



2017/2136(DEC)

1.2.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 16

Draft opinion

Alain Cadec

2016 discharge: EU general budget - Commission
(2017/2136(DEC))

Amendment 1

José Blanco López, Nicola Caputo, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that the Court of Auditors has no particular remarks to make on DG MARE's 2016 activity report;

Amendment

2. Notes ***that the favorable trend in budgetary management in recent years has been maintained and*** that the Court of Auditors has no particular remarks to make on DG MARE's 2016 activity report;

Or. en

Amendment 2

José Blanco López, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Court of Auditors, in its future reports, to present a separate error rate for fisheries and maritime affairs; notes that maritime affairs and fisheries are not covered in sufficient detail in the Court's annual report and that a proper evaluation of financial management in those areas is therefore difficult;

Amendment

3. Urges the Court of Auditors, in its future reports, to present a separate error rate for fisheries and maritime affairs ***to eliminate the distortions that result from including other areas under the same heading***; notes that maritime affairs and fisheries are not covered in sufficient detail in the Court's annual report and that a proper evaluation of financial management in those areas is therefore difficult;

Or. en

Amendment 3

Sylvie Goddyn, France Jamet

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Court of Auditors, in its future reports, to present a separate error rate for fisheries and maritime affairs; **notes** that maritime affairs and fisheries are not covered in sufficient detail in the Court's annual report and that a proper evaluation of financial management in those areas is therefore difficult;

Amendment

3. Urges the Court of Auditors, in its future reports, to present a separate error rate for fisheries and maritime affairs; **regrets** that maritime affairs and fisheries are not covered in sufficient detail in the Court's annual report and that a proper evaluation of financial management in those areas is therefore difficult;

Or. fr

Amendment 4
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Takes the view that the Member States are still not carrying out all the controls requested and that the control system needs to be updated; notes that there are still weaknesses as regards the verification of the correctness of data regarding fleet capacity, the control of small fishing vessels, the reliability of catch data and the equal treatment of fishing operators when it comes to imposing penalties;

Or. it

Amendment 5
José Blanco López, Nicola Caputo, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Highlights the fact that the overall error rate recorded by the Court in its report is down on that of the previous year;

4. Highlights the fact that the overall error rate recorded by the Court in its report is down on that of the previous year

and urges the Commission to continue the reductive trend;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Sylvie Goddyn, France Jamet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Highlights the fact that the overall error rate recorded by the Court in its report is down on that of the previous year;

Amendment

4. Highlights the fact that the overall error rate recorded by the Court in its report is *slightly* down on that of the previous year;

Or. fr

Amendment 7
Isabelle Thomas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Congratulates the Commission on the particularly high rate of implementation of Section III, Title 11 of the 2016 budget (Maritime Affairs and Fisheries) in respect of both commitment appropriations (99.2%) and payment appropriations (94.7%);

Amendment

5. Congratulates the Commission on the particularly high rate of implementation of Section III, Title 11 of the 2016 budget (Maritime Affairs and Fisheries) in respect of both commitment appropriations (99.2%) and payment appropriations (94.7%); *points out that under Article 13 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, budgetary resources are broken down in accordance with their area of allocation, and that it would therefore be appropriate for the Commission, in its report, to detail the rate of implementation according to budgetary lines;*

Or. fr

Amendment 8
Sylvie Goddyn, France Jamet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. *Congratulates the Commission on* the particularly high rate of implementation of Section III, Title 11 of the 2016 budget (Maritime Affairs and Fisheries) in respect of both commitment appropriations (99.2%) and payment appropriations (94.7%);

Amendment

5. *Notes* the particularly high rate of implementation of Section III, Title 11 of the 2016 budget (Maritime Affairs and Fisheries) in respect of both commitment appropriations (99.2%) and payment appropriations (94.7%);

Or. fr

Amendment 9
Isabelle Thomas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that the figure for risk of loss of funds is *just* EUR 5.54 million and that the Commission has taken the requisite measures to evaluate the 2017 expenditure and, if necessary, to recover monies allocated;

Amendment

8. Notes that the figure for risk of loss of funds is EUR 5.54 million and that the Commission has taken the requisite measures to evaluate the 2017 expenditure and, if necessary, to recover monies allocated;

Or. fr

Amendment 10
Isabelle Thomas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Notes that the level of implementation of the 2014-2020 EMFF, three years after its adoption on 15 May 2014, remains unsatisfactory, as by

Amendment

9. Notes that the level of implementation of the 2014-2020 EMFF, three years after its adoption on 15 May 2014, remains unsatisfactory, as by

September 2017 only 1.7% of the EUR 5.7 billion available as jointly managed funding had been used; notes that the rate of take-up of the EMFF is a matter for the Member States;

September 2017 only 1.7% of the EUR 5.7 billion available as jointly managed funding had been used; notes that the rate of take-up of the EMFF is a matter for the Member States; ***points out that under Article 13 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, budgetary resources are broken down in accordance with their area of allocation, and that it would therefore be appropriate for the Commission, in its report, to detail the rate of implementation according to budgetary lines;***

Or. fr

Amendment 11
Sylvie Goddyn, France Jamet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Notes that the level of implementation of the 2014-2020 EMFF, three years after its adoption on 15 May 2014, remains unsatisfactory, as by September 2017 only 1.7% of the EUR 5.7 billion available as jointly managed funding had been used; ***notes that the rate of take-up of the EMFF is a matter for the Member States;***

Amendment

9. Notes that the level of implementation of the 2014-2020 EMFF, three years after its adoption on 15 May 2014, remains ***highly*** unsatisfactory, as by September 2017 only 1.7% of the EUR 5.7 billion available as jointly managed funding had been used;

Or. fr

Amendment 12
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

9a. Considers it necessary to provide all possible support for the Member States with a view to ensuring proper and full use of EMFF resources, with high

Amendment

implementation rates, in line with their respective priorities and needs, in particular as regards the sustainable development of the fisheries sector;

Or. it

Amendment 13
Sylvie Goddyn, France Jamet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. *Stresses that the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) should compensate fishermen for all their expenses incurred in order to meet the constraints arising from the landing obligation;*

Or. fr

Amendment 14
José Blanco López, Nicola Caputo, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. *Insists on the need for greater financial effort in the area of international fisheries agreements;*

Or. en

Amendment 15
Sylvie Goddyn, France Jamet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. *Points out that the landing obligation represents both a financial burden for fishermen and a loss of competitiveness by comparison with third-country fleets;*

Or. fr

Amendment 16
Sylvie Goddyn, France Jamet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9c. *Points out that the under-utilisation of the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) is partly due to budgetary restrictions in the Member States, which claim they have administrative and computer problems to exonerate themselves; notes that this austerity policy is being implemented against the interests of fishermen;*

Or. fr