



2018/2005(INI)

3.5.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 127

Draft opinion
Karin Kadenbach
Harnessing globalisation: trade aspects
(2018/2005(INI))

Amendment 1
Molly Scott Cato
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph –1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1. Acknowledges that the industrialised world is a net importer of the biosphere of other regions, as its ecological footprint impacts upon their ecosystems, including land and water use; acknowledges that liberalised global trade contributes towards environmental degradation and the depletion of resources in less industrialised countries

Or. en

Amendment 2
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

1. Condemns the Commission's trade policy based solely on free trade, which has led to a general race to the bottom in terms of social, labour and environmental standards;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Or. pt

Amendment 3

Philippe Loiseau, Jacques Colombier

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. **Welcomes** the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Amendment

1. **Notes** the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Or. fr

Amendment 4

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹ ; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹ ; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets ***with several global players which have developed intensive, export oriented and highly competitive farming systems***;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Or. en

Amendment 5
Matt Carthy

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. **Welcomes** the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹ ; ***underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that*** is suffering from unbalanced competition on both ***the*** EU and foreign markets;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Amendment

1. **Notes** the Commission Communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹ ; ***is concerned about the lack of attention this communication gives to the unequal effects of globalisation, including from the perspective of the agricultural sector, which*** is suffering from unbalanced competition on both EU and foreign markets;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Or. en

Amendment 6
Maria Gabriela Zoană

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; ***underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that*** is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

AM\1151859EN.docx

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation⁽¹⁾; ***underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that*** is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets, ***where a series of particular features affect the agricultural product circuit from producer to beneficiary***;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

(¹) COM(2017)0492.

Or. ro

Amendment 7
Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Welcomes* the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Amendment

1. *Notes* the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹ ***but wishes it would go further in protecting EU farmers against unfair competition***; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Or. en

Amendment 8
Georgios Epitideios

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

PE621.040v01-00

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness ***the consequences of*** globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

6/64

AM\1151859EN.docx

¹ COM(2017)0492.

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Or. el

Amendment 9

Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans, Fredrick Federley, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector *that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets*;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector *which needs a balanced trade policy to guarantee a fair global level playing field*;

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Or. en

Amendment 10

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Welcomes* the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

AM\1151859EN.docx

7/64

Amendment

1. *Notes* the Commission communication on a balanced and progressive trade policy to harness globalisation¹; underlines the importance of this communication for a European agriculture sector that is suffering from unbalanced competition on both the EU and foreign markets;

PE621.040v01-00

EN

¹ COM(2017)0492.

¹ COM(2017)0492.

Or. es

Amendment 11
Molly Scott Cato
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Stresses that, in order to achieve our climate commitments and in the interest of policy coherence for development, it is vital that all trade agreements are developed and implemented in conformity with the Paris Agreement and the Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development; calls for the Trade and Sustainable Development Chapters of FTAs between the EU and third countries to include the implementation of the Paris Agreement as a mandatory commitment, with sanctions for noncompliance;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Molly Scott Cato
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Stresses the need for subsidiarity in trade, giving preference to short supply chains, which ensure greater security for consumers and farmers, and reduce

farmers' exposure to volatility, whilst also reducing the carbon footprint and environmental impact of supply chains; stresses the need for fair agricultural supply chains that first and foremost protect citizens and environment over investors' or multinationals' interests

Or. en

Amendment 13

Karin Kadenbach, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Nicola Caputo, Paolo De Castro, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Acknowledges that the EU is the single largest exporter of agri-food products; perceives in this context the double phenomenon that on one hand, there is the need to maintain its market orientation and its compatibility with WTO rules whilst, on the other, that specific agricultural sectors cannot withstand full trade liberalisation and unfettered competition with imports;

Or. en

Amendment 14

Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Points out that the globalisation of trade brings benefits such as growth, better living standards and economic opportunities, but that the benefits are not

*always shared equally between countries
or within countries;*

Or. en

Amendment 15
Philippe Loiseau, Jacques Colombier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Regrets that the communication fails to spell out the known causes of these distortions of competition, such as social dumping linked to the Posting of Workers Directive and complete disregard for health and environmental standards brought about by free trade agreements;

Or. fr

Amendment 16
Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans, Fredrick Federley, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Reminds that EU's agricultural sector has a great export potential, which should be supported by balanced trade agreements with third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Reminds, that EU trade policy is not just about interest but also about values;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Underlines that the trade and agricultural policies are intertwined and that the EU trade policy is a tool in promoting EU's agricultural interests and therefore can contribute to the achievement of objectives of the common agricultural policy (CAP);

Or. en

Amendment 19
Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1d. Highlights that trade and agricultural policies lie at the core of implementing Agenda 2030 and its Sustainable Development Goals. Focusing on inclusive, free and fair trade policies and aligning trade with the SDGs

can significantly contribute to eradicating poverty and hunger worldwide.

Or. en

Amendment 20

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1e. Underlines that trade agreements and globalization can lead to increasing inequalities in and between countries, threatening ecological and social stability and fostering unsustainable production and consumption patterns. EU trade policy include the Agenda 2030 as their guiding principle to avoid negative impacts.

Or. en

Amendment 21

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

If. Calls on the EU to systematically evaluate the impact of its trade policies on the objectives of sustainable development and calls for establishing an evaluation mechanism to assure policy coherence of all EU strategies and policies

Or. en

Amendment 22

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1g. Acknowledges in this context that the WTO itself is a negotiating forum that offers rules and that the member countries themselves decide how far they want to go in removing trade barriers and distortions; reminds that with bilateral agreements the EU can set trade conditions over and above the WTO safety net;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Matt Carthy

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and ***avoiding undue*** exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, ***environmental protection***;

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and ***preventing the*** exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors; ***demands that this be done by not exposing domestic goods*** to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, ***measures to protect the environment, animal welfare measures including stocking densities, the use of hormones or antibiotics as growth promoters, and the use of substances in***

the preparation or processing of food products that are banned in the EU, to name a few;

Or. en

Amendment 24

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to *similar standards*, costs and constraints as regards, *for example*, environmental protection;

Amendment

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to *equivalent rules*, costs and constraints as regards *quality standards, food safety and environmental protection; points out that European agri-food products meet the highest standards in the world;*

Or. es

Amendment 25

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural

Amendment

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural

sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection;

sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection, ***labour law and public support to end social, economic and environmental dumping;***

Or. es

Amendment 26

Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans, Fredrick Federley, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, ***and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection;***

Amendment

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, ***reminds that all the imports to the EU should comply with EU standards regarding food safety and animal welfare that complies with the European “from Farm to Fork” system;***

Or. en

Amendment 27

Philippe Loiseau, Jacques Colmbier

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses ***that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of***

Amendment

2. Stresses ***the need to avoid*** undue exposure of EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs

trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of *sensitive* EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection;

and constraints as regards, for example, *health safety, social law and* environmental protection;

Or. fr

Amendment 28

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, *environmental* protection;

Amendment

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, *health safety and* protection *of the environment and European consumers*;

Or. fr

Amendment 29

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Momchil Nekov, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Molly Scott Cato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both

Amendment

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both

strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection;

strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection, ***fundamental labour rights and animal welfare***;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Norbert Erdős, Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Momchil Nekov, Franc Bogovič, Jarosław Kalinowski, Vladimir Urutchev

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection;

Amendment

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental, ***human, plant and animal health or consumer*** protection;

Or. en

Amendment 31 Beata Gosiewska

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection;

Amendment

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection ***and animal welfare***;

Or. pl

Amendment 32

Daniel Buda

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection;

Amendment

2. Stresses that harnessing globalisation should involve both strengthening global discipline to prevent unfair competition and distortions of trade in agriculture, and avoiding undue exposure of sensitive EU agricultural sectors to competition from imports of products that are not subject to similar standards, costs and constraints as regards, for example, environmental protection ***and food safety***;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Momchil Nekov, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Urges that no trade agreement will ever lower levels of regulatory protection; stresses that consumer rights need to be safeguarded when comprehensive trade agreements are negotiated and concluded and consumer welfare should be added among the objectives of future agreements; calls therefore on a self-standing chapter on “trade and consumer” within trade agreements commit to a high level of consumer protection;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Maria Gabriela Zoană

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Stresses the need to implement an instrument that will make it possible to re-establish fairer conditions of competition and to take action against countries or undertakings practising unfair competition; takes the view that a more rigorous application of EU rules will also ensure that all undertakings that have a presence or are active in the EU and which infringe the rules are effectively punished;

Or. ro

Amendment 35
Czesław Adam Siekierski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Draws attention to the growing impact of external factors on the situation of the EU agri-food sector, as exemplified by the Russian embargo, the speculation on the global agri-food commodities market, which is one of the causes of the recent crisis in the dairy sector, or the ongoing liberalisation of trade, among other things;*

Or. pl

Amendment 36

Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Warns against the danger of a serious imbalance in the agricultural provisions of trade agreements, to the detriment of the EU, and against the temptation to use agriculture as a bargaining chip to secure increased access to third countries' market for industrial products and services;*

Or. en

Amendment 37

Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Stresses further the inherent dangers in increased globalisation of the*

environmental fallout from the subsequent increased transport of goods by land, sea and air; stresses in this regard that an equal emphasis at least should be put on localisation of food production;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Emphasises that the EU promotes high animal welfare, environmental and food safety standards; stresses, in that regard, that EU standards must be fully respected by trading partners;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Molly Scott Cato
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Stresses that trade in agricultural goods must ensure food security and healthy, balanced diets in EU and third countries whilst ensuring minimum use of energy and resources;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Angélique Delahaye, Norbert Erdős, Michel Dantin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Asks the Commission to ensure that each imported agricultural product meets the same sanitary and social standards that EU products are held to;*

Or. en

Amendment 41
Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Calls for common measures of product information and labelling, the introduction of a mandatory country-of-origin labelling scheme;*

Or. en

Amendment 42
Molly Scott Cato
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. *Calls for EU trade agreements to include strict rules prohibiting transfer mispricing, amongst other means of avoiding taxation liabilities;*

Amendment 43
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Highlights that trade agreements must be balanced, contain safeguards for EU sensitive agricultural sectors, promote fair competition and protect EU's geographical indications and its high environmental, food safety and animal welfare standards;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Momchil Nekov, Molly Scott Cato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Calls for common measures of product information and labelling, the introduction of a mandatory country-of-origin labelling scheme for meat;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Molly Scott Cato, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. Urges to incorporate the precautionary principle as laid down in Article 191 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU in all trade agreements under negotiations and future agreements, to ensure a higher level of protection through preventative decision-taking in the case of risk to human health or the environment without restrictions from trade partners or the WTO whenever required;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2d. Reminds that the precautionary principle as laid down within the framework of the WTO agreement does not reflect the precautionary principle as practised in Europe; demands therefore, in contrast to the WTO provisions in force, that precautionary measures can be adopted in the case of legitimate suspicion without a scientific proof (e.g. trade-restrictive measures like placing ban on imports, rejecting market authorisation, etc.) and therefore calls for the reversal of burden of proof of scientific evidence onto the proponent/developer/producer/importer of substances/products which have been considered to harm human health or are considered as environmental hazard in particular with regard to lower sanitary and phytosanitary standards, hygiene problems at meat-production facilities and

with regard to possible pesticide residues;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Momchil Nekov, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2e. Calls for an improvement in the control of imports of goods to ensure they strictly meet European health, food safety, social and environmental rules notably by strengthening the checks on imported agri-foods at origin and upon their arrival in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Points out that *while* trade agreements *could open up opportunities for the promotion of* EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, *they also* entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

3. Points out that trade agreements *are a crucial element which the EU must continue to use in order to promote* EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products *and in order to be in a position to help farmers cope with the closure of markets such as the Russian market; points out, further, that particular attention needs to be paid to trade agreements which* entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to

price volatility *and that must consequently be given special treatment, which should imply excluding the products concerned where necessary*;

Or. es

Amendment 49
Philippe Loiseau, Jacques Colombier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to *price volatility*;

Amendment

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors, *such as unprocessed foodstuffs and foodstuffs resulting from primary processing*, that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to *unfair competition from third countries, as well as to recurring imbalances in the single market*;

Or. fr

Amendment 50
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, they also entail a significant

Amendment

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, they also entail a significant

risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility **and so, stresses on using the coupled support tools as a safeguard mechanism to ensure a level playing field between EU and third countries farmers.**

Or. en

Amendment 51

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

Amendment

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products **for a small number of large farmers and undertakings**, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors **and small and medium-sized farms** that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

Or. es

Amendment 52

Matt Carthy

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests **with respect to processed and unprocessed**

Amendment

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of **some** EU offensive interests, they also entail a significant risk

food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility ***and calls for Impact Assessments prepared by the European Commission prior to the opening of negotiations to specifically take into account these sensitivities;***

Or. en

Amendment 53
Bas Belder

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

Amendment

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility; ***expresses its concern about the cumulative impact of trade agreements on vulnerable agricultural sectors;***

Or. nl

Amendment 54
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed

Amendment

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed

food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors *that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility*;

food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors *such as beef, which are low income sectors and are vulnerable to competition*;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Hilde Vautmans

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Points out that *while* trade agreements *could* open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, *they also* entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

Amendment

3. Points out that trade agreements open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, *but they can* entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU *offensive* interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price

Amendment

3. Points out that while trade agreements could open up opportunities for the promotion of EU interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, they also entail a significant risk for more sensitive EU agricultural sectors that are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

volatility;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans, Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Points out that while trade agreements *could* open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, *they also entail a significant risk for* more sensitive EU agricultural sectors *that* are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

Amendment

3. Points out that while trade agreements open up opportunities for the promotion of EU offensive interests with respect to processed and unprocessed food products, more sensitive EU agricultural sectors *do need safeguards as they* are already crisis-hit or have been particularly exposed to price volatility;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Takes the view that, in the framework of trade agreements, a further opening-up of the EU market in sensitive agricultural sectors could have disastrous consequences for European producers; reminds therefore the Commission that it would be unacceptable to sacrifice the interests of European agriculture and its sensitive sectors in order to secure any trade agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Matt Carthy

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Notes that for some agricultural sectors it is often the cumulative impact of a number of agreements as opposed to one free trade deal that has the ability to inflict damage on prices and production; in this regard therefore calls for the European Commission to regularly update the information it has with regard to the potential impact of increased competition;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Angélique Delahaye, Norbert Erdős, Michel Dantin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Asks the Commission to conduct a systematic impact assessment of the provisions regarding the agricultural sector in each trade agreement, and to offer specific strategies to ensure that no agricultural sector will suffer as a result of a trade agreement concluded with a third country ;

Or. en

Amendment 61
Molly Scott Cato

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Recognises that an export orientation in agricultural goods often leads to intensive industrial farming methods that are environmentally damaging and jeopardise the livelihoods of small-scale and subsistence farmers and the wellbeing of rural communities;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Urges to exercise utmost care when it comes to the liberalisation of the market access in vulnerable agricultural sectors and consideration of various procedures when it comes to the most sensitive products (including their exclusion);

Or. en

Amendment 63

Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans, Fredrick Federley, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Reminds of the importance of efficient implementation of the concluded trade agreements to guarantee that our farmers can benefit to the full extent from the export opportunities provided by these agreements, such as CETA;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Czesław Adam Siekierski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Stresses the need to develop new trade rules and regulations at a global level in order to regulate and harmonise production, social and environmental standards in the agri-food sector;

Or. pl

Amendment 65
Maria Gabriela Zoană

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Stresses the need for more effective European legislation to protect trade in agricultural products, geared to current challenges and to increased transparency and predictability;

Or. ro

Amendment 66

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Miguel Viegas

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Notes that trade agreements lead to the closure of small and medium-sized farms and small businesses, resulting in the depopulation of rural areas;

Or. es

Amendment 67

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Molly Scott Cato

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Emphasizes our responsibility towards developing countries; calls on indicators that drive fair and sustainable trade for poor communities - not just trade for its own sake; reminds to integrate sustainable development goals into trade policy at all levels to avoid the risks of damage to livelihoods by giving real opportunities to developing countries and to ensure that development cooperation, which also aims to strengthen agricultural production in these countries, will not be undermined by trade agreements;

Or. en

**Amendment 68
Matt Carthy**

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Regrets the incompatibility of the European Commission's free trade agenda with its commitments to protect and preserve the small, family farm model; notes that the Commission's own Impact Assessments observe that increased market access for primary agriculture may negatively affect the standard of living and traditional lifestyle of small farmers in the EU and have adverse impacts on rural employment in terms of both skilled and unskilled agricultural labour^{1a}

^{1a} European Commission Impact Assessment Accompanying the document Recommendation for a Council Decision authorising the opening of negotiations for a Free Trade Agreement with New Zealand, 13.08.2017 at p 40

Or. en

Amendment 69

Philippe Loiseau, Jacques Colombier

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU ***and calls therefore for the cessation of these negotiations or at least the withdrawal of agricultural sectors from this agreement;***

Amendment 70
Matt Carthy

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to ***the possible conclusion of*** the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which ***could*** endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which ***will*** endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU ***and create a direct downward pressure on EU producer prices; recalls that the European Commission's 2016 Report on the Cumulative Economic Impact of Future Trade Agreements on EU Agriculture has forecast a steep drop in beef meat prices and drops in butter and sheep prices as a result of recently concluded and ongoing negotiations;***

Or. en

Amendment 71
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU; ***notes that "the Commission intends to speed up***

all ongoing negotiations'';

Or. en

Amendment 72

Maria Gabriela Zoană

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU ***and have unwanted consequences for biodiversity and the workforce; recommends supporting initiatives aimed at consolidating labour rights;***

Or. ro

Amendment 73

Norbert Erdős, Michel Dantin, Momchil Nekov, Franc Bogovič, Jaroslaw Kalinowski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the ***possible conclusion*** of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur ***involving*** major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in ***certain parts*** of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the ***current direction*** of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur ***which does not point to a fair and balanced agreement according to leaked news; the possible conclusion of the negotiations might result*** major ***and causeless*** concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar, ***poultry*** and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local

production in *many regions* of the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 74

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU, *particularly mountain areas whose economies are heavily dependent on beef farming*;

Or. es

Amendment 75

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible *forthcoming* conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, *poultry*, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability *and future* of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Or. fr

Amendment 76

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar, ***orange juice, rice*** and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Or. es

Amendment 77

Beata Gosiewska

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its serious concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving major concessions in sectors such as beef, ***poultry meat***, sugar and biofuels which could endanger the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Or. pl

Amendment 78

Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans, Fredrick Federley, Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its *serious* concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving *major* concessions in sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could *endanger* the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Amendment

4. Expresses its concerns with respect to the possible conclusion of the ongoing free-trade negotiations with Mercosur involving concessions in *sensitive* sectors such as beef, sugar and biofuels which could *challenge* the viability of local production in certain parts of the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Norbert Erdős, Angélique Delahaye, Momchil Nekov, Daniel Buda, Franc Bogovič, Jarosław Kalinowski, Michel Dantin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Believes that the Brazilian authorities are not yet able to guarantee the safety and quality of Brazilian poultry meat due to anomalies in their food control system; calls on the European Commission to remove poultry meat from the scope of the free trade negotiations with the Mercosur until the Brazilian meat scandal will be properly screened and a 100 % guarantee of compliance with South American meat imports to EU rules will be provided.

Or. en

Amendment 80

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Stresses that, in view of the blatant irregularities concerning health, identification and traceability of the Brazilian control system highlighted by the various scandals of March 2017 in the beef and veal sectors and in March 2018 in the poultry meat sector, the signing of any agreement with Mercosur would appear to be premature and dangerous from the point of view of the health of European citizens;*

Or. fr

Amendment 81

Matt Carthy

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Regrets that while agriculture is often used as a bargaining chip in these negotiations, the sector itself is given very little information until after the deals have already been made; deplors, in this respect, ongoing EU offers to increase the TRQ offered to the US for beef imports as a response to the threatened imposition of duties on European steel and aluminum;*

Or. en

Amendment 82

Czesław Adam Siekierski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Points out that, in light of the WTO crisis and growing US protectionism, the European Union is faced with the opportunity and the necessity of becoming a creator of global solutions in international trade, owing, among other things, to its extensive experience in harmonising standards acquired during the construction of the common market or in the process of integrating countries from the former socialist bloc into it;*

Or. pl

Amendment 83
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Emphasises the cumulative impact of the concessions that the EU has already made in the agricultural sector, in both multilateral and bilateral trade agreements, and calls for this impact to be taken into account when assessing what concessions could be offered to Mercosur;*

Or. en

Amendment 84
Molly Scott Cato
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Stresses the need, therefore, to integrate considerations of trade*

subsidiarity in trade agreements and within trade policy as a whole, to give preference to sustainable short supply chains, both in the EU and in third countries, and local production for local consumption;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Recalls that Member States have voted to delist twenty Brazilian establishments from which imports of meat and meat products are currently authorised, given deficiencies detected in the Brazilian control system;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans, Fredrick Federley, Ulrike Müller, Jan Huitema

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Welcomes the EU trade agreement with Japan, EU's fourth biggest agriculture export market, which will provide a good export opportunities for many European agri-products, such as dairy;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Beata Gosiewska

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Is of the opinion that the main aim of the negotiations with Mercosur should be the quality of their outcome, not the deadline for their completion;

Or. pl

Amendment 88
Hilde Vautmans, Ulrike Müller, Elsi Katainen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Expresses its concerns about the results of Brexit on the agricultural sector;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Norbert Erdős, Michel Dantin, Momchil Nekov, Daniel Buda, Franc Bogovič, Jarosław Kalinowski, Vladimir Urutchev

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand² ;

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand² **and draws attention to the resolution of the Parliament on the current situation and future prospects for the sheep and goat sectors in the EU**

adopted on 2 May 2018 and especially its paragraph 62 which urges the Commission to exercise caution in negotiating the new FTAs with New Zealand and Australia pending its analysis of the impact of Brexit on sheep and goat farming, especially as regards the future of the 287 000 tonnes carcass weight equivalent quota for sheep meat granted by the EU to New Zealand;

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Or. en

Amendment 90

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand²;

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Amendment

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand², ***bearing in mind that New Zealand and Australia have increased their shipments of fresh or chilled meat in recent years and reduced their traditional exports of frozen meat, which is heightening their impact on the EU market for fresh meat and triggering a decline in the prices paid to European producers, and believes that the ongoing trade negotiations should be used as an opportunity to separate these items into distinct quotas;***

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Or. es

Amendment 91

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand² ;

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Amendment

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand² ***and the emphasis placed by this Parliament on the need of “respecting the fact that there are a number of sensitive agricultural products which should be given appropriate treatment, for example, through tariff-rate quotas or allocated adequate transition periods, taking into proper consideration the cumulative impact of trade agreements on agriculture and potentially excluding from the scope of the negotiations the most sensitive sectors”;***

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Or. en

Amendment 92

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand²;

PE621.040v01-00

Amendment

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand² ***in which the European Parliament called on the Commission to ensure the appropriate treatment of a***

series of sensitive agricultural products, through tariff quotas or appropriate transitional periods, taking due account of the cumulative impact of trade agreements on agriculture, excluding, where appropriate, the most sensitive sectors from the scope of the negotiations;

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Or. fr

Amendment 93
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand² ;

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Amendment

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand² **and notes that the Commission intends to conclude negotiations with Australia and New Zealand by March 2019 and intends to conduct negotiations "at an accelerated pace" but highlights that a swift conclusion of negotiations cannot be to the detriment of any sector, particularly the EU's agricultural sector;**

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Or. en

Amendment 94
Georgios Epitideios

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand²;

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Amendment

5. Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand² ***which produce products that compete with those of the EU countries***;

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Or. en

Amendment 95
Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Recalls its two resolutions of 26 October 2017 on the negotiating*** mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand² ;

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Amendment

5. ***Reminds of the concerns raised regarding negotiation*** mandates for trade agreements with Australia and New Zealand ***in its two resolutions of 26 October 2017***²;

² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0419 and P8_TA(2017)0420 respectively.

Or. en

Amendment 96
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Stresses the importance, firstly, of including effective and readily available bilateral safeguard clauses allowing the temporary suspension of preferences if, as a result of the entry into force of the trade agreement, an increase in imports seriously damaged - or might seriously damage - sensitive sectors and, secondly, of revising the existing multilateral safeguard mechanisms within Regulation 1308/2013 (Single CMO), which should play a preventive role for sensitive sectors on the basis of reference volume and price thresholds allowing the automatic triggering with suspensive effect of the safeguard mechanisms where these thresholds have been reached;*

Or. fr

Amendment 97

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Reminds that the total number of farms in the EU has dropped by 26% from 2005 to 2013; points out that the agricultural production in some MS within the EU now takes place in fewer, larger and more capital-intensive farms and points out that this consolidation process is expected to be continued and already has and will continue to have an impact on the generational renewal in particular in the context with access to land and maintaining farm viability;*

Or. en

Amendment 98

Norbert Erdős, Angélique Delahaye, Momchil Nekov, Daniel Buda, Franc Bogovič, Jarosław Kalinowski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU³ ;

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU³ ***and calls on the European Commission to clarify the guideline on legal limits of Member States' intervention on regulating land purchase issued last autumn and supplement it with the introduction of additional good practices making land-grabbing much harder; believes that the Commission has not done everything yet to efficiently reduce land-grabbing in the EU;***

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Or. en

Amendment 99

Molly Scott Cato

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for

PE621.040v01-00

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for

50/64

AM\1151859EN.docx

the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU³ ;

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU³ **and notes that excessive concentration of farmland and forests, regardless of ownership, in the EU or in third countries, can endanger food sovereignty, food security and water security; stresses that trade agreements should also follow, where relevant, the FAO Voluntary Guidelines on the Responsible Governance of Tenure of Land, Fisheries and Forests**

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Or. en

Amendment 100

Maria Gabriela Zoană

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU³;

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU⁽³⁾ **and calls for farmland and forests to be included in the scope of the proposed regulation;**

⁽³⁾ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Amendment 101

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU³ ;

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market, ***especially in the areas of farmland and forests***; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU³ ;

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Amendment 102

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the ***excessive*** concentration of farmland and forests ***in foreign (non-EU) hands***; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the concentration ***and grabbing*** of farmland and forests; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland

in the EU³;

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

concentration in the EU³;

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Or. es

Amendment 103

Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans, Fredrick Federley, Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. ***Stresses the importance of strong coordination between Member States for the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU³ ;***

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Amendment

6. ***Notes the ongoing discussion on the screening of foreign direct investment (FDI) on the EU market; draws attention to the need to avoid the excessive concentration of farmland and forests in foreign (non-EU) hands; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 27 April 2017 on the state of play of farmland concentration in the EU³ ;***

³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2017)0197.

Or. en

Amendment 104

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

6a. ***Highlights the strategic importance for the EU of maintaining a high level of food sufficiency; takes the view that the globalisation of trade should not jeopardise the viability of European agri-food producers, since in the long***

term this could lead to the kind of external dependence already seen in the energy sector;

Or. es

Amendment 105
Molly Scott Cato
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. *Calls for legislation that requires and enables due diligence in the supply chain, to trace raw materials to their origin and to put the duty of vigilance with regard to human rights, environmental and social standards on companies at each step of the supply chain;*

Or. en

Amendment 106
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to react strongly to US attacks against the WTO-compatible mechanisms of the CAP through the imposition of unjustified trade defence measures; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 15 March 2018 on US measures on EU farm support under the CAP (in the context of Spanish olives)⁴;

7. Calls on the Commission to react strongly to US attacks against the WTO-compatible mechanisms of the CAP through the imposition of unjustified trade defence measures ***and to defend that policy which is essential for our farmers and European rural areas***; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 15 March 2018 on US measures on EU farm support under the CAP (in the context of Spanish olives)⁴;

stresses that such a decision by the US, calling into question the legitimacy of our common policy, is an attack on the efforts made by European farmers over several decades to comply with international constraints;

⁴ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0091.

⁴ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0091.

Or. fr

Amendment 107

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to react strongly to US attacks against the WTO-compatible mechanisms of the CAP through the imposition of unjustified trade defence measures; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 15 March 2018 on US measures on EU farm support under the CAP (in the context of Spanish olives)⁴ ;

⁴ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0091.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to react strongly to US attacks against the WTO-compatible mechanisms of the CAP through the imposition of unjustified trade defence measures; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 15 March 2018 on US measures on EU farm support under the CAP (in the context of Spanish olives)⁴ *and expresses its concern with respect to the possible proliferation of such measures against other recipients of payments under the CAP;*

⁴ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0091.

Or. en

Amendment 108

Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to react strongly to US attacks against the WTO-compatible mechanisms of the CAP through the imposition of unjustified trade defence measures; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 15 March 2018 on US measures on EU farm support under the CAP (in the context of Spanish olives)⁴ ;

⁴ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0091.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to react strongly to US attacks against the WTO-compatible mechanisms of the CAP through the imposition of unjustified trade defence measures; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 15 March 2018 on US measures on EU farm support under the CAP (in the context of Spanish olives)⁴ ***and recognises the importance of the WTO in ensuring the smooth flow of global trade at a time when protectionism is gaining ground;***

⁴ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0091.

Or. en

Amendment 109

Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans, Fredrick Federley

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 7**

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to react ***strongly*** to US attacks against the WTO-compatible mechanisms of the CAP through the imposition of unjustified trade defence measures; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 15 March 2018 on US measures on EU farm support under the CAP (in the context of Spanish olives)⁴ ;

⁴ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0091.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to react to US attacks against the WTO-compatible mechanisms of the CAP through the imposition of unjustified trade defence measures; recalls, in this respect, its resolution of 15 March 2018 on US measures on EU farm support under the CAP (in the context of Spanish olives)⁴ ;

⁴ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2018)0091.

Or. en

Amendment 110

Philippe Loiseau, Jacques Colombier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission promptly to take the necessary measures in respect of US agricultural imports that do not comply with EU standards, such as pistachios which have aflatoxin levels five times higher than those allowed in the EU;

Or. fr

Amendment 111
Elsi Katainen, Hilde Vautmans

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Points out that trade barriers create challenges for European farmers by diminishing the exports of agricultural products, bearing in mind that in the long term the import and production structure in the export market change, such as in the case of the Russian embargo;

Or. en

Amendment 112
Bas Belder

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Points out that European agricultural products, including pig meat, continue to be banned from the Russian

market; in this context, once again highlights the importance of a strong WTO and a rules-based multilateral trading system;

Or. nl

Amendment 113

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Urges the Commission to investigate, for its part, possible trade-distorting US farm subsidies, for example in the case of aid for the almond sector;

Or. es

Amendment 114

Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls on the EU to make proposals in the World Trade Organisation that would promote transparency in industrial subsidies and limit the use of harmful subsidies in the agricultural sector;

Or. en

Amendment 115

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7b. Expresses concern at the 40% increase in imports of Indica rice from Cambodia since 2009, as a consequence of the ‘Everything but arms’ duty-free import regime, and at the intention to grant a duty-free quota of 45 000 tonnes to Japonica rice producers in Mercosur as part of the free trade agreement now being negotiated with that region; takes the view that the Commission should draw up a study on the impact that all the existing trade concessions and those under negotiation, designed to favour imports of these products into the EU, will have on the Community rice sector, which is already showing signs of collapse in some regions;

Or. es

Amendment 116

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Momchil Nekov, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Welcomes the Commission’s initiative to create an advisory group on EU trade negotiations composed of representatives of stakeholders; insists on the need for *the* strong representation of EU farming organisations in such a group.

8. Welcomes the Commission’s initiative to create an advisory group on EU trade negotiations composed of representatives of ***a wide and balanced group of*** stakeholders; insists on the need for ***a*** strong representation of EU farming organisations in such a group ***in view of the major impact of most of the negotiations in question on the agricultural sector.***

Or. en

Amendment 117

Molly Scott Cato

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to create an advisory group on EU trade negotiations composed of representatives of stakeholders; insists on the need for the strong representation of EU farming organisations in such a group.

Amendment

8. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to create an advisory group on EU trade negotiations composed of representatives of stakeholders; insists on the need for the strong representation of EU farming organisations, ***small- and medium-scale farmers, subsistence farmers and indigenous peoples*** in such a group.

Or. en

Amendment 118

Norbert Erdős, Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Momchil Nekov, Daniel Buda, Franc Bogovič, Jarosław Kalinowski, Vladimir Urutchev

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to create an advisory group on EU trade negotiations composed of representatives of stakeholders; insists on the need for the strong representation of EU farming organisations in such a group.

Amendment

8. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to create an advisory group on EU trade negotiations composed of representatives of stakeholders ***and waits for the establishment of this group as soon as possible***; insists on the need for the strong representation of EU farming organisations in such a group.

Or. en

Amendment 119

Matt Carthy

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to create an advisory group on EU trade negotiations composed of representatives of stakeholders; insists on the need for the strong representation of EU farming organisations *in such a* group.

Amendment

8. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to create an advisory group on EU trade negotiations composed of representatives of stakeholders; insists on the need for the strong representation of EU farming organisations ***representing small farmers in this*** group.

Or. en

Amendment 120
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Urges the Commission and Member States to make the negotiations transparent, provide full information and promote public debate; takes the view that debates should also be promoted in state and autonomous community parliaments;

Or. es

Amendment 121
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission to take account of the ongoing Brexit negotiations and the impact of Brexit on

the EU's agriculture sector when it executes its intensive trade agenda;

Or. en

Amendment 122

Matt Carthy

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission to keep a public register of all meetings held with interest groups and lobbyists regarding the negotiation of Free Trade Agreements;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Karin Kadenbach, Nicola Caputo, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Maria Noichl, Maria Gabriela Zoană, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Asks the Commission to ensure that recommendations of the advisory group are binding and enforceable.

Or. en

Amendment 124

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. *Stresses that transporting and marketing staple foods around the world generates greenhouse gases and wastes energy, and the export priority should therefore be abandoned and staple foods removed from trade negotiations;*

Or. es

Amendment 125
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. *Welcomes that the Commission will now publish its recommendations for negotiating directives for trade agreements and automatically transmit them to national Parliaments while also making these recommendations available to the public;*

Or. en

Amendment 126
Matt Carthy

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. *Rejects recommendations to open multilateral negotiations to establish a multilateral court for the settlement of investment disputes, which has the potential to lower food safety and environmental standards.*

Amendment 127

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8c. *Firmly rejects the use of agriculture as a bargaining chip in trade negotiations;*