls on the Secretary General to negotiate with Google and similar companies an appropriate contribution to the EP Budget;* **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 198**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 61**

*Motion for a resolution*

61. Given the substantial benefits that Google and similar companies are deriving from the Parliament's translation service to boost Google Translate and similar translation services, calls on the Secretary General to negotiate with Google and similar companies an appropriate contribution to the EP Budget;

*Amendment*

61. Given the substantial benefits that Google and similar companies are deriving from the Parliament's ***own and unique proprietary*** translation service to boost Google Translate and similar translation services, calls on the Secretary General to negotiate with Google and similar companies an appropriate contribution to the EP Budget;

Or. en

**Amendment 199**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64**

*Motion for a resolution*

64. Expresses its dissatisfaction with the unilateral statement of the Council and the related appendix on the 5 % staff reduction in the Council's position on the 2017 DB according to which the Court still needs to reduce its establishment plan by 19 posts; underlines that those 19 posts correspond to the 12 and 7 posts duly granted by Parliament and the Council in the 2015 and 2016 budgetary procedures respectively to address additional needs and insists therefore that those 19 posts should not be given back, the Court having already duly achieved its 5 % staff reduction requirement by suppressing 98 posts during the period 2013-2017;

*Amendment*

64. Expresses its dissatisfaction with the unilateral statement of the Council and the related appendix on the 5 % staff reduction in the Council's position on the 2017 DB according to which the Court still needs to reduce its establishment plan by 19 posts; underlines that those 19 posts correspond to the 12 and 7 posts duly granted by Parliament and the Council in the 2015 and 2016 budgetary procedures respectively to address additional needs and insists therefore that those 19 posts should not be given back, the Court having already duly achieved its 5 % staff reduction requirement by ***successfully and commendably*** suppressing 98 posts during the period 2013-2017;

Or. en

**Amendment 200**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Restores the standard abatement rate to its initial level of 2,6 % in order to allow the Court of Auditors to meet its needs in respect of the establishment plan;

*Amendment*

65. Restores the standard abatement rate to its initial level of 2,6 % in order to allow the Court of Auditors to ***honour its mandate and*** meet its needs in respect of the establishment plan;

Or. en

**Amendment 201**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. Restores an additional five budget items cut by Council for the Court of Auditors to implement its work programme and deliver the planned Audit Reports;

*Amendment*

66. Restores an additional five budget items cut by Council for the Court of Auditors to implement its work programme and ***promptly*** deliver the planned ***and future*** Audit Reports;

Or. en

**Amendment 202**  
**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 69**

*Motion for a resolution*

***69. Reinstates the 12 posts and related appropriations cut by the Commission in the DB in accordance with the abovementioned cooperation agreement , thus reflecting the actual number of posts transferred from the European Economic***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

*and Social Committee to Parliament;*

Or. en

**Amendment 203**

**Marco Zanni, Marco Valli, Jonathan Arnott**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 69**

*Motion for a resolution*

**69. Reinstates the 12 posts and related appropriations cut by the Commission in the DB in accordance with the abovementioned cooperation agreement, thus reflecting the actual number of posts transferred from the European Economic and Social Committee to Parliament;**

*Amendment*

**69. Asks for a radical revision of the role of the European Economic and Social Committee and for a consequent reduction of its appropriations;**

Or. en

**Amendment 204**

**G rard Deprez, Louis Michel, Urmas Paet, Charles Goerens, Nils Torvalds, Anneli J tteenm ki**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 71**

*Motion for a resolution*

**71. Reinstates the eight posts and related appropriations cut by the Commission in the DB in accordance with the abovementioned cooperation agreement, thus reflecting the actual number of posts transferred from the Committee of the Regions to Parliament;**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 205**

**Marco Zanni, Marco Valli, Jonathan Arnott**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 71**

*Motion for a resolution*

71. *Reinstates the eight posts and related appropriations cut by the Commission in the DB in accordance with the abovementioned cooperation agreement, thus reflecting the actual number of posts transferred from the Committee of the Regions to Parliament;*

*Amendment*

71. *Asks for a radical revision of the role of the Committee of the Regions and for a consequent reduction of its appropriations;*

Or. en

**Amendment 206**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75**

*Motion for a resolution*

75. Notes with regret that the Council has decreased the DB of the Ombudsman by -EUR 195 000; underlines that this reduction would impose a disproportionate burden on the very limited budget of the Ombudsman and would have major impact on the institution's capacity to serve the European citizens effectively; restores therefore all the budget lines cut by Council in order to enable the Ombudsman to fulfil her mandate and commitments;

*Amendment*

75. Notes with regret that the Council has decreased the DB of the Ombudsman by -EUR 195 000; underlines that this reduction would impose a disproportionate burden on the very limited budget of the Ombudsman and would have major impact on the institution's capacity to serve the European citizens effectively; restores therefore all the budget lines cut by Council in order to enable the Ombudsman to fulfil her mandate and commitments *which have already been put at risk;*

Or. en

**Amendment 207**  
**Notis Marias**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75**

*Motion for a resolution*

75. **Notes with regret** that the Council has decreased the DB of the Ombudsman by -EUR 195 000; underlines that this reduction would impose a disproportionate burden on the very limited budget of the Ombudsman and would have major impact on the institution's capacity to serve the European citizens effectively; restores therefore all the budget lines cut by Council in order to enable the Ombudsman to fulfil her mandate and commitments;

*Amendment*

75. **Condemns the fact** that the Council has decreased the DB of the Ombudsman by -EUR 195 000; underlines that this reduction would impose a disproportionate burden on the very limited budget of the Ombudsman and would have major impact on the institution's capacity to serve the European citizens effectively; restores therefore all the budget lines cut by Council in order to enable the Ombudsman to fulfil her mandate and commitments;

Or. en

**Amendment 208**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75**

*Motion for a resolution*

75. Notes **with regret** that the Council has decreased the DB of the Ombudsman by -EUR 195 000; underlines that this reduction would impose a disproportionate burden on the very limited budget of the Ombudsman and would have major impact on the institution's capacity to serve the European citizens effectively; restores therefore all the budget lines cut by Council in order to enable the Ombudsman to fulfil her mandate and commitments;

*Amendment*

75. Notes that the Council has decreased the DB of the Ombudsman by -EUR 195 000; underlines that this reduction would impose a disproportionate burden on the very limited budget of the Ombudsman and would have major impact on the institution's capacity to serve the European citizens effectively; restores therefore all the budget lines cut by Council in order to enable the Ombudsman to fulfil her mandate and commitments;

Or. en

**Amendment 209**  
**Notis Marias**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 76**

*Motion for a resolution*

76. **Notes with regret** that the Council has decreased the DB of the European Data Protection Supervisor by -EUR 395 000; points out that this is in sharp contrast with the additional task conferred upon the institution by Parliament and the Council and **would** jeopardise the institution's capacity to serve the European institutions effectively; restores therefore all the budget lines cut by Council to enable the European Data Protection Supervisor to fulfil his obligations and commitments;

*Amendment*

76. **Condemns the fact** that the Council has decreased the DB of the European Data Protection Supervisor by -EUR 395 000; points out that this is in sharp contrast with the additional task conferred upon the institution by Parliament and the Council and **there is a high risk to** jeopardise the institution's capacity to serve the European institutions effectively; restores therefore all the budget lines cut by Council to enable the European Data Protection Supervisor to fulfil his obligations and commitments;

Or. en

**Amendment 210**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 78**

*Motion for a resolution*

78. Decides furthermore to create a Strategic Communication Capacity budget item in line with the European Council conclusions of March 2015 and equip the EEAS with adequate staff and tools to face the **challenge** of disinformation from third states **and** non-state actors;

*Amendment*

78. Decides furthermore to create a Strategic Communication Capacity budget item in line with the European Council conclusions of March 2015 and equip the EEAS with adequate staff and tools to face the **risks** of disinformation from third states, **entities and agencies and other** non-state actors;

Or. en

**Amendment 211**  
**Eleftherios Synadinos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 80**

*Motion for a resolution*

80. Is convinced that the Union budget can contribute to addressing effectively not only the consequences but also the root causes of the crises that the Union is currently facing; takes the view, however, that unforeseen events with a Union-wide dimension should be tackled by pooling efforts **and putting additional means** at Union level rather than by calling past commitments into question **or reverting to the illusion of purely national solutions**; stresses, therefore, that flexibility provisions are there to enable such a joint and speedy response and should be used **to the full** in order to make up for the tight constraints of the MFF ceilings;

*Amendment*

80. Is convinced that the Union budget can contribute to addressing effectively not only the consequences but also the root causes of the **illegal migration and the economic** crises that **Member States experience in addition to the constant versatile threats of the external borders** that the Union is currently facing; takes the view, however, that unforeseen events with a Union-wide dimension should be tackled by pooling efforts at Union level rather than by calling past commitments into question **while reverting back to national solutions could always be supported as a workable approach where applicable**; stresses, therefore, that flexibility provisions are there to enable such a joint and speedy response and should be used in order to make up for the tight constraints of the MFF ceilings;

Or. en

**Amendment 212**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 80**

*Motion for a resolution*

80. Is convinced that the Union budget can contribute to addressing effectively not only the consequences but also the root causes of the crises that the Union is currently facing; takes the view, however, that unforeseen events with a Union-wide dimension should be tackled by pooling efforts **and putting additional means at Union level rather than by calling past commitments into question or reverting to the illusion of purely national solutions**; stresses, therefore, that flexibility provisions are there to enable such a joint

*Amendment*

80. Is convinced that the Union budget, **with a clear implementation strategy**, can contribute to addressing effectively not only the consequences but also the root causes of the crises that the Union is currently facing; takes the view, however, that unforeseen events with a Union-wide dimension should be tackled by pooling efforts; stresses, therefore, that flexibility provisions are there to enable such a joint and speedy response and should be used to the full in order to make up for the tight constraints of the MFF ceilings;

and speedy response and should be used to the full in order to make up for the tight constraints of the MFF ceilings;

Or. en

### **Amendment 213**

**Notis Marias**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 80**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

80. Is convinced that the Union budget **can contribute to addressing** effectively not only the consequences but also the root causes of the crises that **the Union is** currently facing; takes the view, however, that unforeseen events with a Union-wide dimension should be tackled by pooling efforts and putting additional means at Union level rather than by calling past commitments into question or reverting to the illusion of purely national solutions; stresses, therefore, that flexibility provisions are there to enable such a joint and speedy response and should be used to the full in order to make up for the tight constraints of the MFF ceilings;

###### *Amendment*

80. Is convinced that the Union budget **should immediately increase in order to address** effectively not only the consequences but also the root causes of the crises that the **Member States are** currently facing; takes the view, however, that unforeseen events with a Union-wide dimension should be tackled by pooling efforts and putting additional means at Union level rather than by calling past commitments into question or reverting to the illusion of purely national solutions; stresses, therefore, that flexibility provisions are there to enable such a joint and speedy response and should be used to the full in order to make up for the tight constraints of the MFF ceilings;

Or. en

### **Amendment 214**

**Eleftherios Synadinos**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 81**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

81. Instructs its President to forward this resolution, together with the amendments to the draft general budget, to

###### *Amendment*

81. Instructs its President to forward this **conciliatory** resolution, together with the amendments to the draft general

the Council, the Commission, the other institutions and bodies concerned and the national parliaments.

budget, to the Council, the Commission, the other institutions and bodies concerned and the national parliaments.

Or. en