



**2016/2147(INI)**

4.4.2017

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 200**

**Draft report**  
**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**  
(PE600.940v01-00)

Assessment of Horizon 2020 implementation in view of its interim evaluation  
and the Framework Programme 9 proposal  
(2016/2147(INI))



**Amendment 1**  
**Edouard Martin**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 16 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the Commission 2014 and 2015 reports of the European Commission on "Integration of Social Sciences and the Humanities in Horizon 2020; participants, budgets and disciplines,*

Or. en

**Amendment 2**  
**Clare Moody**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 24 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to its report on EU Funds for Gender Equality (2017/2144(INI)),*

Or. en

**Amendment 3**  
**Clare Moody**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 25 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the draft report of its Committee on Budgetary Control on discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the European Institute of Innovation and*

Or. en

**Amendment 4**

**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital A**

*Motion for a resolution*

A. whereas Horizon 2020 (H2020) is the EU's largest centrally managed R&D programme;

*Amendment*

A. whereas Horizon 2020 (H2020) is the EU's largest centrally managed R&I ***programme, and the world's largest publicly funded R&I*** programme;

Or. en

**Amendment 5**

**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital B**

*Motion for a resolution*

***B. whereas, in negotiating H2020 and the current Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), Parliament asked for EUR100 billion euros rather than the EUR 77 billion agreed and the budget seems very limited if H2020 is to fully explore excellence potential;***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 6**

**Nicolas Bay, Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana**

**Motion for a resolution**

## Recital B

### *Motion for a resolution*

B. whereas, in negotiating H2020 and the current Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), Parliament asked for EUR100 billion euros rather than the EUR 77 billion agreed ***and the budget seems very limited if H2020 is to fully explore excellence potential;***

### *Amendment*

B. whereas, in negotiating H2020 and the current Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), Parliament asked for EUR100 billion euros rather than the EUR 77 billion agreed;

Or. fr

## Amendment 7

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Patrizia Toia, Carlos Zorrinho**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital B**

### *Motion for a resolution*

B. whereas, in negotiating H2020 and the current Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), Parliament asked for EUR100 billion euros rather than the EUR 77 billion agreed and the budget seems very limited if H2020 is to fully explore excellence potential;

### *Amendment*

B. whereas, in negotiating H2020 and the current Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), Parliament asked for EUR100 billion euros rather than the EUR 77 billion agreed and the budget seems very limited if H2020 is to fully explore excellence potential ***and respond to societal challenges European and global society is currently facing; whereas, in negotiating H2020 and the current Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), Parliament asked for EUR 100 billion euros rather than the EUR 77 billion agreed and the budget seems very limited if H2020 is to fully explore excellence potential;***

Or. en

## Amendment 8

**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas**

## Motion for a resolution

### Recital B

#### *Motion for a resolution*

B. whereas, in negotiating H2020 and the current Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), Parliament asked for EUR100 billion euros rather than the EUR 77 billion agreed and the budget seems very limited if H2020 is to fully explore excellence potential;

#### *Amendment*

B. whereas, in negotiating H2020 and the current Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), Parliament asked for EUR100 billion euros rather than the EUR **80** billion *initially* agreed and the budget seems very limited if H2020 is to fully explore excellence potential *and to adequately respond to the societal challenges the European society is currently facing*;

Or. en

## Amendment 9

Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas

## Motion for a resolution

### Recital C

#### *Motion for a resolution*

C. whereas the interim evaluation planned for the 3rd quarter of 2017 will lay the foundations of the structure and content of FP9, on which a proposal will be published in the first half of 2018;

#### *Amendment*

C. whereas the *report of the High Level Group on maximising impact of EU Research and Innovation Programmes* and the interim evaluation planned for the 3rd quarter of 2017 will lay the foundations of the structure and content of FP9, on which a proposal will be published in the first half of 2018;

Or. en

## Amendment 10

Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas

## Motion for a resolution

### Recital D

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**D.** *whereas the economic and financial crisis was a determining factor in the design of H2020, and new current challenges (such as populism, inequalities, migration and terrorism) and new political and economic paradigms are likely to shape the next research programme;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 11**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital D**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

D. *whereas the economic and financial crisis was a determining factor in the design of H2020, and new current challenges (such as populism, inequalities, migration **and** terrorism) and new political and economic paradigms are likely to shape the next research programme;*

D. *whereas the economic and financial crisis was a determining factor in the design of H2020, and new current challenges (such as **nationalist** populism, **xenophobia**, inequalities, migration **flows and international** terrorism, **technological progress, social and healthcare challenges, climate change or sustainability of natural resources**) and new political and economic paradigms, **requiring answers from society's perspective and in its interest, while preserving the values and principles that characterise the EU**, are likely to shape the next research programme;*

Or. en

**Amendment 12**

**Martina Werner**

**Motion for a resolution**

## Recital D

### *Motion for a resolution*

D. whereas the economic and financial crisis was a determining factor in the design of H2020, and new current challenges (such as populism, inequalities, migration **and** terrorism) **and** new political and economic paradigms are likely to shape the next research programme;

### *Amendment*

D. whereas the economic and financial crisis was a determining factor in the design of H2020, and new current challenges (such as populism, inequalities, migration, terrorism **and the increasing technological competition with other world regions**), new political and economic paradigms **and continuing megatrends such as digitalisation, climate change and the transition to sustainable mobility** are likely to shape the next research programme;

Or. de

## Amendment 13 Nicolas Bay

### Motion for a resolution Recital D

### *Motion for a resolution*

D. whereas the economic and financial crisis was a determining factor in the design of H2020, and new current challenges (such as **populism, inequalities, migration** and terrorism) and new political and economic paradigms are likely to shape the next research programme;

### *Amendment*

D. whereas the economic and financial crisis was a determining factor in the design of H2020, and new current challenges (such as **peoples' growing rejection of the EU, the wave of migration sweeping across the European countries and Islamic terrorism**) and new political and economic paradigms are likely to shape the next research programme;

Or. fr

## Amendment 14 Anneleen Van Bossuyt

### Motion for a resolution Recital D



*Motion for a resolution*

D. whereas the economic and financial crisis was a determining factor in the design of H2020, and new current challenges (*such as populism, inequalities, migration and terrorism*) and new political and economic paradigms are likely to shape the next research programme;

*Amendment*

D. whereas the economic and financial crisis was a determining factor in the design of H2020, and new current challenges (*job creation, security and migration*) and new political and economic paradigms are likely to shape the next research programme;

Or. en

**Amendment 15**  
**Nicolas Bay**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E**

*Motion for a resolution*

E. whereas the Framework Programme (FP) must be founded on *European values*, scientific independence, *openness, diversity*, high European ethical standards, social cohesion and equal access by citizens to the solutions and answers it provides;

*Amendment*

E. whereas the Framework Programme (FP) must be founded on scientific independence, high European ethical standards, social cohesion and equal access by citizens to the solutions and answers it provides;

Or. fr

**Amendment 16**  
**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E**

*Motion for a resolution*

E. whereas the Framework Programme (FP) must be founded on European values, scientific independence, openness, diversity, high European ethical standards, social cohesion and equal access by citizens to the solutions and answers it

*Amendment*

E. whereas the Framework Programme (FP) must be founded on European values, scientific independence, openness, diversity, high European ethical *and research integrity* standards, social cohesion and equal access by citizens to

provides;

the solutions and answers it provides;

Or. en

### **Amendment 17**

**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Recital E**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

E. whereas the Framework Programme (FP) must be founded on European values, scientific independence, openness, diversity, **high European ethical standards, social cohesion** and equal access by citizens to the solutions and answers it provides;

###### *Amendment*

E. whereas the Framework Programme (FP) must be founded on European values, scientific independence, openness, diversity, **highest scientific standards** and equal access by citizens to the solutions and answers it provides;

Or. en

### **Amendment 18**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Recital E a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

***Ea. whereas there are many changes and challenges that will need to be tackled in the future to promote and care for health in Europe; changes and challenges flowing from a comprehensive vision of health, a new demographic, epidemiological, sociological, economic and competitive context, and significant technological changes and major shifts in care models;***

Or. es

**Amendment 19**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ea. whereas investments in R&D are essential for European economic and social development and global competitiveness, and whereas the importance of excellent science for fostering innovation and long-term competitive advantages needs to be reflected in the funding of FP9;*

Or. en

**Amendment 20**  
**Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ea. whereas there is a high level of lack of awareness of and difficulty in accessing Horizon 2020 research programmes;*

Or. es

**Amendment 21**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Eb. whereas the United Kingdom and the EU are mutually dependant on each other for maintaining global*

*competitiveness and R&D excellence, and whereas this mutual dependence has to be reflected in the upcoming negotiations following the triggering of Article 50 by the United Kingdom;*

Or. en

**Amendment 22**  
**Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Eb. whereas disease prevention and interventions centred on population needs must be just as much a priority in research and publications as medicine-based therapies and medical products;***

Or. es

**Amendment 23**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Ec. whereas the trend in citation impact is higher in countries outside the EU, notably Singapore, Switzerland and the United States, which underlines the need for intensified European efforts and investments in R&D, excellent science and a globally competitive FP9 with the aim of making Europe into a world leading centre for research;***

Or. en

## Amendment 24

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández

### Motion for a resolution

Recital E c (new)

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ec. whereas medicinal research and development do not always respond to demands linked to genuine health needs, and this has a bearing on the responsibility of doctors to guarantee best practice while avoiding any kind of influence, and the responsibility of the regulator;*

Or. es

## Amendment 25

Jakop Dalunde

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

2. Recalls that the objective of H2020 is to contribute to building a society and an economy based on knowledge and innovation by leveraging additional national public and private R&D funding and by helping to attain the target of 3% of GDP for R&D by 2020; regrets that the EU invested only 2.03% of GDP in 2015, with the individual figures for different countries ranging from 0.46% to 3.26%<sup>14</sup> ;

2. Recalls that the objective of H2020 is to contribute to building a society and an economy based on knowledge and innovation by leveraging additional national public and private R&D funding and by helping to attain the target of 3% of GDP for R&D by 2020; regrets that the EU invested only 2.03% of GDP in 2015, with the individual figures for different countries ranging from 0.46% to 3.26%<sup>14</sup>; ***encourages relevant Member States to contribute adequately to meeting the 3% EU's GDP target; notes that an overall increase of 3% would bring additionally more than 100 billion euros per year for research and innovation in Europe;***

*recalls that two thirds of R&D funding is expected to come from industry;*

---

<sup>14</sup> ‘Horizon 2020, the EU framework programme for research and innovation. European Implementation Assessment’. European Parliament Research Service.

---

<sup>14</sup> ‘Horizon 2020, the EU framework programme for research and innovation. European Implementation Assessment’. European Parliament Research Service.

Or. en

## **Amendment 26**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Patrizia Toia, Carlos Zorrinho**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 2**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

2. Recalls that the objective of H2020 is to contribute to building a society and an economy based on knowledge and innovation by leveraging additional national public and private R&D funding and by helping to attain the target of 3% of GDP for R&D by 2020; regrets that the EU invested only 2.03% of GDP in 2015, with the individual figures for different countries ranging from 0.46% to 3.26%<sup>14</sup> ;

---

<sup>14</sup> ‘Horizon 2020, the EU framework programme for research and innovation. European Implementation Assessment’. European Parliament Research Service.

##### *Amendment*

2. Recalls that the objective of H2020 is to contribute to building a society and an economy based on knowledge and innovation by leveraging additional national public and private R&D funding and by helping to attain the target of 3% of GDP for R&D by 2020; regrets that the EU invested only 2.03% of GDP in 2015, with the individual figures for different countries ranging from 0.46% to 3.26%<sup>14</sup>; ***reminds that the European Research Area (ERA) faces direct competition with the world's top-performing research regions and that the strengthening of the ERA is therefore a collective duty of Europe;***

---

<sup>14</sup> ‘Horizon 2020, the EU framework programme for research and innovation. European Implementation Assessment’. European Parliament Research Service.

Or. en

## Amendment 27

Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 2

##### *Motion for a resolution*

2. Recalls that the objective of **H2020** is to contribute to building a society and an economy based on knowledge and innovation by leveraging additional national public and private R&D funding and by helping to attain the target of 3% of GDP for R&D by 2020; regrets that the EU invested only 2.03% of GDP in 2015, with the individual figures for different countries ranging from 0.46% to 3.26%<sup>14</sup> ;

---

<sup>14</sup> ‘Horizon 2020, the EU framework programme for research and innovation. European Implementation Assessment’. European Parliament Research Service.

##### *Amendment*

2. Recalls that the objective of **Horizon 2020** is to contribute to building a society and an economy based on knowledge and innovation by leveraging additional national public and private R&D funding and by helping to attain the target of 3% of GDP for R&D by 2020; regrets that the EU invested only 2.03% of GDP in 2015, with the individual figures for different countries ranging from 0.46% to 3.26%, **while major global competitors are outperforming the EU on R&D expenditure**<sup>14</sup> ;

---

<sup>14</sup> ‘Horizon 2020, the EU framework programme for research and innovation. European Implementation Assessment’. European Parliament Research Service.

Or. en

## Amendment 28

Olle Ludvigsson

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 2

##### *Motion for a resolution*

2. Recalls that the objective of H2020 is to contribute to building a society and an economy based on knowledge and innovation by leveraging additional national public and private R&D funding and by helping to attain the target of 3% of GDP for R&D by 2020; regrets that the EU invested only 2.03% of GDP in 2015, with

##### *Amendment*

2. Recalls that the objective of H2020 is to contribute to building a society and an economy based on knowledge and innovation by leveraging additional national public and private R&D funding and by helping to attain the target of 3% of GDP for R&D by 2020; **stresses the need to keep a pace with our global competitors**

the individual figures for different countries ranging from 0.46% to 3.26%<sup>14</sup> ;

*and* regrets that the EU invested only 2.03% of GDP in 2015, with the individual figures for different countries ranging from 0.46% to 3.26%<sup>14</sup> ;

---

<sup>14</sup> ‘Horizon 2020, the EU framework programme for research and innovation. European Implementation Assessment’. European Parliament Research Service.

---

<sup>14</sup> ‘Horizon 2020, the EU framework programme for research and innovation. European Implementation Assessment’. European Parliament Research Service.

Or. en

## **Amendment 29** **Angelika Niebler, Herbert Reul**

### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 3**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research is a huge success<sup>15</sup>;

#### *Amendment*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research is a huge success<sup>15</sup>; ***draws attention to the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions - European Standards for the 21st Century (SWD(2016)186 final), which emphasises the important role which standardisation plays in the context of innovation; acknowledges that standards foster, not stifle, innovation;***

---

<sup>15</sup> With over 130 000 proposals received, 9 000 grants signed, 50 000 participations and EUR 15.9 billion of EU funding.

Or. de

## **Amendment 30**



**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research is a huge success<sup>15</sup> ;

---

<sup>15</sup> With over 130 000 proposals received, 9 000 grants signed, 50 000 participations and EUR 15.9 billion of EU funding.

*Amendment*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research **and innovation** is a huge success<sup>15</sup> **and creates clear EU Added-Value with a high level of additionality**;

---

<sup>15</sup> With over 130 000 proposals received, 9 000 grants signed, 50 000 participations and EUR 15.9 billion of EU funding.

Or. en

**Amendment 31  
Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research is a **huge success**<sup>15</sup> ;

---

<sup>15</sup> With over 130 000 proposals received, 9 000 grants signed, 50 000 participations and EUR 15.9 billion of EU funding.

*Amendment*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research is a **success**[1]; **underlines that there are still many possibilities to improve the framework programme and its successor**;

Or. en

**Amendment 32**

**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research is a huge success<sup>15</sup> ;

---

<sup>15</sup> With over 130 000 proposals received, 9 000 grants signed, 50 000 participations and EUR 15.9 billion of EU funding.

*Amendment*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research **and innovation** is a huge success<sup>15</sup> **and has clear added value to the EU**;

---

<sup>15</sup> With over 130 000 proposals received, 9 000 grants signed, 50 000 participations and EUR 15.9 billion of EU funding.

Or. en

**Amendment 33**

**Nicolas Bay**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research is a **huge** success<sup>15</sup>;

---

<sup>15</sup> With over 130 000 proposals received, 9 000 grants signed, 50 000 participations and EUR 15.9 billion of EU funding.

*Amendment*

3. Stresses that the evaluation of FP7 and monitoring of H2020 shows that the EU FP for research is a **relative** success<sup>15</sup>;

---

<sup>15</sup> With over 130 000 proposals received, 9 000 grants signed, 50 000 participations and EUR 15.9 billion of EU funding.

Or. fr

**Amendment 34**

**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

4. Considers that the reasons for its success are the multidisciplinary and collaborative setting and the excellence and impact requirements;

*Amendment*

4. Considers that **among** the reasons for its success are the multidisciplinary and collaborative setting and the excellence and impact requirements;

Or. en

**Amendment 35**

**Herbert Reul, Angelika Niebler**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***4a. Recognizes European competitiveness and the creation of growth and jobs as key objectives of European R&I programmes and therefore calls for the further promotion of the participation of key industrial sectors and companies; collaborative involvement of SMEs should be fostered (in addition to the SME instruments);***

Or. en

**Amendment 36**

**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

***5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess the added value of funding for industry-driven instruments***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

*such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;*

---

<sup>16</sup> *Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.*

<sup>17</sup> *In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).*

<sup>18</sup> *See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.*

Or. de

#### **Amendment 37**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 5**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess the added value of funding for industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

###### *Amendment*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; ***however notes that industry participation including SMEs is significantly higher than in FP7***; asks the Commission to assess the added value of funding for industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>; ***stresses that it is very important to guarantee that the public interest is sufficiently protected in such initiatives, guaranteeing an equitable social impact,***

***and to ensure further public participation in the decision making process of the research priorities;***

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

Or. en

### **Amendment 38** **Martina Werner**

#### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 5**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; ***asks the Commission to assess the added value of funding for*** industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D

##### *Amendment*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; ***acknowledges*** the value of industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Instruments (JTIs), ***in particular for cooperation on R&D which is vitally needed throughout the value chain; calls on the Commission, given that the JTIs account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, to ensure that the funding in question is used effectively and to assess*** the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D

should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

Or. de

### **Amendment 39**

**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 5**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess the added value of funding for industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7

###### *Amendment*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; ***concludes that the increased maximum reimbursement rates offered to large enterprises in Horizon 2020 compared with FP7 should come down in FP9***; asks the Commission to assess the added value of funding for industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence, ***inclusiveness*** and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7

years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Herbert Reul, Angelika Niebler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess the added value of funding for industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

*Amendment*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; **welcomes the fact that industry already funds two thirds of total R&D spending though at the same time** regrets that **some** industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess **further promote** the added value of funding for industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and **further underline** the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

Or. en

## Amendment 41

Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Gesine Meissner, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 5

##### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; ***regrets that industries have not increased their share of*** R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess the added value of ***funding for*** industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a ***large*** share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, ***and*** the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

##### *Amendment*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; ***Notes that industry already accounts for two-thirds of total*** R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess the added value of industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a ***10%*** share of the ***Horizon 2020*** budget<sup>17</sup>; ***asks the Commission to further enhance*** the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

Or. en

## Amendment 42

Françoise Grossetête, Anne Sander

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 5

##### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>;

##### *Amendment*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>;



***regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D*** spending; asks the Commission to ***assess*** the added value of funding for industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

***welcomes the fact that industry already funds two-thirds of total R&D*** spending; asks the Commission to ***further promote*** the added value of funding for industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and ***further underline*** the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

Or. en

### **Amendment 43** **Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

#### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 5**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; ***regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess the added value of funding for*** industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

##### *Amendment*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry[1]; ***regrets that industries have not increased their share of R&D spending; asks the Commission to evaluate and improve*** industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget[2], and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May 2015.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 44** **Christian Ehler**

#### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 5**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that **industries** have not increased their share of R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess the **added value of funding for** industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May

##### *Amendment*

5. Understands that the FP intends to incentivise industry participation in order to increase R&D spending by industry<sup>16</sup>; regrets that some **sectors of industry** have not increased their share of R&D spending; asks the Commission to assess the **scope for making improvements to** industry-driven instruments such as Joint Technology Initiatives (JTIs), which account for a large share of the budget<sup>17</sup>, and the coherence and transparency of all joint initiatives<sup>18</sup>;

---

<sup>16</sup> Two-thirds of the 3% of GDP for R&D should come from industry.

<sup>17</sup> In total, the 7 JTIs account for more than EUR 7 billion of the H2020 funds, ca. 10% of the whole H2020 budget and more than 13% of the actual available funding for H2020 calls (ca. EUR 8 billion/year over 7 years).

<sup>18</sup> See Council conclusions of 29 May

2015.

2015.

Or. de

**Amendment 45**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**5a. Recalls the positive outcome of using satellite data that directly benefited the society and the economy as a result of the progress made in space technologies under Horizon 2020 EU-funded research;**

Or. en

**Amendment 46**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**5b. Taking stock of the achievements of Joint Undertakings such as Clean Sky and Sesar and of the ECSEL, Shift2Rail and Bio-based Industries Joint Technology Initiatives, calls on the Commission to extend the Joint Technology Initiatives governance scheme towards new industrial sectors such as space technologies;**

Or. en

**Amendment 47**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Notes that the programme budget, management and implementation is spread over 20 different bodies; queries whether this results in excessive coordination efforts and redundancy; asks the Commission to reflect on how to simplify this;

*Amendment*

6. Notes that the programme budget, management and implementation is spread over 20 different bodies; queries whether this results in excessive coordination efforts and redundancy; asks the Commission to reflect on how to simplify this; ***underlines the need for the clear presentation of the new European Innovation Council's portfolio and responsibilities;***

Or. en

**Amendment 48**  
**Jakop Dalunde**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Notes that the programme budget, management and implementation is spread over 20 different bodies; queries whether this results in excessive coordination efforts and redundancy; asks the Commission to ***reflect on how to*** simplify ***this;***

*Amendment*

6. Notes that the programme budget, management and implementation is spread over 20 different bodies; queries whether this results in excessive coordination efforts and redundancy; asks the Commission to ***propose a plan to further streamline the mechanism and*** simplify ***procedures for applicants;***

Or. en

**Amendment 49**  
**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Notes that the programme budget, management and implementation is spread over 20 different bodies; queries whether this results in excessive coordination efforts and redundancy; *asks* the Commission to *reflect on how to simplify* this;

*Amendment*

6. Notes that the programme budget, management and implementation is spread over 20 different bodies; queries whether this results in excessive coordination efforts, *administrative complexity* and redundancy; *calls on* the Commission to *work towards simplifying* this;

Or. en

**Amendment 50**  
**Algirdas Saudargas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**6a. *Is concerned by the disparities persisting among member states in terms of distribution of Horizon 2020 funding, with the majority of the EU funding 86.7% being allocated to EU-15 and only 4.7% to EU-13;***

Or. en

**Amendment 51**  
**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

**7. *Notes that Pillars 2 and 3 are too focused on higher Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs), which limits the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

*projects with lower TRLs; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research, particularly from SSH;*

Or. de

**Amendment 52**  
**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Notes that Pillars 2 and 3 are too focused on higher Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs), which limits the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research projects with lower TRLs; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research, particularly from SSH;

*Amendment*

7. Notes that Pillars 2 and 3 are too focused on higher Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs), which limits the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research projects with lower TRLs; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research, particularly from SSH; ***Emphasises that the success of collaborative research in generating innovation hinges partly on project partners being allowed to cover all TRLs; expects, therefore, that collaborative research at lower TRLs or whose focus is more on generating knowledge will continue to feature in calls for proposals in all areas of the programme;***

Or. de

**Amendment 53**  
**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Notes that Pillars 2 and 3 are too focused on higher Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs), which limits the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research projects with lower TRLs; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research, *particularly from SSH*;

*Amendment*

7. Notes that Pillars 2 and 3 are too focused on higher Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs), which limits the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research projects with lower TRLs; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research;

Or. en

**Amendment 54**

**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Notes that Pillars 2 and 3 are *too* focused on higher Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs), *which limits the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research projects with lower TRLs*; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research, particularly from SSH;

*Amendment*

7. Notes that Pillars 2 and 3 are *more* focused on higher Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs); *acknowledges* that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research, particularly from SSH;

Or. en

**Amendment 55**

**Herbert Reul, Angelika Niebler**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Notes that Pillars 2 and 3 are too focused on higher Technology Readiness

*Amendment*

7. Notes that Pillars 2 and 3 are too focused on higher Technology Readiness

Levels (TRLs), which limits the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research projects with lower TRLs; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research, *particularly from SSH*;

Levels (TRLs), which limits the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research projects with lower TRLs *and therefore asks for a careful balance of TRLs to promote the entire value chain*; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research;

Or. en

**Amendment 56**  
**Massimiliano Salini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. *Notes* that Pillars 2 and 3 *are* too focused on higher Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs), which *limits* the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research projects with lower TRLs; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research, *particularly from SSH*;

*Amendment*

7. *Considers* that Pillars 2 and 3 *could be* too focused on higher Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs), which *could bring to a limitation of* the future absorption of disruptive innovations that are still in the pipeline of research projects with lower TRLs; considers that TRLs exclude non-technological forms of innovation generated by fundamental or applied research;

Or. en

**Amendment 57**  
**Herbert Reul, Angelika Niebler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*7a. Notes that Horizon 2020 as being the framework programme for research and innovation, should continue to be*

*Amendment*



*primarily grant-based, as it is not legally permitted for public research organisations in several member states to make use of loans;*

Or. en

**Amendment 58**  
**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**7a. Calls on the Commission to continue to place the emphasis in pillars 1 and 2 on higher TRLs in order to guarantee sufficient market-driven innovation, given that pillar 1 focuses on basic research;**

Or. de

**Amendment 59**  
**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this favours large institutions, creating a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in**

**deleted**

*elite institutions;*

Or. de

**Amendment 60**  
**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; *notes that this favours large institutions, creating a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in elite institutions;*

*Amendment*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects require participants with large financial and staff capabilities;

Or. de

**Amendment 61**  
**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Lara Comi**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced *mix* of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects *require* participants with *large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this favours large institutions, creating a problem for*

*Amendment*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced *set* of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects *favour* participants with *greater experience in the Framework Programmes projects, creating some barriers* for newcomers;

*smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in elite institutions;*

Or. en

**Amendment 62**  
**Massimiliano Salini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects *require* participants with *large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this favours large institutions*, creating *a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles* for newcomers *and concentrates funding in elite institutions;*

*Amendment*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects *favour* participants with *greater experience in the Framework Programmes projects*, creating *some barriers* for newcomers;

Or. en

**Amendment 63**  
**David Borrelli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under

*Amendment*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under

H2020 and that larger projects require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this favours large institutions, creating a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in elite institutions;

H2020 and that larger projects require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this favours large institutions, creating a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in elite institutions; ***calls on the Commission, with a view to promoting a wider and more equitable distribution of resources, to consider the introduction of maximum total funding limits, differentiated by sector and size of company and awarded to individual applicants under a framework programme;***

Or. it

#### **Amendment 64**

**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 8**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this favours large institutions, creating a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in elite institutions;

###### *Amendment*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects ***which currently favours the latter;*** notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this favours large institutions, creating a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in elite institutions; ***points to the success of the SME Instrument and calls for its extension in the future;***

Or. en

## Amendment 65

Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 8

##### *Motion for a resolution*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 **and that larger projects** require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this *favours* large institutions, creating a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; **regrets that** this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in *elite* institutions;

##### *Amendment*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a balanced mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020; **Stresses that projects with a high number of participants are more demanding regarding project management and** require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this *is perceived as favouring* large institutions **and** creating a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; **calls on the Commission to assess whether** this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in *leading* institutions;

Or. en

## Amendment 66

Anneleen Van Bossuyt

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 8

##### *Motion for a resolution*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a **balanced** mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this favours large institutions, creating a problem for smaller

##### *Amendment*

8. Calls on the Commission to offer a mix of small, medium and large-sized projects; notes that the average budget for projects has increased under H2020 and that larger projects **are more demanding regarding project management**, require participants with large financial and staff capabilities; notes that this favours large

Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in elite institutions;

institutions, creating a problem for smaller Member States and for small participants from larger Member States; regrets that this poses obstacles for newcomers and concentrates funding in elite institutions;

Or. en

### **Amendment 67**

**Nicolas Bay, Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8a. Emphasises the importance of basic research, which should not be neglected in favour of the applied sciences; points out that science should benefit everyone and that basic research is essential and paves the way for future discoveries which could have practical applications; stresses that an overly commercial approach to research would stifle creativity by preventing the launch or financing of projects, which could turn out to be invaluable;**

Or. fr

### **Amendment 68**

**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8a. Acknowledges the Commission's effort to streamline the administration and reduce the time between the publication of a call and allocation of a**

*grant; calls on the Commission to continue its endeavour to cut red tape and simplify administration; welcomes the Commission's proposal to introduce lump sum payments in order to simplify administration and auditing;*

Or. en

**Amendment 69**  
**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of 14 % represents a negative trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

*Amendment*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of 14 % represents a negative trend compared to FP7; ***emphasises that the inadequate budget makes it impossible to make funding available for a large number of very high-quality projects, a state of affairs which triggers severe feelings of frustration among the applicants whose proposals are rejected and does nothing to foster the European research area;*** regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

Or. de

**Amendment 70**  
**Jakop Dalunde**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of 14 % represents a negative

*Amendment*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of 14 % represents a negative

trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

trend compared to FP7; ***laments the fact that over 25,000 high-quality proposals in the first two years of Horizon 2020 were not funded***; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem; ***notes that the low success rates can be partially explained by inability of some Member States to meet their national targets for R&D***;

Or. en

**Amendment 71**  
**Krystyna Łybacka**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of 14 % represents a negative trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

*Amendment*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of 14 % represents a negative trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem; ***calls on the Commission on avoiding dispersion of the H2020 budget***;

Or. en

**Amendment 72**  
**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of 14 % represents a negative trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

*Amendment*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of ***less than*** 14 % represents a negative trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

Or. en



**Amendment 73**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of 14 % represents a negative trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

*Amendment*

9. Stresses that the current low success rate of *less than* 14 % represents a negative trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

Or. en

**Amendment 74**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Stresses that the current low success *rate of 14 % represents* a negative trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

*Amendment*

9. Stresses that the current *alarmingly* low success *rates represent* a negative trend compared to FP7; regrets that the cuts inflicted by EFSI have deepened this problem;

Or. en

**Amendment 75**

**David Borrelli**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9a.** *Calls on the Commission, in the course of the interim Horizon 2020 review, to examine the details relating to cases where projects exceeding the threshold do not receive funding owing to depletion of resources specifically earmarked for calls for proposals; calls also for action to ensure adequate SME funding;*

Or. it

**Amendment 76**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9a.** *Considers that in the context of MFF revision the Horizon 2020 the budgetary lines reduced for the period 2015-2020 in order to feed EFSI, should be redressed and that EFSI should be financed from sources independent from EU programmes that have already been approved;*

Or. en

**Amendment 77**  
**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards

the use of loans; *recognises that loans must be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;*

the use of loans; *emphasises that collaborative research in particular must continue to be funded only by means of grants, not loans, because, for example, risky research, technological developments or laboratory-scale demonstration projects are not suited to loan-based funding; emphasises, in that connection, that many public bodies are anyway legally precluded from accepting loans;*

Or. de

## **Amendment 78**

**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 10**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that loans must be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;

##### *Amendment*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; *recalls that grants and loans do not finance the same type of activities and support different types of beneficiaries and projects; stresses the need of continuing with grants for financing fundamental and collaborative research, in particular research performed by the academia;* regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans *in particular when university research budgets are suffering cuts in many Member States; believes that this tendency works towards the losing of the research basis, which in turn significantly reduces the innovation potential of the European Union in the future;* recognises that loans must be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of

the FP;

Or. en

## **Amendment 79**

**Clare Moody**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 10**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that loans must be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;

##### *Amendment*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; ***emphasises that grant funding often serves very different purposes to loan funding and this must be reflected in future funding models in line with Horizon 2020's commitment to scientific excellence***; recognises that loans must be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;

Or. en

## **Amendment 80**

**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 10**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that loans must

##### *Amendment*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that loans must

be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;

be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;  
***stresses that framework programmes should never again be used to fund the European Fund for Strategic Investments or other pet projects of the European Commission;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 81**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Patrizia Toia, Carlos Zorrinho**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that loans must be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;

###### *Amendment*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity, ***in particular in areas with only limited market incentives for the private sector and especially for SME;*** regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that loans must be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 82**

**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Insists that research can be a risky

###### *Amendment*

10. Insists that research can be a risky

investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that loans must be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;

investment for private investors and that funding research practice through grants is a necessity, ***in particular in areas with only limited market incentives for the private sector***; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that loans must be available for high TRL, close to market activities, within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP;

Or. en

### **Amendment 83**

**Krystyna Lybacka, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research ***practice*** through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that loans ***must*** be available for high TRL, close to market activities, ***within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes)*** outside of the FP;

###### *Amendment*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that ***financial instruments (loans, guarantees, equity instruments) should*** be available for high TRL, close to market activities ***as part of InnovFin financial instruments, and*** outside of the FP (***e.g. EIB, EIF schemes***);

Or. en

### **Amendment 84**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research *practice* through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that *loans must* be available for high *TRL*, close to market activities, *within other types of instruments (e.g. EIB schemes) outside of the FP*;

*Amendment*

10. Insists that research can be a risky investment for private investors and that funding research through grants is a necessity; regrets the tendency, in some cases, to move away from grants towards the use of loans; recognises that *financial instruments should* be available for high *TRLs and* close to market activities *as a part of the Framework Programme (InnovFin) or outside it (EIB schemes)*;

Or. en

**Amendment 85**

**Nicolas Bay, Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. *Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments*; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, infrastructure and salaries, *asks that the 3 % of GDP target be met, and hopes that this can be raised to 4 % in the not too distant future*;

*Amendment*

11. calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, infrastructure and salaries;

Or. fr

**Amendment 86**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Jerzy Buzek, Herbert Reul, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments; calls for the *earmarking of* Structural Funds for R&D activities, *especially* investments in capacity building, infrastructure *and salaries, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met, and hopes that this can be raised to 4% in the not too distant future;*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments *and stresses that the 3% of GDP target needs to be met;* calls for the *possible high usage of the* Structural Funds for R&D activities *and programmes,* investments in capacity building, infrastructure *as well as supporting activities for the preparation of proposals and projects management;*

Or. en

**Amendment 87**  
**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, infrastructure and salaries, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met, *and hopes that this can be raised to 4% in the not too distant future;*

*Amendment*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, infrastructure and salaries, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met;

Or. en

**Amendment 88**  
**Lieve Wierinck, Matthijs van Miltenburg, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national

*Amendment*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national



R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, *infrastructure and salaries*, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met, and hopes that this can be raised to **4%** in the not too distant future;

R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building *and infrastructure*, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met, and hopes that this can be raised to *the level of our largest global competitors* in the not too distant future;

Or. en

### **Amendment 89**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Patrizia Toia, Carlos Zorrinho**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 11**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, infrastructure and salaries, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met, and hopes that this can be raised to 4% in the not too distant future;

###### *Amendment*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, infrastructure and salaries, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met, and hopes that this can be raised to 4% in the not too distant future; *calls on the Commission and Member States to drive national strategies to reach that objective (for example that R&D investments are not account for as investment in relation to deficit objectives);*

Or. en

### **Amendment 90**

**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, infrastructure and salaries, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met, and *hopes that this can be raised to 4% in the not too distant future*;

*Amendment*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, infrastructure and salaries, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met, and *suggests to consider raising it to 4% by 2030*;

Or. en

**Amendment 91**  
**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, infrastructure and salaries, asks that the 3 % of GDP target be met, and hopes that this can be raised to 4 % in the not too distant future;

*Amendment*

11. Underlines that several Member States are not respecting their national R&D investment commitments; calls for the earmarking of Structural Funds for R&D activities, especially investments in capacity building, *technological* infrastructure and salaries, asks that the 3% of GDP target be met, and hopes that this can be raised to 4% in the not too distant future;

Or. de

**Amendment 92**  
**David Borrelli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**11a. Calls on the Commission to make the participant portal more readily available and to extend the network of National Contact Points, providing it with more resources, so as to ensure an efficient service for micro and small enterprises in particular during project submission and evaluation;**

Or. it

**Amendment 93**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**11a. Underlines that a potentially 'hard Brexit' must not spill over to European research efforts; in this regard, recognizing the mutual dependence of the EU and the United Kingdom in terms of global competitiveness and attractiveness vis-à-vis international expertise, stresses the need to maintain an ambitious level of funding for European research, notably through FP9;**

Or. en

**Amendment 94**  
**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, ***while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside***

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the key criterion across the three pillars;

*‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;*

Or. de

**Amendment 95**  
**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, *while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;*

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars;

Or. de

**Amendment 96**  
**Clare Moody**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three

pillars, *while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;*

pillars;

Or. en

**Amendment 97**  
**Nicolas Bay**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; *calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;*

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’;

Or. fr

**Amendment 98**  
**Massimiliano Salini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the **key** criterion across the three pillars, **while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'**; ***calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding 'SSH integration and geographical balance' under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation'***;

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the **core and undisputed evaluation** criterion across **all** the three pillars of the **FP, but stresses as well existing** 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation' criteria;

Or. en

**Amendment 99**  
**Jeppe Kofod**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; ***calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding 'SSH integration and geographical balance' under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation'***;

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation';

Or. en

**Amendment 100**  
**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘**SSH integration and geographical balance**’ under ‘impact’ and ‘**project size**’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; calls for the reweighting of these criteria **prioritizing "excellence"** and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding '**project size**' under '**efficiency of the implementation**' and '**SSH integration**' under 'impact; **and to analyse how to drive and create excellence centres all over the EU; calls on the European Commission within the evaluation phase, to take into account the excellence of the centre and to deal with the barrier that lower salaries can be for that, for the sake of more excellence and more centers of excellence in Europe;**

Or. en

**Amendment 101**

**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; **calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the**

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’;

*implementation*’;

Or. en

## **Amendment 102**

**Olle Ludvigsson**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 12**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; ***calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;***

##### *Amendment*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’;

Or. en

## **Amendment 103**

**David Borrelli**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 12**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; **calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and**

##### *Amendment*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; **calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and**



geographical balance' under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation';

geographical balance' under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation'; ***calls on the Commission to ensure that direct contact between evaluators consistently takes place at the consensus meeting phase and that they do not remain at a distance, so as to strengthen the transparency of the evaluation process;***

Or. it

#### **Amendment 104**

**Françoise Grossetête, Anne Sander**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 12**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; ***calls for*** the reweighting of these criteria ***and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding 'SSH integration and geographical balance' under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation'***;

###### *Amendment*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; ***warns against*** the reweighting of these criteria;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 105**

**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 12**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should

###### *Amendment*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should

remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; ***calls for the reweighting of these criteria and*** invites the Commission to set out ***additional sub-criteria by adding*** 'SSH integration and geographical balance' ***under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation'***;

remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; invites the Commission to set out ***ex aequo-criteria such as*** SSH integration and geographical balance;

Or. en

**Amendment 106**  
**Henna Virkkunen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' ***should*** remain the key criterion across the three pillars, ***while noting*** that ***it*** is ***only*** one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; ***calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the*** Commission to set out ***additional sub-criteria by adding*** 'SSH integration and geographical balance' ***under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation'***;

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' ***must in future too*** remain the key criterion across the three pillars; ***recalls*** that ***excellence*** is one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; ***stresses that excellence should be a prime objective of the FP, so that the EU can respond to the challenges of the future and remain competitive;***

Or. fi

**Amendment 107**  
**Patrizia Toia**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ ***which is fundamental to the concept behind the proposal and its objectives***, and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls for the reweighting of these criteria and invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;

Or. it

**Amendment 108**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. ***Confirms that*** ‘excellence’ ***should*** remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is ***only*** one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls ***for the reweighting of these criteria and invites*** the Commission to ***set out additional sub-criteria by*** adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;

*Amendment*

12. ***Underlines that the*** ‘excellence’ ***criterion must*** remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls ***on*** the Commission to ***adopt a restrictive approach to*** adding ***additional criterion, instead safeguarding 'excellence' as the main guiding principle;***

Or. en

**Amendment 109**  
**Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; ***calls for the reweighting of these criteria and*** invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; invites the Commission to set out additional sub-criteria by adding 'geographical balance' under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation'; ***also invites the Commission to consider a separate objective for SSH integration at topic level;***

Or. en

**Amendment 110**

**Lieve Wierinck, Matthijs van Miltenburg, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls for the ***reweighting*** of these criteria and invites the Commission to ***set out additional sub-criteria by adding*** ‘SSH integration and geographical balance’ ***under ‘impact’ and ‘project size’ under ‘efficiency of the implementation’;***

*Amendment*

12. Confirms that ‘excellence’ should remain the key criterion across the three pillars, while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside ‘impact’ and ‘quality and efficiency of the implementation’; calls for the ***continuation*** of these criteria and invites the Commission to ***find alternative ways to ensure*** SSH integration and geographical balance, ***for instance through capacity building and better synergies with other EU funding programmes;***

Or. en

## Amendment 111

Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Jerzy Buzek, Lara Comi

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 12

##### *Motion for a resolution*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the **key** criterion across the three pillars, ***while noting that it is only one of the three evaluation criteria, alongside 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; calls for the reweighting of these*** criteria and invites the Commission to ***set out additional sub-criteria by adding 'SSH integration and geographical balance' under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation'***;

##### *Amendment*

12. Confirms that 'excellence' should remain the ***core and undisputed evaluation*** criterion across ***all*** the three pillars ***of the FP, but stresses as well existing*** 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation' criteria and ***therefore*** invites the Commission to ***include into the 'impact' criterion the problem of 'underrepresented EU regions involvement' and 'the exploitation of the research infrastructure financed from ESIF'***;

Or. en

## Amendment 112

Clare Moody

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 12 a (new)

##### *Motion for a resolution*

***12a. Notes the other two evaluation criteria, 'impact' and 'quality and efficiency of the implementation'; calls for the Commission to consider how other EU funds (such as Structural funds) can be combined with the Framework Programmes to deliver additional sub-criteria by adding 'SSH integration and geographical balance' under 'impact' and 'project size' under 'efficiency of the implementation';***

##### *Amendment*

Or. en

**Amendment 113**  
**David Borrelli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed;

*Amendment*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators, ***introducing more pertinent evaluator selection procedures and criteria***; takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed; ***calls for the points awarded to be accompanied by an adequate statement of reasons and for applicants to be given access to individual project evaluations, the evaluators themselves remaining anonymous, with the real possibility of a review, so as to improve transparency***;

Or. it

**Amendment 114**  
**Angelika Niebler, Herbert Reul**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed;

*Amendment*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed; ***calls on the Commission to organise calls for proposals in such a way as to avoid excessive oversubscription; notes that when funding applications are***

*rejected researchers' motivation can be badly affected;*

Or. de

### **Amendment 115**

**Patrizia Toia, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 13**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed;

###### *Amendment*

13. Calls for better ***and transparent*** evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed; ***calls on the Commission to publish, in conjunction with the call for proposals, detailed project evaluation criteria and reasons for proposals being rejected;***

Or. it

### **Amendment 116**

**Hermann Winkler**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 13**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed;

###### *Amendment*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators ***in order to avoid unnecessary frustration and ensure that the reputation of the programme is not damaged;*** takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to

succeed, *and calls for immediate improvements in this area;*

Or. de

**Amendment 117**  
**Olle Ludvigsson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; **takes note of** the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed;

*Amendment*

13. Calls for better evaluation, **transparency** and quality assurance by the evaluators; **stresses the need to take into account** the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed;

Or. en

**Amendment 118**  
**Jakop Dalunde**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; **takes note of the** complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed;

*Amendment*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; **urges to take into account** complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what should be done differently in order to succeed;

Or. en



**Amendment 119**  
**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance by the evaluators; takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what *should be done differently in order to succeed*;

*Amendment*

13. Calls for better evaluation and quality assurance *of and* by the evaluators; takes note of the complaints made by unsuccessful applicants that the Evaluation Summary Reports lack depth and clarity on what *was not good in the proposal*;

Or. en

**Amendment 120**  
**David Borrelli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*13a. Calls on the Commission to accord a specific value to the ‘Seal of Excellence’ certificate in view of existing diversity at European and Member State level;*

*Amendment*

*asks the Commission also to consider the possibility of according a specific value to it on project resubmission under the call for proposals at the next cut-off;*

Or. it

**Amendment 121**  
**Krystyna Lybacka, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**13a.** *Stresses that the transparency and credibility of the evaluation process is crucial for program effectiveness; in this context, highlights the need to improve the feedback and scoring given to participants throughout the selection process, as well as provide them with more detailed and informative Evaluation Summary Reports;*

Or. en

**Amendment 122**

**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

14. Call on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained ***and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;***

14. Call on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained;

Or. en

**Amendment 123**

**Henna Virkkunen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

14. *Call* on the Commission to **better** define ‘impact’; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible **and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased**; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

14. *Calls* on the Commission to define ‘impact’ **more broadly**; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

Or. fi

#### **Amendment 124**

**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 14**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

14. Call on the Commission to better define ‘impact’; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible **and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased**; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

##### *Amendment*

14. Call on the Commission to better define ‘impact’; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 125**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Massimiliano Salini, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. **Call** on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible **and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased**; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

*Amendment*

14. **Calls** on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

Or. en

**Amendment 126**  
**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. **Call** on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible **and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased**; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

*Amendment*

14. **Call** on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

Or. de

**Amendment 127**  
**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Call on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

*Amendment*

14. Call on the Commission to better define '**impact' and to consider not only economic but also social** impact; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

Or. en

**Amendment 128**

**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Call on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

*Amendment*

14. Call on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription **and to create successful research**;

Or. en

**Amendment 129**

**Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Neoklis Sylikiotis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Call on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

*Amendment*

14. Call on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research **and SSH** projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to check that the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls is maintained and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

Or. en

**Amendment 130**  
**Krystyna Łybacka**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Call on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to **check that** the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls **is maintained** and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

*Amendment*

14. Call on the Commission to better define 'impact'; stresses that the assessment of the impact of fundamental research projects should remain flexible and its relative weight in the evaluation procedure should be decreased; asks the Commission to **maintain** the balance between bottom-up and top-down calls, and to analyse which procedure (one or two stage) is more useful to avoid oversubscription;

Or. en

**Amendment 131**  
**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14a.** *Calls on the Commission to introduce a system that enables the applicants to evaluate the evaluators thereby enhancing the feedback loop, communication between evaluators and the applicants as well as the quality of applications;*

Or. en

**Amendment 132**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14a.** *Considers that the European Research Council should engage in more collaboration projects across Europe, and in particular take on board low-capacity regions and institutions in order to spread EU R&I policy and know-how all over EU;*

Or. en

**Amendment 133**  
**David Borrelli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14a.** *Calls on it to put greater stress on the impact criterion, given that the*

*determining factor for innovation must remain the project's capacity to affect the real economy, generating investment and job creation;*

Or. it

**Amendment 134**  
**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*14a. Calls on the Commission to assess to what extent a more precise thematic focus would make sense on the context of sustainability;*

Or. de

**Amendment 135**  
**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*14a. Calls on the Commission to have a stricter selection after the first stage of the two stage evaluation in order to raise the success rate in the second stage;*

Or. en

**Amendment 136**  
**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution**



**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14a.** *Stresses the importance of Science, Technology and Innovation (STI) for the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), calls on the Commission and the Member States to reinforce their forerunner role in fostering STI for sustainable development; calls on the Commission to reinforce sustainability-related research agendas under Horizon 2020 and install an effective corresponding monitoring system;*

Or. en

**Amendment 137**  
**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; ***underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;***

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research;

Or. de

**Amendment 138**  
**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Kaja Kallas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. ***Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;***

*Amendment*

15. ***Notes that the H2020 structure in general and the societal challenged approach in particular are broadly welcomed by stakeholders; Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research;***

Or. en

**Amendment 139**  
**Marisa Matias**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. ***Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;***

*Amendment*

15. ***Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research;***

Or. en

**Amendment 140**  
**Anneleen Van Bossuyt**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. ***Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of***

*Amendment*

15. ***Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of***

collaborative research; ***underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;***

collaborative research;

Or. en

**Amendment 141**  
**Henna Virkkunen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; ***underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;***

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; ***calls for societal challenge 6, 'Europe in a changing world – inclusive, innovative and reflective societies', of the funding programme on social change to be retained;***

Or. fi

**Amendment 142**  
**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Massimiliano Salini, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; ***underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;***

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; ***underlines the importance of regular revision of the adequacy of the Societal Challenges list as well as flexibility of the budget dedicated to each of them;***

**Amendment 143**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach **and emphasises** the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce **some** societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, **especially** cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach, **to emphasise** the importance of collaborative research **and to prioritise societal challenges where there is a lack of profitable markets to drive STI; calls also on the Commission to identify future societal challenges within the new economic, social and political context;** underlines **however** the need to reinforce **at least the following four** societal challenges such as innovation in **sustainable, healthy and competitive** agriculture; **environment and climate change; SSH related to democracy, social inclusion and justice among others;** and health **from a global perspective that faces challenges as sustainability, the need of cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans, rare diseases, chronic diseases associated with aging, neurological and psychiatric disorders and poverty-related and neglected diseases;**

**Amendment 144**

**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; ***underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;***

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research ***involving universities, research organisations, industry and other actors;***

Or. de

**Amendment 145**  
**Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and ***health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;***

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges, ***in particular fighting antimicrobial resistance, and challenges that play an important role in fighting climate change, such as innovation in sustainable agriculture and forestry, marine research, bioeconomy, clean energy, green transport, resource efficiency, raw materials, and low carbon industrial processes;***

Or. en

**Amendment 146**  
**Elena Gentile, Patrizia Toia**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer *including childhood cancer, which is the leading cause of children's death by disease over one year of age in the EU*, and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

Or. en

**Amendment 147**  
**Patrizia Toia**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such the *ageing of the European population* as innovation in *culture*, agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

Or. it

**Amendment 148**  
**Rolandas Paksas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges

approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

approach *and to bridge the gap between science and society*, and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

Or. It

#### **Amendment 149**

**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 15**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

###### *Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture, *migration and integration* and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 150**

**Olle Ludvigsson**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 15**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

###### *Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as *migration and integration*, innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

**Amendment 151**  
**Aldo Patriciello**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

*Amendment*

15. Calls on the Commission to continue to enhance the societal challenges approach and emphasises the importance of collaborative research; underlines the need to reinforce some societal challenges such as innovation in agriculture and health, especially cancer, *rare illnesses* and antimicrobial resistance research plans;

Or. it

**Amendment 152**  
**Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**15a. *Calls on the Commission to boost multidisciplinary research and innovation combining health sciences, taking account of socio-economic and other aspects in order to reach viable and simple solutions that will enable the ageing population and people with disabilities to be as active and autonomous as possible in their daily lives;***

Or. es

**Amendment 153**  
**Patrizia Toia, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**



**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**15a. Calls on the Commission to encourage research and innovation in carrying out annual Horizon 2020 programming, with clear and specific final objectives aimed at increasing employment, competitiveness, environmental sustainability and public health;;**

Or. it

**Amendment 154**  
**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**15a. Calls on the Commission to assess whether the simplified funding model introduced for Horizon 2020 has as intended led to increased industry involvement; calls, in that connection, for the effectiveness of the funding model to be assessed;**

Or. de

**Amendment 155**  
**Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Neoklis Sylikiotis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**15a. Insists that the Commission should adopt measures minimising the risk that**

*the results of a research project could be misused or used malevolently;*

Or. en

**Amendment 156**  
**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*15b. Calls on the Commission to assess to what extent the use of national or specific accounting systems instead of the system specified in the rules governing participation in the programme could make for a significantly simplified accounting procedure and thus reduce the error rate in connection with the auditing of European funding projects; calls, in that connection, for closer cooperation with the European Court of Auditors and for the introduction of ‘one-stop audit’;*

Or. de

**Amendment 157**  
**Patrizia Toia, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*15b. Calls on the Commission to promote networking between institutions active in health research, for example through experimentation with innovative joint care and assistance programmes for vulnerable persons;*

Or. it

## Amendment 158

Lieve Wierinck, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Fredrick Federley

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 16

##### *Motion for a resolution*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

##### *Amendment*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; ***calls on the Commission to earmark part of ESIF for RIS3 synergies with Horizon 2020; calls on the Commission to prohibit Member States to impose stricter requirements for spending ESIF budgets than the European rules itself; calls on the Member States to renounce the introduction of stricter requirements for spending ESIF budgets than the European rules;*** regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure ***while at the same time guaranteeing transparent procedures;***

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

Or. en

## Amendment 159

Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 16

##### *Motion for a resolution*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

##### *Amendment*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure ***and to coordinate RIS and European and national R&D priorities; Calls also on the European Commission and member States for the correct application of the principle of additionality; Stresses that according to this principle, the contributions of European funds should not replace the equivalent public or structural expenditure of a Member State in the regions where it applies;***

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

Or. en

## Amendment 160

Jakop Dalunde

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Motion for a resolution*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

*Amendment*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure; ***stresses the importance of following up on the country specific reports in order to identify the synergies between ERDF and FP;***

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

Or. en

**Amendment 161**  
**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Motion for a resolution*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more

*Amendment*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more

effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to ***allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;***

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to ensure that more effective coordination between the ESIF Funds and R&D&I investments is possible; ***calls on the Commission also to look into ways of generating greater synergies in the future;***

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

Or. de

## **Amendment 162**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Jerzy Buzek, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 16**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> ***such as the State Aid*** rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable

##### *Amendment*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational, ***aims for the alignment of rules and procedures for R&D&I projects under ESIF and FP;*** calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules

within the FP rules of procedure;

and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

Or. en

### **Amendment 163**

**Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 16**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

##### *Amendment*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments ***and, as so, should be promoted and reinforced***; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>[1]</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are

not.

Or. en

**Amendment 164**  
**Olle Ludvigsson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Motion for a resolution*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

*Amendment*

16. Notes that synergies between funds **and also with EU programs** are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to revise the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

Or. en

**Amendment 165**  
**Henna Virkkunen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16**



*Motion for a resolution*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to *revise* the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

*Amendment*

16. Notes that synergies between funds are crucial to make investments more effective; stresses that RIS3 are an important tool to catalyse synergies setting out national and regional frameworks for R&D&I investments; regrets the presence of substantial barriers to making synergies fully operational<sup>19</sup> such as the State Aid rules; calls on the Commission to *assess* the State Aid rules and to allow R&D structural fund projects to be justifiable within the FP rules of procedure;

---

<sup>19</sup> Large research infrastructure fits within the scope and goals of the ERDF, but ERDF funds allocated nationally cannot be used to co-finance it; construction costs associated with new research infrastructures are eligible under the ERDF, but operational and staff costs are not.

Or. fi

**Amendment 166**

**Patrizia Toia, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 16 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16a. Stresses that current Horizon 2020 funding risks increasing the disparity and divergence between European regions and macro-regions, favouring those with a high level of public support for R&D and the presence of organised and dynamic industrial ecosystems and therefore asks the Commission to bring Horizon 2020 and regional policies more closely into line, so as to align development in underdeveloped and***

*highly industrialised regions and encourage SME participation in innovative clusters;*

Or. it

**Amendment 167**

**Krystyna Łybacka, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 16 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**16a. Calls on the Commission on implementing effective synergy mechanisms between FP and ESIF, including aligning the state aid rules for RDI projects under H2020 and ESIF; notes that effective use of the Seal of Excellence scheme will only be possible if the above condition is met;**

Or. en

**Amendment 168**

**Hermann Winkler**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential **and the European research area is to raise its profile in the long term;** welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to

specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap;

assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap; ***emphasises, however, that for all new measures the criterion of excellence should continue to be decisive and that confusion with the objectives of cohesion policy should be ruled out;***

Or. de

**Amendment 169**  
**Henna Virkkunen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; ***recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme;*** calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap;

*Amendment*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap;

**Amendment 170**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Jerzy Buzek, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 17***Motion for a resolution*

17. Notes that the R&I *capabilities of North/South and West/East* Member States *are very different*; recognises the *European dimension to the* problem of the participation gap, *which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential*; *welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme*; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to *clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve*; calls on the Commission to *adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap*;

*Amendment*

17. Notes that *successful implementation of the European Research Area requires full usage of the R&D&I potential of the all* Member States *and* recognises the problem of participation gap *in Horizon 2020 programme*; *welcomes in this respect the Spreading Excellence and Widening Participation policy and calls on the Commission to increase its budget substantially*; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to *provide the balanced and adopted set of instruments responding to the existing EU disparities in research and innovation field*; calls on the Commission and Member States to *work out the clear rules allowing the full implementation of Seal of Excellence scheme*; calls to *review the indicators used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries and to verify regularly the list of those countries during the framework programme implementation*;

Or. en

**Amendment 171**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define 'underrepresented' countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap;

*Amendment*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme ***that must be a mechanism to increase participation of beneficiary countries***; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define 'underrepresented' countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve ***and to check if its objective of incentivising and improving R&D capacities is being accomplished***; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap;

Or. en

**Amendment 172**

**Lieve Wierinck, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Matthijs van Miltenburg, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed ***by the FP*** if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the

*Amendment*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed ***both at EU and national level, including through ESIF***, if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the

Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap;

Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission **and Member States** to adapt or adopt new measures **with ESIF** to bridge this gap;

Or. en

### **Amendment 173**

**Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 17**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed **by the FP** if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap;

###### *Amendment*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed **both at EU and national level, including through the ESI Funds**, if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission **and Member States** to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap;

Or. en

**Amendment 174**  
**Olle Ludvigsson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt *or adopt new* measures to bridge this gap;

*Amendment*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt *current* measures to bridge this gap;

Or. en

**Amendment 175**  
**Jakop Dalunde**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed

*Amendment*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed

by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt *or adopt new measures* to bridge this gap;

by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt *existing tools* to bridge this gap;

Or. en

**Amendment 176**  
**Victor Negrescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap;

*Amendment*

17. Notes that the R&I capabilities of North/South and West/East Member States are very different; recognises the European dimension to the problem of the participation gap, which must be addressed by the FP if the EU is to exploit its full potential; welcomes, in this respect, the Widening Programme; calls on the Commission to assess whether the three Widening instruments have achieved their specific objectives and to clarify the rational and general goal of the Programme, to review the indicator used to define ‘underrepresented’ countries, and to keep a dynamic list that allows Member States to be in or out depending on how their capabilities evolve; calls on the Commission to adapt or adopt new measures to bridge this gap, *such as providing sufficient networking tools for researchers that help bridging the*



*innovation divide in Europe;*

Or. en

**Amendment 177**

**Krystyna Łybacka, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***17a. Proposes that in order to boost collaboration, enhance the capacity for excellence across Europe, and support lower-capacity regions and institutions, projects that have been granted public funds could be partially carried out in other locations where, for example, it might be more efficient to exploit commercially some of the findings and innovations;***

Or. en

**Amendment 178**

**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***17a. Notes that according to the Commission's annual reports on the Horizon 2020 implementation for 2014 and 2015, the EU-15 together received 88.6% of the funds while the cumulative EU funding to EU-13 was 4.5%, representing less than the funding for association countries which was 6.4%;***

Or. en

**Amendment 179**  
**Clare Moody**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**17a. Calls on the Commission to explore funding synergies with other EU programmes to enhance the Widening Programme's progress, and to ensure minimal impact on the key criterion of scientific excellence within the Framework Programmes;**

Or. en

**Amendment 180**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**17b. Call on the Commission and in particular on the Agencies responsible for implementing the Excellent Science Priority to promote collaborations and coordination activities in order to encourage the participation of the lower-capacity regions through building capacity and support mobility and career development in these regions;**

Or. en

**Amendment 181**  
**Lieve Wierinck, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary **and high school** education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance employment stability for young researchers; ***calls on the Commission to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;***

*Amendment*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating **STEM**, research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary, **secondary and tertiary level** education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance employment stability for young researchers;

Or. en

**Amendment 182**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance employment stability for young researchers; calls on the Commission to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, ***such as a new*** funding

*Amendment*

18. ***Welcomes efforts to secure better links between the European Research Area and the European Higher Education Area facilitating ways to train the next generation of researchers;*** recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance

*scheme* for early-stage researchers with less than *three* years of experience after PhD completion;

employment stability for young researchers; calls on the Commission to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, *and to reinforce* funding *schemes* for early-stage researchers with less than *two* years of experience after PhD completion;

Or. en

### **Amendment 183**

**Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Neoklis Sylikiotis**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 18**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance employment stability for *young researchers*; calls on the Commission to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

###### *Amendment*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance employment stability for *researchers and to reject all forms of precarious working conditions under H2020 funded projects*; calls on the Commission to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

Or. en

### **Amendment 184**

**Henna Virkkunen**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to **enhance employment stability** for young researchers; calls on the Commission to provide new **increased levels of support** for young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

*Amendment*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to **promote research careers** for young researchers; calls on the Commission to provide new **funding instruments** for **gifted** young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

Or. fi

**Amendment 185**  
**Nicolas Bay**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States **and the Commission** to enhance employment stability for young researchers; calls on **the Commission** to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

*Amendment*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States to enhance employment stability for young researchers; calls on **the Member States** to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

**Amendment 186**  
**Victor Negrescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance employment stability for young researchers; calls on the Commission to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

*Amendment*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance employment stability for young researchers; calls on the Commission to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, such as *pan-European networking tools for young researchers*, *and* a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

**Amendment 187**  
**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than

*Amendment*

18. Recognises the importance of incorporating research and entrepreneurship skills into Member States' primary and high school education systems in order to encourage young people to develop these skills, as R&D should be viewed in structural rather than

cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance employment stability for young researchers; calls on the Commission to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

cyclical or temporal terms; calls on the Member States and the Commission to enhance employment stability **and attractiveness** for young researchers; calls on the Commission to provide new increased levels of support for young researchers, such as a new funding scheme for early-stage researchers with less than three years of experience after PhD completion;

Or. en

### **Amendment 188**

**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Patrizia Toia, Carlos Zorrinho**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 18 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**18a. Welcomes efforts to secure better links between the European Research Area and the European Higher Education Area facilitating ways to train the next generation of researchers; Calls on the Commission to continue working in the complementarity of ERASMUS+ Programme and FP9 by supporting initiatives, such as summer schools and testing the latest research based didactic methods and by introducing a supplementary ERC scheme, similar to the ERC Proof of Concept scheme, allowing ERC grant holders to explore innovative teaching methods and curricula;**

Or. en

### **Amendment 189**

**Krystyna Łybacka, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**18a.** *Emphasises the need to improve collaboration between research and higher education, as well as strengthen links between the European Research Area and the European Higher Education Area by fostering international research mobility for students and young researchers, as well as developing students' innovation skills by involving them in research work;*

Or. en

**Amendment 190**  
**Patrizia Toia, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**18a.** *Stresses the importance of closer cooperation between industry and the university and scientific establishment, so as to facilitate the creation of dedicated structures within universities and scientific centres for the purpose of forging closer links with the production sector;*

Or. it

**Amendment 191**  
**Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**18a.** *Welcomes the support for flagship*



*projects, such as the Quantum Technology Flagship, as there are real game changers in Europe;*

Or. de

**Amendment 192**

**Krystyna Łybacka, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 18 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*18b. Stresses the vital role of Marie Skłodowska Curie Action (MSCA) in strengthening the European Research Area; encourages further support for mobility of researchers via MSCA, and the fostering of intersectoral mobility between academia and industry;*

Or. en

**Amendment 193**

**Henna Virkkunen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as **BONUS and** PRIMA;

Or. fi

## Amendment 194

Lieve Wierinck, Angelika Mlinar, Fredrick Federley, Kaja Kallas

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 19

##### *Motion for a resolution*

19. Confirms that international **co-operation** fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

##### *Amendment*

19. Confirms that international **participation** fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

Or. en

## Amendment 195

Victor Negrescu

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 19

##### *Motion for a resolution*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

##### *Amendment*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as ***Partnership for Research and Innovation in the Mediterranean Area (PRIMA) and European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) as networking instrument;***

Or. en

**Amendment 196**  
**Olle Ludvigsson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

*Amendment*

19. ***Stresses that global cooperation is an important means to strengthen European research***; confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020, ***which is worrying***; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

Or. en

**Amendment 197**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Aldo Patriciello, Lefteris Christoforou, Herbert Reul, Lara Comi, Christian Ehler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of *scientific* diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

*Amendment*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; ***calls on the Commission to revise the terms of international cooperation***, recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of *science* diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes ***all*** initiatives ***involving third countries*** such as PRIMA;

Or. en

**Amendment 198**  
**Clare Moody**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

*Amendment*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as *the Commission's 'Open to the World' agenda, and PRIMA;*

Or. en

**Amendment 199**  
**Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, José Blanco López, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Carlos Zorrinho**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

*Amendment*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a *concrete, immediate measures and a long-term* strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

Or. en

**Amendment 200**

**Miapetra Kumpula-Natri**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as PRIMA;

*Amendment*

19. Confirms that international co-operation fell from 5% in FP7 to 2.8% in Horizon 2020; recalls that the FP should contribute to ensuring that Europe remains a key global player, while underlining the importance of scientific diplomacy; calls for a strategic vision and structure to support this objective and welcomes initiatives such as ***BONUS and*** PRIMA;

Or. fi