



**2017/2044(BUD)**

27.7.2017

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 48**

**Draft opinion**

**Petr Ježek**

(PE606.219v01-00)

General budget of the European Union for the financial year 2018 - all sections  
(2017/2044(BUD))



## Amendment 1

Barbara Kudrycka, Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 1

##### *Draft opinion*

1. Takes note of the Draft Budget 2018 (DB 2018); regrets the reduction in both commitment appropriations (CA) and payment appropriations (PA) in Heading III compared to 2017; welcomes the top-up of Heading III by an additional EUR 817.1 million above its ceiling using the flexibility instrument;

##### *Amendment*

1. Takes note of the Draft Budget 2018 (DB 2018); regrets the reduction in both commitment appropriations (CA) and payment appropriations (PA) in Heading III compared to 2017; ***underlines that these decreases cannot be justified by the delays in implementation of the agreed measures***; welcomes the top-up of Heading III by an additional EUR 817.1 million above its ceiling using the flexibility instrument; ***highlights that the proposed level of expenditure will be insufficient to cover the needs in light of the prevailing security threats and the continuous migratory pressure which has recently been increasing along the Central Mediterranean route and the evolution of which cannot be predicted***;

Or. en

## Amendment 2

Marina Albiol Guzmán

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 1

##### *Draft opinion*

1. Takes note of the Draft Budget 2018 (DB 2018); ***regrets the reduction in both commitment appropriations (CA) and payment appropriations (PA) in Heading III compared to 2017; welcomes the top-up of Heading III by an additional EUR 817.1 million above its ceiling using the flexibility instrument***;

##### *Amendment*

1. Takes note of the Draft Budget 2018 (DB 2018);

Or. en

**Amendment 3**  
**Auke Zijlstra**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Takes note of the Draft Budget 2018 (DB 2018); **regrets** the reduction in both commitment appropriations (CA) and payment appropriations (PA) in Heading III compared to 2017; **welcomes** the top-up of Heading III by an additional EUR 817.1 million above its ceiling using the flexibility instrument;

*Amendment*

1. Takes note of the Draft Budget 2018 (DB 2018); **welcomes** the reduction in both commitment appropriations (CA) and payment appropriations (PA) in Heading III compared to 2017 **as increased expenditures are not related to increased advancements; questions** the top-up of Heading III by an additional EUR 817.1 million above its ceiling using the flexibility instrument **as that seems rigid instead of flexible;**

Or. en

**Amendment 4**  
**Raymond Finch, Beatrix von Storch**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Takes note of the Draft Budget 2018 (DB 2018); **regrets** the reduction in both commitment appropriations (CA) and payment appropriations (PA) in Heading III compared to 2017; **welcomes** the top-up of Heading III by an additional EUR 817.1 million above its ceiling using the flexibility instrument;

*Amendment*

1. Takes note of the Draft Budget 2018 (DB 2018); **welcomes** the reduction in both commitment appropriations (CA) and payment appropriations (PA) in Heading III compared to 2017; **regrets** the top-up of Heading III by an additional EUR 817.1 million above its ceiling using the flexibility instrument;

Or. en

**Amendment 5**  
**Barbara Kudrycka, Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Draft opinion**

## Paragraph 2

### *Draft opinion*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) undermines the importance and urgency of the AMIF policy objectives; challenges the Commission's assertions in DB 2018 that justify its proposed reduction in AMIF funding; ***stresses that security for those who seek protection*** in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;

### *Amendment*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) undermines the importance and urgency of the AMIF policy objectives; challenges the Commission's assertions in DB 2018 that justify its proposed reduction in AMIF funding; ***welcomes the Commission proposal to reinforce frontline activities linked to migration and security, including the increase in the operational capacities for integration and improved cooperation on return/readmission with third countries, but stresses that the management of the migration flows*** in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;

Or. en

## Amendment 6 Sylvie Guillaume

### Draft opinion Paragraph 2

### *Draft opinion*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) undermines the importance and urgency of the AMIF policy objectives; challenges the Commission's assertions in DB 2018 that justify its proposed reduction in AMIF funding; ***stresses that security for those who seek protection*** in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;

### *Amendment*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) undermines the importance and urgency of the AMIF policy objectives; challenges the Commission's assertions in DB 2018 that justify its proposed reduction in AMIF funding; ***indeed, even if the revised Dublin Regulation comes into force in 2019, the needs for the reception, care and integration of asylum seekers will be high and are underestimated by the Commission***; ***stresses that security for those who seek protection*** in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;

**Amendment 7**  
**Auke Zijlstra**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) ***undermines the importance and urgency of the AMIF policy objectives; challenges the Commission's assertions in DB 2018 that justify its proposed reduction in AMIF funding; stresses that security for those who seek protection in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;***

*Amendment*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) ***reflects the leading role of Member States and the failure of the Union in this field;***

**Amendment 8**  
**Raymond Finch, Beatrix von Storch**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. ***Believes that*** the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) ***undermines the importance and urgency of the AMIF policy objectives; challenges the Commission's assertions in DB 2018 that justify its proposed reduction in AMIF funding; stresses that security for those who seek protection in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;***

*Amendment*

2. ***Welcomes*** the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF); stresses that security for those who seek protection should ***be solely handled by the Member States;***

**Amendment 9**  
**Maria Grapini**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) undermines the importance and urgency of the AMIF policy objectives; challenges the Commission's assertions in DB 2018 that justify its proposed reduction in AMIF funding; stresses that security for those who seek protection in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;

*Amendment*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) undermines the ***interests of the people who need protection and the*** importance and urgency of the AMIF policy objectives; challenges the Commission's assertions in DB 2018 that justify its proposed reduction in AMIF funding; stresses that security for those who seek protection in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;

Or. en

**Amendment 10**  
**Marina Albiol Guzmán**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) ***undermines the importance and urgency of the AMIF policy objectives; challenges the Commission's assertions in DB 2018 that justify its proposed reduction in AMIF funding;*** stresses that security for those who seek protection in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;

*Amendment*

2. Believes that the large budget reduction (49,7 % in PA) for the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) ***reflects a migration and asylum policy based on deportation and border management, in contrast to human rights;*** stresses that security for those who seek protection in the Union should not be adversely affected by budgetary cuts;

Or. en

**Amendment 11**  
**Marina Albiol Guzmán**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 – point 1 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**(1) 3. Regrets the lack of an allocation for a non-military search and rescue mission in the Mediterranean and of safe and legal ways to reach the Union;**

Or. en

**Amendment 12**  
**Sylvie Guillaume**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**2 a. Notes that the funds originally foreseen for the transfers under the Dublin Regulation should not be reallocated to the returns and to the European Border and Coast Guard Agency but rather to the development of the CEAS and solidarity; considers that in the absence of an agreement on the Dublin Regulation, relocations should continue to be implemented beyond September 2017 and considers that this is required in the light of the fair sharing of responsibility and solidarity between Member States;**

Or. en

**Amendment 13**  
**Barbara Kudrycka, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**2 a. Calls on the Commission to**



*present an amending letter as soon as the legal bases related to the European Agenda on Migration, most notably the reform of Dublin, the Entry-Exit system, ETIAS and EASO, have been adopted, taking full account of their financial implications, in order to secure appropriate and swift funding for an effective European asylum and migration policy;*

Or. en

**Amendment 14**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*2 a. Considers that, as regards AMIF, priority should be given to projects supporting asylum seekers and integration of migrants and refugees; acknowledges the positive contribution of regional and local authorities as well as civil society organisations in that regard, and asks for the Union and the Member States to support their actions including by granting them direct access to AMIF;*

Or. en

**Amendment 15**  
**Sylvie Guillaume**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*2 b. Recalls that the fair and transparent distribution of funding between the different objectives of the AMIF was a priority for the European Parliament during negotiations leading to*

*the adoption of that fund; calls on the Commission accordingly to increase the number of budget lines under the AMIF to facilitate a better readability and transparency of how the financial resources allocated to the different objectives and thus to those budgetary lines will be spent; calls, in particular, on the Commission to separate expenditure on enhancing fair return strategies from expenditure on legal migration and promoting the effective integration of third-country nationals in all future draft budgets as proposed in the opinion of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs for the 2015 and 2016 Budget;*

Or. en

**Amendment 16**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*2 b. Reiterates the importance to make targeted funding available to tackle the root causes of the migration and refugee crisis; stresses, to this end, that the Union budget should finance measures in the countries of origin of migrants as well as in host countries of refugees, including, but not limited to measures addressing poverty, unemployment, education and economic opportunities, as well as instability, conflict and climate change;*

Or. en

**Amendment 17**

**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 2 b (new)**

PE609.388v01-00

10/27

AM\1131950EN.docx

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**2 b.** *Deplores the increasing Union financial support to third countries such as Libya or Turkey to prevent migration, despite concerns related to the human rights situation in those third countries;*

Or. en

**Amendment 18**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**2 c.** *Stresses the significant role played by civil society organisations in saving the lives of migrants and asylum seekers at the external borders as well as in safeguarding, promoting and implementing their rights; calls for more financial support to be given to strengthen the activities of civil society;*

Or. en

**Amendment 19**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**2 d.** *Deplores the fact that AMIF funds are currently used by Member States to operate internment centres for foreigners for the purposes of return operations; underlines that some of these facilities, for example in Spain, have been criticised by civil society for degrading treatment and physical assaults by police officers, discrimination on grounds of nationality,*

*lack of care for members of vulnerable groups, and disregard for the health of the inmates;*

Or. en

## **Amendment 20**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 3**

##### *Draft opinion*

3. Regrets the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses that in light of the continued security threat in the Union, ISF funding should be sufficient to aid Member States in dealing with threats to internal security; highlights the need to sufficiently fund efforts to improve information sharing *and to fight cybercrime*;

##### *Amendment*

3. Regrets the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses that in light of the continued security threat in the Union, ISF funding should be sufficient to aid Member States in dealing with threats to internal security, *especially cross-border organised and serious crime, terrorism and cybercrime*; highlights the need to sufficiently fund efforts to improve *police and judicial cooperation in security-related matters, including* information sharing *between Member States and enhanced interoperability of databases at European level*;

Or. en

## **Amendment 21**

**Raymond Finch, Beatrix von Storch**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 3**

##### *Draft opinion*

3. *Regrets* the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses *that in light of the continued security threat in the Union, ISF funding should be sufficient to aid Member States in dealing with threats to internal security; highlights* the

##### *Amendment*

3. *Notes* the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses the need to sufficiently improve information sharing and to fight cybercrime *on a bilateral basis*;

need to sufficiently *fund efforts to* improve information sharing and to fight cybercrime;

Or. en

**Amendment 22**  
**Auke Zijlstra**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. ***Regrets*** the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses that in light of the continued security threat in the Union, ***ISF funding*** should be ***sufficient to aid Member States in dealing with threats to internal security; highlights the need to sufficiently fund efforts to improve information sharing and to fight cybercrime;***

*Amendment*

3. ***Welcomes*** the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses that in light of the continued security threat in the Union, ***Member States*** should be ***in control of their own borders again to stop illegal migration;***

Or. en

**Amendment 23**  
**Marina Albiol Guzmán**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. ***Regrets*** the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); ***stresses that in light of the continued security threat in the Union, ISF funding should be sufficient to aid Member States in dealing with threats to internal security; highlights the need to sufficiently fund efforts to improve information sharing and to fight cybercrime;***

*Amendment*

3. ***Welcomes*** the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); ***highlights the need of the ISF's activities to guarantee internal security while maintaining high standards of respect to fundamental rights such as privacy and freedom of speech;***

Or. en

**Amendment 24**  
**Maria Grapini**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. Regrets the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses that in light of the continued security threat in the Union, ISF funding should be sufficient to aid Member States in dealing with threats to internal security; highlights the need to sufficiently fund efforts to improve information sharing and to fight cybercrime;

*Amendment*

3. Regrets the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses that in light of the continued security threat in the Union, ISF funding should be sufficient to aid Member States in dealing with threats to internal security; highlights the need to sufficiently fund efforts to improve information sharing and to fight cybercrime ***and to lead to a reduction of criminal cases;***

Or. en

**Amendment 25**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. Regrets the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses that ***in light of the continued security threat in the Union***, ISF funding should be sufficient to aid Member States in dealing with threats to internal security; highlights the need to sufficiently fund efforts to improve information sharing and to fight cybercrime;

*Amendment*

3. Regrets the significant budget reduction for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) (35,6 % in PA); stresses that ISF funding should be sufficient to aid Member States in dealing with threats to internal security; highlights the need to sufficiently fund efforts to improve information sharing and to fight cybercrime;

Or. en

**Amendment 26**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**3 a. Regrets that part of the budgetary envelope initially foreseen for the Dublin reform in 2018 was redistributed to reinforce security measures such as strengthening the equipment pool of the European Border and Coast Guard Agency or increasing the Eurosur funding; calls for increased funding for reception and integration of migrants and asylum seekers;**

Or. en

**Amendment 27**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**3 b. Deplores the fact that, in the context of the Union Passenger Name Records (PNR) system, the Commission proposes an additional amount of EUR 70 million of funding to assist Member States in setting up their passenger information units on top of the EUR 50 million already provided through the ISEC 2007-2013 programme; notes that according to a recent Commission implementation report, only four Member States have fully functional or almost functional PNR systems in place despite the political pressure put on the European Parliament by some Member States in 2015-2016 to adopt the PNR Directive as soon as possible; calls on the Commission to redistribute this funding to more cost-effective projects;**

Or. en

**Amendment 28**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***3 c. Regrets that more than EUR 115 million for the Entry-Exit System and more than EUR 55 million for the ETIAS have been already earmarked in the ISF for 2018, despite the fact that these systems have failed to demonstrate their cost-effectiveness, proportionality and practical feasibility and also raise severe data protection concerns;***

Or. en

**Amendment 29**  
**Auke Zijlstra**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***4. Welcomes the funding of instruments for emergency assistance in AMIF (EMAS) and for emergency humanitarian support inside the Union (ESI) in the amounts of EUR 50 million and EUR 200 million respectively;***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 30**  
**Sylvie Guillaume**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*



4. Welcomes the funding of instruments for emergency assistance in AMIF (EMAS) and for emergency humanitarian support inside the Union (ESI) in the amounts of EUR 50 million and EUR 200 million respectively;

4. Welcomes the funding of instruments for emergency assistance in AMIF (EMAS) and for emergency humanitarian support inside the Union (ESI) in the amounts of EUR 50 million and EUR 200 million respectively; ***regrets, however, that urgent measures are privileged to the detriment of long-term measures such as integration.***

Or. en

**Amendment 31**  
**Marina Albiol Guzmán**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Welcomes the funding of instruments for emergency assistance in AMIF (EMAS) and for emergency humanitarian support inside the Union (ESI) in the amounts of EUR 50 million and EUR 200 million respectively;

*Amendment*

4. Welcomes the funding of instruments for emergency assistance in AMIF (EMAS) and for emergency humanitarian support inside the Union (ESI) in the amounts of EUR 50 million and EUR 200 million respectively; ***stresses that this funding must not be used for deportations or border control;***

Or. en

**Amendment 32**  
**Jan Philipp Albrecht, Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; regrets however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; stresses the importance of staff increases for eu-LISA

*Amendment*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; regrets however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; stresses the importance of staff increases for eu-LISA

and Europol; welcomes the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup>

and Europol; welcomes the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup>; ***calls for a budget increase for Eurojust due to the increased requirements for judicial cooperation in the Union, in particular in light of the establishment of an EPPO; underlines that the additional financial resources for Europol and Eurojust should be invested preferably into the funding of Joint Investigation Teams;***

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

Or. en

### **Amendment 33**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier**

#### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 5**

###### *Draft opinion*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; regrets however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; stresses the importance of staff increases for eu-LISA and Europol; welcomes the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup>

###### *Amendment*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; regrets however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; stresses the importance of staff increases for ***Europol, Eurojust, eu-LISA and CEPOL as well as budgetary increases for Eurojust and Europol, especially in light of their increased workload and the upcoming adoption of legal bases for new databases and interoperability developments;*** welcomes the budget increase for the

European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup>;

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

Or. en

## **Amendment 34** **Marina Albiol Guzmán**

### **Draft opinion** **Paragraph 5**

#### *Draft opinion*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; ***regrets however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; stresses the importance of staff increases for eu-LISA and Europol***; welcomes the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

#### *Amendment*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; welcomes the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

Or. en

## Amendment 35

Ana Gomes

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 5

##### *Draft opinion*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; regrets however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; stresses the importance of staff increases for eu-LISA and Europol; welcomes the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

##### *Amendment*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; regrets however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; stresses the importance of staff increases for eu-LISA and Europol; ***regrets the reductions of the budget and establishment plan of Eurojust and urges to have them reconsidered***; welcomes the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

Or. en

## Amendment 36

Auke Zijlstra

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 5

##### *Draft opinion*

5. *Notes* the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment

PE609.388v01-00

##### *Amendment*

5. ***Is not surprised by*** the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure

AM\1131950EN.docx

20/27

plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; **regrets however** that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; **stresses the importance of staff increases for eu-LISA and Europol**; **welcomes** the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup> .

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks” **since the agencies indefinitely ask for more money**; **is delighted to hear** that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; **welcomes a reassessment of the necessity of Union agencies**; **deplores** the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup> .

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

Or. en

## **Amendment 37** **Raymond Finch, Beatrix von Storch**

### **Draft opinion** **Paragraph 5**

#### *Draft opinion*

5. **Notes** the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; **regrets** however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; **stresses the importance of staff increases for eu-LISA and Europol**; **welcomes** the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup> .

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the  
AM\1131950EN.docx

#### *Amendment*

5. **Regrets** the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; **notes** however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; **regrets** the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup> .

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the

European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

Or. en

**Amendment 38**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; regrets however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; ***stresses the importance of staff increases for eu-LISA and Europol***; welcomes the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

*Amendment*

5. Notes the proposed increases in the DB 2018 for expenditure and establishment plans for all agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs classified as holding “new tasks”; regrets however that the increases proposed are lower than those requested by most agencies; welcomes the budget increase for the European Data Protection Supervisor in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation<sup>1</sup> .

---

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC ( General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

Or. en

**Amendment 39**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 a.** *Points out that Europol is confronted with a significant number of new tasks under the new Europol regulation and notes a steep increase of workload on its existing tasks as Europol has supported 37% more operations in 2016 than in 2013 and has produced 79% more operational reports; stresses that the proposed budget and staffing level for Europol is insufficient to fulfil its assigned tasks, as the Commission and the Member States have decided in previous years to strengthen cooperation between Member States, especially in the fields of combating terrorism, organized crime, cybercrime and human trafficking and of protection for unaccompanied children;*

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 a.** *Welcomes the substantial increase (+530%) of the budget for the European Border and Coast Guard Agency's supporting operational activities (Frontex Fundamental Rights Officer), which are related to the strategic cooperation with Frontex's Consultative Forum on Fundamental Rights and the setting-up and running of the complaints mechanism;*

Or. en

**Amendment 41**

**Barbara Kudrycka, Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 a.** *Points out that the Commission's proposal, for the third year in a row, does not leave any margin under the ceiling for Heading III, evidencing the outdated size of the smallest MFF heading, as argued by the European Parliament as part of the mid-term revision process.*

Or. en

**Amendment 42**  
**Maria Grapini**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 a.** *Suggests that the Commission supports and to conducts relevant studies regarding the real situation in asylum centres in Member States in order to establish the most adequate protection measures.*

Or. en

**Amendment 43**  
**Elissavet Vozemberg-Vrionidi, Georgios Kyrtzos**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 a.** *Underlines the necessity to renew the mandate and provide sufficient funding to ENISA to allow it to enhance its capabilities to prevent and fight cybercrime;*



**Amendment 44**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***5 b. Notes that Eurojust is currently facing an significant increase in the workload as well as complexity and number of cases which has increased by 100% in the last five years; regrets therefore the decrease in the operational funding and staffing level for Eurojust; underlines that appropriate funding of Eurojust is necessary for it to fulfil its key role in the promotion of judicial cooperation in criminal matters effectively; urges to raise the funds allocated for the joint investigation teams due to the significant increase of transnational criminal cases and to simplify as well as to render the use of these funds more flexible;***

Or. en

**Amendment 45**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***5 b. Believes that there is no direct link between migration and terrorism in Europe; asks for increased funding to fight against the phenomenon of radicalisation leading to violent extremism within the Union; considers that this can be achieved by promoting integration and fighting against discrimination, racism and xenophobia;***

**Amendment 46**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 c. Calls for additional human resources to be allocated to the EASO in order to support an enhanced mandate to transform EASO into a fully-fledged agency which is capable of providing the necessary operational and technical assistance to the Member States;**

Or. en

**Amendment 47**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 c. Underlines the gaps identified in the existing exchange of information architecture, and urges the Commission to provide eu-LISA with the appropriate human and financial resources to fulfil their tasks and responsibilities;**

Or. en

**Amendment 48**  
**Josep-Maria Terricabras**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***5 d. Calls for additional human resources to be allocated to the Fundamental Rights Agency; regrets that the Fundamental Rights Agency's mandate still limits its role in support of fundamental rights; underlines that the Fundamental Rights Agency should be able to offer opinions on legislative proposals on its own initiative and that its remit should extend to all areas of rights protected under the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, including, for instance, issues of police and judicial cooperation;***

Or. en