



2016/0238(COD)

19.4.2017

AMENDMENTS

66 - 314

Draft report
Ulrike Rodust
(PE594.033v01-00)

Multi-annual plan for demersal stocks in the North Sea and the fisheries
exploiting those stocks

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2016)0493 – C8-0336/2016 – 2016/0238(COD))

Amendment 66
Ian Duncan

Draft legislative resolution
Citation 3 a (new)

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to official notification, by the UK Government, of Article 50 of the Treaty on European Union on 29 March 2017 to exit the European Union,*

Or. en

Amendment 67
Ian Duncan

Draft legislative resolution
Citation 3 b (new)

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the United Nations Convention of the Law of the Sea, particularly Article 56 which establishes rights, jurisdiction and duties of coastal states in their exclusive economic zone and Article 63 which establishes management measures for stocks occurring in the exclusive economic zone of two or more coastal states,*

Or. en

Amendment 68
Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Title 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Proposal for a
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on establishing a multi-annual plan for demersal stocks in the North Sea and the fisheries exploiting those stocks and repealing Council Regulation (EC) 676/2007 and Council Regulation (EC) 1342/2008

Amendment

Proposal for a
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on establishing a multi-annual plan for ***certain*** demersal stocks in the North Sea and ***adjoining waters, and*** the fisheries exploiting those stocks, ***adopting provisions concerning the implementation of the landing obligation in the North Sea and in all other waters of the Union and outside it which do not fall under the sovereignty or jurisdiction of third countries,*** and repealing Council Regulation (EC) 676/2007 and Council Regulation (EC) 1342/2008

Or. nl

Amendment 69
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Title 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Proposal for a
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on establishing a multi-annual plan for demersal stocks in the North Sea and the fisheries exploiting those stocks and repealing Council Regulation (EC) 676/2007 and Council Regulation (EC) 1342/2008

Amendment

Proposal for a
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on establishing a multi-annual plan for ***certain*** demersal stocks in the North Sea and the fisheries exploiting those stocks and repealing Council Regulation (EC) 676/2007 and Council Regulation (EC) 1342/2008

Or. en

Amendment 70
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, amongst others, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long-term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Amendment

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, amongst others, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long-term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management ***to ensure that stocks of harvested species are restored and maintained at levels above those that can produce MSY***, and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Or. en

Amendment 71
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, amongst others, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long-term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, ***and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.***

Amendment

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, amongst others, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long-term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, ***where appropriate and on condition that this is done carefully and in a clearly defined manner.***

Or. nl

Amendment 72
Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4 a) In accordance with Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate is to be achieved by 2015 where possible and, on a progressive, incremental basis at the latest by 2020 for all stocks.

Or. en

Amendment 73

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) For the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities.

(5) For the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities **in full accordance with the best available scientific advice.**

Or. en

Amendment 74

Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multi-annual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice and contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear

(6) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multi-annual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice and contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear

timeframes, conservation reference points and safeguards.

timeframes, conservation reference points, *objectives* and safeguards, *technical measures to be taken to achieve the targets laid down in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, and measures to avoid and minimise unwanted catches as far as possible.*

Or. en

Amendment 75
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6a) Since recreational fisheries can have a significant effect on fish resources, allowance must be made in this plan for expected recreational catches when setting total allowable catches for the commercial fishery.

Or. en

Amendment 76
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) In addition, such a multi-annual plan should apply to all demersal *stocks* and their fisheries in the North Sea.

(8) In addition, such a multi-annual plan should apply to all demersal *populations* and their fisheries in the North Sea *and should be based on the populations, fishing efforts and environmental situation of the North Sea rather than being completely inflexibly influenced by other previous multi-annual plans from different areas.*

Amendment 77
Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9a) Some stocks of common interest are also exploited by third countries, which makes it very important that the Union should consult those third countries in order to ensure that the stocks are managed sustainably. In the absence of a formal agreement, the Union must do everything in its power to agree common arrangements for the fishing of these stocks in order to facilitate sustainable management, in which connection equal terms for the Union's market operators must be secured, enforced and promoted.

Or. nl

Amendment 78
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and especially reaching and maintaining MSY for the stocks concerned, contributing to ***the*** implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and ***contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries***

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and especially reaching and maintaining MSY for the stocks concerned, contributing to ***feasible, practicable and affordable*** implementation, ***or else postponement***, of the landing obligation - ***causing unnecessary problems throughout fisheries in the North Sea and elsewhere -***

management.

for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and *by means of full attainment of the socioeconomic objectives of the CFP, particularly the maintenance of living standards for fishing families and fair and predictable policies for family fishing businesses operating in the North Sea, after decades of decline of fishing and the socioeconomic decline of coastal settlements dependent on fishing.*

Or. nl

Amendment 79
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and especially *reaching and maintaining MSY for the stocks concerned, contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.*

Amendment

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and especially *ensuring that stocks of harvested species are restored and maintained above levels capable of producing MSY.*

Or. en

Amendment 80
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the

Amendment

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the

objectives of the CFP, and especially ***reaching*** and maintaining ***MSY for the stocks concerned***, contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

objectives of the CFP, and especially ***restoring*** and maintaining ***fish stocks to levels capable of producing MSY***, contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management ***by minimising the negative effects of fishing on the marine ecosystem***.

Or. en

Amendment 81 **Sylvie Goddyn**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 10**

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and especially reaching and maintaining MSY for the stocks concerned, contributing to the ***implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and contributing to the implementation of the*** ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Amendment

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and especially reaching and maintaining MSY for the stocks concerned, contributing to the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Or. fr

Amendment 82 **Peter van Dalen**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 10**

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the

Amendment

(10) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the

objectives of the CFP, and especially reaching and maintaining MSY for the stocks concerned, contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

objectives of the CFP, and especially reaching and maintaining MSY for the stocks concerned, contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, **as well as implementation of the socioeconomic aspects of the CFP**, and contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Or. nl

Amendment 83

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) The objective of this plan should be to **contribute to the achievement of** the objectives of the CFP, and especially **reaching** and maintaining MSY for **the** stocks **concerned**, contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Amendment

(10) The objective of this plan should be to **achieve** the objectives of the CFP, and especially **restoring** and maintaining MSY for **all populations of fish** stocks **to which this Regulation applies above levels capable of producing MSY**, contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Or. en

Amendment 84

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) The objective of this plan should be

Amendment

(10) The objective of this plan should be

to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and especially **reaching** and maintaining **MSY for the stocks concerned**, contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and especially **restoring** and maintaining **all fish populations above levels capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield**, contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits and contributing to the implementation of the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Or. en

Amendment 85
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10a) This plan should also contribute to the achievement of good environmental status as laid down in Directive 2008/56/EC and to the achievement of favourable conservation status for habitats and species as required by Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EC respectively.

Or. en

Amendment 86
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10a) In uncertain times, this plan should help to render the added value of European fisheries policy and the CFP perceptible and visible for fishermen,

entrepreneurs and residents of coastal settlements.

Or. nl

Amendment 87

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 requires that fishing opportunities be fixed in accordance with the *targets* set out in the multi-annual plans.

Amendment

(11) Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 requires that fishing opportunities be fixed in accordance with the *objective* set out in **Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and comply with the targets, time-frames and margins established in** the multi-annual plans.

Or. en

Amendment 88

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11a) In accordance with Article 33(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, stocks managed jointly with third countries must be managed wherever possible with joint agreements in line with the goals laid down in Articles 1, 2, 4 and 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. nl

Amendment 89
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. ***The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) and are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY⁴⁰. The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim or Abundancelimit is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES “advice rule”⁴¹, which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the FMSY point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the TAC year divided by MSY Btrigger or Abundancelimit. ICES uses these considerations and advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.***

⁴⁰ *EU request to ICES to provide FMSY ranges for selected North Sea and Baltic Sea stocks*

⁴¹ *General context of ICES advice, July*

Amendment

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries.

Justification

The definition of the FMSY range should be given in Article 2.

Amendment 90
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that ***corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) and are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY⁴⁰. The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim or Abundancelimit is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES “advice rule”⁴¹, which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the FMSY point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the TAC year divided by MSY Btrigger or Abundancelimit. ICES uses these***

Amendment

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that ***should be limited to a range of values below FMSY in order to ensure populations are maintained above levels that can produce MSY. That range, based on scientific advice, is necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The value of FMSY has been calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES)***

considerations and advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

⁴⁰ *EU request to ICES to provide FMSY ranges for selected North Sea and Baltic Sea stocks*

⁴¹ *General context of ICES advice, July 2015.*

Or. en

Amendment 91

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. *The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) and are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY⁴⁰. The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim or Abundancelimit is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES “advice rule”⁴¹, which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value*

Amendment

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. *The maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate should be the upper limit for exploitation.*

that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the FMSY point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the TAC year divided by MSY Btrigger or Abundancelimit. ICES uses these considerations and advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

⁴⁰ *EU request to ICES to provide FMSY ranges for selected North Sea and Baltic Sea stocks*

⁴¹ *General context of ICES advice, July 2015.*

Or. en

Amendment 92 **Anja Hazekamp**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 12**

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. *The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) and are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY⁴⁰. The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim or*

Amendment

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the *maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate is achieved at the latest by 2020 for all fish populations and maintained thereafter.*

Abundancelimit is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES “advice rule”⁴¹, which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the FMSY point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the TAC year divided by MSY Btrigger or Abundancelimit. ICES uses these considerations and advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

⁴⁰ *EU request to ICES to provide FMSY ranges for selected North Sea and Baltic Sea stocks*

⁴¹ *General context of ICES advice, July 2015.*

Or. en

Justification

“a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY” is contrary to Article 2.2 of the CFP.

The maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate shall be achieved by 2015 where possible and, on a progressive, incremental basis at the latest by 2020 for all stocks.

Amendment 93 **Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 12**

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility

Amendment

(12) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving maximum sustainable yield (FMSY). Those ranges, based on scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility

to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) and are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY⁴⁰. The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim or Abundancelimit is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES “advice rule”⁴¹, which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the FMSY point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the TAC year divided by MSY Btrigger or Abundancelimit. ICES uses these considerations and advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

⁴⁰ EU request to ICES to provide FMSY ranges for selected North Sea and Baltic Sea stocks

⁴¹ General context of ICES advice, July 2015.

to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries, *where ‘choke species’ may occur when the quota for the stock with the lowest catch limit is exhausted, preventing fishing for other species and giving rise to serious socio-economic consequences for the fleet.* The FMSY ranges have been calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) and are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY⁴⁰. The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below Blim or Abundancelimit is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES “advice rule”⁴¹, which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the FMSY point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the TAC year divided by MSY Btrigger or Abundancelimit. ICES uses these considerations and advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

⁴⁰ EU request to ICES to provide FMSY ranges for selected North Sea and Baltic Sea stocks

⁴¹ General context of ICES advice, July 2015.

Or. es

Amendment 94

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13) For the purposes of fixing fishing opportunities, there should be an upper threshold for FMSY ranges in normal use and, provided that the stock concerned is considered to be in a good state, an upper limit for certain cases. It should only be possible to fix fishing opportunities up to the upper limit if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in this Regulation in mixed fisheries or necessary to avoid harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics, or in order to limit the year-to-year variations in fishing opportunities. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 95
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13) For the purposes of fixing fishing opportunities, there should be an upper threshold for FMSY ranges in normal use and, provided that the stock concerned is considered to be in a good state, an upper limit for certain cases. It should only be possible to fix fishing opportunities up to the upper limit if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in this Regulation in mixed fisheries or necessary to avoid harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics, or in order to limit the year-to-year variations in fishing opportunities. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 96
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(14) Where the targets relating to MSY are not available, the precautionary approach should apply. **deleted**

Or. nl

Justification

The Commission has not provided a careful definition of the precautionary principle to indicate its precise significance in this multiannual plan.

Amendment 97
Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(14) Where the targets relating to MSY are not available, the precautionary approach *should apply*.

(14) Where the targets relating to MSY are not available, the *measures should be based on the* precautionary approach *to fisheries management as defined in point 8 of Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 in order to guarantee a degree of conservation at least comparable to exploitation rates with the maximum sustainable yield.*

Or. en

Amendment 98
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) For Norway lobster functional units for which they are available, it is appropriate to use ***the following trigger abundance levels***: minimum abundance (Abundancebuffer) ***that corresponds to the Bbuffer reference point defined in the Long Term Management Plan for North Sea Nephrops by the North Sea Advisory Council⁴² and the limit abundance (Abundancelimit) that corresponds to abundance MSY Btrigger (equivalent to Blim) as defined by ICES⁷.***

⁴² *A Long Term Management Plan for North Sea Nephrops*

Amendment

(16) For Norway lobster functional units for which they are available, it is appropriate to use ***ICES advised*** minimum abundance (Abundancebuffer) ***and*** limit abundance (Abundancelimit) ***as trigger abundance levels.***

Or. en

Justification

It is not appropriate to refer to Advisory Council advice in a legislative text.

Amendment 99
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(18 a) Recreational fisheries can have a serious impact on fish populations, therefore measures to ensure that recreational fisheries contribute to the objectives of this plan should be included. Member States should collect catch data of recreational fisheries, in line with legal requirements on data collection. Where recreational fisheries have serious negative impacts on fish populations, the plan should foresee specific management

measures.

Or. en

Amendment 100

Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(20) *In order to comply with the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the plan should provide for additional management measures.*

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 101

Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23) *Recognising that in the North Sea numerous small vessels carry out short fishing trips, the use of prior notification as required by Article 17 of Regulation (EC) 1224/2009 should be extended to cover all vessels with an overall length of eight metres or more, and the prior notifications should be submitted at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port. However, considering the effect of fishing trips involving very small quantities of fish on the stocks concerned and the administrative burden of prior notifications related to those, it is appropriate to establish a threshold for such prior notifications.*

deleted

Amendment 102
Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(24) Similarly, it is necessary to extend the use of fishing logbook as requested by Article 14 of Regulation (EC) 1224/2009 to vessels of an overall length equal to or greater than eight metres.

deleted

Amendment 103

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano, Isabelle Thomas, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, José Blanco López

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(25a) As recreational fisheries can have a significant impact on fish resources, the multiannual plan should provide a framework for ensuring that they are conducted in a manner compatible with the objectives of the plan. Member States should collect catch data of recreational fisheries. Where such fisheries have a significant impact on resources, the plan should foresee the possibility to decide on specific management measures.

Amendment 104
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation. Such assessment should follow and be based on periodic evaluation of the plan based on scientific advice: the plan should be evaluated every five years. This period allows for the full implementation of the landing obligation, and for regionalised measures to be adopted, implemented and to show effects on the stocks and fishery. It is also the minimum required period by scientific bodies.

Amendment

(26) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation. Such assessment should follow and be based on periodic evaluation of the plan based on scientific advice: the plan should be evaluated ***by three years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and*** every five years ***thereafter***. This period allows for the full implementation of the landing obligation, and for regionalised measures to be adopted, implemented and to show effects on the stocks and fishery. It is also the minimum required period by scientific bodies.

Or. en

Amendment 105
Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures and implementation of the

Amendment

deleted

landing obligation. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

Or. fr

Amendment 106
Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures *and implementation of the landing obligation*. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement on Better Law-

Amendment

(27) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016. In particular, to

Making of 13 April 2016. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

Or. fr

Amendment 107
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This Regulation establishes a multi-annual plan (“plan”) for the demersal stocks in waters of Union waters of ICES zones IIa, IIIa and IV (“North Sea”) and the fisheries exploiting *those* stocks.

Amendment

1. This Regulation establishes a multi-annual plan (“plan”) for the ***following*** demersal stocks in waters of Union waters of ICES zones IIa, IIIa and IV (“North Sea”) ***the North Sea (ICES zones IIa, IIIa and IV) and its adjacent waters*** and the fisheries exploiting ***the*** stocks ***concerned:***
(a) Cod (Gadus morhua) in Subarea IV and Divisions VIIId and IIIa West (North Sea, Eastern Channel, Skagerrak), hereinafter referred to as North Sea cod;
(b) Haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus) in Subarea IV and Divisions VIa and IIIa west (North Sea, West of Scotland, Skagerrak) hereinafter referred to as haddock;
(c) Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) in Subarea IV (North Sea) and Division IIIa (Skagerrak), hereinafter referred to as North Sea plaice;
(d) Saithe (Pollachius virens) in Subareas IV and VI and Division IIIa (North Sea, Rockall and West of Scotland, Skagerrak and Kattegat), hereinafter referred to as saithe;
(e) Sole (Solea solea) in Subarea IV

(North Sea), hereinafter referred to as North Sea sole;
(f) Sole (Solea solea) in Division IIIa and Subdivisions 22–24 (Skagerrak and Kattegat, Western Baltic Sea), hereinafter referred to as Kattegat sole;
(g) Whiting (Merlangius merlangus) in Subarea IV and Division VIIId (North Sea and Eastern English Channel), hereinafter referred to as North Sea whiting;
(x) Anglerfish (Lophius piscatorius) in Division IIIa (Skagerrak and Kattegat) and Subareas IV (North Sea) and VI (West of Scotland and Rockall);
(x) Northern Prawn (Pandalus borealis) in Divisions IVa East and IIIa;
(x) Nephrops in Division IIIa (FU 3-4);

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 108 **Ian Duncan**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 1 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This Regulation establishes a multi-annual plan (“plan”) for the demersal stocks in waters of Union waters of ICES zones IIa, IIIa and IV (“North Sea”) and the fisheries exploiting those stocks.

Amendment

1. This Regulation establishes a multi-annual plan (“plan”) for the demersal stocks in waters of Union waters of ICES zones IIa, IIIa and IV (“North Sea”) and the fisheries exploiting those stocks; ***the UK’s exit of the Union will impact the plan.***

Or. en

Amendment 109

Peter van Dalen, Ian Duncan

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Stresses disappointment that Norway has not been fully consulted in establishing this multi-annual plan; recognises that as of 29 March 2019, arrangements for managing shared North Sea stocks will be agreed between the Union, Norway and the UK in a trilateral agreement; calls on the Commission therefore to halt the process of this proposal until the future North Sea arrangement is clear.

Or. en

**Amendment 110
Ian Duncan**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. Recommends that parliamentary work on this plan is stopped until the future management of the North Sea is clear; as of 30 March 2019 management of shared stocks in the North Sea will be shared in a trilateral agreement between Norway, the UK and the Union with Norway and the UK responsible for 80% of the North Sea basin.

Or. en

**Amendment 111
Isabelle Thomas**

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The plan takes account of the European Union's bilateral relations with Norway, just as future bilateral agreements with Norway will have to take account of the plan;

Or. fr

Amendment 112
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Articles 2, 8 and 9 also apply to recreational fisheries.

Or. en

Amendment 113
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Notwithstanding paragraph 1, Articles 4, 5, 6 and 8 apply to the stock areas for Groups 1 to 4 stocks as defined in Article 2. ***deleted***

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 114
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Notwithstanding paragraph 1, Articles 4, 5, **6** and 8 apply to the stock areas for Groups 1 to 4 stocks as defined in Article 2.

Amendment

2. Notwithstanding paragraph 1, Articles 4, 5 and 8 apply to the stock areas for Groups 1 to 4 stocks as defined in Article 2.

Or. fr

Justification

Article 6 concerns Group 5, and not Groups 1 to 4.

Amendment 115
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Notwithstanding paragraph 1, Articles 4, 5, 6 and 8 apply to the stock areas for Groups 1 **to 4** stocks as defined in Article 2.

Amendment

2. Notwithstanding paragraph 1, Articles 4, 5, 6 and 8 apply to the stock areas for Groups 1 **and 2** stocks as defined in Article 2.

Or. de

Amendment 116
Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Where on the basis of scientific advice, or on the basis of a request from the concerned Member States, the Commission considers that the list mentioned in Article 2 needs to be adjusted, the Commission may submit a proposal for the revision of this list.

Or. de

Amendment 117

Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught when fishing for the stocks identified in paragraph 2.

Or. nl

Amendment 118

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught when fishing for the stocks referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 119

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano, Isabelle Thomas, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, José Blanco López

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Articles 2, 3, 8, 9 and 13 also apply to recreational fisheries.

Or. en

Justification

As seen in the Baltic MAP, recreational fisheries can have a serious impact in the management of a given fishery. The MAP should therefore provide a framework for taking measures to address them if needed.

Amendment 120

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. This Regulation also specifies details for the implementation of the landing obligation for all species provided for in Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, other than the stocks already identified in paragraph 1 of this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 121

Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. *Where on the basis of scientific advice, or on the basis of a request from the Member States concerned, the Commission considers that the list incorporated in paragraph 2 needs to be amended, the Commission may submit a proposal for the revision of this list.*

Or. nl

Amendment 122
Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. *This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught when fishing for the stocks identified in Article 2.*

Or. de

Amendment 123
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2c. *Where, on the basis of scientific advice or on the basis of a request from the concerned Member States, the Commission considers that the list referred to in paragraph 1 needs to be amended, the Commission may submit a proposal for the revision of that list.*

Or. en

Justification

Copied from the Baltic plan

Amendment 124

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) “demersal stocks” means those roundfish and flatfish species *and Norway lobster* that live at or near the bottom of the water column.

Amendment

(1) “demersal stocks” means those roundfish and flatfish species, *Norway lobster and Northern prawn* that live at or near the bottom of the water column.

Or. en

Amendment 125

Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) “recreational fisheries” means non-commercial fishing activities exploiting marine living aquatic resources for recreation, tourism or sport;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) **“Group 1”**: means demersal stocks **deleted**
for which targets as FMSY ranges and
safeguards linked to biomass are
established in this plan as follows:

(a) **Cod (*Gadus morhua*) in Subarea IV
and Divisions VIIId and IIIa West (North
Sea, Eastern Channel, Skagerrak),
hereafter referred to as North Sea cod;**

(b) **Haddock (*Melanogrammus
aeglefinus*) in Subarea IV and Divisions
VIa and IIIa west (North Sea, West of
Scotland, Skagerrak) hereafter referred to
as haddock;**

(c) **Plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) in
Subarea IV (North Sea) and Division IIIa
(Skagerrak), hereafter referred to as
North Sea plaice;**

(d) **Saithe (*Pollachius virens*) in Subareas
IV and VI and Division IIIa (North Sea,
Rockall and West of Scotland, Skagerrak
and Kattegat), hereafter referred to as
saithe;**

(e) **Sole (*Solea solea*) in Subarea IV
(North Sea), hereafter referred to as
North Sea sole;**

(f) **Sole (*Solea solea*) in Division IIIa and
Subdivisions 22–24 (Skagerrak and
Kattegat, Western Baltic Sea), hereafter
referred to as Kattegat sole;**

(g) **Whiting (*Merlangius merlangus*) in
Subarea IV and Division VIIId (North Sea
and Eastern English Channel), hereafter
referred to as North Sea whiting.**

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 127
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) “Group 1”: means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in this plan as follows:

Amendment

(2) “Group 1”: means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in this plan as follows. ***The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 in order to modify this list if necessary.***

Or. fr

Justification

It should be possible to modify the list of Group 1 species where necessary.

Amendment 128

Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) “Group 1”: means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in this plan as follows:

Amendment

2. “Group 1” means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in this plan, ***as identified in Annexes I and II***, as follows:

Or. de

Amendment 129

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) “Group 1”: means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in this plan *as follows*:

(2) “Group 1”: means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in this plan, *as identified in Annexes I and II*.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 130
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2) “Group 1”: means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in this plan as follows:

(2) “**Target species** Group 1”: means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in this plan as follows:

Or. fr

Amendment 131
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) “**Group 2**” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units (FU) for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to abundance are established in this plan consisting of:

deleted

- (i) *Nephrops* in Division IIIa (FU 3-4);
- (ii) *Nephrops* in Subarea IV (North Sea) by FU:

- *Nephrops in Farn Deeps (FU 6);*
- *Nephrops in Fladen Ground (FU 7);*
- *Nephrops in Firth of Forth (FU 8);*
- *Nephrops in Moray Firth (FU 9).*

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 132
Ian Duncan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) “Group 2” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units (FU) *for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to abundance are established in this plan consisting of:*

Amendment

(3) “Group 2” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) **by** functional units (FU):

Or. en

Amendment 133
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) “Group 2” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units (FU) for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to abundance are established in this plan consisting of:

Amendment

3. “Group 2” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units (FU) for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to abundance are established in this plan, **as identified in Annexes I and II**, consisting of:

Or. de

Amendment 134
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) “Group 2” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units (FU) for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to abundance are established in this plan *consisting of*:

Amendment

(3) “Group 2” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units (FU) for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to abundance are established in this plan, *as identified in Annexes I and II.*

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 135
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) “Group 2” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units (FU) for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to abundance are established in this plan consisting of:

Amendment

(3) “*Target species* Group 2” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units (FU) for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to abundance are established in this plan consisting of:

Or. fr

Amendment 136
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3a) “By-catch species group” means:

- demersal stocks subject to catch limits other than those listed in Group 1 in the North Sea;

- Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units and statistical rectangles outside the functional units in ICES zone IIa and Subarea IV subject to catch limits other than those listed in Group 2;

- demersal stocks not subject to catch limits in the North Sea;

- prohibited species in respect of which fishing is prohibited and which are identified as such in a Union legal act adopted in the area of the common fisheries policy in the North Sea;

- demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in Union legislation other than this Regulation.

Or. fr

Amendment 137

Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) “Group 3” means demersal stocks subject to catch limits other than those listed in Group 1 in the North Sea.

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 138

Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) “Group 3” means demersal stocks subject to catch limits other than those listed in Group 1 in the North Sea. *deleted*

Or. de

Amendment 139
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) “Group 3” means demersal stocks subject to catch limits other than those listed in Group 1 in the North Sea. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 140
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) “Group 4” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units and statistical rectangles outside the functional units in ICES zone IIa and Subarea IV subject to catch limits other than those listed in Group 2. *deleted*

Amendment 141
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) **“Group 4” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units and statistical rectangles outside the functional units in ICES zone IIa and Subarea IV subject to catch limits other than those listed in Group 2.** *deleted*

Or. de

Amendment 142
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) **“Group 4” means Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) functional units and statistical rectangles outside the functional units in ICES zone IIa and Subarea IV subject to catch limits other than those listed in Group 2.** *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 143
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6) “Group 5” means demersal stocks not subject to catch limits in the North Sea. *deleted*

Or. de

Amendment 144
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6) “Group 5” means demersal stocks not subject to catch limits in the North Sea. *deleted*

Or. fr

Justification

This management plan should cover only demersal stocks subject to a TAC.

Amendment 145
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6) “Group 5” means demersal stocks not subject to catch limits in the North Sea. *deleted*

Or. fr

Amendment 146
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6) “Group 5” means demersal stocks not subject to catch limits in the North Sea. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 147
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) “Group 6” means prohibited species in respect of which fishing is prohibited and which are identified as such in a Union legal act adopted in the area of the common fisheries policy in the North Sea. *deleted*

Or. fr

Justification

The proposal for a regulation on technical measures (Article 18) and Regulation 1380/2013 (Articles 12 and 13) already enable measures to be taken for these species.

Amendment 148
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) **“Group 6” means prohibited species in respect of which fishing is prohibited and which are identified as such in a Union legal act adopted in the area of the common fisheries policy in the North Sea.** *deleted*

Or. fr

Amendment 149

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) **“Group 6” means prohibited species in respect of which fishing is prohibited and which are identified as such in a Union legal act adopted in the area of the common fisheries policy in the North Sea.** *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 150

Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) **“Group 6” means prohibited species in respect of which fishing is prohibited and which are identified as such in a Union legal act adopted in the** *deleted*

area of the common fisheries policy in the North Sea.

Or. de

Amendment 151
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) *“Group 7” means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in Union legislation other than this Regulation.* **deleted**

Or. fr

Amendment 152
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) *“Group 7” means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in Union legislation other than this Regulation.* **deleted**

Or. de

Amendment 153
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) **“Group 7” means demersal stocks for which targets as FMSY ranges and safeguards linked to biomass are established in Union legislation other than this Regulation.** **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 Group system

Amendment 154
Ian Duncan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8 a) The stocks concerned shall only be amended on the basis of the best available scientific advice.

Or. en

Amendment 155
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9) “total allowable catch” (TAC) means **the quantity of each stock** that can be caught **over the period of a year.**

(9) “total allowable catch” (TAC) means:
(i) in fisheries subject to the landing obligation referred to in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the quantity that can be caught from each stock each year;
(ii) in all other fisheries, the quantity that

can be landed from each stock each year.

Or. fr

Justification

Alignment with the definition given in the regulation on TACs and quotas.

Amendment 156

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) “MSY Btrigger” means the spawning stock biomass reference point below which specific and appropriate management action is to be taken to ensure that exploitation rates in combination with natural variations rebuild stocks above levels capable of producing MSY in the long term.

Amendment

(10) “MSY Btrigger” means the spawning stock biomass reference point below which **additional** specific and appropriate management action is to be taken to ensure that exploitation rates in combination with natural variations rebuild stocks above levels capable of producing MSY in the long term.

Or. en

Amendment 157

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10a) ‘FMSY range’ means a range of values in which, according to scientific advice, in a mixed fishery, all levels of fishing mortality rate within the scientifically indicated limit values of that range will produce the maximum sustainable yields (MSYs) in the long term under the existing average environmental conditions without any significant adverse effects on the reproduction of the stocks

concerned;

Or. nl

Justification

Definition used in the multiannual plan for the Baltic.

Amendment 158

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10a) “Best available scientific advice” means the most up-to-date data available that meet all the requirements set out in Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular Article 25 thereof.

Or. en

Amendment 159

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano, Isabelle Thomas, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, José Blanco López

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10a) “recreational fisheries” means non-commercial fishing activities exploiting marine living biological resources for recreation, tourism or sport.

Or. en

Justification

Definition taken from Art 4(28) of the Control Regulation and DCF.

Amendment 160
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10a) ‘recreational fisheries’ means non-commercial fishing activities exploiting marine living biological resources for recreation, tourism or sport.

Or. en

Amendment 161
Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The plan shall **contribute to the achievement of** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield.

1. The plan shall **achieve** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield. **The maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate shall be achieved by 2015 where possible and, on a progressive, incremental basis at the latest by 2020 for all stocks, and shall be maintained thereafter.**

Or. en

Amendment 162
Ole Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield.

Amendment

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management ***as well as contribute to a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind socio-economic aspects,*** and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield.

Or. en

Amendment 163
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying ***the precautionary*** approach to fisheries management, and shall aim ***to ensure that exploitation of*** living marine biological resources ***restores and maintains*** populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield.

Amendment

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying ***an appropriate and flexible*** approach to fisheries management, and shall aim, ***inter alia, to use*** living marine biological resources ***in such a way as to restore and maintain*** populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield.

Or. nl

Amendment 164
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield.

Amendment

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield ***by 2020 at the latest.***

Or. en

Amendment 165
Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The plan shall help to attain the socioeconomic objectives referred to in Article 2(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, particularly maintenance of the standard of living of those who are dependent on fishing activities, preserving an efficient and transparent internal market for fisheries and aquaculture products and maintaining equal conditions for fisheries and aquaculture products marketed in the Union;

Or. nl

Justification

Socioeconomic considerations and the socioeconomic objectives of the CFP should always form part of this multiannual plan and not be disregarded by it.

Amendment 166

Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall contribute to the elimination of discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, ***and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013*** for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Amendment

2. The plan shall contribute to the elimination of discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Or. fr

Amendment 167

Ian Duncan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall contribute to the ***elimination of discards***, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Amendment

2. The plan shall contribute to the ***progressive reduction of unwanted catches***, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Or. en

Amendment 168
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall contribute to the elimination of discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Amendment

2. The plan shall contribute to the **gradual** elimination of discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Or. nl

Amendment 169
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The plan shall enable fishermen to acquire more economic visibility in the long term.

Or. fr

Amendment 170
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that

Amendment

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that

negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC.

negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised *while also taking account of the survival of fishermen and fisherwomen; if this ecosystem-based fisheries management jeopardises jobs in the sector, other measures designed to mitigate adverse socio-economic impacts must be adopted in parallel, and continue until fishing activity can be fully restored*. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC.

Or. es

Amendment 171
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. **It shall be coherent** with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC.

Amendment

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. **The plan shall complement and be consistent** with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC.

Or. en

Amendment 172
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *In particular, the* plan shall *aim* to:

4. *The* plan shall *contribute* to:

Or. fr

Amendment 173 Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *ensure that* the conditions described in descriptor 3 contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC *are fulfilled*; and

(a) *the fulfilment of* the conditions described in descriptor 3 contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC; and

Or. fr

Amendment 174 Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) contribute to the fulfilment of other relevant descriptors contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC in proportion to the role played by fisheries in their fulfilment.

(b) contribute, *where justified*, to the fulfilment of other relevant descriptors contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC in proportion to the role played by fisheries in their fulfilment.

Or. fr

Amendment 175 Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) *contribute to the fulfilment of other relevant descriptors contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC in proportion to the role played by fisheries in their fulfilment.*

Amendment

(b) *ensure that the negative impacts of fishing on the marine ecosystem are minimised, including vulnerable habitats and protected species such as marine mammals and seabirds.*

Or. en

Amendment 176
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) *contribute to* the fulfilment of other relevant descriptors contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC in proportion to the role played by fisheries in their fulfilment.

Amendment

(b) the fulfilment of other relevant descriptors contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC in proportion to the role played by fisheries in their fulfilment.

Or. fr

Amendment 177
Ian Duncan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) *ensure that all measures taken under the plan are in accordance with the best available scientific advice;*

Or. en

Amendment 178
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bb) ensure that all measures taken under the plan are in accordance with best available and most up-to-date scientific advice.

Or. en

Amendment 179
Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Measures under this plan shall be taken on best available scientific advice.

Or. de

Amendment 180
Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Measures under the plan shall be taken in accordance with the best available scientific advice.

Or. nl

Amendment 181
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. *The measures under the plan shall be taken on the basis of the best available scientific advice.*

Or. nl

Justification

Formulation used in the multiannual plan for the Baltic.

Amendment 182
Rolandas Paksas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. *The measures set out in the plan shall be undertaken taking into account the best available scientific advice.*

Or. lt

Amendment 183
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Targets for **Groups** 1 and 2

Targets for **target species groups** 1 and 2

Or. fr

Amendment 184
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for **the stocks of Groups 1 and 2**, and shall be maintained thereafter within the **ranges** set out in **Annex I**.

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for **all stocks to which this Regulation applies**, and shall be maintained thereafter within the **objectives** set out in **Article 3(1) and (3)**.

Or. en

Amendment 185
Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for **the stocks of Groups 1 and 2**, and shall be maintained thereafter **within the ranges set out in Annex I**.

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for **all harvested stocks**, and shall be maintained thereafter.

Or. en

Amendment 186
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for the stocks of Groups 1 and 2, and shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges set out in Annex I.

Or. nl

Amendment 187
Ole Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, fishing opportunities shall comply with the target fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation.

Amendment

2. In accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, fishing opportunities shall comply with the target fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation, ***taking into account the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects.***

Or. en

Amendment 188
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, fishing opportunities shall ***comply*** with the target fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation.

Amendment

2. In accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, fishing opportunities shall ***be set in accordance with the objectives and targets of the plan and shall accord*** with the target fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column A to this Regulation.

Justification

Formulation used in the multiannual plan for the Baltic.

Amendment 189

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, fishing opportunities shall comply with the target fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, *column A* to this Regulation.

Amendment

2. In accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, fishing opportunities shall comply with the target fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I to this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 190

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Notwithstanding paragraphs 1 and 2, fishing opportunities may be fixed at levels corresponding to lower levels of fishing mortality than those set out in Annex I, *column A*.

Amendment

3. Notwithstanding paragraphs 1 and 2, fishing opportunities may be fixed at levels corresponding to lower levels of fishing mortality than those set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 191

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 4. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2 and 3, fishing opportunities for a stock may be fixed in accordance with the fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column B, provided that the stock concerned is above the minimum spawning biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A:**
- deleted*
- (a) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries;*
- (b) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary to avoid serious harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics; or*
- (c) in order to limit variations in fishing opportunities between consecutive years to not more than 20%.*

Or. en

Amendment 192

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- 4. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2 and 3, fishing opportunities for a stock may be fixed in accordance with the fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column B, provided that the stock concerned is above the minimum spawning biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A:**
- deleted*
- (a) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in*

Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries;
(b) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary to avoid serious harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics; or
(c) in order to limit variations in fishing opportunities between consecutive years to not more than 20%.

Or. en

Amendment 193
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Notwithstanding paragraphs 2 and 3, fishing opportunities for a stock may be fixed in accordance with the fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I, column B, provided that the stock concerned is above the minimum spawning biomass reference point set out in Annex II, column A: *deleted*

(a) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries;

(b) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary to avoid serious harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics; or

(c) in order to limit variations in fishing opportunities between consecutive years to not more than 20%.

Or. en

Amendment 194

Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries;

Amendment

(a) if, on the basis of ***the most up-to-date*** scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries;

Or. en

Amendment 195

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano, Isabelle Thomas, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, José Blanco López

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) Decisions to fish in the upper range shall be supported by the best available scientific advice, in accordance with point (c) of Article 3 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. Detailed scientific evidence shall be made publicly available at least four weeks before the adoption of decisions on fishing opportunities under Article 16 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 based on column B of Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 196

Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary to avoid serious harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics; or

Amendment

(b) if, on the basis of ***the most up-to-date*** scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary to avoid serious harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics; or

Or. en

Amendment 197

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) in order to take account of mortality caused by other activities, given that mortality in fish is also influenced by other factors such as pollution deriving from activities carried out on land and on the sea bed, and it should therefore be ensured that the burden of stock recovery measures does not fall exclusively on fishermen and fisherwomen.

Or. es

Amendment 198

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. If, on the basis either of scientific advice or the proposals of the regional forum ('Scheveningen group') or the North Sea Advisory Council (NSAC), the Commission considers that the fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I no

longer correctly express the objectives of the plan, the Commission may as a matter of urgency submit a proposal for revision of those ranges.

Or. nl

Justification

Analogous to Article 4(6) of the multiannual plan for the Baltic. There is an urgent need for consultation of regional advisory councils and operators, and therefore the right of initiative of the Scheveningen group or the NSAC must be provided for by this multiannual plan.

Amendment 199
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Where, according to the best available scientific advice, the MSY exploitation rate is achieved for the stock concerned by 2020, fishing opportunities for that stock may be fixed in accordance with paragraph 4 thereafter.

Or. fr

Justification

To incorporate a provision from the Baltic Sea management plan.

Amendment 200
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Where, on the basis of scientific advice, the Commission considers that the fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex

I no longer correctly express the objectives of the plan, the Commission may as a matter of urgency submit a proposal for revision of those ranges.

Or. en

Amendment 201
Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Where scientific recommendations indicate that the level of fishing capable of producing MSY will be reached no later than 2020 for the stock in question, fishing opportunities may be determined for that stock in accordance with paragraph 4.

Or. de

Justification

By analogy with Article 4(5) of the Baltic Sea Multi-annual Plan.

Amendment 202
Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4b. Where, on the basis of scientific recommendations or at the request of the regional body (the Scheveningen Group) or the regional advisory council (the NSAC), the Commission considers that the fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I no longer correctly express the objectives of the plan, the Commission

may as a matter of urgency submit a proposal for their revision.

Or. de

Justification

By analogy with Article 4(6) of the Baltic Sea Multi-annual Plan. The various actors need to have a greater say; accordingly a right of initiative for the Scheveningen Group and the NSAC should be included at this point.

Amendment 203

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4b. *Where, on the basis of the best available scientific advice, the Commission considers that the fishing mortality ranges set out in Annex I no longer correctly express the objectives of the plan, the Commission may as a matter of urgency submit a proposal for revision of those ranges.*

Or. fr

Justification

To incorporate a provision from the Baltic Sea management plan.

Amendment 204

Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 4 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4c. *Fishing opportunities shall in any event be fixed in such a way as to ensure that there is less than a 5% probability of*

the spawning stock biomass falling below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (Blim) set out in particular in Annex II, column B.

Or. de

Justification

By analogy with Article 4(7) of the Baltic Sea Multi-annual Plan.

Amendment 205
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Targets for Groups 3 and 4

Management of stocks of the by-catch species group in the North Sea

Or. fr

Amendment 206
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Targets for Groups 3 and 4

Management of by-catch stocks

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 group system

Amendment 207
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Targets for Groups 3 and 4

Management of by-catch stocks

Or. de

Justification

Groups 3 and 4 are deleted. Instead, the management of by-catch stocks should be dealt with in this article.

Amendment 208
Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Targets for Groups 3 and 4

Management of by-catch stocks

Or. nl

Amendment 209
Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Fishing opportunities for the stocks of Groups 3 and 4 shall be consistent with scientific advice related to maximum sustainable yield. ***deleted***

Or. nl

Amendment 210
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Fishing opportunities for the stocks of Groups 3 and 4 shall be consistent with scientific advice related to maximum sustainable yield.

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 211
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Fishing opportunities for the stocks of Groups 3 and 4 shall be consistent with scientific advice related to maximum sustainable yield.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 group system

Amendment 212
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Fishing opportunities for *the* stocks of Groups 3 and 4 shall be consistent with scientific advice related to maximum sustainable yield.

1. In the case of species subject to a TAC, fishing opportunities for *by-catch* stocks in the North Sea shall be consistent with *the best available* scientific advice

related to maximum sustainable yield.

Or. fr

Amendment 213
Ole Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. *Fishing opportunities for the stocks of Groups 3 and 4 shall be consistent with scientific advice related to maximum sustainable yield.*

Amendment

1. *Management measures, including, where appropriate, fishing opportunities, shall be set taking into account the best available scientific advice and in line with the objectives laid down in Article 3.*

Or. en

Amendment 214
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Fishing opportunities for the stocks of Groups 3 and 4 shall be consistent with scientific advice related to maximum sustainable yield.

Amendment

1. Fishing opportunities for the stocks of Groups 3 and 4 shall be consistent with *the most up-to-date* scientific advice related to maximum sustainable yield.

Or. en

Amendment 215
Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Fishing opportunities for the stocks of Groups 3 and 4 shall be consistent with scientific advice related to maximum sustainable yield.

Amendment

1. Fishing opportunities for the stocks of Groups 3 and 4 shall be consistent with **best available** scientific advice related to maximum sustainable yield.

Or. en

Amendment 216

Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In the absence of scientific advice on fishing mortality rate consistent with maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities shall be consistent with scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach.

Amendment

2. In the absence of scientific advice on fishing mortality rate consistent with maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities **for the stocks managed under this plan** shall be consistent with scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach **to fisheries management as defined in point 8 of Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and an ecosystem-based approach. Additional fisheries management measures shall ensure a level of conservation comparable to exploitation rates consistent with maximum sustainable yield.**

Or. en

Amendment 217

Ole Christensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **In the absence of scientific advice**

Amendment

2. **Where, due to a lack of sufficiently**

on fishing mortality rate consistent with maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities shall be consistent with scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach.

accurate and representative information, fishing opportunities cannot be determined in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article, the fixing of fishing opportunities shall be based on the precautionary approach in accordance with Article 9(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, taking into account increasing trends in the stocks and in the fishing activity, and ensuring at least a comparable degree of conservation of the relevant stocks.

Or. en

Amendment 218
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In the absence of scientific advice on fishing mortality rate consistent with maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities shall be consistent with scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach.

Amendment

2. In the absence of scientific advice on fishing mortality rate consistent with maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities *for by-catch species subject to a TAC* shall be consistent with *the best available* scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach.

Or. fr

Amendment 219
Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. *In the absence of scientific advice on fishing mortality rate consistent with*

Amendment

2. *Management measures, including, where appropriate, fishing opportunities*

maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities shall be consistent with scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach.

for the stocks referred to in Article 1 (2) (b), shall be determined taking into account the best available scientific advice and in line with the objectives laid down in Article 3.

Or. de

Amendment 220

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In the absence of scientific advice on fishing mortality rate consistent with maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities shall be consistent with scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach.

Amendment

2. In the absence of scientific advice on fishing mortality rate consistent with maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities **and measures** shall be consistent with **best available** scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach.

Or. en

Amendment 221

Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. *In the absence of scientific advice on fishing mortality rate consistent with maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities shall be consistent with scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach.*

Amendment

2. *Management measures, including, where appropriate, fishing opportunities for the stocks referred to in Article 2, shall be set taking into account the best available scientific advice and in line with the objectives laid down in Article 3.*

Or. nl

Amendment 222
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***In the absence of scientific advice on fishing mortality rate consistent with maximum sustainable yield, fishing opportunities shall be consistent with scientific advice to ensure the sustainability of the stocks in line with the precautionary approach.***

Amendment

2. ***Management measures, including, where appropriate, fishing opportunities for the stocks referred to in Article 1, shall be set taking into account the best available scientific advice and in line with the objectives laid down in Article 3.***

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 group system

Amendment 223
Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. ***Where appropriate, in a mixed fishery, in line with Article 9(5) of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013, such management measures may take into account the difficulty of fishing all stocks at maximum sustainable yield at the same time. In such cases the precautionary approach may be applied including through the use of the measures outlined in Article 9.***

Or. nl

Amendment 224
Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Where appropriate, in a mixed fishery, in line with Article 9(5) of Regulation 1380/2013, such management measures may take into account the fact that it is not possible to fish all stocks at maximum sustainable yield at the same time. In such cases the precautionary approach may be applied including through the use of the measures outlined in Article 9.

Or. de

Amendment 225
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Where appropriate, in a mixed fishery, in line with Article 9(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, such management measures may take into account the difficulty of fishing all stocks at maximum sustainable yield at the same time. In such cases the precautionary approach may be applied including through the use of the measures set out in Article 9.

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 group system

Amendment 226
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Fishable by-catch stocks that are not subject to a TAC shall be managed based on the precautionary principle, in line with the best available scientific advice.

Or. fr

Amendment 227
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 6

deleted

Targets for Group 5

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with scientific advice.

Or. de

Justification

Group 5 is deleted, so these targets are not needed.

Amendment 228
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 6

deleted

Targets for Group 5

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with scientific advice.

Or. fr

Amendment 229

Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 6

deleted

Targets for Group 5

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with scientific advice.

Or. fr

Amendment 230

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 6

deleted

Targets for Group 5

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with scientific advice.

Or. nl

Amendment 231
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 6

deleted

Targets for Group 5

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with scientific advice.

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 group system

Amendment 232
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with scientific advice. **deleted**

Or. nl

Justification

This article needs to be deleted because of the reorganisation of the groups in Article 2 by the PPE Group (Christian Democrats).

Amendment 233
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with scientific advice.

Amendment

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with scientific advice. ***The absence of adequate scientific information shall not justify postponing or failing to take management measures to conserve target species, associated or dependent species and non-targeted species and their environment.***

Or. en

Amendment 234
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with scientific advice.

Amendment

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach ***to fisheries management as defined in point 8 of Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013*** in line with ***the most up-to-date*** scientific advice ***and with the aim of fishing at or below levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield.***

Or. en

Amendment 235
Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach in line with

Amendment

Stocks of Group 5 shall be managed based on the precautionary approach ***and*** in line

scientific advice.

with *best available* scientific advice *in order to guarantee a degree of conservation at least comparable to exploitation rates with the maximum sustainable yield.*

Or. en

Amendment 236

Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Safeguards for *Groups* 1 and 2

Safeguards for *target species groups* 1 and 2

Or. fr

Amendment 237

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the *stocks in Group 1 is below the MSY Btrigger or that the abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 is below the Abundancebuffer set out in Annex II, column A, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality, taking*

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the *fish populations affected by this Regulation is declining, and below levels that are capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield, all activities affecting the species concerned in a negative way shall be suspended until its population has fully recovered.*

into account the decrease in biomass or abundance, that is reduced below the range laid down in Annex I, column A.

Or. en

Amendment 238
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 is below the MSY Btrigger or that the abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 is below the Abundancebuffer set out in Annex II, column A, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality, taking into account the decrease in biomass or abundance, that is reduced below the range laid down in Annex I, column A.

Amendment

1. When *the most up-to-date* scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 is below the MSY Btrigger or that the abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 is below the Abundancebuffer set out in Annex II, column A, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality, taking into account the decrease in biomass or abundance, that is reduced below the range laid down in Annex I, column A.

Or. en

Amendment 239
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. When scientific advice indicates that *for a given year* the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 is below the MSY Btrigger or that the abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 is below the Abundancebuffer set out in Annex II, column A, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality, taking into account the decrease in biomass or abundance, that is reduced below the range laid down in Annex I, column A.

1. When scientific advice indicates that *in three consecutive years* the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 is below the MSY Btrigger or that the abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 is below the Abundancebuffer set out in Annex II, column A, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality, taking into account the decrease in biomass or abundance, that is reduced below the range laid down in Annex I, column B.

Or. nl

Amendment 240
Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 is below the MSY Btrigger or that the abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 is below the Abundancebuffer set out in Annex II, column A, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality, taking into account the decrease in biomass or

Amendment

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 is below the MSY Btrigger or that the abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 is below the Abundancebuffer set out in Annex II, column A, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality, taking into account the decrease in biomass or

abundance, that is reduced below the range laid down in Annex I, column **A**.

abundance, that is reduced below the range laid down in Annex I, column **B**.

Or. de

Justification

By analogy with Article 5(2) of the Baltic Sea Multi-annual Plan.

Amendment 241
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 is below the MSY Btrigger or that the abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 is below the Abundancebuffer set out in Annex II, column A, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality, taking into account the decrease in biomass or abundance, that is reduced below the range laid down in Annex I, column **A**.

Amendment

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 is below the MSY Btrigger or that the abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 is below the Abundancebuffer set out in Annex II, column A, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality, taking into account the decrease in biomass or abundance, that is reduced below the range laid down in Annex I, column **B**.

Or. nl

Justification

As agreed in the multiannual plan for the Baltic.

Amendment 242
Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of any of the stocks concerned is below the Blim or the abundance of any of the Norway lobster functional units is below Abundancelimit as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, those remedial measures **shall include**, by way of derogation from paragraphs 2 and 4 of Article 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Amendment

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of any of the stocks concerned is below the Blim or the abundance of any of the Norway lobster functional units is below Abundancelimit as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, those remedial measures **may entail**, by way of derogation from paragraphs 2 and 4 of Article 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock **or functional unit** concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Or. nl

Amendment 243
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of any of the stocks concerned is below the Blim or the abundance of any of the Norway lobster functional units is below Abundancelimit as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, those remedial measures shall include, by way of derogation from

Amendment

2. When **the most up-to-date** scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of any of the stocks concerned is below the Blim or the abundance of any of the Norway lobster functional units is below Abundancelimit as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, those remedial measures shall include, by

paragraphs 2 and 4 of Article 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

way of derogation from paragraphs 2 and 4 of Article 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Or. en

Amendment 244
Ian Duncan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of any of the stocks concerned is below the Blim or the abundance of any of the Norway lobster functional units is below Abundancelimit as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, those remedial measures **shall** include, by way of derogation from paragraphs 2 and 4 of Article 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Amendment

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of any of the stocks concerned is below the Blim or the abundance of any of the Norway lobster functional units is below Abundancelimit as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, those remedial measures **may** include, by way of derogation from paragraphs 2 and 4 of Article 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Or. en

Amendment 245
Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When scientific advice indicates

Amendment

2. When scientific advice indicates

that the spawning stock biomass of any of the stocks concerned is below the Blim or the abundance of any of the Norway lobster functional units is below Abundancelimit as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, those remedial measures **shall** include, by way of derogation from paragraphs 2 and 4 of Article 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

that the spawning stock biomass of any of the stocks concerned is below the Blim or the abundance of any of the Norway lobster functional units is below Abundancelimit as set out in Annex II, column B to this Regulation, further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing maximum sustainable yield. In particular, those remedial measures **may** include, by way of derogation from paragraphs 2 and 4 of Article 4, suspending the targeted fishery for the stock concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Or. de

Justification

By analogy with Article 5(3) of the Baltic Sea Multi-annual Plan.

Amendment 246

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Remedial measures referred to in this Article may include:

(a) emergency measures in accordance with Articles 12 and 13 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013;

(b) measures pursuant to Articles 11 and 11a (new) of this Regulation.

The choice of measures referred to in this Article shall be made in accordance with the nature, seriousness, duration and repetition of the situation where the spawning stock biomass is below the levels referred to in paragraph 1.

Justification

To incorporate a provision from the Baltic Sea management plan.

Amendment 247

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. If, on the basis either of scientific advice or the proposals of the regional forum ('Scheveningen group') or the North Sea Advisory Council (NSAC), the Commission considers that the conservation reference points set out in Annex II no longer correctly express the objectives of the plan, the Commission may as a matter of urgency submit a proposal for revision of those conservation reference points.

Or. nl

Justification

Analogous to Article 5(6) of the multiannual plan for the Baltic Sea. There is therefore an urgent need for consultation of regional advisory councils and operators, and therefore the right of initiative of the Scheveningen group or the NSAC must be provided for by this multiannual plan.

Amendment 248

Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Where, on the basis of scientific recommendations or at the request of the

regional body (the Scheveningen Group) or the regional advisory council (the NSAC), the Commission considers that the conservation reference points set out in Annex II no longer correctly express the objectives of the plan, the Commission may as a matter of urgency submit a proposal for their revision.

Or. de

Justification

By analogy with Article 5(6) of the Baltic Sea Multi-annual Plan. The various actors need to have a greater say; accordingly a right of initiative for the Scheveningen Group and the NSAC should be included at this point.

Amendment 249

Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. The ultimate choice of measures referred to in this Article shall be made in accordance with the nature, seriousness, duration and repetition of the situation where the spawning stock biomass is below the levels referred to in Annex II.

Or. nl

Amendment 250

Jens Gieseke, Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. The choice of measures referred to in this Article shall be made in accordance with the nature, seriousness,

*duration and repetition of the situation
where the spawning stock biomass is
below the levels referred to in Annex II.*

Or. de

Justification

By analogy with Article 5(5) of the Baltic Sea Multi-annual Plan.

Amendment 251
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Specific conservation measures for **Groups**
3 to 7

Specific conservation measures for **the by-
catch species group**

Or. fr

Amendment 252
Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Specific conservation measures **for Groups**
3 to 7

Specific conservation measures

Or. nl

Amendment 253
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Specific conservation measures *for Groups 3 to 7*

Specific conservation measures

Or. de

Amendment 254

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Specific conservation measures *for Groups 3 to 7*

Specific conservation measures

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 group system

Amendment 255

Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks *of Groups 3 to 7, or when the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 or abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation*, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks *as referred to in Groups 1 and 2*, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 *regarding:*

Or. de

Amendment 256

Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks *of Groups 3 to 7, or when the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 or abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation*, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 regarding:

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks *identified in Article 2 above*, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. *Such delegated acts may supplement this Regulation by laying down rules* regarding:

Or. nl

Amendment 257

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks *of Groups 3 to 7, or when the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 or abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in*

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks *as referred to in Article 1*, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. *Such delegated acts*

Annex II, column A to this Regulation, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 regarding:

may supplement this Regulation by laying down rules regarding:

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 group system

Amendment 258
Rolandas Paksas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks of Groups 3 to 7, or when the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 or abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 regarding:

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks of Groups 3 to 7, or when the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 or abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. **Such delegated acts may supplement this Regulation by laying down rules** regarding:

Or. It

Amendment 259
Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that **remedial** action is required **for the conservation of** any of the **demersal stocks of Groups 3 to 7, or when the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 or abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation**, the Commission is **empowered to** adopt delegated acts in **accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013** regarding:

Amendment

When scientific advice indicates that **additional** action is required **to ensure that** any of the **fisheries to which this Regulation applies is managed in accordance with Article 3 of this Regulation**, the Commission is **empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. Notwithstanding Article 18(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013**, the Commission **may** adopt delegated acts in **the absence of a joint recommendation referred to in those paragraphs. These delegated acts shall include measures** regarding:

Or. en

Amendment 260
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks of Groups 3 to 7, or when the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 or abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 regarding:

Amendment

When **the most up-to-date** scientific advice indicates that remedial action is required for the conservation of any of the demersal stocks of Groups 3 to 7, or when the spawning biomass of any of the stocks in Group 1 or abundance of any of the functional units in Group 2 for a given year is below the conservation reference points set out in Annex II, column A to this Regulation, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 regarding:

Or. en

Amendment 261

Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Total allowable catches

Fishing opportunities

Or. nl

Amendment 262

Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Member States shall ensure that the composition by species of the quotas available to fishing vessels operating in mixed fisheries are appropriate to the composition of the catch that the vessels are likely to take.

deleted

Or. de

Justification

In the North Sea, owing to the large number of species fished and the mixed nature of the fisheries, it is hard to estimate the probable composition of catches.

Amendment 263

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Member States shall ensure that the composition by species of the quotas available to fishing vessels operating in mixed fisheries are appropriate to the composition of the catch that the vessels are likely to take.

deleted

Or. fr

Justification

This is a reference to Article 16(7) of Regulation 1380/2013. There is therefore no need to repeat it here.

Amendment 264

Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that the composition by species of the quotas available to fishing vessels operating in mixed fisheries are appropriate to the composition of the catch that the vessels are likely to take.

Amendment

1. When the fishing opportunities available to Member States are allocated to them, they shall take full account of the likely composition of the catch that the vessels are likely to take *which participate in mixed fishing.*

Or. nl

Amendment 265

Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. In accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, when allocating the fishing opportunities available to them, Member States shall use transparent and objective criteria, including those of an environmental,

social and economic nature, and shall also endeavour to distribute national quotas fairly among the various fleet segments giving special consideration to traditional and artisanal fisheries, and to provide incentives to Union fishing vessels deploying selective fishing gear or using fishing techniques with reduced environmental impact.

Or. fr

Amendment 266

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. When allocating quotas, Member States shall take into account objective and transparent criteria pursuant to Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, including the impact of fishing on the environment, the history of compliance, the contribution to the local economy and historic catch levels.

Or. en

Amendment 267

Ian Duncan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Without prejudice to Article 8, the TAC for the stock of Norway lobster in ICES zones IIa and IV *shall* be the sum of the catch limits of the functional units and of the statistical rectangles outside the

2. Without prejudice to Article 8, the TAC for the stock of Norway lobster in ICES zones *in Union waters of* IIa and IV *may* be the sum of the catch limits of the functional units and of the statistical

functional units.

rectangles outside the functional units.

Or. en

Amendment 268

Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Without prejudice to Article 8, the TAC for the stock of Norway lobster in ICES zones IIa and IV **shall** be the sum of the catch limits of the functional units and of the statistical rectangles outside the functional units.

Amendment

2. Without prejudice to Article 8, the TAC for the stock of Norway lobster in ICES zones IIa and IV **may** be the sum of the catch limits of the functional units and of the statistical rectangles outside the functional units.

Or. nl

Amendment 269

Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Without prejudice to Article 8, the TAC for the stock of Norway lobster in ICES zones IIa and IV **shall** be the sum of the catch limits of the functional units and of the statistical rectangles outside the functional units.

Amendment

2. Without prejudice to Article 8, the TAC for the stock of Norway lobster in ICES zones IIa and IV **may** be the sum of the catch limits of the functional units and of the statistical rectangles outside the functional units.

Or. de

Amendment 270

Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 10 a

Recreational fisheries

When setting fishing opportunities, account shall be taken of recreational fisheries. This shall be done by:

(a) deducting the estimate, derived from the best available data, of recent catches by recreational fisheries from the scientific advice on fishing opportunities corresponding to the target fishing mortality;

(b) imposing restrictions on recreational fisheries such as daily bag limits, closed seasons, etc.; or

(c) a combination of (a) and (b).

Or. en

Justification

For certain stocks, recreational fisheries can account for a significant share of the total mortality. This needs to be considered when establishing TACs.

Amendment 271
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Provisions linked to the landing obligation
for *Groups 1 to 7*

Provisions linked to the landing obligation
for *target and by-catch species groups*

Or. fr

Amendment 272
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Provisions linked to the landing obligation
for Groups 1 to 7

Provisions linked to the landing obligation

Or. de

Amendment 273
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Provisions linked to the landing obligation
for Groups 1 to 7

Provisions linked to the landing obligation

Or. en

Justification

The amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 group system

Amendment 274
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For demersal stocks of Groups 1-7, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 concerning:

The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. *As regards the demersal stocks referred to in Article 1(1) and (x), such delegated acts may supplement this Regulation by laying down rules concerning:*

Justification

The amendment is part of a set to create a less complex 2 group system

Amendment 275

Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

For demersal stocks of Groups 1-7, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 concerning:

Amendment

The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 concerning the demersal fish species referred to in Article 2; these delegated acts may supplement this Regulation by means of provisions concerning:

Or. de

Amendment 276

Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

For demersal stocks of **Groups 1-7**, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 concerning:

Amendment

For demersal stocks of **target and by-catch species groups**, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 concerning:

Or. fr

Amendment 277

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) exemptions from the application of the landing obligation for species for which scientific *evidence* demonstrates high survival rates, taking into account the characteristics of the gear, of the fishing practices and of the ecosystem, to facilitate the implementation of the landing obligation; and

Amendment

(a) exemptions from the application of the landing obligation for species for which *the best available* scientific *advice* demonstrates high survival rates, taking into account the characteristics of the gear, of the fishing practices and of the ecosystem, to facilitate the implementation of the landing obligation; and

Or. en

Amendment 278

Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) *specific provisions on documentation of catches, in particular for the purpose of monitoring the implementation of the landing obligation; and*

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 279

Nils Torvalds, Norica Nicolai, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) specific provisions on documentation of catches, in particular for the purpose of monitoring *the*

Amendment

(c) specific provisions on documentation of catches, in particular for the purpose of monitoring *and controlling*

implementation of the landing obligation;
and

*in order to ensure a level playing field
by ensuring full compliance with the
landing obligation ; and*

Or. en

Amendment 280
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 11a

Technical measures

1. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 regarding the following technical measures:

(a) specifications of characteristics of fishing gears and rules governing their use, to ensure or improve selectivity, to reduce unwanted catches or to minimise the negative impact on the ecosystem;

(b) specifications of modifications or additional devices to the fishing gears, to ensure or improve selectivity, to reduce unwanted catches or to minimise the negative impact on the ecosystem;

(c) limitations or prohibitions on the use of certain fishing gears and on fishing activities, in certain areas or periods to protect spawning fish, fish below the minimum conservation reference size or non-target fish species, or to minimise the negative impact on the ecosystem; and

(d) the fixing of minimum conservation reference sizes for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies, to ensure the protection of juveniles of marine organisms.

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3.

Or. fr

Justification

To incorporate Article 8 of the Baltic Sea management plan. The Commission proposal links the issue of technical measures solely to the notion of measures designed to safeguard a stock. This approach is too narrow. A specific chapter should be created that will make it possible to introduce more general technical measures.

Amendment 281
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Notwithstanding Article 18(1) and (3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the Commission may adopt delegated acts also in the absence of a joint recommendation referred to in those paragraphs.

Or. en

Amendment 282
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 12a

Fishing authorisations and capacity management measures

1. For the North Sea, Eastern Channel and Skagerrak area covered by this

Regulation, each Member State shall issue fishing authorisations for vessels flying its flag which engage in fishing activities in that area and using one of the following gears:

(a) bottom trawls and seines (OTB, OTT, PTB, SDN, SSC, SPR) of mesh:

(i) TR1 equal to or larger than 100 mm;

(ii) TR2 equal to or larger than 70 mm and less than 100 mm;

(iii) TR3 equal to or larger than 16 mm and less than 32 mm;

(b) beam trawls (TBB) of mesh:

(i) BT1 equal to or larger than 120 mm;

(ii) BT2 equal to or larger than 80 mm and less than 120 mm;

(c) gill nets, entangling nets (GN);

(d) trammel nets (GT);

(e) longlines (LL).

2. Without prejudice to the capacity ceilings set out in Annex II to Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, for each of the geographical areas referred to in Article 1 of this Regulation, the total capacity expressed in kW of the vessels having fishing authorisations issued in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article shall not be greater than the maximum capacity of the vessels that have been active in 2006 or 2007 using one of the gears referred to in paragraph 1 in the geographical area concerned.

3. Each Member State shall establish and maintain a list of vessels holding the fishing authorisation referred to in paragraph 1 and make it available on its official website to the Commission and other Member States.

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 in order to

modify these provisions.

Or. fr

Justification

The capacity ceiling and fishing authorisations established in the cod plan should be maintained in order to ensure a continued framework for vessels in the area and thus avoid any shifting of fishing effort in the Eastern Channel in particular, where a number of important species are not subject to quotas.

Amendment 283

Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 13

deleted

*Relationship with Regulation (EC) No
1224/2009*

The control measures provided for in this Chapter shall apply in addition to those provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 for demersal stocks of Groups 1-7, save where otherwise provided for in this Chapter.

Or. en

Amendment 284

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The control measures provided for in this Chapter shall apply in addition to those provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 *for demersal stocks of Groups 1-7*, save where otherwise provided for in

The control measures provided for in this Chapter shall apply in addition to those provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, save where otherwise provided

this Chapter.

for in this Chapter.

Or. fr

Justification

Unnecessary specification. The scope is already clear.

Amendment 285
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The control measures provided for in this Chapter shall apply in addition to those provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 for **demersal stocks of Groups I-7**, save where otherwise provided for in this Chapter.

Amendment

The control measures provided for in this Chapter shall apply in addition to those provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 for **target and by-catch demersal stocks**, save where otherwise provided for in this Chapter.

Or. fr

Amendment 286
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The control measures provided for in this Chapter shall apply in addition to those provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 for demersal stocks **of Groups I-7**, save where otherwise provided for in this Chapter.

Amendment

The control measures provided for in this Chapter shall apply in addition to those provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 for demersal stocks, save where otherwise provided for in this Chapter.

Or. de

Amendment 287
Ole Christensen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Margin of tolerance in the logbook

By way of derogation from Article 14(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, for catches which are landed unsorted the permitted margin of tolerance in estimates recorded in the fishing logbook of the quantities in kilograms of fish retained on board shall be 10 % of the total quantity retained on board.

Or. en

Amendment 288
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 14

deleted

Prior notifications

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification referred to in that Article shall be made at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port. The competent authorities of the coastal Member States may, on a case-by-case basis, give permission to an earlier entry at port.

2. In addition to the prior notification obligation laid down in Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of overall length of eight to twelve metres shall notify the competent authorities of the coastal

Member State at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port of the information listed in points (a) to (f) of Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 when retaining on board at least any of the following quantities of fish:

- (a) Group 1: 1000 kg; and/or*
- (b) Group 2 and 4: 500 kg; and/or*
- (c) Group 3: 1000 kg; and/or*
- (d) Group 7: 1000 kg.*

Or. en

Amendment 289
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. *In addition to the prior notification obligation laid down in Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of overall length of eight to twelve metres shall notify the competent authorities of the coastal Member State at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port of the information listed in points (a) to (f) of Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 when retaining on board at least any of the following quantities of fish:* **deleted**

- (a) Group 1: 1000 kg; and/or*
- (b) Group 2 and 4: 500 kg; and/or*
- (c) Group 3: 1000 kg; and/or*
- (d) Group 7: 1000 kg.*

Or. fr

Justification

Given the management complexity arising from the large number of proposed groups, we would propose retaining the control regulation provisions, in line with the better regulation principle and the recommendations made by Parliament in its own-initiative report on harmonising fisheries controls.

Amendment 290

Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. *In addition to the prior notification obligation laid down in Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of overall length of eight to twelve metres shall notify the competent authorities of the coastal Member State at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port of the information listed in points (a) to (f) of Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 when retaining on board at least any of the following quantities of fish:*

(a)Group 1: 1000 kg; and/or

(b)Group 2 and 4: 500 kg; and/or

(c)Group 3: 1000 kg; and/or

(d)Group 7: 1000 kg.

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 291

Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. *In addition to the prior notification obligation laid down in Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of overall length of eight to twelve metres shall notify the competent authorities of the coastal Member State at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port of the information listed in points (a) to (f) of Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 when retaining on board at least any of the following quantities of fish:* *deleted*

(a) Group 1: 1000 kg; and/or

(b) Group 2 and 4: 500 kg; and/or

(c) Group 3: 1000 kg; and/or

(d) Group 7: 1000 kg.

Or. de

Justification

The current Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 is sufficient here. There is no need for additional, more stringent targets.

Amendment 292
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. In addition to the prior notification obligation laid down in Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of overall length of **eight to** twelve metres shall notify the competent authorities of the coastal Member State at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port of the information listed in points (a) to (f) of Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 when retaining on board at least

Amendment

2. In addition to the prior notification obligation laid down in Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of overall length of twelve metres **and above** shall notify the competent authorities of the coastal Member State at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port of the information listed in points (a) to (f) of Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 when retaining on board at least

any of the following quantities of fish:

any of the following quantities of fish:

Or. fr

Justification

This article should retain the existing provisions on control measures. A management plan is not the place to introduce new control measures.

Amendment 293

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *Group 1: 1000 kg; and/or*

(a) *Cod and hake: 1000 kg; and/or*

Or. fr

Amendment 294

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) *Group 3: 1000 kg; and/or*

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 295

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) *Group 7: 1000 kg.*

deleted

Amendment 296
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 15

deleted

Logbook requirements for Groups 1 to 7
By way of derogation from Article 14(1)
of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009,
masters of Union fishing vessels of an
overall length of eight metres or more
engaged in fishing for demersal stocks
shall keep a logbook of their operations in
accordance with Article 14 of that
Regulation.

Or. fr

Justification

This provision has no place in a management plan and should be the subject of more extensive discussions when the control regulation is revised.

Amendment 297
Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 15

deleted

Logbook requirements for Groups 1 to 7
By way of derogation from Article 14(1)
of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009,
masters of Union fishing vessels of an
overall length of eight metres or more
engaged in fishing for demersal stocks

shall keep a logbook of their operations in accordance with Article 14 of that Regulation.

Or. de

Justification

The current Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 is sufficient here. There is no need for additional, more stringent targets.

Amendment 298
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 15

deleted

Logbook requirements for Groups 1 to 7
By way of derogation from Article 14(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of an overall length of eight metres or more engaged in fishing for demersal stocks shall keep a logbook of their operations in accordance with Article 14 of that Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 299
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Logbook requirements for **Groups 1 to 7**

Logbook requirements for **target and by-catch species groups**

Amendment 300
Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By way of derogation from Article 14(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of an overall length of eight metres or more engaged in fishing for demersal stocks shall keep a logbook of their operations in accordance with Article 14 of that Regulation.

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 301
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*By way of derogation from Article 14(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of an overall length of **eight** metres or more engaged in fishing for demersal stocks shall keep a logbook of their operations in accordance with Article 14 of that Regulation.*

***In accordance with** Article 14(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels of an overall length of **ten** metres or more engaged in fishing for demersal stocks shall keep a logbook of their operations in accordance with Article 14 of that Regulation.*

Or. en

Amendment 302
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 16

deleted

Designated ports for Groups 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7

The threshold applicable to the live weight of species subject to the multi-annual plan, above which a fishing vessel is required to land its catches in a designated port or a place close to the shore as set out in Article 43 of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 shall be:

(a)Group 1: 2 tonnes;

(b)Group 2 and 4: 1 tonne;

(c)Group 3: 2 tonnes;

(d)Group 7: 2 tonnes.

Or. fr

Justification

Given the management complexity arising from the large number of proposed groups, we would propose retaining the control regulation provisions, in line with the better regulation principle and the recommendations made by Parliament in its own-initiative report on harmonising fisheries controls.

Amendment 303

Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 16

deleted

Designated ports for Groups 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7

The threshold applicable to the live weight of species subject to the multi-annual plan, above which a fishing vessel is required to land its catches in a

designated port or a place close to the shore as set out in Article 43 of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 shall be:

(a) Group 1: 2 tonnes;

(b) Group 2 and 4: 1 tonne;

(c) Group 3: 2 tonnes;

(d) Group 7: 2 tonnes.

Or. de

Justification

The current Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 is sufficient here. There is no need for additional, more stringent targets.

Amendment 304
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 16

deleted

Designated ports for Groups 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7

The threshold applicable to the live weight of species subject to the multi-annual plan, above which a fishing vessel is required to land its catches in a designated port or a place close to the shore as set out in Article 43 of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 shall be:

(a) Group 1: 2 tonnes;

(b) Group 2 and 4: 1 tonne;

(c) Group 3: 2 tonnes;

(d) Group 7: 2 tonnes.

Or. en

Amendment 305
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Designated ports *for Groups 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7*

Designated ports

Or. fr

Amendment 306
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *Group 1: 2 tonnes;*

(a) *Cod and hake: 2 tonnes;*

Or. fr

Amendment 307
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) *Group 3: 2 tonnes;*

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 308
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) **Group 7: 2 tonnes.**

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 309

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

No less than **five** years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. It shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

No less than **three** years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, ***as well as the extent to which the objectives of this Regulation have been met, including the recovery of fish stocks above levels capable of producing the Maximum Sustainable Yield and the progress towards Good Environmental Status.*** It shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

Or. en

Amendment 310

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

No less than five years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the

No less than five years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the

plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. It shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. It shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council. ***The Commission may, where this is considered necessary by all of the Member States concerned and itself, report on an earlier date.***

Or. nl

Amendment 311
Rolandas Paksas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

No less than five years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. It shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

Amendment

No less than five years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. It shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council. ***The Commission may submit the report earlier, provided that it or all the Member States concerned believe this to be necessary.***

Or. It

Amendment 312
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

No less than ***five*** years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five

Amendment

No less than ***three*** years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five

years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. It shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

years thereafter, the Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks. It shall submit the results of this evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council.

Or. fr

Amendment 313

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano, Isabelle Thomas, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, José Blanco López

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall report annually to the European Parliament on progress in achieving the objectives of this Regulation, in particular to restore and maintain all stocks covered by this Regulation above levels capable of producing the Maximum sustainable Yield.

Or. en

Amendment 314

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 17a

Annual report

The Commission shall report annually to the European Parliament and to the Council on the progress on achieving

maximum sustainable yield and on the situation of fish stocks in the waters and for the stocks covered by this Regulation, as early as possible following the adoption of the yearly regulation fixing the fishing opportunities available in Union waters and in certain non-Union waters. That report shall be annexed to the annual report referred to in Article 50 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

That report shall contain:

- the comprehensive scientific advice on the basis of which the fishing opportunities were fixed;*
- a scientific justification demonstrating that the fishing opportunities fixed are in line with the objectives and provisions of this Regulation, in particular the fishing mortality targets.*

Or. fr