



**2017/2052(INI)**

1.2.2018

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 272**

**Draft report**

**Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas**

(PE615.478v01-00)

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020  
(2017/2052(INI))



### **Amendment 1**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Citation 1**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

– having regard to Articles 311, 312 and 323 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU),

###### *Amendment*

– having regard to Articles **174, 175**, 311, 312 and 323 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU),

Or. en

### **Amendment 2**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Soraya Post**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Citation 1 a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

– ***having regard to UN General Assembly Resolution 70/1, entitled ‘Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development’***,

Or. en

### **Amendment 3**

**Jordi Solé, Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Citation 4 a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

– ***having regard to the briefing paper of the European Court of Auditors on the mid-term review of the Multiannual Financial Framework 2014-2020 from***

2016;

Or. en

**Amendment 4**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to its resolution of 19 January 2017 on ‘A European Pillar of Social Rights’[1]*

Or. en

**Amendment 5**  
**Indrek Tarand**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- having regard to the Commission’s Reflection Paper on the Future of EU Finances of 28 June 2017 (COM(2017)0358),

- having regard to the Commission’s *White paper on the future of Europe: Five Scenarios, of 1 March 2017 (COM(2017)2025) and* Reflection Paper on the Future of EU Finances of 28 June 2017 (COM(2017)0358),

Or. en

**Amendment 6**  
**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- ***having regard to the communication of 17 October 2017 from the Commission on ‘A stronger and renewed strategic partnership with the EU’s outermost regions’ (COM(2017)0623),***

Or. fr

**Amendment 7**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Citation 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- ***having regard to the ratification of the Paris Agreement by the European Parliament and the Council on 4 October 2016[1],[1] P8\_TA(2016)0363***

Or. en

**Amendment 8**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

**Motion for a resolution**

**Citation 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- having regard to the report of the Committee on Budgets and the opinions of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Development, the Committee on Budgetary Control, the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety, the Committee on Industry, Research and Energy, the

- having regard to the report of the Committee on Budgets and the opinions ***and Committee amendments*** of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Development, the Committee on Budgetary Control, ***the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs***, the Committee on the

Committee on Transport and Tourism, the Committee on Regional Development, the Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development, the Committee on Fisheries, the Committee on Culture and Education, the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs, the Committee on Constitutional Affairs and the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality (A8-0000/2018),

Environment, Public Health and Food Safety, the Committee on Industry, Research and Energy, the Committee on Transport and Tourism, the Committee on Regional Development, the Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development, the Committee on Fisheries, the Committee on Culture and Education, the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs, the Committee on Constitutional Affairs and the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality(A8-0000/2018),

Or. en

### **Amendment 9**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Claude Moraes, Péter Niedermüller**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Citation 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the ‘Challenges facing civil society organisations working on human rights in the EU’ report of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights,*

Or. en

### **Amendment 10**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Claude Moraes, Péter Niedermüller**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Citation 7 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the EESC own-imitative opinion on the Financing of civil society organisations by the EU,*

Or. en

## **Amendment 11**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital -A (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**-A. *whereas a budget is not a compilation of figures but an instrument aiming at financing a political project and vision; whereas the budget is the financial representation of what we value most***

Or. en

## **Amendment 12**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital A**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

A. whereas the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) was agreed in 2013 and entailed, for the first time, a reduction in real terms of both commitment and payment appropriations compared to the previous financial programming period in spite of growing EU competences and ambitions as set out in the Lisbon Treaty and the Europe 2020 strategy respectively; whereas it also involved a significant gap between the level of commitment and payment appropriations, which contributed to a backlog in unpaid bills in the two first years of the MFF; whereas the late adoption of the MFF and the related legal bases contributed to implementation delays, the repercussions of which are still felt today and which might lead to an accumulation of payment claims at the end

A. whereas the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) was agreed in 2013 and entailed, for the first time, a reduction in real terms of both commitment and payment appropriations compared to the previous financial programming period in spite of growing EU competences and ambitions as set out in the Lisbon Treaty and the Europe 2020 strategy respectively; ***whereas the self-defeating horse-trading approach which led to the current MFF should no longer prevail***; whereas it also involved a significant gap between the level of commitment and payment appropriations, which contributed to a backlog in unpaid bills in the two first years of the MFF; whereas the late adoption of the MFF and the related legal bases contributed to implementation

of the current MFF, spilling over into the next period; whereas, at Parliament's insistence, new provisions were included in the MFF in order to use its global ceilings to the fullest possible extent and to provide for flexibility mechanisms;

delays, the repercussions of which are still felt today and which might lead to an accumulation of payment claims at the end of the current MFF, spilling over into the next period; whereas, at Parliament's insistence, new provisions were included in the MFF in order to use its global ceilings to the fullest possible extent and to provide for flexibility mechanisms;

Or. en

### **Amendment 13** **Marco Valli**

#### **Motion for a resolution** **Recital A**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

A. whereas the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) was agreed in 2013 and entailed, for the first time, a reduction in real terms of both commitment and payment appropriations compared to the previous financial programming period in spite of growing EU *competences and* ambitions as set out in the Lisbon Treaty and the Europe 2020 strategy respectively; whereas it also involved a significant gap between the level of commitment and payment appropriations, which contributed to a backlog in unpaid bills in the two first years of the MFF; whereas the late adoption of the MFF and the related legal bases contributed to implementation delays, the repercussions of which are still felt today and which might lead to an accumulation of payment claims at the end of the current MFF, spilling over into the next period; whereas, *at Parliament's insistence*, new provisions were included in the MFF in order to use its global ceilings to the fullest possible extent and to provide for flexibility mechanisms;

##### *Amendment*

A. whereas the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) was agreed in 2013 and entailed, for the first time, a reduction in real terms of both commitment and payment appropriations compared to the previous financial programming period in spite of growing EU ambitions as set out in the Lisbon Treaty and the Europe 2020 strategy respectively; whereas it also involved a significant gap between the level of commitment and payment appropriations, which contributed to a backlog in unpaid bills in the two first years of the MFF; whereas the late adoption of the MFF and the related legal bases contributed to implementation delays, the repercussions of which are still felt today and which might lead to an accumulation of payment claims at the end of the current MFF, spilling over into the next period; whereas new provisions were included in the MFF in order to use its global ceilings to the fullest possible extent and to provide for flexibility mechanisms;

Or. it



## Amendment 14

Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanislaw Żółtek

### Motion for a resolution

#### Recital B

##### *Motion for a resolution*

B. whereas the MFF 2014-2020 **quickly proved its inadequacy** in meeting actual needs **and political ambitions, as, from the outset, it was called upon to address a series of crises and new challenges** in the areas of investment, migration and refugees, youth employment, security, agriculture and the environment, **which had not been anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, as a result, the current MFF had already been pushed to its limits after only two years of implementation as available margins had been exhausted, flexibility provisions and special instruments had been mobilised to a substantial extent, existing policies and programmes had been put under pressure or even reduced, and some off-budget mechanisms had been created as a way of compensating for the insufficient level of the EU budget;**

##### *Amendment*

B. whereas the MFF 2014-2020 **and the European Union budgetary policy** proved **their inefficiency** in meeting actual needs in the areas of investment, migration and refugees, youth employment, security, agriculture and the environment;

Or. en

## Amendment 15

Marco Valli

### Motion for a resolution

#### Recital B

##### *Motion for a resolution*

B. whereas the MFF 2014-2020 **quickly proved its inadequacy in meeting actual needs and political ambitions, as, from the outset, it was called upon to address a series of crises and new**

##### *Amendment*

B. whereas the MFF 2014-2020, from the outset, was called upon to address a series of crises and new challenges in the areas of migration and refugees, youth employment, security, agriculture and the

challenges in the areas of *investment*, migration and refugees, youth employment, security, agriculture and the environment, which had not been anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, as a result, the current MFF had already been pushed to its limits after only two years of implementation as available margins had been exhausted, flexibility provisions and special instruments had been mobilised to a substantial extent, existing policies and programmes had been put under pressure or even reduced, and some off-budget mechanisms had been created as a way of compensating for the *insufficient level of the EU budget*;

environment, which had not been anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, as a result, the current MFF had already been pushed to its limits after only two years of implementation as available margins had been exhausted, flexibility provisions and special instruments had been mobilised to a substantial extent, existing policies and programmes had been put under pressure or even reduced, and some off-budget mechanisms had been created as a way of compensating for the *exceptional crisis*;

Or. it

## **Amendment 16**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital B**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

B. whereas the MFF 2014-2020 quickly proved its inadequacy in meeting actual needs and political ambitions, as, from the outset, it was called upon to address a series of crises and new challenges in the areas of investment, migration and refugees, youth employment, security, agriculture and the environment, which had not been anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, as a result, the current MFF had already been pushed to its limits after only two years of implementation as available margins had been exhausted, flexibility provisions and special instruments had been mobilised to a substantial extent, existing policies and programmes had been put under pressure or even reduced, and some off-budget mechanisms had been

##### *Amendment*

B. whereas the MFF 2014-2020 quickly proved its inadequacy in meeting actual needs and political ambitions, as, from the outset, it was called upon to address a series of crises and new challenges in the areas of investment, *social exclusion*, migration and refugees, youth employment, security, agriculture and the environment, which had not been anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, as a result, the current MFF had already been pushed to its limits after only two years of implementation as available margins had been exhausted, flexibility provisions and special instruments had been mobilised to a substantial extent, existing policies and programmes had been put under pressure or even reduced, *endangering the achievement of the*

created as a way of compensating for the insufficient level of the EU budget;

*EU2020 targets such as the poverty target, and some off-budget mechanisms had been created as a way of compensating for the insufficient level of the EU budget;*

Or. en

## **Amendment 17**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital B**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

B. whereas the MFF 2014-2020 quickly proved its inadequacy in meeting actual needs and political ambitions, as, from the outset, it was called upon to address a series of crises and new challenges in the areas of investment, migration and refugees, youth employment, security, agriculture and the environment, which had not been anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, as a result, the current MFF had already been pushed to its limits after only two years of implementation as available margins had been exhausted, flexibility provisions and special instruments had been mobilised to a substantial extent, existing policies and programmes had been put under pressure or even reduced, and some off-budget mechanisms had been created as a way of compensating for the insufficient level of the EU budget;

##### *Amendment*

B. whereas the MFF 2014-2020 quickly proved its inadequacy in meeting actual needs and political ambitions, as, from the outset, it was called upon to address a series of crises and new challenges in the areas of *climate change*, investment, migration and refugees, youth employment, security, agriculture and the environment, which had not been anticipated at the time of its adoption; whereas, as a result, the current MFF had already been pushed to its limits after only two years of implementation as available margins had been exhausted, flexibility provisions and special instruments had been mobilised to a substantial extent, existing policies and programmes had been put under pressure or even reduced, and some off-budget mechanisms had been created as a way of compensating for the insufficient level of the EU budget;

Or. en

## **Amendment 18**

**Urmas Paet, Marietje Schaake**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital B a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**Ba.** *whereas having regard to the draft report on the implementation of the EU external financing instruments: mid-term review 2017 and the future post-2020 architecture(2017/2280(INI));*

Or. en

## **Amendment 19**

**Marco Valli**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital C**

*Motion for a resolution*

C. whereas *those shortcomings had already become evident* at the time of the mid-term review and revision of the MFF launched at the end of 2016, *and ought to have merited immediate actions, as demonstrated by Parliament in its resolution of 6 July 2016; whereas the agreed mid-term revision succeeded* in broadening the potential of the existing flexibility provisions to a moderate extent, *but fell short of revising* the MFF ceilings;

*Amendment*

C. whereas, at the time of the mid-term review and revision of the MFF launched at the end of 2016, *success was achieved* in broadening the potential of the existing flexibility provisions to a moderate extent, *without its having been felt appropriate to revise* the MFF ceilings;

Or. it

## **Amendment 20**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital C**

*Motion for a resolution*

C. whereas those shortcomings had already become evident at the time of the mid-term review and revision of the MFF

*Amendment*

C. whereas those shortcomings had already become evident at the time of the mid-term review and revision of the MFF

launched at the end of 2016, and ought to have merited immediate actions, as demonstrated by Parliament in its resolution of 6 July 2016; whereas the agreed *mid-term* revision succeeded in broadening the potential of the existing flexibility provisions to a moderate extent, but fell short of revising the MFF ceilings;

launched at the end of 2016, and ought to have merited immediate actions, as demonstrated by Parliament in its resolution of 6 July 2016; whereas the agreed *post-electoral* revision succeeded in broadening the potential of the existing flexibility provisions to a moderate extent, but fell short of revising the MFF ceilings;

Or. en

## **Amendment 21**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital C a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas on 12 December 2015, in Paris, the EU and the member states signed, and since have ratified, the Paris Climate agreement which entered into force on 4 November 2016; whereas The Paris Agreement's aim is to strengthen the global response to the threat of climate change by keeping a global temperature rise this century well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase even further to 1.5 degrees Celsius;*

Or. en

## **Amendment 22**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Recital C a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas the role of civil society in*

*promoting transparency and uncovering corruption concerning EU funds is essential; whereas the same civil society organisations and their essential work in the field of fundamental values of the European Union enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union, as well as their crucial investigative work in the financial interest of the European Union are threatened in several Member States;*

Or. en

**Amendment 23**

**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital C a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas the configuration of the MFF 2014-2020 has de facto created a situation contrary to the Treaties, which include the provision in Article 311 TFEU that ‘The Union shall provide itself with the means necessary to attain its objectives and carry through its policies’, and whereas the inadequate mid-term review of the current MFF did not rectify this fundamental contradiction with the Treaties;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 24**

**Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital C a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas current cases of corruption and financial fraud*

*concerning certain Member States in the use of EU funds and the lack of effective follow-up investigations by Member State authorities of OLAF recommendations regarding these irregularities make the case for Member States' participation in the European Public Prosecutor Office being a pre-condition for their access to EU funds;*

Or. en

**Amendment 25**

**Ivana Maletić**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital C a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas, the late conclusion of the 2014-2020 MFF negotiations and of the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) regulations resulted in delays in implementation, thus causing the delays in absorption of ESI funds and problems with execution of the commitment and payment appropriations in the EU budget;*

Or. en

**Amendment 26**

**Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital C b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Cb. whereas upholding fundamental values of the European Union, enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union, when developing and implementing EU policies is crucial, and*

*therefore there should be a clear relationship between the rule of law and the developing and implementation of private and public investments supported by the EU budget;*

Or. en

**Amendment 27**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital C b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Cb. whereas under Article 8 of the TFEU, the EU is committed to promoting equality between men and women and ensuring gender mainstreaming in all of its actions as a fundamental principle; whereas an interinstitutional joint declaration on gender mainstreaming is attached to the MFF;*

Or. en

**Amendment 28**

**Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital C c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Cc. whereas there is an inconsistency between the EU's support for rule of law and democracy for accession and third countries and its support within the European Union and its Member States; whereas current issues regarding the rule of law and democracy in several Member States justify the need for an internal European fund for human rights and*



*democracy within the EU based on existing initiatives and mirroring external initiatives;*

Or. en

**Amendment 29**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Georgi Pirinski**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital D**

*Motion for a resolution*

D. whereas the Commission will present its package of proposals on the post-2020 MFF, including future own resources, in May 2018, which is expected to be followed shortly afterwards by draft legislative proposals for the financial programmes and instruments;

*Amendment*

**D. *whereas the Commission was obliged to present its proposal for the future post-2020 MFF before 1 January 2018 as stipulated in the Article 25 of the Council Regulation No 1311/2013 laying down the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for the years 2014-2020 and*** whereas the Commission will ***now*** present its package of proposals on the post-2020 MFF, including future own resources, ***only*** in May 2018, which is expected to be followed shortly afterwards by draft legislative proposals for the financial programmes and instruments;

Or. en

**Amendment 30**

**Jean Arthuis, Gérard Deprez**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital D a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

**Da. *whereas the rise in the budget of the European Union must not increase the overall burden of public expenditure in Europe (1% of GDP), and any increase in the European Union's budget should therefore primarily be achieved by***

*Amendment*

*transferring actions hitherto performed by the Member States at national level to the EU and making the corresponding appropriations available; whereas this should consequently result in greater effectiveness corresponding to European added value;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 31**  
**Răzvan Popa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

1. Adopts the present resolution in order to outline Parliament's position on the post-2020 MFF, with particular attention to its expected priorities, size, structure, duration, flexibility and other horizontal principles, and to point out the specific budgetary orientations *for the* respective EU policies covered by the next financial framework; expects the Commission to present the legislative proposal for the next MFF together with a new draft interinstitutional agreement that takes into account Parliament's positions and suggestions; stresses that this resolution also provides a basis for Parliament's engagement in the procedure leading to the adoption of the next MFF;

*Amendment*

1. Adopts the present resolution in order to outline Parliament's position on the post-2020 MFF, with particular attention to its expected priorities, size, structure, duration, flexibility and other horizontal principles, and to point out the specific budgetary orientations *for the* respective EU policies covered by the next financial framework; expects the Commission to present the legislative proposal for the next MFF together with a new draft interinstitutional agreement that takes into account Parliament's positions and suggestions; *considers that defining a common and timely agreement at EU level for the post 2020 period will send an important political message regarding the EU's capacity to further build consensus on the future path of the EU and on supplying the necessary financial resources in order to achieve our priorities;* stresses that this resolution also provides a basis for Parliament's engagement in the procedure leading to the adoption of the next MFF;

Or. en

## Amendment 32

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 1

##### *Motion for a resolution*

1. Adopts the present resolution in order to outline Parliament's position on the post-2020 MFF, with particular attention to its expected priorities, size, structure, duration, flexibility and other horizontal principles, and to point out the specific budgetary orientations for the respective EU policies covered by the next financial framework; expects the Commission to present the legislative proposal for the next MFF together with a new draft interinstitutional agreement that takes into account Parliament's positions and suggestions; stresses that this resolution also provides a basis for Parliament's engagement in the procedure leading to the adoption of the next MFF;

##### *Amendment*

1. ***Affirms that a budget is not a compilation of figures but an instrument aiming at financing a political project and vision; insists on the fact that a budget is the financial representation of what we value most;*** adopts *therefore* the present resolution in order to outline Parliament's position on the post-2020 MFF, with particular attention to its expected priorities, size, structure, duration, flexibility and other horizontal principles, and to point out the specific budgetary orientations for the respective EU policies covered by the next financial framework; expects the Commission to present the legislative proposal for the next MFF together with a new draft interinstitutional agreement that takes into account Parliament's positions and suggestions; stresses that this resolution also provides a basis for Parliament's engagement in the procedure leading to the adoption of the next MFF;

Or. en

## Amendment 33

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 2

##### *Motion for a resolution*

2. Adopts, in parallel, a separate resolution to set out its position on the reform of the EU's own resources system

##### *Amendment*

2. Adopts, in parallel, a separate resolution to set out its position on the reform of the EU's own resources system

in line with the recommendations of the High Level Group on Own Resources; calls on the Commission to take due account of Parliament's position in preparing the legislative proposals on the EU's own resources, which should be ambitious in scope and presented together with the MFF proposals; stresses that both the expenditure and the revenue side of the next MFF will be treated as a single package in the upcoming negotiations, **and that no agreement will be reached on the MFF without corresponding headway being made on own resources;**

in line with the recommendations of the High Level Group on Own Resources; calls on the Commission to take due account of Parliament's position in preparing the legislative proposals on the EU's own resources, which should be ambitious in scope and presented together with the MFF proposals; stresses that both the expenditure and the revenue side of the next MFF will be treated as a single package in the upcoming negotiations, **states that it is not prepared to give its consent to the next MFF regulation before the European elections without a reform of the own-resources system;**

Or. en

**Amendment 34**  
**Georgi Pirinski, Vladimír Maňka**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**2a. Regrets the delay in the presentation by the Commission of its proposal for the post-2020 MFF which creates the prospect of a significant delay in the negotiations and adoption of the corresponding legislation on MFF and the financial programmes and instruments, thus endangering their timely implementation in the post-2020 period;**

Or. en

**Amendment 35**  
**Jordi Solé**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

PE616.895v01-00

20/152

AM\1144762EN.docx

**2a.** *Insists on the fact that in 2015, in Paris, the world took one of the most important commitments of its recent History: fighting against the Climate Change and the EU -and its member states- was part of the signatories; considers that now the time has to come to respect and implement the Paris Climate Agreement and to deliver on policies; is of the firm opinion that if the EU wants to be coherent with its commitments, it has to be consistent in its policies within and outside the EU in order to protect our Planet.*

Or. en

**Amendment 36**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 2 b (new)**

**2b.** *Reminds that a decade after the worst financial, economic and social crisis since the World War II, the EU has still not fully recovered from an economic and social point of view; stresses that millions of Europeans are victims of discriminations, millions of Europeans are desperately looking for a decent life, millions of Europeans are still unemployed and do not see any job opportunity in a near future and millions of Europeans are living in poverty or are at risk of falling into; highlights that in the meanwhile a very tiny group of people have been getting richer and richer; considers that this should be ended; is convinced that if the EU wants to reconnect with the people, we have to*

*tackle growing inequalities and to take care of the people.*

Or. en

**Amendment 37**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 2 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**2c. Stresses that in order to tackle climate change and growing inequalities, the EU has to invest in the future; insists on the need to invest in the future generations, to adapt our infrastructures and to support our research programmes; is convinced that tomorrow has already started; however reminds that changes require time but believes that we can protect our Planet, take care of the people and invest in our future simultaneously; therefore is convinced that EU budget as a key role to play because it has a strong catalytic effects on public and private funding at all level of governances; thus, highlights that, to be coherent, the EU must align its future budget, the so-called Post-2020 MFF and its legal framework.**

Or. en

**Amendment 38**

**Marco Zanni, Stanislaw Żółtek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

3. **Welcomes** the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to **prepare the**

3. **Takes note of** the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to

*ground for a stronger Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;*

*implement a severe and concrete rationalization of the EU budgetary policy to cut all the wastes and privileges linked to the EU's administrative expenditure, taking into account all the economic restrictions imposed and experienced in several Member States;*

Or. en

**Amendment 39**  
**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. *Welcomes the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;*

*Amendment*

3. *Recalls that, in its current form, the EU was built without the peoples of Europe and that the next MFF should seek to transform it into an environmentally sound and socially fairer Europe; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a strategy of reaffirmation of the central objective of the EU, which is economic, social and territorial cohesion, and a broader narrative concerning the remaking of the organisation so as to benefit all its people;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 40**  
**Jordi Solé, Heidi Hautala**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one

of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe ***and structured in a way that ensures compliance with its international obligations, including the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the Paris Agreement on Climate Change and the Convention for Biological Diversity (CBD); considers therefore that, at least 50% of the post2020 MFF should be Climate-related; calls on the commission to present a clear methodology to calculate the climate-related spending in order to ensure a proper monitoring over the next MFF; proposes furthermore to introduce a gender mainstreaming in the next MFF in order to really combats gender discriminations and inequalities in order to ensure the protection of women's rights as laid down in the treaties; calls on the commission to present a clear methodology in order to properly and effectively ensure its monitoring;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 41**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Georgi Pirinski**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 3**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

3. ***Welcomes the*** discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

##### *Amendment*

3. ***Welcomes the*** discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger ***and more sustainable*** Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; ***strongly insists that the new MFF does not reproduce the current insufficient financing for the main political priorities of the EU, thus being in contradiction with the Treaty provision requiring the Union to pursue its objectives as defined***



*in art 3 TUE by appropriate means; in contrast, calls for a new quality of the MFF and for adequate resources providing for sustainable development, social progress and better life for citizens;* believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

Or. en

**Amendment 42**  
**Răzvan Popa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe; *believes that maintaining the unity of the Member States represents the backbone of the negotiations process that should deliver sustainable prosperity for the entire Union by addressing different needs with proper tools whilst having a balanced reflection if the citizens needs across the whole EU, while moving forward the European project;*

Or. en

**Amendment 43**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;  
***considers that the next MFF must be the translation of EU's political priorities into budgetary means;***

Or. en

**Amendment 44**

**Liadh Ní Riada**

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. ***Welcomes*** the discussion about the next MFF as ***an*** opportunity to prepare the ground for a ***stronger*** Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

*Amendment*

3. ***Takes note of*** the discussion about the next MFF as ***a possible*** opportunity to prepare the ground for a ***deeper reflection for a new*** Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

Or. en

**Amendment 45**

**Inese Vaidere**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the

next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a stronger Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future *and security* of Europe;

Or. en

**Amendment 46**  
**Marco Valli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a **stronger** Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the discussion about the next MFF as an opportunity to prepare the ground for a **more effective** Europe through one of its most tangible instruments, the Union budget; believes that the next MFF should be embedded in a broader strategy and narrative for the future of Europe;

Or. it

**Amendment 47**  
**Jordi Solé**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

**3a. *Regrets that, inspire of the joint declaration having been attached to the MFF on gender mainstreaming, there has been little progress in this field and no clear gender equality strategy with specific objectives, concrete targets and allocations, has emerged from the MFF***

*Amendment*

*2014-2020; calls for the expression of a renewed commitment by Parliament, the Council and the Commission to gender equality in the next MFF, by means of a joint declaration attached to the MFF including a commitment to implement gender budgeting; calls for effective monitoring of the implementation of this declaration in the annual budgetary procedures by including a provision in the view clause of the new MFF regulation; believes that it is fundamental to implement gender budgeting as part of an overall gender mainstreaming strategy which is key to ensure the protection of women's rights and it is also an obligation laid down in the treaties; therefore, in order to see a stronger and more efficient integration of gender mainstreaming in the next period, proposes to renew the commitment in form of a joint declaration with an specific mention to gender budgeting and to introduce an effective monitoring of the implementation of this declaration by including a provision in the post-electoral revision clause of the post 2020 MFF regulation;*

Or. en

**Amendment 48**  
**Georgi Pirinski, Vladimír Maňka**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*3a. Calls on the Commission, when presenting its proposal for the post-2020 MFF, to accompany it with a detailed outline of the strategic priorities upon which the draft MFF is build; such priorities should be subject of consideration in the course of elaborating a comprehensive Europe 2030 Strategy, which in turn to be examined in-depth by*

*the European Parliament before  
finalization of the post-2020 MFF package  
by the Council;*

Or. en

**Amendment 49**  
Nils Torvalds, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**3a. Underlines that the next MFF must be reoriented towards sustainable development and ensure enhanced mainstreaming of environmental and climate change adaptation and mitigation policy in all funding mechanisms and budgetary lines in order to ensure sustainable economic growth, employment and the global competitiveness of the EU, reiterating that long-term policy coherence plays an important role in cost minimization;**

Or. en

**Amendment 50**  
Alfred Sant

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**3a. Recommends the design and implementation of an honest and down-to-earth communications programme to inform citizens in all member states of the significance, meaning and choices involved in drawing up the MFF programme, to be carried out while the discussions on the MFF are proceeding**

*as well as later, and this in ways that concretely respond to citizens' everyday interests and concerns;*

Or. en

**Amendment 51**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*3a. Notes that gaining the full support from European citizens in the context of current budgetary constraints is extremely important in order to reaffirm and achieve our commitments of growth and jobs; calls therefore for a better use of existing funds, stresses that the challenge facing the European Union will not be to spend more, but to spend more efficiently;*

Or. en

**Amendment 52**

**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*3a. Agrees with the President of the European Commission's assertion that the Union's objectives should be agreed first, and only subsequently should the provision of the financial means for these political ambitions be determined;*

Or. en

**Amendment 53**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**3b. Emphasises that the policies for poverty reduction and social inclusion among vulnerable groups have failed to produce the expected results and reminds the Commission of its commitment to make concrete proposals for the establishment of a performance-based public budgeting model in which each budget line is accompanied by objectives and outputs to be measured by performance indicators;**

Or. en

**Amendment 54**

**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**3b. Notes, in this regard, that the Commission is currently undertaking a comprehensive spending review within its Directorates-General; believes that the results of this spending review should be made available to all institutions and stakeholders, in order to better inform the discussion on the Union's objectives;**

Or. en

**Amendment 55**

**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**3c.** *Considers that the discussion on the Union's objectives must be all-encompassing, and include all existing policy areas within its scope; believes it is imperative that these discussions should avoid ring-fencing spending in any particular policy area;*

Or. en

**Amendment 56**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**3d.** *Believes that the proposal for the next MFF, including all individual policies, programmes and instruments, must be based on both objective need and be properly costed, in order for the proposal to have credibility and legitimacy with EU citizens;*

Or. en

**Amendment 57**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Soraya Post, Petra Kammerevert, Jens Geier, Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes, Clare Moody**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which



aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

aim at promoting peace, *the fundamental values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union, including democracy, the rule of law* democracy and human rights, *gender equality*, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, and at *safeguarding equal opportunities and non-discrimination, at* fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion, *intercultural understanding* as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens *as set out in art 3 TUE*; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

Or. en

## **Amendment 58**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 4**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, *at* boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic *growth*, high-quality jobs, *sustainable development* and innovation, and *at* fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are

##### *Amendment*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at *protecting the planet, taking care of the people and investing in the future in the EU and for the world; moreover, believes that* promoting peace, democracy and human rights, *fighting against climate change* boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic *development*, high-quality jobs, *research* and innovation, and fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States, *territories* and citizens *should remained the main objectives of*

more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

*the EU budget*; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

Or. en

## **Amendment 59**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 4**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic **growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable** development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

##### *Amendment*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic development and **growth, quality employment with full labour rights leading to decent jobs**, innovation, and at fostering **equal opportunities for all its citizens -and in particular gender equality-**, **promoting** economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

Or. en

## **Amendment 60**

**Răzvan Popa**

## Motion for a resolution

### Paragraph 4

#### *Motion for a resolution*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

#### *Amendment*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens ***further bridging the social and economic gaps through instruments that have proven their efficiency, while also encouraging new solutions for the financing of the new challenges***; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

Or. en

## Amendment 61

Inese Vaidere

## Motion for a resolution

### Paragraph 4

#### *Motion for a resolution*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and

#### *Amendment*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, ***strengthening external borders***, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, ***harmonizing investment opportunities across less economically developed member states, thus further***

citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

*contributing to* sustainable development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

Or. en

## Amendment 62

**Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Gérard Deprez, Urmas Paet, Nedzhmi Ali, Jean Arthuis, Fredrick Federley, Nils Torvalds**

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 4

##### *Motion for a resolution*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

##### *Amendment*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, ***protecting healthy living environment, fighting climate change*** and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion, as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market, ***the Energy Union*** and Economic and Monetary Union as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

Or. en

## Amendment 63

Marco Valli

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 4

##### *Motion for a resolution*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market **and Economic and Monetary Union** as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

##### *Amendment*

4. Is convinced that the next MFF should build on the Union's well-established policies and priorities, which aim at promoting peace, democracy and human rights, at boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, **environmental protection and territorial revival** and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for a properly functioning single market as well as for reinforcing Europe's position in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

Or. it

## Amendment 64

Liadh Ní Riada, Marie-Pierre Vieu, Younous Omarjee

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 4

##### *Motion for a resolution*

4. **Is convinced that** the next MFF should build **on** the Union's **well-established** policies and priorities, **which aim at promoting** peace, democracy and human rights, **at** boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as solidarity between Member States and

##### *Amendment*

4. The next MFF should **enhance, improve and build upon** the Union's **established social oriented convergence** policies and priorities, **reinforcing the promotion of** peace, democracy and human rights, boosting welfare, long-term and sustainable economic growth, high-quality jobs, sustainable development and innovation, and at fostering economic, social and territorial cohesion as well as

citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for ***a properly functioning single market and Economic and Monetary Union*** as well as for ***reinforcing Europe's position*** in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

***enhancing*** solidarity between Member States and citizens; considers that these pillars are prerequisites for ***the*** Union as well as for ***the role of Europe as a Global Partner*** in the world; trusts that they are more relevant than ever for Europe's future endeavours;

Or. en

## **Amendment 65**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***4a. Stresses that the EU must fulfil its commitments under the Treaties such as promoting the well-being of people, full employment, social progress, social cohesion, social justice and protection, fair competition, equality between women and men, solidarity between generations, protection of the rights of the child, the development of quality education and the knowledge and dissemination of the European cultural heritage; insists that the Union shall pursue these objectives by appropriate means that ensure the constant improvement of the living and working conditions of their peoples and contribute to the preservation and development of the fundamental rights included in the Charter, and to strengthen its protection.***

Or. en

## **Amendment 66**

**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**4a.** *Calls on the EU not to sacrifice the Community policies that have been entrusted to it and are at the heart of its responsibilities towards European citizens, such as cohesion policy or the common agricultural policy, in order to substitute priorities which do not correspond to any of the responsibilities defined by the Treaties;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 67**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**4a.** *recalls that adequate financing is essential for the realisation of the principles of the recently agreed European Pillar of Social Rights;*

Or. en

**Amendment 68**  
**Marita Ulvskog**  
on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**4b.** *Points out that the European Union must deliver on its commitment to be a frontrunner in implementing the UN SDGs;*

### **Amendment 69**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Elly Schlein, Arne Lietz, Doru-Claudian Frunzulică, Isabelle Thomas**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 5**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

###### *Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, ***persistent poverty, increasing inequality***, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions; ***stresses in this context the obligation to align the EU's budgetary framework with the Sustainable Development Goals which provide a global roadmap for more sustainable, equitable and prosperous societies within planetary boundaries; recalls that all EU member states and the European Commission itself had signed up the SDG Declaration in November 2015***

### **Amendment 70**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jean-Paul Denanot, Josef Weidenholzer**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 5**



*Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

*Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, *rising inequalities*, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; ***believes that the next MFF should address the challenge of the digital transformation of European society and economy and help citizens, businesses and public administrations to benefit from it***; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions; ***believes in this context that the next MFF should develop new tools aiming to anticipate and accompany structural mutations, namely in branches undergoing industrial restructuring.***

Or. en

**Amendment 71**

**Nils Torvalds, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of

*Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, ***environmental degradation and biodiversity loss***, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with

our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions; ***highlights the potential of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development to combat the challenges of the future and welcomes the Commission's commitment to mainstreaming Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into all EU policies and initiatives and as such the next MFF must be reoriented towards the 2030 Agenda;***

Or. en

**Amendment 72**  
**Răzvan Popa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

*Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions; ***underlines that the need for increased financing of the new challenges, such as EU external borders, security and defence, migration issues and others, should be shaped while maintaining adequate resources for cohesion and agricultural policies;***

Or. en

## Amendment 73

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 5

##### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

##### *Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; ***believes that the next MFF should address the challenge of the digital transformation of economy and society and help every citizen, business and public administration reap the benefits of the digital transformations;*** underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

Or. en

## Amendment 74

Marita Ulvskog

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 5

##### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic

##### *Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic, ***social*** and financial downturn, ***the deepening inequalities, poverty, especially child poverty, and social exclusion,*** the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability,

implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

Or. en

### **Amendment 75**

**Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 5**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

###### *Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: ***the rule of law and democracy crises in several Member States***, the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

Or. en

### **Amendment 76**

**Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 5**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should ***enable*** the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the

###### *Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should ***reflect the priorities which make it possible for*** the Union to provide solutions

decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism, **cross-border crime** and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

Or. sv

### **Amendment 77**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Emilian Pavel, Eva Maydell, Jeroen Lenaers, Tomáš Zdechovský, Silvia Costa**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 5**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

##### *Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, **youth unemployment, raising poverty and inequalities**, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

Or. en

### **Amendment 78**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

*Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change ***environmental degradation, biodiversity loss*** and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

Or. en

**Amendment 79**  
**Inese Vaidere**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

*Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, the phenomenon of migration and refugees, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions, ***especially in securing our external borders***;

Or. en

**Amendment 80**  
**Tiemo Wölken**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, ***the phenomenon of migration and refugees***, climate change and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

*Amendment*

5. Believes that the next MFF should enable the Union to provide solutions and emerge strengthened from the crises of the decade: the economic and financial downturn, ***forced*** migration, climate change, ***loss of biodiversity*** and natural disasters, terrorism and instability, to name but a few; underlines that these global, cross-border challenges with domestic implications reveal the interdependency of our economies and societies, and point to the need for joint actions;

Or. en

**Amendment 81**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Clare Moody**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***5a. Emphasises that the elimination of inequality between women and men is vital for the creation of a more inclusive Europe, as well as a more sustainable and economically secure European Union; underlines that closing the gender gaps prevalent in our societies would see an increase in the number of jobs benefiting both women and men, and have a positive knock on effect on GDP per capita;***

Or. en

**Amendment 82**  
**Isabelle Thomas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**5a. Considers that the EU's budgetary framework should be aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals; recalls that all EU member states and the European Commission itself had signed up the SDG Declaration in November 2015; expects the EU to fulfil its commitments towards those goals;**

Or. en

**Amendment 83**  
**Răzvan Popa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**5a. Considers that the future MFF should be established on positive incentives for all Members States in fostering all their efforts towards development and reforms;**

Or. en

**Amendment 84**  
**Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanislaw Żółtek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**6. Stresses that the next MFF provides an opportunity for the Union to**

**6. Refuses and deplores any attempt of exploitation of the United Kingdom's**



*demonstrate that it stands together and is able to address political developments such as Brexit, the rise of nationalist movements and changes in global leadership; underlines that divisions and self-centredness are not an answer to global issues and to citizens' concerns; considers that the Brexit negotiations, in particular, show that the benefits of being a Union member greatly outweigh the cost of contributing to its budget;*

*withdrawal from the EU (Brexit) in order to reinforce the revenue side of the budget which will ultimately lead to further taxation of businesses and to an increase of the tax pressure on the citizens;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 85**

**Marco Valli**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 6**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

6. Stresses that the next MFF provides an opportunity for the Union to demonstrate that it stands together and is able to address *political developments such as Brexit, the rise of nationalist movements and changes in global leadership; underlines that divisions and self-centredness are not an answer to global issues and to citizens' concerns; considers that the Brexit negotiations, in particular, show that the benefits of being a Union member greatly outweigh the cost of contributing to its budget;*

##### *Amendment*

6. Stresses that the next MFF provides an opportunity for the Union to demonstrate that it stands together and is able to address *crises;*

Or. it

#### **Amendment 86**

**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga**

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. **Stresses** that the next MFF provides an opportunity for the **Union** to demonstrate ***that it stands together and is able*** to address political developments such as Brexit, the rise of ***nationalist*** movements **and** changes in global leadership; ***underlines that*** divisions and self-centredness are not an answer to global issues **and to citizens' concerns**; considers that the Brexit negotiations, in particular, ***show*** that the benefits of ***being a Union member*** greatly outweigh the ***cost of contributing to its budget***;

*Amendment*

6. **Reiterates** that the next MFF provides an opportunity for the **Member States** to demonstrate ***the solidarity that is embedded in the Union and its capacity*** to address political developments such as Brexit, the rise of ***extremist right-wing*** movements **as well as** changes in global leadership; ***stresses its full commitment to the respect of rule of law and democracy and calls upon all stake holders and civil society to commit to an inclusive, progressive and collaborative process to duly, fully and timely address the current societal, political, environmental and economical challenges***; divisions and self-centredness are not an answer to ***local, regional, national nor*** global issues; considers that the Brexit negotiations, **and** in particular, ***the commitment to the rule of law and democracy, the full respect of the framework of the commitments previously assumed, as within the Good Friday Agreement, remain as a key reference*** that the benefits of ***an inclusive, progressive and collaborative process*** greatly outweigh the ***costs of less harmonious solutions***;

Or. en

**Amendment 87**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Stresses that the next MFF provides an opportunity for the Union to demonstrate that it stands together and is able to address political developments such as Brexit, the rise of ***nationalist***

*Amendment*

6. Stresses that the next MFF provides an opportunity for the Union to demonstrate that it stands together and is able to address political developments such as Brexit, the rise of ***populist and***

movements and changes in global leadership; underlines that divisions and self-centredness are not an answer to global issues and to citizens' concerns; considers that the Brexit negotiations, in particular, show that the benefits of being a Union member greatly outweigh the cost of contributing to its budget;

*xenophobic* movements and changes in global leadership; underlines that divisions and self-centredness are not an answer to global issues and to citizens' concerns; considers that the Brexit negotiations, in particular, show that the benefits of being a Union member greatly outweigh the cost of contributing to its budget; ***considers therefore that we are all winners in the European project;***

Or. en

### **Amendment 88**

**Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 6**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

6. Stresses that the next MFF provides an opportunity for the Union to demonstrate that it stands together and is able to address political developments such as Brexit, the rise of nationalist movements and changes in global leadership; underlines that divisions and self-centredness are not an answer to global issues and to citizens' concerns; considers that the Brexit negotiations, in particular, show that the benefits of being a Union member greatly outweigh the cost of contributing to its budget;

###### *Amendment*

6. Stresses that the next MFF provides an opportunity for the Union to demonstrate that it stands together and is able to address political developments such as Brexit, the rise of nationalist movements and changes in global leadership, ***the crises of rule of law and democracy in several Member States***; underlines that divisions and self-centredness are not an answer to global issues and to citizens' concerns; considers that the Brexit negotiations, in particular, show that the benefits of being a Union member greatly outweigh the cost of contributing to its budget;

Or. en

### **Amendment 89**

**Alfred Sant**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**6a.** *Notes that the withdrawal of the UK from the EU's budgetary system will imply changes that go beyond the shortfall that will result in net revenues, involving capillary economic and financial impacts, probably negative, on the way by which the EU budget will operate; calls therefore for a study of such possible impacts and how their potential negative consequences can be pre-empted and minimised;*

Or. en

**Amendment 90**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jeroen Lenaers, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emilian Pavel, Silvia Costa**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**6a.** *Highlights that the proclamation of the European Pillar of Social Rights and the commitment from EU institutions and Member States to ensure a more Social Europe should be supported by adequate financial resources, including through EU funding instruments.*

Or. en

**Amendment 91**

**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**7.** *Calls, therefore, for continuous*

**7.** *Calls for an open and frank*

PE616.895v01-00

52/152

AM\1144762EN.docx

*support for* existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; *rejects any attempt* to renationalise these policies, *as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure* the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period *while* further *improving* their added value and *simplifying* the procedures associated with them;

*discussion on all* existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; *notes with interest proposals* to renationalise these policies *in a limited fashion, in recognition Member States may be better placed to deliver the objectives of these programmes; believes that securing* the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period *is dependent on evidence of their European added value, and considers that possible reductions of the envelopes for the two largest spending programmes in the next MFF could be an opportunity to* further *improve* their added value and *simplify* the procedures associated with them;

Or. en

## **Amendment 92** **Inese Vaidere**

### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 7**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further

#### *Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities *even further* between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further

improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them; ***rejecting the notion of penalties in the area of cohesion funding when it is based on the conditionality in another EU policy area.***

Or. en

### **Amendment 93**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 7**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to ***secure the same*** level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

#### *Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to ***reduce moderately in a fair and sustainable way the*** level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them; ***intends therefore to reduce the share of the 2 main blocks to roughly half of the EU budget;***

Or. en

### **Amendment 94**

**John Howarth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth **and** the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

*Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth, the functioning of the single market **and the EU's and its member states ability to address supranational challenges**, while **also** widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. en

**Amendment 95**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve

*Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy **because they bring the tangible benefits of the European project to EU citizens**; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would

better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their *added value* and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their *effectiveness* and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. en

**Amendment 96**  
**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, *namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their* added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

*Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous *and increased* support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, *which are primarily cohesion policy – which remains the only policy expressing European solidarity on a large scale – and the common agricultural and fisheries policies; considers that the EU must also pursue the objective of redressing the gaps in wealth between the poorer and richer regions, as well as income disparities between various social groups in the context of* the next programming period, while *increasing the* added value *of these policies* and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. fr



## **Amendment 97**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 7**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

##### *Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth, ***solidarity*** and the functioning of the single market while ***further deepening inequalities and*** widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure ***at least*** the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. en

## **Amendment 98**

**Tamás Deutsch**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 7**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would

##### *Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would

neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding *in current prices* for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. en

**Amendment 99**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

*Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, *and for them to be stepped up*, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. it

**Amendment 100**  
**Tiemo Wölken**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, *for continuous* support for existing policies, *in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties*, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

*Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, *to in general continue* support for *and develop further* existing policies, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. en

**Amendment 101**  
**Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve

*Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve

better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; **intends to secure** the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period **while further improving their added value and** simplifying the procedures associated with them;

better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; **questions whether** the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies **is necessary** in the next programming period; **stresses, at the same time, the importance of** simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. sv

### **Amendment 102**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Nils Torvalds, Nedzhmi Ali, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 7**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure **the same level of** funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

###### *Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, and the cohesion policy; rejects any attempt to **totally** renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure **an adequate** funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. en

## **Amendment 103**

**Alfred Sant**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 7**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the common agricultural and fisheries policies, **and the cohesion policy**; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

##### *Amendment*

7. Calls, therefore, for continuous support for existing policies, in particular the long-standing EU policies enshrined in the Treaties, namely the **cohesion policy and the** common agricultural and fisheries policies; rejects any attempt to renationalise these policies, as this would neither reduce the financial burden on taxpayers and consumers, nor achieve better results, but would instead hamper growth and the functioning of the single market while widening the disparities between territories and economic sectors; intends to secure the same level of funding for the EU-27 for these policies in the next programming period while further improving their added value and simplifying the procedures associated with them;

Or. en

## **Amendment 104**

**Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley, Urmas Paet, Morten Løkkegaard**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 7 a (new)**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

##### *Amendment*

**7a. Recalls the accountability to taxpayers and consumers and therefore urges for a strong budget discipline in the upcoming MFF in order to take duly into account the financial burden of citizens; stresses that the cost effectiveness of current policies and programmes should be reviewed and, where necessary, take**

*appropriate measures.*

Or. en

**Amendment 105**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Agnes Jongerius, Georgi Pirinski, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Javi López**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**7a. Stresses that the policies for combating poverty and social exclusion have been significantly weakened by the policy of budgetary constraints, urges for continuation of these policies with adequate resources under the next MFF in order to achieve their targets and to lead to tangible results;**

Or. en

**Amendment 106**

**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

8. Believes that Europe *should* offer prospects to the younger generation *as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena*; is determined to substantially scale up *two of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme and Erasmus+*, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications *with their current means*; calls *also* for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized

8. Believes that Europe *has a responsibility to* offer prospects *for a better future* to the younger generation, *who will suffer from the disastrous impact of the economic policies adopted in the Union in recent decades*; is determined to substantially scale up its flagship *programme Erasmus+, which should benefit all young Europeans and not only a limited number of students who can afford to spend time abroad, this being a programme which at present cannot* satisfy the very high demand involving top

enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

quality applications, *because of the very low level of funding of this policy under the current budget; proposes that the budget for Erasmus+ should at the minimum be doubled in the next MFF and that at least half of it should be earmarked for young people from the poorest social classes; calls also for a considerable expansion of the Framework Programme for Research; calls for genuine progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for micro, small and medium-sized enterprises and social enterprises, by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;*

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 107**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 8**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

8. Believes that *Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena*; is determined to substantially scale up *two of* its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme *and Erasmus+*, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; *calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth*

###### *Amendment*

8. Believes that future-oriented *policies should be double in volume in order to invest in the future generations, in the future technologies and in the future infrastructures*; is determined to substantially scale up *Youth-related programmes such as ERASMUS +and Youth Employment Initiative (YEI); is in favour of increasing the* its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means, *supports also in massive increase for the CEF 2.0; is in favour of a massive*

*Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;*

*increase for cultural programmes)*

Or. en

## **Amendment 108**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Petra Kammerevert, Inés Ayala Sender**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 8**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up *two* of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme *and* Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

##### *Amendment*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena ; *emphasizes in this context the importance of Creative Europe*; is determined to substantially scale up *three* of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme, Erasmus+ *and the programme Connecting Europe Facility (CEF)*, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means *and which fundamentally contribute to EU socioeconomic and territorial cohesion, as well as to sustainable mobility*; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for *social economy enterprises and* small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

Or. en



**Amendment 109**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Soraya Post**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up two of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme and Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

*Amendment*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena ***in a non-discriminatory way***; is determined to substantially scale up two of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme and Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means; ***and by ensuring that young people coming from a disadvantaged socio-economic background will be provided non-discriminatory access to these funding opportunities***;

Or. en

**Amendment 110**  
**Claudia Tapardel, Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that

*Amendment*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that

make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up *two* of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme *and* Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up *three* of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme, Erasmus+ *and the programme Connecting Europe Facility (CEF)*, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications *and projects* with their current means *and which fundamentally contribute to EU socioeconomic and territorial cohesion, as well as to sustainable mobility*; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

Or. en

## **Amendment 111** **Monika Hohlmeier**

### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 8**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up two of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme and Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment

#### *Amendment*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up two of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme and Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; ***requests at least EUR 120 billion for the Research Framework Programme***; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized

Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

Or. en

### **Amendment 112**

**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Marie-Pierre Vieu, Younous Omarjee**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 8**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

8. ***Believes that*** Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up two of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme and Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; ***calls also for progress to be made in the*** fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

##### *Amendment*

8. Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up, ***among others,*** two of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme and Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; ***stands firm in its support to substantially increase the resources to*** fight against youth unemployment and in support for ***micro, small and medium-sized, as well as, social*** enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with greater financial means;

Or. en

### **Amendment 113**

**Marco Valli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up two of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme and Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with **greater** financial means;

*Amendment*

8. Believes that Europe should offer prospects to the younger generation as well as to the future-oriented undertakings that make the EU more successful in the global arena; is determined to substantially scale up two of its flagship programmes, namely the Research Framework Programme and Erasmus+, which cannot satisfy the very high demand involving top quality applications with their current means; calls also for progress to be made in the fight against youth unemployment and in support for small and medium-sized enterprises by equipping the successor programmes of the Youth Employment Initiative and the programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) with **adequate** financial means **and making appropriate corrections to them**;

Or. it

**Amendment 114**  
**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8a. Calls on the EU to increase its efforts to revitalise social convergence and cohesion in Europe, believes that substantial additional resources should be assigned to the implementation of the European Pillar of Social Rights, and proposes that the budget of the European Social Fund should be doubled in order to tackle unemployment and alleviate the persistent social divides in Europe;**

**Amendment 115**  
**Jean Arthuis, Gérard Deprez**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8a. Supports, in order to assist the Commission in its ambition to invest in human capital, the creation, in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), of a programme devoted to the development of vocational training and apprenticeships;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 116**  
**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence;**

**deleted**

Or. fr

## Amendment 117

Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanislaw Żółtek

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 9

##### *Motion for a resolution*

9. ***Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence;***

##### *Amendment*

9. ***Reiterates its concern regarding funding under the EU budget and its role in supplying concrete answers for the needs of citizens and the growing difficulties they are facing, such as unemployment, economic recession, poverty, the migration crisis and security threats; underlines the need to properly evaluate which funds could be better managed at national level in order to guarantee full respect for the subsidiarity principle;***

Or. en

## Amendment 118

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Inés Ayala Sender, Elly Schlein, Arne Lietz, Doru-Claudian Frunzulică, Soraya Post, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 9

##### *Motion for a resolution*

9. ***Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence;***

##### *Amendment*

9. ***Calls on the Union to assume its role in three emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF;***

- by developing *and funding* a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and on the other hand, *promoting stability and safeguarding human rights abroad notably by building capacity in conflict prevention and mediation;*
- *by promoting stability and safeguarding human rights* abroad notably *by building capacity in conflict prevention and mediation, and development of the adequate infrastructures and logistic capacities which guarantee the needed mobility*
- by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence, *stresses that actions taken in this areas should not come at the expense of EU's development policies, preventing diversion of ODA from its main objective of poverty eradication*

Or. en

## Amendment 119

Claudia Țapardel, Inés Ayala Sender

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 9

#### *Motion for a resolution*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence;

#### *Amendment*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence; *as well as the development of the adequate*

*infrastructures and logistic capacities  
which guarantee the needed mobility;*

Or. en

**Amendment 120**  
**Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive *asylum, migration and integration policy* and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence;

*Amendment*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by ***strengthening external border protection by*** developing a comprehensive ***migration policy in order to stem the illegal migration flows towards the European Union*** and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence;

Or. en

**Amendment 121**  
**Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and

*Amendment*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and



integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of *defence*;

integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of *internal security within the Schengen area which as of now remain too splintered*;

Or. en

### **Amendment 122**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 9**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration *policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries* and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, *notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence*;

#### *Amendment*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive *common security and justice policy covering the fields of* asylum, migration and integration and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability *and peace* abroad;

Or. en

### **Amendment 123**

**Nicola Caputo**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence;

*Amendment*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy ***which entails equal commitments for all EU countries*** and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence;

Or. it

**Amendment 124**  
**Inese Vaidere**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence;

*Amendment*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research efforts and capabilities in the area of defence ***and strengthening the external borders***;

Or. en

## **Amendment 125**

**Marco Valli**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 9**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research *efforts and* capabilities in the area of defence;

##### *Amendment*

9. Calls on the Union to assume its role in two emerging policy areas with internal and external dimensions, which have appeared in the course of the current MFF: on the one hand, by developing a comprehensive asylum, migration and integration policy and addressing the root causes of migration and displacement in third countries and on the other hand, by providing security to European citizens and promoting stability abroad, notably by pooling research capabilities in the area of defence;

Or. it

## **Amendment 126**

**Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 9 a (new)**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

##### *Amendment*

***9a. Notes that governments hinder the work and demonise civil society organisations in several Member States, constituting an obstacle to their continued work and defence of values enshrined in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union; calls on the Commission to come up with a proposal for strengthened support for civil society and NGOs in the form of an internal European fund for democracy and human rights, managed by the Commission, in light of such operational dangers;***

Or. en

**Amendment 127**

**José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9a. Calls on the Union to reflect in the EU budget a specific digital chapter, which tackles the inter-dependent dimensions of digitalisation, such as digitising industry and take up of artificial intelligence, digital skills, High Performance Computing and e-Government; calls for an increase of the share of the EU budget invested in high speed connectivity for both shared and direct management, in order to match the new challenges;**

Or. en

**Amendment 128**

**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9a. Considers, therefore, that the next MFF should achieve the proper balance between adequately funding well established priorities and policies and emerging ones; is of the opinion that this cannot be done without an increase compared to the current MFF;**

Or. en

**Amendment 129**

**Marco Valli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**10.** *Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;* **deleted**

Or. it

**Amendment 130**

**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Marie-Pierre Vieu**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**10.** *Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;* **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 131**

**Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanisław Żółtek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. ***Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;***

*Amendment*

10. ***Underlines that EFSI failed both in re-launching investments and in supporting SMEs, proving itself a waste of public funds; believes that the only way to support growth and fight unemployment is to give back to Member States all their fiscal and monetary powers, overcoming the disastrous effects caused by the Economic and Monetary Union;***

Or. en

**Amendment 132**  
**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. ***Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;***

*Amendment*

10. ***Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, provided that these programmes accord with the objective of social, economic and territorial cohesion to which the EU has committed itself; considers that the proposal for a European Monetary Fund could have the objective of increasing European cohesion provided that its action is not limited solely to the euro area, that priority is given to the less advantaged regions and areas in terms of development and access to capital and that it does not impose counter-productive austerity measures on regions and Member States;***

**Amendment 133**

Inese Vaidere

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 10***Motion for a resolution*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;

*Amendment*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, ***ensuring investment does not concentrate in EU 15 and a more effective geographic distribution is prerequisite for closing the cohesion gap***; and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;

Or. en

**Amendment 134**

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Ulrike Müller, Nedzhmi Ali

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 10***Motion for a resolution*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate ***two new*** types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, ***namely*** the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, ***and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial***

*Amendment*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, ***for instance*** the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment;

*stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;*

Or. en

### **Amendment 135**

**Tamás Deutsch**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, ***and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;***

###### *Amendment*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment;

Or. en

### **Amendment 136**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the

###### *Amendment*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, ***which should be continued and not financed to the expense of other EU programmes*** and the development of a



proposed European Monetary Fund;

fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, *including* possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;

Or. en

### **Amendment 137**

**José Manuel Fernandes**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a *fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions*, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;

###### *Amendment*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a *stabilization function for euro area Member States*, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund, *coupled with a dedicated convergence facility for Member States on their way to joining the Euro*;

Or. en

### **Amendment 138**

**Paul Rübzig**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 10**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the

###### *Amendment*

10. Highlights that the future framework is expected to integrate two new types of financial support featuring prominently on the Union's economic agenda, namely the continuation of the investment support schemes, such as the

European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a fiscal capacity for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;

European Fund for Strategic Investment, and the development of a fiscal capacity *with a specific budgetary capacity* for the euro area and of financial stabilisation functions, possibly through the proposed European Monetary Fund;

Or. en

**Amendment 139**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*10a. Underlines that, as a first step, the specific euro-area budgetary capacity should be part of the Union budget, counted over and above the ceilings of the multiannual financial framework, without prejudice of the other MFF programmes, and should be financed by euro-area and other participating members via a source of revenue to be agreed between participating Member States and considered to be assigned revenue and guarantees; once in a steady state, the fiscal capacity could be financed through genuine own resources, following the recommendations of the Monti report on the future financing of the EU*

Or. en

**Amendment 140**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

11. Reaffirms the principle that

11. Reaffirms the principle that

additional political priorities should be coupled with additional financial means, whether they emerge at the time of adoption of a new MFF or in the course of its implementation, ***and underlines that the financing of new needs should not undermine existing*** policies and programmes; expects, furthermore, that sufficient flexibility provisions will be put in place in order to accommodate unforeseen circumstances that may arise in the course of the MFF;

additional political priorities should be coupled with additional financial means, whether they emerge at the time of adoption of a new MFF or in the course of its implementation; ***conversely, reaffirms the principle that*** policies and programmes ***that are no longer considered political priorities should be coupled with a proportionate reduction of financial resources***; expects, furthermore, that sufficient flexibility provisions will be put in place in order to accommodate unforeseen circumstances that may arise in the course of the MFF;

Or. en

## **Amendment 141**

**Marco Valli**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 11**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

11. Reaffirms the principle that additional political priorities should be coupled with ***additional*** financial means, whether they emerge at the time of adoption of a new MFF or in the course of its implementation, and underlines ***that*** the ***financing of new needs should not undermine*** existing policies and programmes; expects, furthermore, that sufficient flexibility provisions will be put in place in order to accommodate unforeseen circumstances that may arise in the course of the MFF;

##### *Amendment*

11. Reaffirms the principle that additional political priorities should be coupled with ***adequate*** financial means, whether they emerge at the time of adoption of a new MFF or in the course of its implementation, and underlines the ***need for a thorough analysis of*** existing policies and programmes ***in search of savings and for programmes which have not proved effective to be abandoned***; expects, furthermore, that sufficient flexibility provisions will be put in place in order to accommodate unforeseen circumstances that may arise in the course of the MFF;

Or. it

## **Amendment 142**

**Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanisław Żółtek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it is provided with reinforced financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, for a significant increase of the Union's budget; estimates the required MFF expenditure ceilings at 1.3 % of the GNI of the EU-27, notwithstanding the range of instruments to be counted over and above the ceilings;** **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 143**  
**Marco Valli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it is provided with reinforced financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, for a significant increase of the Union's budget; estimates the required MFF expenditure ceilings at 1.3 % of the GNI of the EU-27, notwithstanding the range of instruments to be counted over and above the ceilings;** **deleted**

Or. it

**Amendment 144**  
**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it ***is provided with reinforced financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, for a significant increase of the Union's budget; estimates*** the required MFF expenditure ceilings ***at 1.3 % of the GNI of the EU-27, notwithstanding the range of instruments to be counted over and above the ceilings;***

*Amendment*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it ***overhauls itself so as to respond more fully to citizens' expectations with regard to solidarity, cohesion, asylum, environmental protection and biodiversity, greening of the economy and energy, support for research and education, and education and social progress; considers that*** the required MFF expenditure ceilings ***should be set at the maximum proportion of the GNI of the EU-27 permitted by the Treaties;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 145**  
**Bernd Kölme**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Believes that ***a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it is provided with reinforced financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, for a significant increase of the Union's budget; estimates the required MFF expenditure ceilings at 1.3 % of the GNI of the EU-27, notwithstanding the range of instruments to be counted over and above the ceilings;***

*Amendment*

12. Believes that ***where clear solutions are found in order to tackle new challenges, such as the high political priorities of migration and security and the Euro crisis, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, a significant increase of the Union's budget could be possible; nevertheless, if such expenditure cannot be justified via clear solutions, then the Union's budget should remain around 1% of the GNI of the EU-27;***

Or. en

**Amendment 146**  
**Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it is provided with reinforced financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, for a significant increase of the Union's budget; ***estimates the required*** MFF expenditure ceilings ***at 1.3 %*** of the GNI of the EU-27, ***notwithstanding the range of instruments to be counted over and above the ceilings;***

*Amendment*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it is provided with reinforced financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, for a significant increase of the Union's budget; ***considers that the*** MFF expenditure ceilings ***should be several times higher than the percentage of the GNI of the EU-27 set as a ceiling so far, in order to be able to compensate for the external imbalances between the centre and the periphery resulting from the Union's economic architecture and to be able to cope with the risks of future economic, humanitarian and environmental crises;***

Or. es

**Amendment 147**  
**Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can ***only*** be achieved ***if it is provided with reinforced*** financial means; ***calls, in the light of the above mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, for a significant increase of the Union's budget;*** estimates the required MFF expenditure ceilings at ***1.3 %***

*Amendment*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can be achieved ***without more*** financial means ***than at present;*** estimates the required MFF expenditure ceilings at ***a maximum of 1.0 %*** of the GNI of the EU-27, ***including all*** instruments;

of the GNI of the EU-27, *notwithstanding the range of instruments to be counted over and above the ceilings*;

Or. sv

#### **Amendment 148**

**Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Ulrike Müller, Fredrick Federley**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 12**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it is provided with *reinforced* financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, for a significant increase of the Union's budget; *estimates the required MFF expenditure ceilings at 1.3 % of the GNI of the EU-27, notwithstanding the range of instruments to be counted over and above the ceilings*;

###### *Amendment*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it is provided with *adequate* financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal from the Union, for a *major re-prioritising and redeployment of funds to the areas that contribute to the real European added value* as significant increase of the Union's budget *seems not to be realistic taking into account the views of especially current major net contributor members states*;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 149**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 12**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it is provided with reinforced financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal

###### *Amendment*

12. Believes that a stronger and a more ambitious Europe can only be achieved if it is provided with reinforced financial means; calls, in the light of the above-mentioned challenges and priorities, and taking into account the UK's withdrawal

from the Union, for *a significant increase of the Union's* budget; estimates the required MFF expenditure ceilings at 1.3 % of the GNI of the EU-27, notwithstanding the range of instruments to be counted over and above the ceilings;

from the Union, for *guaranteeing that the EU budget will not decrease compare to the MFF ceilings in 2020 and* estimates the required MFF expenditure ceilings at 1.3 % of the GNI of the EU-27, notwithstanding the range of instruments to be counted over and above the ceilings;

Or. en

**Amendment 150**  
**Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, the introduction of new EU own resources remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;**

*deleted*

Or. sv

**Amendment 151**  
**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Jan Huitema, Pavel Telička, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, the introduction of new EU own resources remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;**

*deleted<sup>1</sup>*



---

*<sup>1</sup> It's not appropriate in this report to discuss the sources of funding for the multiannual financial framework; this point must be dealt with exclusively in the own resources report. That's why we wish to delete this paragraph.*

Or. en

## **Amendment 152**

**Bernd Kölmel**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, the introduction of new EU own resources remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;**

**deleted**

Or. en

## **Amendment 153**

**Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanisław Żółtek**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, the introduction of new EU own resources remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;**

**deleted**

**Amendment 154**

**Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, the introduction of new EU own resources remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;

*Amendment*

13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, the introduction of new EU own resources remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF, ***reminds that the introduction of new own resources shall not endanger the fiscal sovereignty of Member States;***

Or. en

**Amendment 155**

**Marco Valli**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Is convinced that, ***unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget,*** the introduction of new EU own resources remains ***the only option for adequately financing*** the next MFF;

*Amendment*

13. Is convinced that the introduction of new EU own resources remains ***a priority in order to adequately finance*** the next MFF ***without imposing further burdens on citizens;***

Or. it

**Amendment 156**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, the introduction of new EU own resources remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;

*Amendment*

13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly increase the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, the introduction of new ***genuine*** EU own resources remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;

Or. en

**Amendment 157**  
**Jens Geier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to significantly ***increase*** the level of its national contributions to the EU budget, ***the introduction of new EU own resources*** remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;

*Amendment*

13. Is convinced that, unless the Council agrees to ***introduce new EU own resources***, significantly ***increasing*** the level of its national contributions to the EU budget remains the only option for adequately financing the next MFF;

Or. en

**Amendment 158**  
**Răzvan Popa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***13a. Considers that a simplification of the own resources system would represent a proper solution, putting in place a more simple, transparent and fair system of own resources;***

*Amendment*

**Amendment 159**

**Monica Macovei, Indrek Tarand**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Recalls the budgetary principles of unity, budgetary accuracy, annuality, equilibrium, universality, specification, sound financial management and transparency, which need to be respected when establishing and implementing the Union budget;

*Amendment*

14. Recalls the budgetary principles of unity, budgetary accuracy, annuality, equilibrium, universality, specification, sound financial management and transparency, which need to be respected when establishing and implementing the Union budget; ***reiterates its concerns about the establishment of ad-hoc instruments outside the Union budget, such as trust funds, that neither preserve the unicity and universality of the EU budget nor improve its transparency and comprehensibility;***

Or. en

**Amendment 160**

**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Recalls the budgetary principles of unity, budgetary accuracy, annuality, equilibrium, universality, specification, sound financial management and transparency, which need to be respected when establishing and implementing the Union budget;

*Amendment*

14. Recalls the budgetary principles of unity, budgetary accuracy, annuality, equilibrium, universality, specification, sound financial management and transparency, which need to be respected when establishing and implementing the Union budget; ***considers that the EU budget should not fund programmes, nor should the EU sign free trade agreements, which are contrary to the objective of economic, social and territorial cohesion;***

**Amendment 161**

**Jordi Solé, Sven Giegold**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Recalls the budgetary principles of unity, budgetary accuracy, annuality, equilibrium, universality, specification, sound financial management and transparency, which need to be respected when establishing and implementing the Union budget;

*Amendment*

14. Recalls<sup>1a</sup> the budgetary principles of unity, budgetary accuracy, annuality, equilibrium, universality, specification, **additionality**, sound financial management and transparency, which need to be respected when establishing and implementing the Union budget;

---

<sup>1a</sup> *AM suggested by NABU - Naturschutzbund Deutschland e.V.*

**Amendment 162**

**Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14a. Urges the Commission for the sake of sound financial management and transparency of the European Union's budget to consider setting up proper conditions to prevent corruption and financial fraud concerning EU funds, including by making participation in the work of the European Public Prosecutor's Office and a stronger conditionality between the rule of law and the EU funds pre-conditions to access;**

**Amendment 163**

**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14a. Considers that in addition to the aforementioned budgetary principles, the following principles must guide budgetary decision-making on all policies or programmes:**

- compliance with the principle of subsidiarity;**
- the ability to measure performance;**
- clear and demonstrable European added value;**

Or. en

**Amendment 164**

**Răzvan Popa**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14a. Stresses that the EU's multiannual budget should continue to provide support to the implementation of the political objectives of the EU without producing artificial cleavages between euro area countries and non-euro area countries;**

Or. en

## Amendment 165

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 16

##### *Motion for a resolution*

16. Points out, in this context, that the full implementation of political decisions and initiatives taken by the European Council is possible only if the necessary funding is ensured, and underlines that any other approach undermines the sincerity of the Union budget;

##### *Amendment*

16. Points out, in this context, that the full implementation of political decisions and initiatives taken by the European Council is possible only if the necessary funding is ensured, and underlines that any other approach undermines the sincerity of the Union budget *and the citizen's trust*;

Or. en

## Amendment 166

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Ulrike Müller, Urmas Paet, Nedzhmi Ali, Jean Arthuis, Fredrick Federley, Nils Torvalds

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 17

##### *Motion for a resolution*

17. Believes that, by translating the political priorities of the EU into concrete investments, the multiannual financial framework constitutes an excellent instrument for the *long-term* planning of the European *project* and for ensuring a certain stable level of public investment in the Member States; recalls, furthermore, that the EU budget is predominantly an investment budget that serves as an additional and complementary source of funding for actions undertaken at national, regional and local levels;

##### *Amendment*

17. Believes that, by translating the political priorities of the EU into concrete investments, the multiannual financial framework constitutes an excellent instrument for the planning of the European *union spending* and for ensuring a certain stable level of public investment in the Member States; *regrets however the lack of mutually agreed long-term strategic vision for the European project*; recalls, furthermore, that the EU budget is predominantly an investment budget that serves as an additional and complementary source of funding for actions undertaken at national, regional and local levels;

Or. en

## **Amendment 167**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 17**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

17. Believes that, by translating the political priorities of the EU into concrete investments, the multiannual financial framework constitutes an excellent instrument for the long-term planning of the European project and for ensuring a certain stable level of public investment in the Member States; recalls, furthermore, that the EU budget is predominantly an investment budget that serves as an additional and complementary source of funding for actions undertaken at national, regional and local levels;

##### *Amendment*

17. Believes that, by translating the political priorities of the EU into concrete ***investments, including social*** investments, the multiannual financial framework constitutes an excellent instrument for the long-term planning of the European project and for ensuring a certain stable level of public investment in the Member States; recalls, furthermore, that the EU budget is predominantly an investment budget that serves as an additional and complementary source of funding for actions undertaken at national, regional and local levels;

Or. en

## **Amendment 168**

**José Manuel Fernandes**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 17 a (new)**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

##### *Amendment*

***17a. Underlines that the idea of linking the EU budget more strongly to the country-specific recommendations outlined under the economic governance principles should be considered with the objective of supporting growth-enhancing structural reforms in Member States; stresses that this could be achieved through positive incentives, for example, through financial rewards when structural reforms are fully implemented;***

Or. en



**Amendment 169**

**Jordi Solé, Sven Giegold**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Subheading 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*coherence of the EU budget<sup>1a</sup>*

---

*<sup>1a</sup> AM suggested by NABU -  
Naturschutzbund Deutschland e.V.*

Or. en

**Amendment 170**

**Jordi Solé, Sven Giegold**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*17a. Calls<sup>1a</sup> on the Union to sustainability proof the next MFF, which can increase the efficiency of the Union spending by increasing coherence and balancing economic, social and environmental dimensions as required by the Treaty, through identifying the key areas where adjustments are needed and developing specific and targeted proposals for change in substantive, procedural and institutional tools throughout the policy and implementation cycles of the MFF.*

---

*<sup>1a</sup> AM suggested by NABU -  
Naturschutzbund Deutschland e.V.*

Or. en

**Amendment 171**

**Jean Arthuis**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**17a. Considers that, in order to respond to sudden crises and unforeseen events, the European Union needs greater budgetary flexibility and therefore, apart from the programmes corresponding to the common agricultural policy (CAP) and the cohesion funds (ESF, ERDF), which require predictability and thus a multiannual financial framework, other expenditure should be discussed and voted on annually;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 172**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**17a. Believes that the EU budget should reflect the majority vote of the EU citizens; therefore considers that the MFF should be adopted by a Qualified Majority in the Council as provided for in Article 312(2) of the TFEU and by a Qualified Majority in the EP;**

Or. en

**Amendment 173**

**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**17a. Calls for increased investment in quality job creation in future oriented sectors, in the social economy and the social, health and care sectors;**

Or. en

### **Amendment 174**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

18. Is of the opinion that the decision on the duration of the MFF should strike the right balance between two *seemingly* conflicting requirements: on the one *hand, the need for several EU policies – especially those under shared management, such as agriculture and cohesion – to operate on the basis of the stability and predictability of a commitment of at least seven years, and, on the other* hand, the need for democratic legitimacy and accountability that results from the synchronisation of each financial framework with the five-year political cycle of the European Parliament and the European Commission;

18. Is of the opinion that the decision on the duration of the MFF should strike the right balance between two conflicting requirements: on the one hand, the need for democratic legitimacy and accountability that results from the synchronisation of each financial framework with the five-year political cycle of the European Parliament and the European Commission *and, on the other hand, the need for several EU policies – especially those under shared management, such as agriculture and cohesion – to operate on the basis of the stability and predictability;*

Or. en

### **Amendment 175**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Jan Huitema, Nedzhami Ali, Fredrick Federley, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Is of the opinion that the decision on the duration of the MFF should strike the right balance between two seemingly conflicting requirements: on the one hand, the need for several EU policies – especially those under shared management, such as agriculture and cohesion – to operate on the basis of the stability and predictability ***of a commitment of at least seven years***, and, on the other hand, the need for democratic legitimacy and accountability that results from the synchronisation of each financial framework with the five-year political cycle of the European Parliament and the European Commission;

*Amendment*

18. Is of the opinion that the decision on the duration of the MFF should strike the right balance between two seemingly conflicting requirements: on the one hand, the need for several EU policies – especially those under shared management, such as agriculture and cohesion – to operate on the basis of the stability and predictability, and, on the other hand, the need for democratic legitimacy and accountability that results from the synchronisation of each financial framework with the five-year political cycle of the European Parliament and the European Commission;

Or. en

**Amendment 176**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***18a. Is of the opinion that the best option is a 5 + 5 year-long MFF; However, considers that the non-negotiable condition to agree on a 10year-long EU budget is too have a sunset clause by the end of 2025 unless there is a post-electoral revision clause adopted by Qualified Majority in 2025; believes that the first political act of the newly elected EU commission in 2024 should be to present a revision of the 2021-2030 MFF according to the outcome of the EU 2024 elections and taking into account the***

*Parliament and Council majorities; is convinced that if the conditions are not met for a 5 + 5 year long MFF, the 7 years represents the second best option;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 177**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 19**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

19. Stresses that it is a political imperative for each newly elected Parliament to be able to substantially influence the MFF during its electoral cycle, both in terms of amounts and political priorities; stresses that the European Parliament elections provide the opportunity for EU citizens to express directly their position on the budgetary priorities of the Union that should be reflected in a post-electoral **adjustment** of the financial framework; believes, therefore, that during each political cycle, the Commission **should** propose and both Parliament and Council **should** decide either on the establishment of the subsequent MFF or on a mandatory mid-term revision of the ongoing MFF;

###### *Amendment*

19. Stresses that **in case of a 7 year MFF** it is a political imperative for each newly elected Parliament **and Commission** to be able to substantially influence the MFF during its electoral cycle, both in terms of amounts and political priorities; stresses that the European Parliament elections provide the opportunity for EU citizens to express directly their position on the budgetary priorities of the Union that should be reflected in a **binding** post-electoral **revision** of the financial framework **by a Qualified Majority**; believes, therefore, that during each political cycle, the Commission **shall** propose and both Parliament and Council **shall** decide either on the establishment of the subsequent MFF or on a mandatory mid-term revision of the ongoing MFF;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 178**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

20. *Underlines, therefore, the need for the MFF's duration to move progressively towards a 5+5 period with a mandatory mid-term revision; calls on the Commission to elaborate a clear proposal setting out the methods of the practical implementation of a 5+5 financial framework;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 179**

**José Manuel Fernandes**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

20. Underlines, *therefore*, the need for the MFF's duration to move progressively towards a 5+5 period with a mandatory mid-term revision; calls on the Commission to elaborate a clear proposal setting out the methods of the practical implementation of a 5+5 financial framework;

20. *Is convinced that a five-year period cannot be considered for the duration of the MFF, due to the serious impediments that it would impose on the programming and implementation requirements of several EU policies; underlines, instead, the need for the MFF's duration to move progressively towards a 10-year period with a mandatory mid-term revision; calls on the Commission to elaborate a clear proposal setting out the methods of the practical implementation of a 10-year financial framework;*

Or. en

**Amendment 180**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Nils Torvalds, Jan Huitema, Pavel Telička, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Underlines, therefore, the need for the MFF's duration to move *progressively* towards a 5+5 period with a mandatory mid-term revision; *calls on the Commission to elaborate a clear proposal setting out the methods of the practical implementation of a 5+5 financial framework;*

*Amendment*

20. Underlines, therefore, the need for the MFF's duration to move towards a 5 period with a mandatory mid-term revision;

Or. en

**Amendment 181**

**Răzvan Popa**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Underlines, *therefore, the need for the MFF's duration to move progressively towards a 5+5 period with a mandatory mid-term revision; calls on the Commission to elaborate a clear proposal setting out the methods of the practical implementation of a 5+5 financial framework;*

*Amendment*

20. Underlines, *that the 7-years current timeframe of the MFF should continue taking into account long term predictability of the budget;*

Or. en

**Amendment 182**

**John Howarth**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Underlines, therefore, the need for the MFF's duration to move *progressively towards* a 5+5 period *with a mandatory mid-term revision;* calls on the

*Amendment*

20. Underlines, therefore, the need for the MFF's duration to move *to* a 5+5 period; calls on the Commission to *bring forward proposals for* the practical

Commission to *elaborate a clear proposal setting out the methods of the practical implementation of a 5+5 financial framework*;

implementation of *this principle*;

Or. en

### **Amendment 183**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**21. Acknowledges, however, that the timing of the next European Parliament elections in spring 2019, given that the current MFF runs until December 2020, does not allow for a 5+5 solution to be implemented immediately, as no satisfactory alignment of the different cycles would be achieved; takes the view, therefore, that the next MFF should be set for a period of seven years (2021-2027), including a mandatory revision, by way of a transitional solution to be applied for one last time;**

*deleted*

Or. en

### **Amendment 184**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Nils Torvalds, Jan Huitema, Pavel Telička, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**21. Acknowledges, however, that the timing of the next European Parliament elections in spring 2019, given that the current MFF runs until December 2020,**

*deleted*



*does not allow for a 5+5 solution to be implemented immediately, as no satisfactory alignment of the different cycles would be achieved; takes the view, therefore, that the next MFF should be set for a period of seven years (2021-2027), including a mandatory revision, by way of a transitional solution to be applied for one last time;*

Or. en

**Amendment 185**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Acknowledges, however, that the timing of the next European Parliament elections in spring 2019, given that the current MFF runs until December 2020, does not allow for a 5+5 solution to be implemented immediately, as no satisfactory alignment of the different cycles would be achieved; takes the view, therefore, that the next MFF should be set for a period of seven years (2021-2027), including a mandatory revision, *by way of a transitional solution to be applied for one last time;*

*Amendment*

21. Acknowledges, however, that the timing of the next European Parliament elections in spring 2019, given that the current MFF runs until December 2020, does not allow for a 5+5 solution to be implemented immediately, as no satisfactory alignment of the different cycles would be achieved; takes the view, therefore, that the next MFF should be set for a period of seven years (2021-2027), including a mandatory *mid-term* revision *which will allow for a swift and efficient review and update of EU support;*

Or. en

**Amendment 186**  
**José Manuel Fernandes**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Acknowledges, however, that the

*Amendment*

21. Acknowledges, however, that the

timing of the next European Parliament elections in spring 2019, given that the current MFF runs until December 2020, does not allow for a 5+5 solution to be implemented immediately, as no satisfactory alignment of the different cycles would be achieved; takes the view, therefore, that the next MFF should be set for a period of seven years (2021-2027), including a mandatory revision, by way of a transitional solution to be applied for one last time;

timing of the next European Parliament elections in spring 2019, given that the current MFF runs until December 2020, does not allow for a **10-year** solution to be implemented immediately, as no satisfactory alignment of the different cycles would be achieved; takes the view, therefore, that the next MFF should be set for a period of seven years (2021-2027), including a mandatory revision, by way of a transitional solution to be applied for one last time;

Or. en

**Amendment 187**  
**John Howarth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. ***Acknowledges, however,*** that the timing of the next European Parliament elections in spring 2019, ***given that*** the current MFF ***runs until December 2020, does not allow for a 5+5 solution to be implemented immediately, as no satisfactory alignment of the different cycles would be achieved; takes the view, therefore,*** that the ***next MFF should be set for a period of seven years (2021-2027), including a mandatory revision, by way of a transitional solution to be applied for one last time;***

*Amendment*

21. ***Stresses*** that the timing of the next European Parliament elections in spring 2019, ***and the conclusion of*** the current MFF ***at the end of 2020 represent the best opportunity for change and bringing the MFF into synchronisation with the political cycle of Parliament and appointment cycle of Commissioners and concludes*** that the ***post 2020 MFF be in force from 2012-2025 with a forward planning framework from 2026 to 2030;***

Or. en

**Amendment 188**  
**John Howarth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**21a.** *Considers that under this 5+5 architecture the MFF would be prepared prior to the conclusion of legislature, allowing for the revision and/or confirmation of the framework following the election of the next legislature, thus providing both the stability necessary for programmes such as agriculture, infrastructure and long term research, improving political accountability and transparency and streamlining the budgetary process of the Union by removing the need for mid-term revision;*

Or. en

**Amendment 189**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

22. Is convinced of the necessity to maintain a legally binding and compulsory MFF mid-term **review and** revision, enshrined in the new MFF Regulation; recalls that the 2016 mid-term revision was the historic first occasion on which an actual revision of the MFF Regulation took place and that was assessed positively by both Council and Parliament, notably in terms of reinforcing the MFF flexibility provisions;

22. Is convinced of the necessity to maintain a legally binding and compulsory MFF mid-term **post electoral** revision, enshrined in the new MFF Regulation; recalls that the 2016 mid-term revision was the historic first occasion on which an actual revision of the MFF Regulation took place and that was assessed positively by both Council and Parliament, notably in terms of reinforcing the MFF flexibility provisions;

Or. en

**Amendment 190**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Considers that, for the 2021-2027 MFF, *the mid-term* revision should be proposed and decided in due time to allow for the next Parliament and Commission to adjust the financial framework accordingly; underlines that any revision of the MFF should ensure the involvement of Parliament and safeguard its prerogatives as an equal arm of the budgetary authority; underlines, moreover, that any real revision also entails the revision of the MFF ceilings, should their inadequacy be established for the rest of the period;

*Amendment*

23. Considers that, for the *best option (2021-2030 MFF), the post electoral revision to be done by the end of 2025 should be the first political act of the newly elected Commission; is of the opinion that this revision could lead to a partial or full revision of some legal bases and programs; however stresses that, for the second best option (2021-2027 MFF), the post electoral* revision should be proposed and decided in due time to allow for the next Parliament and Commission to adjust the financial framework accordingly; underlines that any revision of the MFF should ensure the involvement of Parliament and safeguard its prerogatives as an equal arm of the budgetary authority; underlines, moreover, that any real revision also entails the revision of the MFF ceilings, should their inadequacy be established for the rest of the period;

Or. en

**Amendment 191**  
**Marco Valli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Considers that, for the 2021-2027 MFF, the mid-term revision should be proposed and decided in due time to allow for the next Parliament and Commission to adjust the financial framework accordingly; underlines that any revision of the MFF should ensure the involvement of Parliament and safeguard its prerogatives as an equal arm of the budgetary authority;

*Amendment*

23. Considers that, for the 2021-2027 MFF, the mid-term revision should be proposed and decided in due time to allow for the next Parliament and Commission to adjust the financial framework accordingly; underlines that any revision of the MFF should ensure the involvement of Parliament and safeguard its prerogatives as an equal arm of the budgetary authority;

*underlines, moreover, that any real revision also entails the revision of the MFF ceilings, should their inadequacy be established for the rest of the period;*

Or. it

#### **Amendment 192**

**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 24**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

24. Underlines that, during the current MFF, the budgetary authority approved a substantial mobilisation of the flexibility mechanisms and special instruments included in the MFF Regulation, in order to secure the additional appropriations needed to respond to serious crises or finance new political priorities;

##### *Amendment*

24. Underlines that, during the current MFF, the budgetary authority approved a substantial mobilisation of the flexibility mechanisms and special instruments included in the MFF Regulation, in order to secure the additional appropriations needed to respond to serious crises or finance new political priorities; *deplores the fact that a number of redeployments were effected by siphoning off funds from budget lines for other programmes, mainly cohesion policy;*

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 193**

**Marco Valli**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 24**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

24. Underlines that, during the current MFF, the budgetary authority approved a substantial mobilisation of the flexibility mechanisms and special instruments included in the MFF Regulation, in order to secure the *additional* appropriations needed to respond to serious crises or

##### *Amendment*

24. Underlines that, during the current MFF, the budgetary authority approved a substantial mobilisation of the flexibility mechanisms and special instruments included in the MFF Regulation, in order to secure the appropriations needed to respond to serious crises or finance new

finance new political priorities;

political priorities;

Or. it

#### **Amendment 194**

**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 25**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Considers, therefore, that the flexibility provisions under the current MFF have *worked well and have provided* solutions in relation to the significant financing needed *in particular to confront the challenges of migration and refugees and to address the investment gap; recalls that Parliament was the originator of several of these provisions, which it has strongly defended during past MFF negotiations;*

###### *Amendment*

25. Considers, therefore, that the flexibility provisions under the current MFF have *failed to provide* solutions in relation to the significant financing needed *for the common good on a European scale;*

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 195**

**Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 26**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

26. *Believes that a further reinforcement of these provisions is still necessary in order to better cope with new challenges, unforeseen events and the evolving political priorities that arise during the implementation of a long-term plan, such as the MFF; calls for enhanced flexibility for the next MFF, which should allow for the largest possible use of the global MFF ceilings for commitments and payments;*

###### *Amendment*

*deleted*

**Amendment 196**

**Marco Valli**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that the ceilings of the next MFF should be set at a level that allows ***not only*** the financing of EU policies, ***but*** also the provision of sufficient margins ***in commitments for each heading***;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that the ceilings of the next MFF should be set at a level that allows the financing of EU policies ***and*** also the provision of sufficient margins ***to respond to crises***;

Or. it

**Amendment 197**

**Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Is convinced that ***all*** unallocated margins should be carried over without restrictions to future financial years ***and mobilised by the budgetary authority, for any purpose deemed necessary, in the annual budgetary procedure; calls, therefore, for the Global Margin for Commitments to be maintained, but without any restrictions in scope and time***;

*Amendment*

28. Is convinced that ***no*** unallocated margins should be carried over without restrictions to future financial years;

Or. sv

**Amendment 198**

**Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Strongly believes that the commitments authorised by the budgetary authority should be used for their original purpose and that every effort should be made to ensure that this is the case across all policy fields; calls, in particular, on the Commission to continue to actively work in this direction; *is convinced, nevertheless, that if decommitments actually occur, as a result of the total or partial non-implementation of the actions for which they had been earmarked, they should be made available again in the EU budget and be mobilised by the budgetary authority in the framework of the annual budgetary procedure; considers that the decommitments should feed directly into the Global Margin for Commitments, instead of any particular special instrument or reserve;*

*Amendment*

30. Strongly believes that the commitments authorised by the budgetary authority should be used for their original purpose and that every effort should be made to ensure that this is the case across all policy fields; calls, in particular, on the Commission to continue to actively work in this direction;

Or. en

**Amendment 199**  
**Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

31. *Recalls that decommitments stem from commitments that have already been authorised by the budgetary authority and should normally have led to corresponding payments, if the action they were meant to finance had been carried out as planned; stresses, therefore, that the recycling of decommitments in the EU budget is duly justified, but should not be a way to circumvent the relevant decommitment rules that are enshrined in*

*Amendment*

*deleted*



*the sectoral regulations;*

Or. en

**Amendment 200**  
**Isabelle Thomas, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**32a. Stresses that revising ceilings should remain an option in the MFF Regulation in the event of unforeseen circumstances, when the financing needs would exhaust or exceed available margins and special instruments; calls for the MFF Regulation to provide for a simplified procedure for a targeted revision under an agreed threshold;**

Or. en

**Amendment 201**  
**Isabelle Thomas, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**32b. Advocates in favour of maintaining the possibility to front- or backload the financing of any EU programme, in order to allow for countercyclical action that corresponds to the rhythm of the actual implementation as well as to provide a meaningful response to major crises; calls, moreover, for the legislative flexibility -currently enshrined in Point 17 of the Interinstitutional Agreement (IIA)- that allows for an adjustment in the overall envelope of programmes adopted by the**

*ordinary legislative procedure of up to +/- 10 %, to be further increased to +/-15 %;*

Or. en

**Amendment 202**  
**Isabelle Thomas, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*32c. Points to the flexibility that can be achieved through transfers within the same MFF heading, with the aim of placing the financial resources exactly where they are needed and ensuring a better implementation of the EU budget; considers that a lower number of headings contributes to enhanced flexibility in the MFF; requests, however, the Commission to proactively inform and consult the budgetary authority when adopting significant autonomous transfers;*

Or. en

**Amendment 203**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 33**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

33. Approves the overall architecture of the MFF special instruments, notably the Flexibility Instrument, the Emergency Aid Reserve, the EU Solidarity Fund, *the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund (EGF)*, and points to their extensive mobilisation under the current MFF; calls for improvements to be made to their

33. Approves the overall architecture of the MFF special instruments, notably the Flexibility Instrument, the Emergency Aid Reserve, the EU Solidarity Fund, and points to their extensive mobilisation under the current MFF; calls for improvements to be made to their financial envelopes and operating provisions;

financial envelopes and operating provisions;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 204**

**Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Marie-Pierre Vieu, Younous Omarjee**  
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 33**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

33. Approves the overall architecture of the MFF special instruments, notably the Flexibility Instrument, the Emergency Aid Reserve, the EU Solidarity Fund, the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund (EGF), and points to their extensive mobilisation under the current MFF; calls for *improvements* to be made to their financial envelopes and operating provisions;

###### *Amendment*

33. Approves the overall architecture of the MFF special instruments, notably the Flexibility Instrument, the Emergency Aid Reserve, the EU Solidarity Fund, the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund (EGF), and points to their extensive mobilisation under the current MFF; calls for *a substantial increase* to be made to their financial envelopes and operating provisions;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 205**

**Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 34**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

34. *Calls, in particular, for a substantial increase in the financial envelope of the Flexibility Instrument of up to an annual allocation of at least EUR 2 billion; recalls that the Flexibility Instrument is not linked to any specific policy field and can thus be mobilised for any purpose that is deemed necessary; considers, therefore, that this instrument can be mobilised to cover any new*

###### *Amendment*

34. *Considers that no increase in the financial envelope of the Flexibility Instrument is needed;*

*financial needs as they occur during the MFF;*

Or. sv

#### **Amendment 206**

**Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanislaw Żółtek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 34**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

34. ***Calls, in particular, for a substantial increase in the financial envelope of the Flexibility Instrument of up to an annual allocation of at least EUR 2 billion;*** recalls that the Flexibility Instrument is not linked to any specific policy field and can thus be mobilised for any purpose that is deemed necessary; considers, therefore, that this instrument can be mobilised to cover any new financial needs as they occur during the MFF;

##### *Amendment*

34. Recalls that the Flexibility Instrument is not linked to any specific policy field and can thus be mobilised for any purpose that is deemed necessary; considers, therefore, that this instrument can be mobilised to cover any new financial needs as they occur during the MFF;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 207**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmaz Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Jan Huitema, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 34**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

34. Calls, in particular, for a substantial increase in the financial envelope of the Flexibility Instrument ***of up to an annual allocation of at least EUR 2 billion;*** recalls that the Flexibility Instrument is not linked to any specific policy field and can thus be mobilised for any purpose that is deemed necessary; considers, therefore, that this

##### *Amendment*

34. Calls, in particular, for a substantial increase in the financial envelope of the Flexibility Instrument; recalls that the Flexibility Instrument is not linked to any specific policy field and can thus be mobilised for any purpose that is deemed necessary; considers, therefore, that this instrument can be mobilised to cover any

instrument can be mobilised to cover any new financial needs as they occur during the MFF;

new financial needs as they occur during the MFF;

Or. en

## **Amendment 208**

**Marco Valli**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 34**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

34. Calls, in particular, for *a substantial increase in* the financial envelope of the Flexibility Instrument *of up to an annual allocation of at least EUR 2 billion*; recalls that the Flexibility Instrument is not linked to any specific policy field and can thus be mobilised for any purpose that is deemed necessary; considers, therefore, that this instrument can be mobilised to cover any new financial needs as they occur during the MFF;

##### *Amendment*

34. Calls, in particular, for *special attention to be devoted to* the financial envelope of the Flexibility Instrument; recalls that the Flexibility Instrument is not linked to any specific policy field and can thus be mobilised for any purpose that is deemed necessary; considers, therefore, that this instrument can be mobilised to cover any new financial needs as they occur during the MFF;

Or. it

## **Amendment 209**

**Marco Zanni, Stanislaw Żółtek**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 35**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

35. Points to the role of the Emergency Aid Reserve in providing a rapid response to specific aid requirements for third countries for unforeseen events, and stresses its particular importance in the current context; *calls for a substantial increase in its financial envelope of up to an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion*;

##### *Amendment*

35. Points to the role of the Emergency Aid Reserve in providing a rapid response to specific aid requirements for third countries for unforeseen events, and stresses its particular importance in the current context;

### **Amendment 210**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Nedzhmi Ali, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 35**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

35. Points to the role of the Emergency Aid Reserve in providing a rapid response to specific aid requirements for third countries for unforeseen events, and stresses its particular importance in the current context; calls for a substantial increase in its financial envelope *of up to an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion*;

###### *Amendment*

35. Points to the role of the Emergency Aid Reserve in providing a rapid response to specific aid requirements for third countries for unforeseen events, and stresses its particular importance in the current context; calls for a substantial increase in its financial envelope;

Or. en

### **Amendment 211**

**Claudia Tapardel, Inés Ayala Sender**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 36**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

36. Notes, in particular, the significant mobilisation of the EU Solidarity Fund to provide assistance in a number of serious natural disasters with substantial budgetary consequences; stresses also the positive impact that this instrument has on public opinion; proposes the reinforcement of its financial envelope to an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion;

###### *Amendment*

36. Notes, in particular, the significant mobilisation of the EU Solidarity Fund to provide assistance in a number of serious natural disasters with substantial budgetary consequences; stresses also the positive impact that this instrument has on public opinion; proposes the reinforcement of its financial envelope to an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion; *as well as an adaptation of the Regulation which gives more coverage to natural catastrophes which are increasingly linked to climate change, such as fires*;

Or. en

## Amendment 212

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 36

##### *Motion for a resolution*

36. Notes, in particular, the significant mobilisation of the EU Solidarity Fund to provide assistance in *a* number of serious natural disasters with substantial budgetary consequences; stresses also the positive impact that this instrument has on public opinion; proposes the reinforcement of its financial envelope to an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion;

##### *Amendment*

36. Notes, in particular, the significant mobilisation of the EU Solidarity Fund to provide assistance in *an increased* number of serious *climate-related* natural disasters with substantial budgetary consequences; stresses also the positive impact that this instrument has on public opinion; proposes the reinforcement of its financial envelope to an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion;

Or. en

## Amendment 213

Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Nedzhmi Ali, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 36

##### *Motion for a resolution*

36. Notes, in particular, the significant mobilisation of the EU Solidarity Fund to provide assistance in a number of serious natural disasters with substantial budgetary consequences; stresses also the positive impact that this instrument has on public opinion; proposes the reinforcement of its financial envelope *to an annual allocation of EUR 1 billion*;

##### *Amendment*

36. Notes, in particular, the significant mobilisation of the EU Solidarity Fund to provide assistance in a number of serious natural disasters with substantial budgetary consequences; stresses also the positive impact that this instrument has on public opinion; proposes the reinforcement of its financial envelope;

Or. en

**Amendment 214**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations and needs to be improved; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 215**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jean-Paul Denanot, Theresa Griffin, John Howarth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations and needs to be improved; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations and needs to be improved ***in order to refocus on the purpose it was originally intended, to improve its coordination with the structural funds, and to integrate its activation within a structuring, long-term strategy*** ; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from



the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF; *is moreover convinced that the scope of the EGF should be widened to encompass workers losing their jobs as a result of structural changes in the production patterns due to the need to fight against climate changes and to implement the COP21 objectives*

Or. en

**Amendment 216**  
**Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations *and needs to be improved*; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; *believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF*;

*Amendment*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome, *therefore it needs to be improved or eliminated*;

Or. en

**Amendment 217**  
**Marita Ulvskog**

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not *lived up to expectations and needs to be improved; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;*

*Amendment*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis has not *deployed its full potential and could be further improved in order to effectively reach and reintegrate redundant workers (also in SMEs) into the labour market, and reach out more Member States;*

Or. en

**Amendment 218**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from *globalisation* or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations and needs to be improved; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

*Amendment*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from *globalization* or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations and needs to be improved; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF *and should be oriented towards providing solutions for workers from high-carbon and energy-intensive sectors in line with a zero-carbon economy by 2050;*

**Amendment 219****Marco Valli****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 37***Motion for a resolution*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations and needs to be improved; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

*Amendment*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations and needs to be improved; ***stresses that the EGF is merely a means of providing immediate assistance, but does not address the root causes of the problem;*** points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

Or. it

**Amendment 220****Ivana Maletić****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 37***Motion for a resolution*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations and

*Amendment*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not lived up to expectations and

needs to be improved; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

needs to be improved *and linked with the instruments contributing the European Pillar of Social Rights*; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

Or. en

**Amendment 221**  
**José Manuel Fernandes**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not *lived up to expectations* and needs to be improved; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

*Amendment*

37. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not *fully met its targets* and needs to be improved; points out, inter alia, that the procedures for implementing support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

Or. en

**Amendment 222**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 38**

*Motion for a resolution*

38. Notes that different rules currently apply in relation to the time span for the carrying over of unspent appropriations for each MFF special instrument; considers that these should be harmonised, so as to enable a single N+1 rule to apply to all of these instruments;

*Amendment*

38. Notes that different rules currently apply in relation to the time span for the carrying over of unspent appropriations for each MFF special instrument; considers that these should be harmonised, so as to enable a single N+1 rule to apply to all of these instruments; ***after N+1, the unspent appropriations should feed a special reserve for the MFF special instruments***

Or. en

**Amendment 223**  
**Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 39 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***39a. Agrees that the concept of juste retour regarding Member States' contributions to the EU budget is not justifiable, but that it is likely to become more prevalent following the UK's withdrawal from the EU; therefore recommends that the Court of Auditors prepares a report on the scenarios for national income and outgo, gross and net, under the MFF from the EU budget that would follow under different options that could be envisaged;***

Or. en

**Amendment 224**  
**Marco Zanni, Stanislaw Żółtek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 40**

*Motion for a resolution*

40. Considers that the Contingency Margin should be maintained as an instrument of last resort; stresses that this is a special instrument that can also be mobilised for payment appropriations only, and that its mobilisation was instrumental in responding to the 2014 payment crisis; ***calls, therefore, for an upward adjustment of its maximum annual allocation to 0.05 % of EU GNI; considers, however, that no compulsory offsetting for it being mobilised should apply;***

*Amendment*

40. Considers that the Contingency Margin should be maintained as an instrument of last resort; stresses that this is a special instrument that can also be mobilised for payment appropriations only, and that its mobilisation was instrumental in responding to the 2014 payment crisis;

Or. en

**Amendment 225**

**Isabelle Thomas, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 40**

*Motion for a resolution*

40. Considers that the Contingency Margin should be maintained as an instrument of last resort; stresses that this is a special instrument that can also be mobilised for payment appropriations only, and that its mobilisation was instrumental in responding to the 2014 payment crisis; calls, therefore, for an upward adjustment of its maximum annual allocation to 0.05 % of EU GNI; ***considers, however, that no compulsory offsetting for it being mobilised should apply;***

*Amendment*

40. Considers that the Contingency Margin should be maintained as an instrument of last resort; stresses that this is a special instrument that can also be mobilised for payment appropriations only, and that its mobilisation was instrumental in responding to the 2014 payment crisis; calls, therefore, for an upward adjustment of its maximum annual allocation to 0.05 % of EU GNI;

Or. en

**Amendment 226**

**Ivana Maletić**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41**

*Motion for a resolution*

41. Underlines that the MFF special instruments should be counted over and above the MFF ceilings both for commitment and payment appropriations; considers that the issue of budgeting the payments of these instruments was settled in an unequivocal manner during the 2014-2020 MFF mid-term revision, putting an end to the long-standing conflict of interpretation with the Council; advocates the introduction of a clear provision in the MFF Regulation, stating that payments resulting from the mobilisation in commitments of MFF special instruments should be counted over and above the annual MFF payment ceilings;

*Amendment*

41. Underlines that the MFF special instruments should be counted over and above the MFF ceilings both for commitment and payment appropriations ***and within the legal limits of the Treaties***; considers that the issue of budgeting the payments of these instruments was settled in an unequivocal manner during the 2014-2020 MFF mid-term revision, putting an end to the long-standing conflict of interpretation with the Council; advocates the introduction of a clear provision in the MFF Regulation, stating that payments resulting from the mobilisation in commitments of MFF special instruments should be counted over and above the annual MFF payment ceilings;

Or. en

**Amendment 227**  
**Janusz Lewandowski**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***41a. Calls for the creation of new financial instrument to support civic initiatives aimed at promoting and strengthening European democratic values in the Member States - The European Values Instrument. Drawing on the experience of the European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights supporting projects in the area of human rights in non-EU countries, the new intra-EU budget line should help citizens to act effectively in their own countries in defence of values laid out in Article 2 of the TEU. Funds should be***

*disbursed by the European Commission independently of governments and granted to the civic organisations in the Member States;*

Or. en

**Amendment 228**  
**Isabelle Thomas, Jan Olbrycht**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*41a. Notes that the current IIA foresees a special majority in Parliament for the mobilisation of three MFF special instruments; considers this provision to be obsolete, reflecting the special majorities needed for the adoption of the EU budget before the Lisbon Treaty; calls for a homogeneous approach as regards the voting requirements for the mobilisation of these instruments, which should be the same as for the adoption of the EU budget;*

Or. en

**Amendment 229**  
**Tiemo Wölken**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*41a. Emphasise that the sustainability objectives of the European union and SDGs must be aligned and supported by a financial system of promoting sustainable growth*

Or. en



**Amendment 230**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Jan Huitema, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution  
Subheading 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Revenue – special reserve*

*deleted<sup>1</sup>*

---

*<sup>1</sup> These paragraphs come from the own resources report. We wish to delete them outright because the duplication of the same paragraphs in two different reports may lead to an inconsistency in Parliament's position. Moreover, it is inappropriate in this report to mention the sources of funding for the multiannual financial framework; this point must be dealt with exclusively in the own resources report.*

Or. en

**Amendment 231**

**Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanislaw Żółtek**

**Motion for a resolution  
Subheading 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Revenue – special reserve*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 232**

**Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 42**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42. Reiterates its long-standing position that any revenue resulting from fines imposed on companies for breaching EU competition law or linked to late payments of national contributions to the EU budget should constitute an extra item of revenue for the EU budget without a corresponding decrease of the GNI contributions;**

*deleted*

Or. sv

### **Amendment 233**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Jan Huitema, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 42**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42. Reiterates its long-standing position that any revenue resulting from fines imposed on companies for breaching EU competition law or linked to late payments of national contributions to the EU budget should constitute an extra item of revenue for the EU budget without a corresponding decrease of the GNI contributions;**

*deleted<sup>1</sup>*

---

*<sup>1</sup> These paragraphs come from the own resources report. We wish to delete them outright because the duplication of the same paragraphs in two different reports may lead to an inconsistency in Parliament's position. Moreover, it is inappropriate in this report to mention the sources of funding for the multiannual financial framework; this point must be dealt with exclusively in the own resources report.*

Or. en

**Amendment 234**

**Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanislaw Żółtek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 42**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**42. Reiterates its long-standing position that any revenue resulting from fines imposed on companies for breaching EU competition law or linked to late payments of national contributions to the EU budget should constitute an extra item of revenue for the EU budget without a corresponding decrease of the GNI contributions;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 235**

**Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanislaw Żółtek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**43. Calls, to this end, for a special reserve to be established on the revenue side of the EU budget, which will be progressively filled up by all types of unforeseen other revenue; considers that this reserve should be deployed in order to cover additional payment needs, especially those linked to the mobilisation of the Global Margin for Commitments or the MFF special instruments;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 236**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils**

Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Jan Huitema, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

**43. Calls, to this end, for a special reserve to be established on the revenue side of the EU budget, which will be progressively filled up by all types of unforeseen other revenue; considers that this reserve should be deployed in order to cover additional payment needs, especially those linked to the mobilisation of the Global Margin for Commitments or the MFF special instruments;**

*Amendment*

**deleted<sup>1</sup>**

---

**<sup>1</sup> These paragraphs come from the own resources report. We wish to delete them outright because the duplication of the same paragraphs in two different reports may lead to an inconsistency in Parliament's position. Moreover, it is inappropriate in this report to mention the sources of funding for the multiannual financial framework; this point must be dealt with exclusively in the own resources report.**

Or. en

**Amendment 237**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

**43. Calls, to this end, for a special reserve to be established on the revenue side of the EU budget, which will be progressively filled up by all types of unforeseen other revenue; considers that**

*Amendment*

**43. Calls, to this end, for a special reserve to be established on the revenue *and on the expenditure* side of the EU budget, which will be progressively filled up by all types of unforeseen other**

this reserve should be deployed *in order to cover* additional *payment* needs, *especially those linked to the mobilisation of the Global Margin for Commitments or the MFF special instruments*;

revenue; considers that this reserve should be deployed *to respond to* additional *financial* needs *in the EU budget*

Or. en

**Amendment 238**  
**Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 43 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**43a.** *Is of the opinion that effective measures against corruption and tax evasion by multinationals and the wealthiest individuals would make it possible to return to the Member States' budgets an amount estimated by the Commission at one trillion euros per year, and that in this field there is a serious lack of action by the European Union;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 239**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

44. *Agrees* that the search for European added value should *be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF*; points out, however, the *existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account*;

44. *Acknowledges* that the search for European added value *is a fundamental question to be addressed, and agrees that the Union budget should serve, inter alia, as a tool for achieving the Treaty objectives and providing for European public goods*; points out, however, the *multi-faceted character of the concept of European added value and its multiple*

interpretations, *and warns against any attempt to use its definition to call into question the relevance of EU policies and programmes on purely quantitative or short-term economic considerations; believes that there is a clear added value when an action at European level:*

- *goes further than national, regional or local efforts could (spillover effect);*
- *incentivises actions at national, regional or local level to fulfil EU Treaty objectives which would not otherwise be realised;*
- *supports actions that can only be financed by pooling resources at EU level because of their very high financing requirements; or*
- *contributes to the establishment and support of peace and stability in the EU's neighbourhood and beyond; encourages the Commission to further develop the concept of European added value, while taking into account territorial specificities such as geographical insularity and remoteness;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 240**

**Jordi Solé, Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 44**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of

##### *Amendment*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of

the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account;

the criteria thereof that should ***be based on sustainability and the balanced approach to environmental, social and economic aspects and*** take territorial specificities into account;

Or. en

**Amendment 241**  
**Răzvan Popa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account;

*Amendment*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account, ***and also the important creative role that the cohesion and agricultural policies brought to the EU;***

Or. en

**Amendment 242**  
**Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the

*Amendment*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the

concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account;

concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities *such as geographical insularity and remoteness* into account;

Or. en

**Amendment 243**  
**Petri Sarvamaa, Ingeborg Gräßle**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account;

*Amendment*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof *and measurable performance indicators* that should take territorial specificities into account;

Or. en

**Amendment 244**  
**Inese Vaidere**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take

*Amendment*

44. Agrees that the search for European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a *single* clear definition of the criteria thereof that should



territorial specificities into account;

take territorial specificities into account;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 245**

**Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Gérard Deprez, Urmas Paet, Nedzhmi Ali, Jean Arthuis, Fredrick Federley, Nils Torvalds**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 44**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

44. Agrees that *the search for* European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account;

###### *Amendment*

44. Agrees that *achieving real* European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account;;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 246**

**Marco Zanni, Stanislaw Żółtek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 44**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

44. Agrees that *the search for European added value* should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account;

###### *Amendment*

44. Agrees that *subsidiarity* should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a clear definition of the criteria thereof that should take territorial specificities into account;

Or. en

**Amendment 247**  
**Bernd Kölmel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**44a.** *Agrees that the search for European added value should be the main focus guiding the EU institutions when making decisions on expenditure in the next MFF; urges the Commission to establish a common, easily understandable, definition of European added value, one of the primary characteristics being whether an action performed at the EU level produces better results compared to the national level;*

Or. en

**Amendment 248**  
**Inese Vaidere**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 45**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

45. Notes the reference to the notion of European added value presented in several Commission documents; reiterates the list of parameters identified by Parliament in its resolution<sup>6</sup> in this context; recalls that the EU's resources should be used to finance European public goods as well as to act as a catalyst in providing incentives for Member States at all administrative levels to take action in order to fulfil Treaty objectives and to attain common EU goals which would not be realised otherwise; agrees that the EU budget should be used to finance actions that can benefit the EU as a whole, which cannot be

45. Notes the reference to the notion of European added value presented in several Commission documents; reiterates the list of parameters identified by Parliament in its resolution<sup>6</sup> in this context; recalls that the EU's resources should be used to finance European public goods, *especially large infrastructure cross-border projects, for example, Rail Baltic, which will bridge the division between Europe's' north and south and will greatly reduce the dependency on Russia, thus bringing clear European added value*; as well as to act as a catalyst in providing incentives for Member States at all administrative levels

ensured efficiently by any single Member State alone and that can offer better value for money compared to actions taken solely at national, regional or local level;

---

<sup>6</sup> Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2017)0401.

to take action in order to fulfil Treaty objectives and to attain common EU goals which would not be realised otherwise; agrees that the EU budget should be used to finance actions that can benefit the EU as a whole, which cannot be ensured efficiently by any single Member State alone and that can offer better value for money compared to actions taken solely at national, regional or local level;

---

<sup>6</sup> Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2017)0401.

Or. en

## **Amendment 249**

**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jens Geier**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 45**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

45. Notes the reference to the notion of European added value presented in several Commission documents; reiterates the list of parameters identified by Parliament in its resolution<sup>6</sup> in this context; recalls that the EU's resources should be used to finance European public goods as well as to act as a catalyst in providing incentives for Member States at all administrative levels to take action in order to fulfil Treaty objectives and to attain common EU goals which would not be realised otherwise; agrees that the EU budget should be used to finance actions that can benefit the EU as a whole, which cannot be ensured efficiently by any single Member State alone and that can offer better value for money compared to actions taken solely at national, regional or local level;

##### *Amendment*

45. Notes the reference to the notion of European added value presented in several Commission documents; reiterates the list of parameters identified by Parliament in its resolution<sup>6</sup> in this context; recalls that the EU's resources should be used to finance European public goods as well as to act as a catalyst in providing incentives for Member States at all administrative levels to take action in order to fulfil Treaty objectives and to attain common EU goals which would not be realised otherwise; agrees that the EU budget should be used to finance actions that can benefit the EU as a whole, which cannot be ensured efficiently by any single Member State alone and that can offer better value for money compared to actions taken solely at national, regional or local level; ***against this background, expects Member states to refrain from following a "juste retour" logic that takes into account only national interests in the negotiations on***

*the next MFF;*

---

<sup>6</sup> Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2017)0401.

---

<sup>6</sup> Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2017)0401.

Or. en

**Amendment 250**  
**Janusz Lewandowski**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 45**

*Motion for a resolution*

45. Notes the reference to the notion of European added value presented in several Commission documents; reiterates the list of parameters identified by Parliament in its resolution<sup>6</sup> in this context; recalls that the EU's resources should be used to finance European public goods as well as to act as a catalyst in providing incentives for Member States at all administrative levels to take action in order to fulfil Treaty objectives and to attain common EU goals which would not be realised otherwise; agrees that the EU budget should be used to finance actions that can benefit the EU as a whole, which cannot be ensured efficiently by any single Member State alone and that can offer better value for money compared to actions taken solely at national, regional or local level;

---

<sup>6</sup> Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2017)0401.

*Amendment*

45. Notes the reference to the notion of European added value presented in several Commission documents; reiterates the list of parameters identified by Parliament in its resolution<sup>6</sup> in this context; recalls that the EU's resources should be used to finance European public goods as well as to act as a catalyst in providing incentives for Member States at all administrative levels to take action in order to fulfil Treaty objectives and to attain common EU goals which would not be realised otherwise; agrees that the EU budget should be used to finance actions that can benefit the EU as a whole, which cannot be ensured efficiently by any single Member State alone and that can offer better value for money compared to actions taken solely at national, regional or local level; ***considers that European added value is created by programmes in both shared and central management as two complementary methods of attaining EU goals;***

---

<sup>6</sup> Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2017)0401.

Or. en

## Amendment 251

Marco Valli

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 45

##### *Motion for a resolution*

45. Notes the reference to the notion of European added value presented in several Commission documents; reiterates the list of parameters identified by Parliament in its resolution<sup>6</sup> in this context; recalls that the EU's resources should be used to finance European public goods as well as to act as a catalyst in providing incentives for Member States at all administrative levels to take action in order to fulfil Treaty objectives and to attain common EU goals which would not be realised otherwise; agrees that the EU budget should be used to finance actions that can benefit the EU as a whole, which cannot be ensured efficiently by any single Member State alone and that can offer better value for money compared to actions taken solely at national, regional or local level;

---

<sup>6</sup> Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2017)0401.

##### *Amendment*

45. Notes the reference to the notion of European added value presented in several Commission documents; reiterates the list of parameters identified by Parliament in its resolution<sup>6</sup> in this context; recalls that the EU's resources should be used to finance European public goods as well as to act as a catalyst in providing incentives for Member States at all administrative levels to take action in order to fulfil Treaty objectives and to attain common EU goals which would not be realised otherwise; agrees that the EU budget should be used to finance *those* actions that can benefit the EU as a whole *and* which cannot be ensured efficiently by any single Member State alone and that, *in certain cases*, can offer better value for money compared to actions taken solely at national, regional or local level;

---

<sup>6</sup> Texts adopted, P8\_TA(2017)0401.

Or. it

## Amendment 252

Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanisław Żółtek

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 46

##### *Motion for a resolution*

46. Considers that better spending, *i.e.* the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget, *can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value*

##### *Amendment*

46. Considers that better spending *and* the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget *should be the main priorities for the next MFF; rejects any further waste of public funds and calls for*

*and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture;*

*complete transparency for EU citizens when it comes to EU expenditure;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 253**

**Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 46**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture;

##### *Amendment*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture, *as well as setting up the post-2020 MFF system with the necessary pre-conditions to eliminate corruption and financial fraud, including by making participation in the work of the European Public Prosecutor's Office and a stronger conditionality between the rule of law and the EU funds pre-conditions to access;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 254**

**Alain Lamassoure**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, **and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture;**

*Amendment*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets; ***calls, accordingly, on the Commission to produce a study on the savings achieved at national level by Member States as a result of policy action funded at EU level;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 255**

**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Jan Huitema, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture;

*Amendment*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget ***based on critical assessment of current expenditure***, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture;

Or. en

**Amendment 256**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Soraya Post**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture;

*Amendment*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient **and non-discriminatory** use of every single euro of the EU budget, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture;

Or. en

**Amendment 257**  
**Tiemo Wölken**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient use of every single euro of the EU budget, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture;

*Amendment*

46. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient **and sustainable** use of every single euro of the EU budget, can be achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes, but also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and the national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture;

Or. en



## Amendment 258

Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Jan Huitema, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 46 a (new)

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**46a. Reiterates that focus should equally be put on the relationship between spending and performance of the EU budget; supports the recommendations of the 2016 Annual Report of the European Court of Auditors, for an efficient measurement framework of indicators for the spending programmes, more streamlined and balanced reporting on performance, and an easier access to the assessment results.;**

Or. en

## Amendment 259

Marita Ulvskog

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 47

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

47. Calls for a genuine simplification of the EU budgetary system in the next MFF; underlines, in particular, the need to reduce overlaps between instruments that serve similar types of actions, for example in the areas of innovation, SMEs or transport, and the necessity of eliminating the competition which exists between different forms and sources of funding, in order to ensure maximum complementarity and to **provide for a** coherent financial framework;

47. Calls for a genuine simplification of the EU budgetary system in the next MFF; underlines, in particular, the need to reduce overlaps between instruments that serve similar types of actions, **without risking to lose important elements of the different programs**, for example in the areas of innovation, SMEs or transport, and the necessity of eliminating the competition which exists between different forms and sources of funding, **creating more synergies between instruments** in order to ensure maximum complementarity and to **better tackle structural problems such as**

*unemployment and demographic challenges, thus leading to a more coherent financial framework;*

Or. en

**Amendment 260**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Alfred Sant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47**

*Motion for a resolution*

47. Calls for a genuine simplification of the EU budgetary system in the next MFF; underlines, in particular, the need to reduce overlaps between instruments that serve similar types of actions, for example in the areas of innovation, SMEs or transport, and the necessity of eliminating the competition which exists between different forms and sources of funding, in order to ensure maximum complementarity and to provide for a coherent financial framework;

*Amendment*

47. Calls for a genuine simplification of the EU budgetary system in the next MFF *with the aim to ease absorption by possible recipients*; underlines, in particular, the need to reduce overlaps between instruments that serve similar types of actions, for example in the areas of innovation, SMEs or transport, and the necessity of eliminating the competition which exists between different forms and sources of funding, in order to ensure maximum complementarity and to provide for a coherent financial framework;

Or. en

**Amendment 261**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*47a. Stresses the need to improve the strategic coherence of the EU budget which should be achieved by reviewing the existing instruments in order to identify the best ways of delivering the European objectives and thus eliminate unnecessary overlaps; this will facilitate*

*Amendment*

*clearer communication of the EU priorities to the citizens, ensure better spending of EU resources and create a sound basis for a genuine simplification and harmonisation of rules;*

Or. en

**Amendment 262**  
**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*47b. Stresses the need to improve the strategic coherence of the EU budget which should be achieved by reviewing the existing instruments in order to identify the best ways of delivering the European objectives in line with European Added Value and thus eliminate unnecessary overlaps; this will facilitate clearer communication of the EU priorities to the citizens, ensure better spending of EU resources and create a sound basis for a genuine simplification and harmonisation of rules*

Or. en

**Amendment 263**  
**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Jan Huitema, Pavel Telička, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

48. Underlines that the ‘health check’ of EU spending *cannot* provide for *a reduction in the level of EU ambition or a*

48. Underlines that the ‘health check’ of EU spending *should* provide for *an opportunity to re-prioritize* with a view to

*sectoralisation of EU policies and programmes, nor should it lead to a replacement of grants by financial instruments with a view to generating some savings, as the great majority of actions supported by the EU budget are not suitable to be funded by the latter;*

generating savings;

Or. en

**Amendment 264**  
**Siegfried Mureşan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48**

*Motion for a resolution*

48. Underlines that the ‘health check’ of EU spending cannot provide for a reduction in the level of EU ambition or a sectoralisation of EU policies and programmes, nor should it lead to a replacement of grants by financial instruments with a view to generating some savings, as the great majority of actions supported by the EU budget are not suitable to be funded by the latter;

*Amendment*

48. Underlines that the ‘health check’ of EU spending cannot provide for a reduction in the level of EU ambition or a sectoralisation of EU policies and programmes, nor should it lead to a replacement of grants by financial instruments with a view to generating some savings, as the great majority of actions supported by the EU budget are not suitable to be funded by the latter; *is of the opinion that the 'health check' should rather lead to identifying ways in which the implementation of EU spending programmes could be improved;*

Or. en

**Amendment 265**  
**Jordi Solé, Heidi Hautala**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48**

*Motion for a resolution*

48. Underlines that the ‘health check’

*Amendment*

48. Underlines that the ‘health check’

of EU spending cannot provide for a reduction in the level of EU ambition or a sectoralisation of EU policies and programmes, nor should it lead to a replacement of grants by financial instruments with a view to generating some savings, as the great majority of actions supported by the EU budget are not suitable to be funded by the latter;

of EU spending cannot provide for a reduction in the level of EU ambition or a sectoralisation of EU policies and programmes, nor should it lead to a replacement of grants by financial instruments with a view to generating some savings, as the great majority of actions supported by the EU budget are not suitable to be funded by the latter ***and would limit access by civil society, therefore the mix of funding modalities, grant sizes that suit the variety of applicants should be increased;***

Or. en

**Amendment 266**  
**Marco Valli**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48**

*Motion for a resolution*

48. Underlines that the ‘health check’ of EU spending ***cannot*** provide for a reduction in ***the level of EU ambition or a sectoralisation of EU*** policies and programmes, ***nor*** should ***it*** lead to a replacement of grants by financial instruments with a view to generating some savings, as the great majority of actions supported by the EU budget are not suitable to be funded by the latter;

*Amendment*

48. Underlines that the ‘health check’ of EU spending ***should*** provide for a reduction in policies and programmes ***which have proved inefficient and ineffective, or for their termination;*** ***considers that it*** should ***not*** lead to a replacement of grants by financial instruments with a view to generating some savings, as the great majority of actions supported by the EU budget are not suitable to be funded by the latter;

Or. it

**Amendment 267**  
**Ivana Maletić, Lambert van Nistelrooij**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**48a.** *Underlines that, in the context of maximising the performance of the MFF as regards conditionality, it is important to find the right balance so as not to jeopardise investments; requests that the Commission build upon the positive elements of the ex-ante conditionality system, while reducing the corresponding administrative burden as regards assessment and procedure;*

Or. en

**Amendment 268**  
**Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**48a.** *Calls on the Commission to improve the geographical balance of directly managed programmes and pay particular attention to it in any legislative proposal, reminds that Article 175 of the TFEU requires that the implementation of Union policies and actions shall contribute to the achievement of economic, social and territorial cohesion;*

Or. en

**Amendment 269**  
**Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 49**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**49.** *Calls for a far-reaching*

*deleted*

*harmonisation of the rules with the aim of creating a single rulebook for all EU budgetary instruments; encourages the Commission to tackle the issue of the combination of various sources of funding by providing clear guidelines in this respect;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 270**

**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 49**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

49. Calls for a far-reaching *harmonisation* of the rules *with the aim of creating a single rulebook for all EU budgetary instruments*; encourages the Commission to tackle the issue of the combination of various sources of funding by providing clear guidelines in this respect;

###### *Amendment*

49. Calls for a far-reaching *harmonization* of the rules *while urging that each fund be governed under its own rules, taking account of sector-specific characteristics, diverse territorial needs and different target groups, in order to provide for a funding environment tailored to specific circumstances*; encourages the Commission to tackle the issue of the combination of various sources of funding by providing clear guidelines in this respect;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 271**

**Inese Vaidere**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 49**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

49. Calls for a far-reaching harmonisation of the rules with the aim of creating a single rulebook for all EU

###### *Amendment*

49. Calls for a far-reaching harmonisation of the rules with the aim of creating a single rulebook for all EU

budgetary instruments; encourages the Commission to tackle the issue of the combination of various sources of funding by providing clear guidelines in this respect;

budgetary instruments; ***strongly*** encourages the Commission to tackle the issue of the combination of various sources of funding by providing clear guidelines in this respect; ***by doing so- ensuring all member states have equal access to financial instruments and a clear perspective on their use.***

Or. en

**Amendment 272**  
**Răzvan Popa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 49**

*Motion for a resolution*

49. Calls for ***a far-reaching harmonisation*** of the rules with the aim of creating a single rulebook for all EU budgetary instruments; encourages the Commission to tackle the issue of the combination of various sources of funding by providing clear guidelines in this respect;

*Amendment*

49. Calls for ***the alignment*** of the rules ***for all funds*** with the aim of creating a single rulebook for all EU budgetary instruments; encourages the Commission to tackle the issue of the combination of various sources of funding by providing clear guidelines in this respect;

Or. en