



2018/0074(COD)

10.8.2018

AMENDMENTS

53 - 259

Draft report
Alain Cadec
(PE622.105v02-00)

Multiannual plan for fish stocks in the Western Waters and adjacent waters, and for fisheries exploiting those stocks, amending Regulation (EU) 2016/1139 establishing a multiannual plan for the Baltic Sea, and repealing Regulations (EC) No 811/2004, (EC) No 2166/2005, (EC) No 388/2006, (EC) 509/2007 and (EC) 1300/2008

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2018)0149 – C8-0126/2018 – 2018/0074(COD))

Amendment 53

João Ferreira

Draft legislative resolution

Paragraph 1

Draft legislative resolution

1. Adopts its position at first reading hereinafter set out;

Amendment

1. Adopts its position at first reading hereinafter set out; ***feels compelled to point out, however, that the option of unifying the multiannual plans for the North and South Western Waters is indicative of greater centralisation of fisheries management and negates the regionally, nationally, and locally based approach; considers that option – taking into account the diversity in terms of marine resources, target species, fishing gear, and economic, sociological, and cultural significance – to run counter to sustainable management of fishing activities;***

Or. pt

Amendment 54

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Title 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Proposal for a
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
establishing a multiannual plan for ***fish***
stocks in the Western Waters and adjacent
waters, and for fisheries exploiting those
stocks, amending Regulation (EU)
2016/1139 establishing a multiannual plan
for the Baltic Sea, and repealing
Regulations (EC) No 811/2004, (EC) No
2166/2005, (EC) No 388/2006, (EC)

Amendment

Proposal for a
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
establishing a multiannual plan for ***certain demersal fish and crustaceans*** stocks in
the Western Waters and adjacent waters,
and for fisheries exploiting those stocks,
amending Regulation (EU) 2016/1139
establishing a multiannual plan for the
Baltic Sea, and repealing Regulations (EC)
No 811/2004, (EC) No 2166/2005, (EC)

Amendment 55

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The United Nations Convention of 10 December 1982 on the Law of the Sea, to which the Union is a contracting party, provides for conservation obligations, including the maintaining or restoring populations of harvested species at levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY).

Amendment

(1) The United Nations Convention of 10 December 1982 on the Law of the Sea, to which the Union is a contracting party, provides for conservation obligations, including the maintaining or restoring populations of harvested species at levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield (MSY), ***determined on the basis of relevant ecological and economic factors, including the economic needs of coastal communities that live by fishing.***

Amendment 56

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, ***inter alia***, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, ***and*** to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Amendment

(4) The objectives of the CFP are to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management, ***to contribute also to supplying the Union market with food of***

high nutritional value, to reduce the Union market's dependence on food imports and to promote direct and indirect job creation and the economic development of coastal areas.

Or. es

Amendment 57

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, inter alia, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Amendment

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, inter alia, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long term ***and that fishing and aquaculture activities are managed in a manner consistent with the objectives of generating economic, social and employment benefits***, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Or. es

Justification

In addition to the environmental dimension, it is important to take into account the social and economic dimension of fishing and aquaculture, as established by Regulation 1380/2013.

Amendment 58

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, inter

Amendment

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, inter

alia, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

alia, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are environmentally sustainable in the long term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management, *while also contributing to a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects.*

Or. pt

Amendment 59
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, inter alia, to ensure that fishing *and aquaculture are* environmentally sustainable in the long term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Amendment

(4) The objectives of the CFP are, inter alia, to ensure that fishing *is* environmentally sustainable in the long term, to apply the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Or. es

Amendment 60
Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) In accordance with Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate is to be achieved by 2015 where possible and, on a progressive,

incremental basis at the latest by 2020 for all stocks.

Or. en

Amendment 61
Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4b) Pursuant to the principles of the Common Fisheries Policy and to guarantee a level playing field and fair competition between sea basins, there should be a uniform framework in all multi-annual plans and no sea basin specific derogations regarding the principles for quota setting

Or. en

Justification

Allowing for derogations in this plan from the legal obligation to restore and maintain stocks above levels able to produce MSY before 2020 and going beyond the ranges of FMSY agreed in previous MAPs would not only be diluting the CFP and harm fish stocks but also be unfair to fishermen in the Baltic Sea and in the North Sea who are obliged to stay within the agreed framework without exemptions.

Amendment 62
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) For the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical

(5) For the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical

measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities.

measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities, *all of which in compliance with Article 17 of the CFP Regulation, which establishes that, in assigning fishing opportunities, transparent and objective criteria should be applied, including those of an environmental, social and economic nature, such as the impact of fishing on the environment, the compliance record or the contribution to the local economy. Member States, within the fishing opportunities assigned to them, should endeavour to provide incentives for fishing vessels that use selective fishing gear or fishing techniques with a low environmental impact, e.g. involving low energy consumption or less damage to the habitat.*

Or. es

Amendment 63

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) For the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities.

Amendment

(5) For the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities *in full accordance with the best available scientific advice.*

Or. en

Amendment 64

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) For the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities.

Amendment

(5) For the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, a number of conservation measures are to be adopted as appropriate in any combination thereof, such as multi-annual plans, technical measures, fixing and allocation of fishing opportunities, ***in accordance with the best available scientific advice.***

Or. en

Amendment 65
Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 expressly sets the objective of restoring and maintaining populations of harvested species above levels which can produce maximum sustainable yield. To achieve this goal, Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 stipulates that all stocks should gradually reach the level of fishing rate capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield by 2015 if possible, or by 2020 at the latest.

Or. de

Amendment 66
Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multi-annual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice. In accordance with those provisions, this plan should contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points, safeguards and technical measures designed to avoid and reduce unwanted catches.

Amendment

(6) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multi-annual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice. In accordance with those provisions, this plan should contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points, safeguards and technical measures designed to avoid and reduce unwanted catches, ***in order to achieve the targets set out in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, and to minimise the impact on the marine environment.***

Or. en

Amendment 67
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multi-annual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice. In accordance with those provisions, this plan should contain ***objectives***, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points, safeguards and technical measures designed to avoid and reduce unwanted catches.

Amendment

(6) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multi-annual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice. In accordance with those provisions, this plan should contain, ***as an objective, the Maximum Sustainable Yield with*** quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points, safeguards and technical measures designed to avoid and reduce unwanted catches, ***as well as the meeting of social and economic objectives.***

Or. es

Amendment 68
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multi-annual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice. In accordance with those provisions, this plan should contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points, safeguards and technical measures designed to avoid and reduce unwanted catches.

Amendment

(6) Pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, multi-annual plans are to be based on scientific, technical and economic advice. In accordance with those provisions, this plan should contain objectives, quantifiable targets with clear timeframes, conservation reference points, safeguards and technical measures designed to avoid and reduce unwanted catches *as well as to limit habitat and seabed disturbance.*

Or. en

Amendment 69
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) "Best available scientific advice" refers to publicly available scientific advice that is supported by the most up-to-date scientific data and methods and has either been issued or reviewed by an independent scientific body that is recognised at the European Union or international level.

Amendment

(7) "Best available scientific advice" refers to publicly available scientific advice that is supported by the most up-to-date scientific data and methods and has either been issued or reviewed by an independent scientific body that is recognised at the European Union or international level. *This scientific advice should likewise include an in-depth investigation of the causes of the decline in fish stocks beyond fishing itself, such as pollution by wastewater, changes in currents due to works, the impact of mines, hydrocarbon exploration, the proximity of industries such as the pulp industry or activities linked to the so-called Blue Economy, such as aquaculture or offshore wind farms, and seamen and women should be*

involved in this process.

Or. es

Amendment 70
João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) "Best available scientific advice" refers to publicly available scientific advice that is supported by the most up-to-date scientific data and methods and has either been issued or reviewed by an independent scientific body that is recognised at *the European Union or* international level.

Amendment

(7) "Best available scientific advice" refers to publicly available scientific advice that is supported by the most up-to-date scientific data and methods and has either been issued or reviewed by an independent scientific body that is recognised at international level.

Or. pt

Amendment 71
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7a) On 15 December 2015, the European Parliament adopted a Resolution on 'a new CFP: structure for technical measures and multiannual plans' (2015/2092(INI)), paragraph 25 of which states that it 'considers that incidental artisanal fishing in the inland waters of the Member States and regions must remain outside the TACs', which the Commission must take into account because of the great importance that this measure will have in maintaining the economic viability of small vessels that are engaged in artisanal fishing and

supply daily markets with fresh fish.

Or. es

Amendment 72

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) The Commission should obtain the best available scientific advice for the stocks within the scope of the multiannual plan. ***In order to do so it concludes*** Memoranda of Understanding with the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES). The scientific advice issued by ICES should be based on this multiannual plan and should indicate, in particular, ranges of F_{MSY} and biomass reference points, i.e. $MSY B_{trigger}$ and B_{lim} . Those values should be indicated in the relevant stock advice and, where appropriate, in any other ***publicly-available scientific advice, including, for example, in mixed fisheries advice issued by ICES.***

Amendment

(8) The Commission should obtain the best available scientific advice for the stocks within the scope of the multiannual plan ***and, to that end, should help to build capacity within scientific bodies responsible for fisheries management in Member States. In addition, it should conclude*** Memoranda of Understanding with the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES). The scientific advice issued by ICES ***and validated by Member States*** should be based on this multiannual plan and should indicate, in particular, ranges of F_{MSY} and biomass reference points, i.e. $MSY B_{trigger}$ and B_{lim} . Those values should be indicated in the relevant stock advice and, where appropriate, in any other ***publicly available scientific*** advice.

Or. pt

Amendment 73

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) The Commission should obtain the best available scientific advice for the stocks within the scope of the multiannual

Amendment

(8) The Commission should obtain the best available scientific advice for the stocks within the scope of the multiannual

plan. In order to do so it concludes Memoranda of Understanding with the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES). The scientific advice issued by ICES should be based on this multiannual plan and should indicate, in particular, ranges of F_{MSY} and biomass reference points, i.e. $MSY B_{trigger}$ and B_{lim} . Those values should be indicated in the relevant stock advice and, where appropriate, in any other publicly-available scientific advice, including, for example, in mixed fisheries advice issued by ICES.

plan. In order to do so it concludes Memoranda of Understanding with the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES). The scientific advice issued by ICES should be based on this multiannual plan and should indicate, in particular, ranges of F_{MSY} and biomass reference points, i.e. $MSY B_{trigger}$ and B_{lim} . Those values should be indicated in the relevant stock advice and, where appropriate, in any other publicly-available scientific advice, including, for example, in mixed *and/or multispecies* fisheries advice issued by ICES.

Or. es

Amendment 74 **Izaskun Bilbao Barandica**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) Council Regulations (EC) No 811/2004¹⁸, (EC) No 2166/2005¹⁹, (EC) No 388/2006²⁰, (EC) 509/2007²¹, (EC) No 1300/2008²² and (EC) No 1342/2008²³ set out the rules for the exploitation of the northern stock of hake, hake and Norway lobster stocks in the Cantabrian Sea and by the Western Iberian Peninsula, sole in the Bay of Biscay, sole in the Western Channel, herring in the West of Scotland and cod in the Kattegat, the North Sea in the West of Scotland and the Irish Sea. These and other demersal stocks are taken in mixed fisheries. Therefore, it is appropriate to establish a single multi-annual plan taking into account such technical interactions.

¹⁸ Council Regulation (EC) No 811/2004 of 21.4.2004 establishing measures for the recovery of the Northern hake stock (OJ L

Amendment

(9) Council Regulations (EC) No 811/2004¹⁸, (EC) No 2166/2005¹⁹, (EC) No 388/2006²⁰, (EC) 509/2007²¹, (EC) No 1300/2008²² and (EC) No 1342/2008²³ set out the rules for the exploitation of the northern stock of hake, hake and Norway lobster stocks in the Cantabrian Sea and by the Western Iberian Peninsula, sole in the Bay of Biscay, sole in the Western Channel, herring in the West of Scotland and cod in the Kattegat, the North Sea in the West of Scotland and the Irish Sea. These and other demersal stocks are taken in mixed *and/or multispecies* fisheries. Therefore, it is appropriate to establish a single multi-annual plan taking into account such technical interactions.

¹⁸ Council Regulation (EC) No 811/2004 of 21.4.2004 establishing measures for the recovery of the Northern hake stock (OJ L

150, 30.4.2004, p. 1)

¹⁹ Council Regulation (EC) No 2166/2005 of 20 December 2005 establishing measures for the recovery of the Southern hake and Norway lobster stocks in the Cantabrian Sea and Western Iberian peninsula and amending Regulation (EC) No 850/98 for the conservation of fishery resources through technical measures for the protection of juveniles of marine organisms (OJ L 345, 28.12.2005, p. 5)

²⁰ Council Regulation (EC) No 388/2006 of 23 February 2006 establishing a multiannual plan for the sustainable exploitation of the stock of sole in the Bay of Biscay (OJ L 65, 7.3.2006, p. 1)

²¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 509/2007 of 7 May 2007 establishing a multi-annual plan for the sustainable exploitation of the stock of sole in the Western Channel (OJ L 122, 11.5.2007, p. 7)

²² Council Regulation (EC) No 1300/2008 of 18 December 2008 establishing a multi-annual plan for the stock of herring distributed to the west of Scotland and the fisheries exploiting that stock (OJ L 344, 20.12.2008, p. 6)

²³ Council Regulation (EC) No 1342/2008 of 18 December 2008 establishing a long-term plan for cod stocks and the fisheries exploiting those stocks and repealing Regulation (EC) No 423/2004 (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 20)

150, 30.4.2004, p. 1)

¹⁹ Council Regulation (EC) No 2166/2005 of 20 December 2005 establishing measures for the recovery of the Southern hake and Norway lobster stocks in the Cantabrian Sea and Western Iberian peninsula and amending Regulation (EC) No 850/98 for the conservation of fishery resources through technical measures for the protection of juveniles of marine organisms (OJ L 345, 28.12.2005, p. 5)

²⁰ Council Regulation (EC) No 388/2006 of 23 February 2006 establishing a multiannual plan for the sustainable exploitation of the stock of sole in the Bay of Biscay (OJ L 65, 7.3.2006, p. 1)

²¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 509/2007 of 7 May 2007 establishing a multi-annual plan for the sustainable exploitation of the stock of sole in the Western Channel (OJ L 122, 11.5.2007, p. 7)

²² Council Regulation (EC) No 1300/2008 of 18 December 2008 establishing a multi-annual plan for the stock of herring distributed to the west of Scotland and the fisheries exploiting that stock (OJ L 344, 20.12.2008, p. 6)

²³ Council Regulation (EC) No 1342/2008 of 18 December 2008 establishing a long-term plan for cod stocks and the fisheries exploiting those stocks and repealing Regulation (EC) No 423/2004 (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 20)

Or. es

Amendment 75
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10a) In order to manage demersal species it is necessary to take into account pelagic species. As the Choke Mitigation Tool (CMT) has shown, they restrict the activity of the demersal fleet due to the lack of quotas. The ICES Working Group on Mixed Fisheries Advice is working along the same lines.

Or. es

Amendment 76

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Some demersal stocks are exploited both in the Western Waters and in their adjacent waters. Therefore the scope of the provisions of the plan relating to targets and safeguards for stocks that are mainly exploited in the Western Waters should be extended for those areas outside the Western Waters. In addition, for stocks also present in the Western Waters that are mainly exploited outside the Western Waters, it is necessary to establish the targets and safeguards in multiannual plans for areas outside the Western Waters where those stocks are mainly exploited, extending the scope of those multiannual plans so that they also cover the Western Waters.

Amendment

(11) Some demersal stocks are exploited both in the Western Waters and in their adjacent waters. Therefore the scope of the provisions of the plan relating to targets and safeguards for **demersal** stocks that are mainly exploited in the Western Waters should be extended for those areas outside the Western Waters. In addition, for stocks also present in the Western Waters that are mainly exploited outside the Western Waters, it is necessary to establish the targets and safeguards in multiannual plans for areas outside the Western Waters where those **demersal** stocks are mainly exploited, extending the scope of those multiannual plans so that they also cover the Western Waters.

Or. en

Amendment 77

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11a) For a regionalised and more efficient management and application of the plans, the scientific advice and proposals regarding fishing opportunities could be drawn up in cooperation with the fishing sector in its forums with relevant scientists and within the framework of the MSY work. In this way it would be endorsed by the bodies that best understand its particularities, namely, the Advisory Councils. These could make tried-and-tested and scientifically evaluated proposals within the MSY in which they spell out their own exploitation, catch and safeguard rules.

Or. es

Amendment 78
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11b) The management plan must not limit itself to considering mechanisms for determining fishing opportunities in the short term, which would generate uncertainty and a lack of transparency for the sector.

Or. es

Amendment 79
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11c) The application of fishing mortality ranges, which is supposed to allow fishing opportunities to be adjusted to cover the technical interactions of mixed fisheries, may lead to year-on-year fluctuations of the quotas adopted.

Or. es

Amendment 80
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11d) Authorising the approval of exploitation rules would allow a greater involvement of all interested parties to identify possible technical modalities, ensure their evaluation and select the rules according to the results.

Or. es

Amendment 81
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12) The geographical scope of the multiannual plan should be based on the geographical distribution of stocks indicated in the latest scientific stock advice provided by ICES. Future changes to the geographical distribution of stocks as set out in the multiannual plan may be needed either due to improved scientific

(12) The geographical scope of the multiannual plan should be based on the geographical distribution of stocks indicated in the latest scientific stock advice provided by ICES. Future changes to the geographical distribution of stocks as set out in the multiannual plan may be needed either due to improved scientific

information or due to migration of stocks.
Therefore, the Commission should be empowered to adopt delegated acts adjusting the geographical distribution of stocks set out in the multiannual plan if the scientific advice provided by ICES indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the relevant stocks.

information or due to migration of stocks.

Or. es

Amendment 82

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The geographical scope of the multiannual plan should be based on the geographical distribution of stocks indicated in the latest scientific stock advice provided by ICES. Future changes to the geographical distribution of stocks as set out in the multiannual plan may be needed either due to improved scientific information or due to migration of stocks. Therefore, the Commission should be empowered to adopt delegated acts adjusting the geographical distribution of stocks set out in the multiannual plan if the scientific advice provided by ICES indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the relevant stocks.

Amendment

(12) The geographical scope of the multiannual plan should be based on the geographical distribution of ***demersal*** stocks indicated in the latest scientific stock advice provided by ICES. Future changes to the geographical distribution of stocks as set out in the multiannual plan may be needed either due to improved scientific information or due to migration of ***demersal*** stocks. Therefore, the Commission should be empowered to adopt delegated acts adjusting the geographical distribution of stocks set out in the multiannual plan if the scientific advice provided by ICES, ***or a similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally,*** indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the relevant stocks.

Or. en

Amendment 83

Nosheena Mobarik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The geographical scope of the multiannual plan should be based on the geographical distribution of stocks indicated in the latest scientific stock advice provided by ICES. Future changes to the geographical distribution of stocks as set out in the multiannual plan may be needed either due to improved scientific information or due to migration of stocks. Therefore, the Commission should be empowered to adopt delegated acts adjusting the geographical distribution of stocks set out in the multiannual plan if the scientific advice provided by ICES indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the relevant stocks.

Amendment

(12) The geographical scope of the multiannual plan should be based on the geographical distribution of stocks *in Union waters* indicated in the latest scientific stock advice provided by ICES. Future changes to the geographical distribution of stocks as set out in the multiannual plan may be needed either due to improved scientific information or due to migration of stocks. Therefore, the Commission should be empowered to adopt delegated acts adjusting the geographical distribution of stocks set out in the multiannual plan if the scientific advice provided by ICES indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the relevant stocks.

Or. en

Amendment 84
João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The geographical scope of the multiannual plan should be based on the geographical distribution of stocks indicated in the latest scientific stock advice *provided by ICES*. Future changes to the geographical distribution of stocks as set out in the multiannual plan may be needed either due to improved scientific information or due to migration of stocks. Therefore, the Commission should *be empowered to adopt delegated acts adjusting* the geographical distribution of stocks set out in the multiannual plan if the

Amendment

(12) The geographical scope of the multiannual plan should be based on the geographical distribution of stocks indicated in the latest scientific stock advice. Future changes to the geographical distribution of stocks as set out in the multiannual plan may be needed either due to improved scientific information or due to migration of stocks. Therefore, the Commission should *propose adjustments to* the geographical distribution of stocks set out in the multiannual plan if the scientific advice indicates a change in the

scientific advice *provided by ICES* indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the relevant stocks.

geographical distribution of the relevant stocks.

Or. pt

Amendment 85

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) Where stocks of common interest are also exploited by third countries, the Union should engage with those third countries with a view to ensuring that these stocks are managed in a sustainable manner that is consistent with the objectives of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013, in particular Article 2(2) thereof, and of this Regulation. Where no formal agreement is reached, the Union should make every effort to reach common arrangements for fishing of such stocks with a view to making the sustainable management possible thereby promoting the level-playing field for Union operators.

Amendment

(13) Where *demersal* stocks of common interest are also exploited by third countries, the Union should engage with those third countries with a view to ensuring that these stocks are managed in a sustainable manner that is consistent with the objectives of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013, in particular Article 2(2) thereof, and of this Regulation. Where no formal agreement is reached, the Union should make every effort to reach common arrangements for fishing of such stocks with a view to making the sustainable management possible thereby promoting the level-playing field for Union operators.

Or. en

Amendment 86

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, reaching and maintaining MSY for the

Amendment

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, reaching and maintaining MSY for the

target stocks, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

target stocks, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, ***bearing in mind the specific characteristics of mixed fisheries and artisanal fishing, and*** promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects ***in the allocation of fishing opportunities, to which Article 17 of the CFP Regulation refers***. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, ***establishing measures to ensure that fishermen and fisherwomen will under no circumstances be held accountable for the landing obligation established in the reformed CFP.***

Or. es

Amendment 87
Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular,

Amendment

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular,

reaching and maintaining MSY for the target stocks, ***implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits***, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. ***This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.***

reaching and maintaining MSY for the target stocks, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Or. fr

Amendment 88

Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, ***reaching and maintaining MSY for the target stocks***, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach

Amendment

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, ***maintaining populations covered by this Regulation above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield***, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-

to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. de

Amendment 89

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) The objective of this plan should be to **contribute to the achievement of** the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, **reaching** and maintaining MSY for the **target** stocks, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental

Amendment

(14) The objective of this plan should be to **achieve** the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, **restoring** and maintaining MSY for the **all populations of** stocks **to which this Regulation applies above levels capable of producing MSY**, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the

status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 90 **João Ferreira**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 14**

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the ***achievement of*** the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, reaching and maintaining MSY for the target stocks, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of

Amendment

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the ***sustainability of fishing activities, in keeping with*** the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, reaching and maintaining MSY for the target stocks, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, ***and*** promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of

species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. pt

Amendment 91
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, reaching and maintaining MSY for the target stocks, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind *coastal fisheries and* socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, reaching and maintaining MSY for the target stocks, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, promoting a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind socio-economic aspects *of all fisheries, including coastal fisheries*. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 92

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, reaching and maintaining MSY for the target stocks, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits, **promoting** a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

(14) The objective of this plan should be to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP, and in particular, reaching and maintaining MSY for the target stocks, implementing the landing obligation for demersal stocks subject to catch limits. **It must also promote** a fair standard of living for those who depend on fishing activities, bearing in mind coastal fisheries and socio-economic aspects. It should also implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to minimise negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem. It should be coherent with the Union's environmental legislation, in particular the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 (in accordance with Directive 2008/56/EC) and the objectives of Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC. This plan should also specify details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 93

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 14 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(14a) *While sound fisheries management ought not to overlook environmental impacts extraneous to fishing activities, the ecosystem-based approach should seek to curtail massively predatory activities and control sources of pollution rather than constraining activities dependent on fishing.*

Or. pt

Amendment 94

Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15a) *Art. 16(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provides that fishing opportunities allocated to Member States shall ensure relative stability of fishing activities of each Member State for each fish stock or fishery.*

Or. fr

Amendment 95

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte, Yannick Jadot

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that ***corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges*** of values ***which are consistent with achieving MSY(F_{MSY})***. Those ranges, based on best

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that ***should be limited to a range*** of values ***below F_{MSY} in order to ensure populations are maintained above levels that can produce MSY to achieve the objectives expressed***

available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The F_{MSY} ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. ***Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.***

²⁴ *EU request to ICES to provide F_{MSY} ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10*

in Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, by 2020 the latest. This is also key to keep alive artisanal small scale fisheries. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The F_{MSY} ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice.

Or. en

Justification

The AM referencing Article 2(2) of the CFP Basic Regulation requires setting fishing limits that does not exceed F_{MSY} .

Amendment 96

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The F_{MSY} ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide F_{MSY} ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The F_{MSY} ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), ***or a similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally***, in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide F_{MSY} ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10

Or. en

Amendment 97

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The (F_{MSY}) ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide F_{MSY} ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10

Amendment

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The (F_{MSY}) ranges should be calculated by ***Member States' scientific bodies in agreement with*** the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide F_{MSY} ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10

Or. pt

Amendment 98

Isabelle Thomas, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The (F_{MSY}) ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide F_{MSY} ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas

Amendment

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The (F_{MSY}) ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} ***in accordance with Regulations (EU) No 1221/2014 and No 2016/0238.*** The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide F_{MSY} ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas

Amendment 99
Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, ***to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation*** and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The (F_{MSY}) ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

Amendment

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The (F_{MSY}) ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing mortality and catch options.

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide FMSY ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide FMSY ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10

Or. fr

Amendment 100
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed fisheries. The F_{MSY} ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on fishing

Amendment

(16) It is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) that corresponds to the objective of reaching and maintaining MSY as ranges of values which are consistent with achieving $MSY(F_{MSY})$. Those ranges, based on best available scientific advice, are necessary in order to provide flexibility to take account of developments in the scientific advice, to contribute to the implementation of the landing obligation and to take into account the characteristics of mixed **and/or multispecies** fisheries. The F_{MSY} ranges should be calculated by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), in particular in its periodic catch advice. Based on this plan they are derived to deliver no more than a 5% reduction in long-term yield compared to MSY^{24} . The upper limit of the range is capped, so that the probability of the stock falling below B_{lim} is no more than 5%. That upper limit also conforms to the ICES "advice rule", which indicates that when the spawning biomass or abundance is in a poor state, F be reduced to a value that does not exceed an upper limit equal to the F_{MSY} point value multiplied by the spawning biomass or abundance in the total allowable catch (TAC) year divided by $MSY B_{trigger}$. ICES uses these considerations and the advice rule in its provision of scientific advice on

mortality and catch options.

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide F_{MSY} ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10

fishing mortality and catch options.

²⁴ EU request to ICES to provide F_{MSY} ranges for selected stocks in ICES subareas 5 to 10

Or. es

Amendment 101

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16a) In order to achieve the objectives expressed in Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, it is appropriate to establish the target fishing mortality (F) as not exceeding the maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate. This rate shall be achieved as soon as possible and, on a progressive, incremental basis at the latest by 2020 for all stocks to which this Regulation applies.

Or. en

Amendment 102

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(17) For the purposes of fixing fishing opportunities, there should be an upper threshold for F_{MSY} ranges in normal use and, provided that the stock concerned is considered to be in a good state, an upper limit of that range may be used for certain cases. It should only be possible to fix

(17) For the purposes of fixing fishing opportunities, there should be an upper threshold for F_{MSY} ranges in normal use and, provided that the stock concerned is considered to be in a good state, an upper limit of that range may be used for certain cases. It should only be possible to fix

fishing opportunities up to the upper limit *if*, on the basis of *scientific* advice or evidence, *it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in this Regulation in mixed fisheries or necessary to avoid harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics, or in order to* limit the year-to-year variations in fishing opportunities.

fishing opportunities up to the upper limit *and* on the basis of *the best* advice or *scientific* evidence *so as to comply with the MSY in mixed and/or multispecies fisheries; this would require economic impact studies able to show whether the MSY is attained or not, since* intra- or inter-species *risks or harm can never limit the fishing of those species that are in a better state and* limit the year-to-year variations in fishing opportunities, *the TAC, because for this it would be necessary to draw up socio-economic studies to see the impact of the change. Therefore, the use of the higher range shall be undertaken by means of a justification based on one of the following three points: impact of the use of the higher range in relation to MSY, intra-species considerations and inter-species considerations.*

Or. es

Amendment 103

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte, Yannick Jadot

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) For stocks for which targets relating to MSY are available, and for the purpose of the application of safeguard measures, it is necessary to establish conservation reference points expressed as trigger spawning biomass levels for fish stocks, and trigger abundance levels for Norway lobster.

Amendment

(18) For stocks for which targets relating to MSY are available, and for the purpose of the application of safeguard measures, it is necessary to establish conservation reference points expressed as trigger spawning biomass levels for fish stocks, and trigger abundance levels for Norway lobster, *for use only until biomass levels capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield are established.*

Or. en

Amendment 104
Isabelle Thomas, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) For stocks for which targets relating to MSY are available, and for the purpose of the application of safeguard measures, it is necessary to establish conservation reference points expressed as trigger spawning biomass levels for fish stocks, and trigger abundance levels for Norway lobster.

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. fr

Amendment 105
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

(19) Appropriate safeguard measures should be envisaged in case the stock size falls below these levels. Safeguard measures should include the reduction of fishing opportunities and specific conservation measures when scientific advice states that remedial measures are needed. These measures should be supplemented by all other measures, as appropriate, such as Commission measures in accordance with Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 or Member State measures in accordance with Article 13 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

(19) Appropriate safeguard measures should be envisaged in case the stock size falls below these levels. Safeguard measures should include the reduction of fishing opportunities and specific conservation measures when scientific advice states that remedial measures are needed ***in a process in which the fishing sector should be consulted, while ensuing equal representation of the artisanal fishing sector***. These measures should be supplemented by all other measures, as appropriate, such as Commission measures in accordance with Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 or Member State measures in accordance with Article 13 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. es

Amendment 106
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(19a) In the event that the state of a stock is such that it cannot be exploited in the MSY, it should be possible for fisheries management measures, including measures to reduce fishing opportunities for this stock, to be taken so that the target fishing mortality consistent with the relevant F_{MSY} ranges can be achieved in a gradual and incremental manner over a period of between three and five years, so that the socio-economic impact of such measures on the fisheries concerned can be limited.

Or. es

Amendment 107
João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(19a) Member States should put in place discriminating measures to limit the impact that the safeguards and a precautionary approach might have on small-scale artisanal fishing by, for example, allowing greater flexibility as regards meeting targets and averting sudden changes in fishing opportunities.

Or. pt

Amendment 108

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte, Yannick Jadot

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) *It should be possible to set the total allowable catches (TACs) for Norway lobster in Western Waters as the sum of the catch limits established for each functional unit and of the statistical rectangles outside the functional units within that TAC area. However, this does not preclude the adoption of measures to protect specific functional units.*

Amendment

(20) TACs for Norway lobster *shall be* established for each functional unit and measures to protect specific functional units *shall be adopted where necessary. These measures shall be based on best available scientific advice.*

Or. en

Amendment 109

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 20 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(20a) *It should also be possible, during a closed fishing season for a particular species, for individual opportunities to fish that species to be established for specific fisheries, in order to take account of the limited or unavoidable nature of catches of this species in view of the specific socio-economic importance of these fisheries. Management should be carried out by vessel/occupation/profession, recognising the particularity of each fishery and the fact that it is impossible to avoid catching traditionally fished species, even though their stocks are in a poor state or fishing for them is prohibited: sentinel fisheries, for instance, in which some vessels are allowed to catch small quantities,*

enabling them to go to sea and provide data to scientists to assess the evolution of the stock.

Or. es

Amendment 110

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) In order to apply a regional approach to conservation and sustainable exploitation of marine biological resources, it is appropriate to provide for a possibility to take technical measures in the Western Waters *regarding all stocks*.

Amendment

(21) In order to apply a regional approach to conservation and sustainable exploitation of marine biological resources, it is appropriate to provide for a possibility to take *specific* technical measures *for fisheries of demersal species* in the Western Waters.

Or. en

Amendment 111

Nosheena Mobarik

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 21 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(21a) In order to protect declining stock levels of sea bass and Pollack, Member States should establish appropriate commercial and recreational recovery measures as determined by the best available scientific evidence.

Or. en

Amendment 112

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 21 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(21a) *There are few data and/or little is known about sea bass and pollock stocks, but such data are necessary to improve knowledge about these stocks, and any decision on this matter should be based on the best scientific data.*

Or. es

**Amendment 113
Peter van Dalen**

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 21 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(21a) *In order to protect declining stock levels of sea bass and Pollack, Member States should establish appropriate commercial and recreational recovery measures as determined by the best available scientific evidence.*

Or. en

**Amendment 114
João Ferreira**

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 22**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(22) Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the fishing opportunities for a certain stock, it should

(22) Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the fishing opportunities for a certain stock, it should

be able to set a TAC for *commercial catches which takes into account the volume of recreational catches* and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods.

be able to set a TAC for recreational fisheries and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods. *The European Parliament resolution^{1-A} should be also be taken into consideration, proceeding as it does from the premise that the allocation of opportunities for recreational fishing must not mean a reduction in professional fishing opportunities or a sharing of scarce resources between the two, especially where small-scale artisanal fishing is concerned.*

^{1-A} P8_TA-PROV(2018)0243 – State of play of recreational fisheries in the EU.

Or. pt

Amendment 115 **Sylvie Goddyn**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 22**

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the fishing opportunities for a certain stock, it should be able to set a TAC for commercial catches which takes into account the volume of recreational catches and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods.

Amendment

(22) Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the fishing opportunities for a certain stock, it should be able to set a TAC for commercial catches which takes into account the volume of recreational catches and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods. *In any case, catch limits for recreational fishermen shall be established over a period of at least one month.*

Or. fr

Amendment 116
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the fishing opportunities for a certain stock, it should ***be able to set a TAC for commercial catches which takes into account the volume of recreational catches and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods.***

Amendment

(22) Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the ***professional*** fishing opportunities for a certain stock, it should ***adopt measures seeking to restrict recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods. Member States should establish minimum recreational fishing limits that do not compete with professional fishing and with measures aimed at controlling catches in order to avoid their commercialisation and unfair competition with the sector.***

Or. es

Amendment 117
Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) ***Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the fishing opportunities for a certain stock, it should be able to set a TAC for commercial catches which takes into account the volume of recreational catches and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods.***

Amendment

(22) ***(22) When mortality caused by recreational fishing has a significant impact on a stock managed on the basis of MSY, the Council should be able to establish individual and non-discriminatory fishing opportunities for recreational fishermen while respecting the role of Member States in managing recreational fisheries.***

Or. en

Amendment 118

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the fishing opportunities for a certain stock, it should be able to set a TAC for commercial catches which takes into account the volume of recreational catches and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods.

Amendment

(22) Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the fishing opportunities for a certain **demersal** stock, it should be able to set a TAC for commercial catches which takes into account the volume of recreational catches and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods.

Or. en

Amendment 119

Nosheena Mobarik

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) ***Where the Council takes into account a significant impact of recreational fisheries in the framework of the fishing opportunities for a certain stock, it should be able to set a TAC for commercial catches which takes into account the volume of recreational catches and/or to adopt other measures restricting recreational fisheries such as bag limits and closure periods.***

Amendment

(22) ***When mortality caused by recreational fishing has a significant impact on a stock managed on the basis of MSY, the Council should be able to establish individual and non-discriminatory fishing opportunities for recreational fishermen while respecting the role of Member States in managing recreational fisheries.***

Or. en

Amendment 120
Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) In order to comply with the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the plan should provide for additional management measures to be further specified in accordance with Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 121
Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte, Yannick Jadot

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) In order to comply with the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the plan should provide for additional management measures to be further specified in accordance with Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

(23) In order to comply with the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 ***and to minimise negative impacts on the ecosystem***, the plan should provide for additional management measures, ***in particular measures to gradually avoid and eliminate discards and to minimise the negative impact of fishing on the ecosystem, taking into account the best available scientific advice***, to be further specified, ***where appropriate***, in accordance with Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. ***In the absence of joint recommendations, the Commission may adopt delegated acts.***

Or. en

Justification

The CFP stipulates in Art 2.5 that discards must be gradually eliminated by avoiding and reducing as far as possible unwanted catches in the first place.

Amendment 122

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23a) In order to protect sensitive species and habitats, in particular those critically endangered and impacted due to fishing pressure, the plan should establish management measures to the concerned fisheries including modification of vessel gears, modification of vessel activities, and modifications to the vessel itself. The plan should provide for additional management measures to be further specified in accordance with Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down a sea basin analysis, and the format and timetables for the submission and approval of management measures.

Or. en

Amendment 123

Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23a) Taking into account their specific character and their status under Article 349 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and given the essential socio-economic importance of

their fisheries and related activities and, moreover, their limited real fishing capacity resulting from the fact that they have, for the most part, small-scale artisanal and coastal fleets, the outermost regions should be treated more favourably as regards fishing opportunity limits where opportunities require the use of selective artisanal types of gear.

Or. pt

Amendment 124
António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23a) In order to protect sensitive species and habitats, in particular those critically endangered and impacted due to fishing pressure, the plan should establish management measures to the concerned fisheries including modification of vessel gears, modification of vessel activities, and modifications to the vessel itself. The plan should provide for additional management measures to be further specified in accordance with Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Justification

Seabirds, marine mammals and marine reptiles are incidentally caught throughout the whole of the Western Waters. The critically endangered Balearic shearwater is one of the species incidentally caught.

Several mitigation measures have been known to be effective in tackling bycatch where a combination of use of these measures can be effective in minimizing bycatch by more than 95%.

Amendment 125
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation based on scientific advice. The plan should be evaluated by ... [**five** years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every **five** years thereafter. ***That period allows for the full implementation of the landing obligation, and for regionalised measures to be adopted, implemented and to show effects on the stocks and fishery. It is also the minimum period required by scientific bodies.***

Amendment

(25) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation based on scientific advice. The plan should be evaluated by ... [**three** years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every **three** years thereafter.

Or. es

Amendment 126
João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation based on scientific advice. The plan should be evaluated by ... [**five** years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every **five years** thereafter. That period allows for the full implementation of the

Amendment

(25) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation based on scientific advice. The plan should be evaluated by ... [**two** years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every **year** thereafter. That period allows for the full implementation of the landing

landing obligation, and for regionalised measures to be adopted, implemented and to show effects on the stocks and fishery. It *is also the minimum period required by scientific bodies.*

obligation, and for regionalised measures to be adopted, implemented and to show effects on the stocks and fishery. It *will also help to reduce sharp variations in fishing opportunities, especially for small-scale artisanal fishing.*

Or. pt

Amendment 127 **Sylvie Goddyn**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 25**

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation based on scientific advice. The plan should be evaluated by ... [five years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every five years thereafter. That period allows for *the full implementation of the landing obligation, and for* regionalised measures to be adopted, implemented and to show effects on the stocks and fishery. It is also the minimum period required by scientific bodies.

Amendment

(25) In accordance with Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provisions should be established for the periodical assessment by the Commission of the adequacy and effectiveness of the application of this Regulation based on scientific advice. The plan should be evaluated by ... [five years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every five years thereafter. That period allows for regionalised measures to be adopted, implemented and to show effects on the stocks and fishery. It is also the minimum period required by scientific bodies.

Or. fr

Amendment 128 **Isabelle Thomas**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 26**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(26) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures and implementation of the landing obligation. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making²⁵. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

deleted

²⁵ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

Or. fr

Justification

Under Article 290 TFEU, a legislative act may delegate to the European Commission the power to adopt non-legislative acts to supplement or modify certain non-essential elements of the legislative act. The implementation of the landing obligation is an essential element of Regulation (EC) No 1380/2013, and therefore requires the intervention of the legislator.

Amendment 129
João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) In order to adapt to **the** technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the **power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of** supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures and implementation of the landing obligation. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, **and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making²⁵. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts,** the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' **experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.**

²⁵ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

Amendment

(26) In order to adapt to technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the Commission **should propose measures** supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures and implementation of the landing obligation. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level. The European Parliament and the Council **should** receive all documents at the same time as Member States.

Or. pt

Amendment 130
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) In order to adapt to the technical

Amendment

(26) In order to adapt to the technical

and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures and implementation of the landing obligation. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making²⁵. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

²⁵ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures and implementation of the landing obligation. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations *with the Advisory Councils affected* during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016²⁵ on Better Law-Making . In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

²⁵ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

Or. es

Amendment 131

Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in

Amendment

(26) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in

accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures *and implementation of the landing obligation*. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making²⁵. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

²⁵ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making²⁵. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

²⁵ OJ L 123, 12.5.2016, p. 1.

Or. fr

Amendment 132

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

(28) Applying dynamic references to ranges of F_{MSY} and to conservation reference points guarantees that these parameters, which are essential for setting fishing opportunities, do not become outdated and the Council is always able to use the best available scientific advice. Moreover, that approach providing dynamic references to the best available scientific advice should be followed for

Amendment

(28) Applying dynamic references to ranges of F_{MSY} and to conservation reference points guarantees that these parameters, which are essential for setting fishing opportunities, do not become outdated and the Council is always able to use the best available scientific advice. Moreover, that approach providing dynamic references to the best available scientific advice should be followed for

managing stocks in the Baltic Sea. In this context, "best available scientific advice" refers to publicly available scientific advice *that is supported by the most up-to-date scientific data and methods and has either been issued or reviewed by an independent scientific body that is recognised at the European Union or international level.* Regulation (EU) 2016/1139²⁷ should therefore be amended.

managing stocks in the Baltic Sea. In this context, "best available scientific advice" refers to publicly available scientific advice *which is peer reviewed by the Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) or other appropriate scientific bodies such as the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES).* It shall be supported by the most up-to-date scientific data and methods *available and meet the requirements of Article 25 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.*

²⁷ Regulation (EU) 2016/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 July 2016 establishing a multiannual plan for the stocks of cod, herring and sprat in the Baltic Sea and the fisheries exploiting those stocks, amending Council Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005 and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1098/2007 (OJ L 191, 15.7.2016, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 133 **João Ferreira**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 4**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) Seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) in divisions 4b, 4c, 7a, and 7d–h; *deleted*

Or. pt

Amendment 134 **Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) *Seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax) in divisions 4b, 4c, 7a, and 7d–h;* *deleted*

Or. es

Justification

This species is not subject to a TAC, so it should not be included in the list of fish stocks affected by this plan.

Amendment 135

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 17

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(17) Whiting (*Merlangius merlangus*) in subarea 8 *and division 9a;*

(17) Whiting (*Merlangius merlangus*) in subarea 8;

Or. es

Justification

This species is not subject to a TAC, so it should not be included in the list of fish stocks affected by this plan.

Amendment 136

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 23 – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– In Southern Bay of Biscay (FU 25);

– In Southern Bay of Biscay (FU 23-24);

Or. es

Justification

There is an error in the designation of the Functional Unit in the Commission proposal.

Amendment 137

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 24 – indent 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– In Western Galicia (FU 26-27);

– In Western Galicia (FU 26);

Or. es

Justification

There is an error in the designation of the Functional Unit in the Commission proposal.

Amendment 138

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 24 – indent 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– *In Iberian waters (FU 28-29);*

deleted

Or. es

Justification

There is an error in the designation of the Functional Unit in the Commission proposal.

Amendment 139

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 24 – indent 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– ***North of Portugal (FU 27)***

Or. es

Justification

There is an error in the designation of the Functional Unit in the Commission proposal.

Amendment 140

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 24 – indent 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– ***Portuguese waters (southern Portugal and the Algarve) (FU 28-29)***

Or. es

Justification

There is an error in the designation of the Functional Unit in the Commission proposal.

Amendment 141

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte, Yannick Jadot

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 37 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(37a) Thornback ray (*Raja clavata*) in divisions 7a, 7d-g, 8, and 9a;

Or. en

Justification

These species are of economic importance in these fisheries and should therefore be included.

Amendment 142

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte, Yannick Jadot

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point 37 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(37b) Undulate ray (*Raja undulata*) in 7d and e.

Or. en

Justification

These species are of economic importance in these fisheries and should therefore be included.

Amendment 143

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Bearing in mind that fleets that pursue demersal fish catch large amounts of pelagic species that may even restrict their fishing capacity because they act as 'choke species', the Commission, with the participation of the Advisory Councils and scientific institutes, shall analyse the incidence thereof since pelagic species may affect the socio-economic dimension of fishing.

Or. es

Amendment 144

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Where scientific advice indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the stocks listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the Commission may adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 amending this Regulation by adjusting the areas specified above in order to reflect that change. Such adjustments shall not extend the stock areas beyond Union waters of subareas 4 to 10, and the CECAF zones 34.1.1, 34.1.2 and 34.2.0.

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 145

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Where scientific advice indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the stocks listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the Commission may adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 amending this Regulation by adjusting the areas specified above in order to reflect that change. Such adjustments shall not extend the stock areas beyond Union waters of subareas 4 to 10, and the CECAF zones 34.1.1, 34.1.2 and 34.2.0.

Where ***the best*** scientific advice ***available, in particular that of the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES)***, indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the stocks listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the Commission may adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 amending this Regulation by adjusting the areas specified above in order to reflect that change. Such adjustments shall not extend the stock areas beyond Union waters of subareas 4 to 10, and the CECAF zones 34.1.1, 34.1.2 and 34.2.0.

Or. es

Amendment 146

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Where scientific advice indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the stocks listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the Commission may adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 amending this Regulation by adjusting the areas specified above in order to reflect that change. Such adjustments shall not extend the stock areas beyond Union waters of subareas 4 to 10, and the CECAF zones 34.1.1, 34.1.2 and 34.2.0.

Amendment

Where **best available** scientific advice indicates a change in the geographical distribution of the stocks listed in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the Commission may adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 amending this Regulation by adjusting the areas specified above in order to reflect that change. Such adjustments shall not extend the stock areas beyond Union waters of subareas 4 to 10, and the CECAF zones 34.1.1, 34.1.2 and 34.2.0.

Or. en

Amendment 147
Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where on the basis of scientific advice the Commission considers that the list of stocks set out in the first subparagraph of paragraph 1 needs to be amended, the Commission may submit a proposal for the amendment of that list.

Amendment

2. Where on the basis of **best available** scientific advice the Commission considers that the list of stocks set out in the first subparagraph of paragraph 1 needs to be amended, the Commission may submit a proposal for the amendment of that list.

Or. en

Amendment 148
Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where on the basis of scientific advice the Commission considers that the list of stocks set out in the first subparagraph of paragraph 1 needs to be amended, the Commission **may submit** a proposal for the amendment of that list.

Amendment

2. Where on the basis of scientific advice the Commission considers that the list of stocks set out in the first subparagraph of paragraph 1 needs to be amended, the Commission **submits** a proposal for the amendment of that list.

Or. en

Amendment 149

Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught in the Western Waters when fishing for the stocks listed in paragraph 1. However, where ranges of F_{MSY} and safeguards linked to biomass for those stocks are established under other Union legal acts establishing multiannual plans, those ranges and safeguards shall apply.

Amendment

4. This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught in the Western Waters when fishing for the stocks listed in paragraph 1 ***pursuant to the provisions on fisheries management of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, Article 2(2) and respecting the maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate***. However, where ranges of F_{MSY} and safeguards linked to biomass for those stocks are established under other Union legal acts establishing multiannual plans, those ranges and safeguards shall apply.

Or. de

Amendment 150

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught in the Western Waters when

Amendment

4. This Regulation also applies to by-catches, ***taking into account the specific***

fishing for the stocks listed in paragraph 1. However, where ranges of F_{MSY} and safeguards linked to biomass for those stocks are established under other Union legal acts establishing multiannual plans, those ranges and safeguards shall apply.

characteristics of mixed and artisanal fisheries, caught in the Western Waters when fishing for the stocks listed in paragraph 1. However, where ranges of F_{MSY} and safeguards linked to biomass for those stocks are established under other Union legal acts establishing multiannual plans, those ranges and safeguards shall apply.

Or. es

Amendment 151

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught in the Western Waters when fishing for the stocks listed in paragraph 1. *However, where ranges of F_{MSY} and safeguards linked to biomass for those stocks are established under other Union legal acts establishing multiannual plans, those ranges and safeguards shall apply.*

Amendment

4. This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught in the Western Waters when fishing for the stocks listed in paragraph 1 *and shall ensure that exploitation of all living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield, in line with Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013*

Or. en

Amendment 152

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught in the Western Waters when fishing for the stocks listed in paragraph 1.

Amendment

4. This Regulation also applies to by-catches caught in the Western Waters when fishing for the *demersal* stocks listed in

However, where ranges of F_{MSY} and safeguards linked to biomass for those stocks are established under other Union legal acts establishing multiannual plans, those ranges and safeguards shall apply.

paragraph 1. However, where ranges of F_{MSY} and safeguards linked to biomass for those stocks are established under other Union legal acts establishing multiannual plans, those ranges and safeguards shall apply.

Or. en

Amendment 153

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. This Regulation also specifies details for the implementation of measures to minimise the impact of the fisheries on the marine environment, in particular the incidental catches of protected species, in Union waters of the Western Waters for all fisheries fishing in those waters. The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down a sea basin analysis, and the format and timetables for the submission and approval of management measures.

Or. en

Amendment 154

António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. This Regulation also specifies details for the implementation of minimising the impact of the fisheries on the marine environment, in particular the

incidental catches of protected species, in Union waters of the Western Waters for all fisheries fishing in those waters.

Or. en

Justification

The objective and the time-frame must apply to minimising the impact on the marine environment.

Amendment 155

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

5. This Regulation also specifies details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

5. This Regulation also specifies details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all **demersal** stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 156

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 5**

Text proposed by the Commission

5. This Regulation also specifies details for the implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

5. This Regulation also specifies details for the **full** implementation of the landing obligation in Union waters of the Western Waters for all stocks of species to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment 157**Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas****Proposal for a regulation****Article 1 – paragraph 6***Text proposed by the Commission*

6. This Regulation provides for technical measures, as set out in article 8, applicable in the Western Waters in respect of any stock.

Amendment

6. This Regulation provides for technical measures ***for commercial and recreational fisheries***, as set out in article 8, applicable in the Western Waters in respect of any ***demersal*** stock.

Amendment 158**Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo****Proposal for a regulation****Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2***Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) "Range of F_{MSY} " means a range of values provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular from the International Council on the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), where all levels of fishing mortality within that range, result in maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the long term given a fishing pattern and under existing average environmental conditions without significantly affecting the reproduction process for the stock in question. It is derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to the maximum sustainable yield. It is capped so that the probability of the stock falling below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (B_{lim}) is no more than 5 %;

Amendment

(2) "Range of F_{MSY} " means a range of values provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular from the International Council on the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), ***or similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally***, where all levels of fishing mortality within that range, result in maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the long term given a fishing pattern and under existing average environmental conditions without significantly affecting the reproduction process for the stock in question. It is derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to the maximum sustainable yield. It is capped so that the probability of the stock falling below the limit spawning stock

biomass reference point (B_{lim}) is no more than 5 %;

Or. en

Amendment 159

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2.^o – paragraph 1 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) "Range of F_{MSY} " means a range of values provided in the best available scientific advice, *in particular* from the International Council on the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), where all levels of fishing mortality within that range, result in maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the long term given a fishing pattern and under existing average environmental conditions without significantly affecting the reproduction process for the stock in question. It is derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to the maximum sustainable yield. It is capped so that the probability of the stock falling below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (B_{lim}) is no more than 5 %;

Amendment

(2) "Range of F_{MSY} " means a range of values provided in the best available scientific advice, *based on data* from the *Member States and the* International Council on the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), where all levels of fishing mortality within that range result in maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the long term given a fishing pattern and under existing average environmental conditions without significantly affecting the reproduction process for the stock in question. It is derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to the maximum sustainable yield. It is capped so that the probability of the stock falling below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (B_{lim}) is no more than 5 %;

Or. pt

Amendment 160

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) " F_{MSY} *point value*" is the value of the estimated fishing mortality that with a

Amendment

(5) " F_{MSY} " is the value of the estimated fishing mortality that with a given fishing

given fishing pattern and current environmental conditions gives the long-term maximum yield.

pattern and current environmental conditions gives the long-term maximum yield.

Or. en

Amendment 161

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) " B_{lim} " means the stock size reference point provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular by ICES, below which there may be reduced reproductive capacity;

Amendment

(8) " B_{lim} " means the stock size reference point provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular by ICES, ***or a similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally***, below which there may be reduced reproductive capacity;

Or. en

Amendment 162

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) "MSY Btrigger" means the spawning stock biomass, or in the case of Norway lobster – abundance, reference point provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular from ICES, below which specific and appropriate management action is to be taken to ensure that exploitation rates in combination with natural variations rebuild stocks above levels capable of producing MSY in the long term.

Amendment

(9) "MSY Btrigger" means the spawning stock biomass, or in the case of Norway lobster – abundance, reference point provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular from ICES, ***or similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally***, below which specific and appropriate management action is to be taken to ensure that exploitation rates in combination with natural variations rebuild stocks above levels capable of producing

MSY in the long term.

Or. en

Amendment 163

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9a) “Best available scientific advice” refers to publicly available scientific advice which is peer reviewed by an appropriate scientific body such as the Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF) and the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) . It shall be supported by the most up-to-date peer reviewed scientific data and methods available and meet the requirements of Article 25 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 164

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9a) "Best available scientific advice" refers to publicly available scientific advice that is supported by the most up-to-date scientific data and methods and has either been issued or reviewed by an independent scientific body that is recognised at the European or international level.

Amendment 165
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY.

Amendment

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY, *as well as to ensure the economic survival of the fishing sector, with special focus on the artisanal fleet, for which it is indispensable that there should be a fair distribution of fishing opportunities, overcoming the discrimination from which this sector currently suffers as regards distribution.*

Or. es

Amendment 166
Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and

Amendment

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and

shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY.

shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY. ***In addition to pursuing environmental sustainability, the plan must be managed in a manner consistent with the objectives of generating economic, social and employment benefits, while contributing to the availability of food products.***

Or. es

Justification

In addition to the environmental dimension, it is important to take into account the social and economic dimension of fishing and aquaculture, as established by Regulation 1380/2013.

Amendment 167 **Ulrike Rodust**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 3 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY.

Amendment

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY. ***The maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate shall be achieved gradually for all stocks, and by 2020 at the latest, and will be maintained thereafter.***

Or. de

Amendment 168
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY.

Amendment

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY **and to ensure the socio-economic sustainability of the activity, while generating economic, social and employment benefits.**

Or. es

Amendment 169
João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3.º – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, **in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim** to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY.

Amendment

1. The plan shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 **by seeking** to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY, **as well as guaranteeing fair socio-economic conditions for fishing communities.**

Or. pt

Amendment 170

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall ***contribute to the achievement of*** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY.

Amendment

1. The plan shall ***achieve*** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular by applying the precautionary approach to fisheries management, and shall aim to ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce MSY.

Or. en

Amendment 171

Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***The plan shall contribute to the elimination of discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.***

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 172
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall contribute to the elimination of discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Amendment

2. The plan shall contribute to the elimination of discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, ***including by the use of innovative selective fishing gear and techniques***, unwanted catches, and to the implementation, ***as far as possible***, of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Or. en

Amendment 173
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall contribute to the ***elimination of*** discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Amendment

2. The plan shall contribute to the ***significant reduction in*** discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Or. es

Amendment 174
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall contribute to the elimination of discards, by avoiding and reducing, as far as possible, unwanted catches, and to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the species which are subject to catch limits and to which this Regulation applies.

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. fr

Amendment 175

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Ulrike Rodust, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. ***In particular, the plan should contribute to reduce, and preferably eliminate, bycatch of sensitive species such as birds, cetaceans and turtles, as well as the impact on sensitive habitats in particular sea mounts, deep-sea reefs and coral gardens or sponges colonies.*** It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment 176
António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem, ***and in particular on vulnerable habitats and protected species, including marine mammals, marine reptiles and seabirds,*** are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Or. en

Justification

Seabirds, marine mammals and marine reptiles are incidentally caught throughout the whole of the Western Waters. The critically endangered Balearic shearwater is one of the species incidentally caught and on the verge of extinction because of this bycatch.

Amendment 177
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries

Amendment

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries

management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC *and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.*

management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC.

Or. fr

Amendment 178 **Liadh Ní Riada**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 3 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The plan shall *implement* the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment

3. The plan shall *take into account the characteristics of each fishery and regionalisation when implementing* the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Or. en

Amendment 179 **Nosheena Mobarik**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised ***and to ensure that fishermen continue to fish sustainably and selectively***. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Or. en

Amendment 180 Peter van Dalen

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised ***and to ensure that fishermen continue to fish sustainably and selectively***. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Articles 4 and 5 of Directive 2009/147/EC and Articles 6 and 12 of Council Directive.

Or. en

Amendment 181

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in **Articles 4 and 5 of** Directive 2009/147/EC and **Articles 6 and 12 of** Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Amendment

3. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. It shall be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC and the objectives set out in Directive 2009/147/EC and Council Directive 92/43/EEC.

Or. en

Amendment 182

António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) ensure that the conditions described in **descriptor 3** contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC are fulfilled; and

Amendment

(a) ensure that, ***to the extent that fisheries can contribute to their fulfilment***, the conditions described in ***the qualitative descriptors*** contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC are fulfilled; and

Or. en

Amendment 183

António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) *contribute to the fulfilment of other relevant descriptors contained in Annex I to Directive 2008/56/EC in proportion to the role played by fisheries in their fulfilment.*

Amendment

(b) *ensure that negative impacts of fishing on the marine environment are minimised, in particular regarding vulnerable habitats and protected species, including marine mammals and seabirds.*

Or. en

Justification

Seabirds, marine mammals and reptiles are incidentally caught throughout the whole of the Western Waters.

Amendment 184

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Measures under the plan shall be taken in accordance with the best available scientific advice. Where there is insufficient data, a comparable degree of conservation of the relevant stocks shall be pursued.

Amendment

5. Measures under the plan shall be taken in accordance with the best available scientific advice. ***Best available scientific advice shall be peer reviewed by reliable and appropriate scientific bodies such as the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) or the Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee for Fisheries (STECF). It shall be made publicly available at the latest when these measures are proposed by the Commission.*** Where there is insufficient data, a comparable degree of conservation of the relevant stocks shall be pursued.

Or. en

Amendment 185
Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Measures under the plan shall be taken in accordance with the best available scientific advice. Where there is insufficient data, a comparable degree of conservation of the relevant stocks shall be pursued.

Amendment

5. Measures under the plan shall be taken in accordance with the best available scientific advice. Where there is insufficient data, **at least** a comparable degree of conservation of the relevant stocks shall be pursued.

Or. en

Amendment 186
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. In cases of biological rest periods for the regeneration of fisheries due to pollution of the marine environment, or closures of fishing or shellfish areas caused, for example, by deficiencies in or the absence of a wastewater treatment system or discharges of any type of pollution, these payments shall be made with a contribution from the agents responsible for the pollution, whether they be public administrations or enterprises that fail to comply with environmental standards, and steps shall be taken to ensure that during these periods fishermen and fisherwomen have an adequate income.

Or. es

Amendment 187

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} defined in Article 2 shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for the stocks listed in Article 1(1), and shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges of F_{MSY} , in accordance with this Article.

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} defined in Article 2 shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for the **demersal** stocks listed in Article 1(1), and shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges of F_{MSY} , in accordance with this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 188

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} defined in Article 2 shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for **the** stocks **listed in Article 1(1)**, and shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges of F_{MSY} , in accordance with this Article.

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} defined in Article 2 shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for **all harvested** stocks, and shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges of F_{MSY} , in accordance with this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 189

Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} defined in Article 2 shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, **incremental** basis by 2020 for the stocks listed in Article 1(1), and shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges of F_{MSY} , in accordance with this Article.

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} defined in Article 2 shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive basis, by 2020 for the stocks listed in Article 1(1), and shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges of F_{MSY} , in accordance with this Article.

Or. fr

Amendment 190
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be requested from ICES.

Amendment

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be requested from **an independent scientific body that is recognised at EU or international level, such as** ICES.

Or. es

Amendment 191
Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be requested from ICES.

Amendment

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be requested from ICES, **or a similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally.**

Or. en

Amendment 192

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be ***requested from*** ICES.

Amendment

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be ***based on the best available scientific advice, in particular by*** ICES.

Or. en

Amendment 193

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. ***Notwithstanding paragraphs 3 and 4, fishing opportunities for a stock may be fixed in accordance with the upper range of F_{MSY} available at that time for that stock, provided that the stock referred to in Article 1(1) is above $MSY B_{trigger}$:***
(a) ***if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries;***
(b) ***if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary to avoid serious harm to a stock caused by intra- or inter-species stock dynamics; or***
(c) ***in order to limit variations in fishing opportunities between consecutive years to not more than 20%.***

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Fishing in the upper F-ranges will not achieve the CFP objective (Art.2.2.) of restoring biomass to levels above those that can produce the maximum sustainable yield. This is also key to keep alive artisanal small scale fisheries.

Amendment 194

Isabelle Thomas, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries;

Amendment

(a) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed **fisheries, in particular to limit the induced socio-economic constraints on** fisheries;

Or. fr

Amendment 195

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed fisheries;

Amendment

(a) if, on the basis of scientific advice or evidence, it is necessary for the achievement of the objectives laid down in Article 3 in the case of mixed **and/or multispecies** fisheries;

Or. es

Amendment 196

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) in order to limit variations in fishing opportunities between consecutive years to not more than 20%.

Amendment

(c) in order to limit variations in fishing opportunities between consecutive years to not more than 20%, ***except in those cases in which 'choke' situations or other situations which paralyse or significantly affect the activity of some fleets are alleviated.***

Or. es

Amendment 197 João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. Notwithstanding the preceding paragraphs, measures shall be taken with a view to ensuring that the small-scale artisanal fishing sector is allowed greater flexibility for the purposes of meeting the above targets and is not affected by sudden changes as regards access to resources.

Or. pt

Amendment 198 Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. In order to avoid a situation in which short-term management hinders the implementation of multiannual management and in order to encourage

the participation of stakeholders in the decision-making process, it shall be possible to approve exploitation rules via regionalisation.

Or. es

Amendment 199

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Management measures for the stocks referred to in Article 1(4), including where appropriate fishing opportunities shall be set taking into account the best available scientific advice and shall be in accordance with the objectives laid down in Article 3.

Amendment

1. Management measures for the stocks referred to in Article 1(4), including where appropriate fishing opportunities shall be set taking into account the best available scientific advice and shall be in accordance with the objectives laid down in Article 3.

Or. es

Justification

Linguistic amendment that affects only the Spanish version.

Amendment 200

Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Those stocks shall be managed under the precautionary approach to fisheries management as defined in point 8 of Article 4 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 when no adequate scientific information is available.

Amendment

2. Those stocks shall be managed under the precautionary approach to fisheries management as defined in point 8 of Article 4 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 when no adequate scientific information is available. ***For stocks where no scientific advice and data exist, the targets set out in Article 9(2) of***

Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 are to be met. Those targets ensure that the relevant stocks will be preserved at a level which is at least comparable with the targets for the highest sustainable yield.

Or. de

Amendment 201

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Those stocks shall be managed under the precautionary approach to fisheries management as defined in point 8 of Article 4 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 when no adequate scientific information is available.

Amendment

2. Those stocks shall be managed under the precautionary approach to fisheries management as defined in point 8 of Article 4 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 ***and in accordance with the objectives set in Article 3(1) and Article 3(3) of this Regulation*** when no adequate scientific information is available. ***Fisheries management measures for these stocks shall ensure a degree of conservation at least comparable to exploitation rates consistent with the MSY as required by Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.***

Or. en

Amendment 202

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Those stocks shall be managed under the precautionary approach to fisheries management as defined in point 8

Amendment

2. Those stocks shall be managed under the precautionary approach to fisheries management as defined in point 8

of Article 4 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 when no adequate scientific information is available.

of Article 4 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 when no adequate scientific information is available ***and shall ensure at least a comparable degree of conservation to MSY as set out in Article 9.2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013***

Or. en

Amendment 203 **Liadh Ní Riada**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 5 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In accordance with Article 9(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the management of mixed fisheries with regard to stocks referred to in Article 1(4) of this Regulation shall take into account the difficulty of fishing all stocks at MSY at the same time, especially in situations where this ***leads*** to a premature closure of the fishery.

Amendment

3. In accordance with Article 9(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the management of mixed fisheries with regard to stocks referred to in Article 1(4) of this Regulation shall take into account the difficulty of ***operators*** fishing all stocks at MSY at the same time, especially in situations where this ***may lead*** to a premature closure of the fishery ***which would necessitate supports for fishers affected.***

Or. en

Amendment 204 **Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 5 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In accordance with Article 9(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the management of mixed fisheries with regard to stocks referred to in Article 1(4) of this Regulation shall take into account the

Amendment

3. In accordance with Article 9(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the management of mixed ***and artisanal*** fisheries with regard to stocks referred to in Article 1(4) of this Regulation shall take

difficulty of fishing all stocks at MSY at the same time, especially in situations where this leads to a premature closure of the fishery.

into account the difficulty of fishing all stocks at MSY at the same time, especially in situations where this leads to a premature closure of the fishery.

Or. es

Amendment 205
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In accordance with Article 9(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the management of mixed fisheries with regard to stocks referred to in Article 1(4) of this Regulation shall take into account the difficulty of fishing all stocks at MSY at the same time, especially in situations where this leads to a premature closure of the fishery.

Amendment

3. In accordance with Article 9(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the management of mixed ***and/or multispecies*** fisheries with regard to stocks referred to in Article 1(4) of this Regulation shall take into account the difficulty of fishing all stocks at MSY at the same time, especially in situations where this leads to a premature closure of the fishery.

Or. es

Amendment 206
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from **ICES** based on this Plan:

Amendment

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from ***an independent scientific body that is recognised at Union or international level, in particular ICES***, based on this Plan:

Amendment 207

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from ICES based on this Plan:

Amendment

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from ICES, *or a similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally*, based on this Plan:

Or. en

Amendment 208

Nils Torvalds, António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from ICES based on this Plan:

Amendment

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from ICES *and in line with the definition of best available scientific advice* based on this Plan:

Or. en

Amendment 209

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from **ICES based on** this Plan:

Amendment

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from **the appropriate scientific bodies on the basis of** this Plan:

Or. pt

Amendment 210

Peter van Dalen, Nosheena Mobarik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from ICES **based on this Plan**:

Amendment

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall, **based on this plan**, be requested from ICES:

Or. en

Amendment 211

Isabelle Thomas, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass, and in the case of Norway lobster stocks – abundance, of any of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) is below the $MSY B_{trigger}$, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(3) and (5) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the upper range of F_{MSY} , taking into account the decrease in biomass.

Or. fr

Amendment 212

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass, and in the case of Norway lobster stocks – abundance, of any of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) is below the $MSY B_{trigger}$, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY . In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(3) and (5) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the upper range of F_{MSY} , taking into account the decrease in biomass.

Amendment

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass, and in the case of Norway lobster stocks – abundance, of any of the *demersal* stocks referred to in Article 1(1) is below the $MSY B_{trigger}$, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY . In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(3) and (5) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the upper range of F_{MSY} , taking into account the decrease in biomass.

Or. en

Amendment 213

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When scientific advice indicates

Amendment

2. When scientific advice indicates

that the spawning stock biomass, and in the case of Norway lobster stocks – abundance, of any of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) is below the B_{lim} , further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY. In particular, those remedial measures may include, by way of derogation from Article 4 (3) and (5), suspending the targeted fishery for the stock or functional unit concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

that the spawning stock biomass, and in the case of Norway lobster stocks – abundance, of any of the *demersal* stocks referred to in Article 1(1) is below the B_{lim} , further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY. In particular, those remedial measures may include, by way of derogation from Article 4 (3) and (5), suspending the targeted fishery for the stock or functional unit concerned and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Or. en

Amendment 214
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Notwithstanding Article 4(1), the target fishing mortality, in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} referred to in that paragraph, together with the rapid replenishment of the stock or functional unit concerned to levels higher than those necessary to achieve MSY in accordance with paragraph 1 or 2 of this Article, may be achieved progressively and in stages over a period between three and five years, insofar as this is necessary in the light of the economic, social and employment-related impact on the fishing activities concerned.

Or. es

Amendment 215
Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The choice of measures referred to in this Article shall be made in accordance with the nature, seriousness, duration and repetition of the situation where the spawning stock biomass, and in the case of Norway lobster stocks – abundance, *is* below the levels referred to in Article 6.

Amendment

4. The choice of measures referred to in this Article shall be made in accordance with the nature, seriousness, duration and repetition of the situation where the spawning stock biomass, and, in the case of Norway lobster stocks, abundance, *are* below the levels referred to in Article 6.

Or. pt

Amendment 216
João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. For the purposes of applying the above safeguards, the state of small-scale artisanal fishing shall be taken into account in order to provide the flexibility necessary for their application.

Or. pt

Amendment 217
António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 7a

Management of incidental catches of protected species

1. *For management of protected seabirds that are impacted by fishing in Western Waters, management measures should be adopted for all longline vessels, including applying scaring devices on-board vessels, setting the nets at night, and increasing the sink rate of the hooks.*
2. *Where Member States do not implement management measure to protect species and habitats in Western Waters to which fishing has an impact, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts supplementing this Regulation by adopting detailed measures.*

Or. en

Justification

Estimated 56,000 seabirds drown in the Gran Sol, offshore of western Ireland from the Spanish demersal longline fleet. Records of bycatch are also known in Western Scotland, Ireland, France, in the Spanish Bay of Biscay and in Portuguese Waters. Estimates in Portuguese waters suggest 30,000 birds caught per year, including from purse seine, demersal longline, gillnets and polyvalent gears. The critically endangered Balearic shearwater is one of the species incidentally caught. Several mitigation measures for longlines have been scientifically tested (peer review studies) and have been known to be effective in tackling seabird bycatch, including bird scaring devices such as streamers (also known as tori line), line weighting, night settings, and hook shielding. A combination of use of these measures can be effective in minimizing bycatch by more than 95%.

Amendment 218 **João Ferreira**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 8 – paragraph 1 – introductory part**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission *is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013* in order to supplement this Regulation regarding the following technical measures:

Amendment

1. The Commission *shall make proposals* in order to supplement this Regulation regarding the following technical measures:

Amendment 219
Nosheena Mobarik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) specifications of characteristics of fishing gears and rules governing their use, to ensure or improve selectivity, to reduce unwanted catches or to minimise the negative impact on the ecosystem;

Amendment

(a) specifications of characteristics of fishing gears and rules governing their use, to ensure or improve selectivity, to reduce unwanted catches, ***particularly of juveniles***, or to minimise the negative impact on the ecosystem;

Or. en

Amendment 220
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3.

Amendment

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3 ***and should take into account socio-economic conditions, the characteristics of each fishery and the specificities of mixed fisheries and artisanal fishing.***

Or. es

Amendment 221
Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Ulrike Rodust, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3.

Amendment

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall contribute to the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3 ***and are applicable to both commercial and recreational fisheries.***

Or. en

Amendment 222

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When allocating fishing opportunities available to them in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013, Member States shall take account of the likely catch composition of vessels participating in mixed fisheries.

Amendment

1. When allocating fishing opportunities available to them in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013, Member States shall take account of the likely catch composition of vessels participating in mixed fisheries ***as well as the needs of artisanal fishing and its economic and social importance in fishing communities, as established in the Article cited above.***

Or. es

Amendment 223

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Member States shall take into account objective and transparent criteria pursuant to Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, including selectivity and

reduced environmental impact, when allocating quotas.

Or. en

Amendment 224

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte, Yannick Jadot

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. ***Without prejudice to Article 7***, the total allowable catch for the stocks of Norway lobster in the Western Waters ***may be the sum of the catch limits*** of the functional units and ***of the statistical rectangles outside the*** functional units.

Amendment

3. The total allowable catch for the stocks of Norway lobster in the Western Waters ***shall be established for each*** of the functional units and ***measures to protect specific*** functional units ***shall be adopted where necessary***.

Or. en

Justification

Best available scientific advice is available since many years, emphasising the importance of managing these burrowing species by functional unit.

Amendment 225

Francisco José Millán Mon, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. For the fish stocks and species referred to in Article 1(1) and (4), which are subject, at Union level and for the purpose of conserving resources, to fishing bans established on an annual basis in the context of decisions on fishing opportunities or seasonal fishing bans, the Council may nevertheless establish exceptional fishing opportunities for some fisheries so that account can be taken of

the limited or unavoidable nature of such catches in view of the particular socio-economic importance of these fisheries.

These exceptional fishing opportunities should be limited and should not significantly outweigh the impact of the relevant temporary ban on fishing on the conservation of the stock.

Or. es

Amendment 226

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte, Yannick Jadot

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Fishing opportunities may not be set for stocks listed in Article 1 (1) and Article 1(4) when the best available scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass, and in the case of Norway lobster stocks – abundance, of any of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) and Article 1 (4) is below the Blim.

Or. en

Amendment 227

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *When scientific advice indicates that recreational fisheries have a significant impact on the fishing mortality of a particular stock, the Council shall take them into account and may limit recreational fisheries when setting fishing*

4. *Taking into account the significant impact of recreational fishing in the framework of the professional fishing opportunities for a certain stock, the Council shall adopt measures seeking to restrict recreational fishing such as bag*

opportunities in order to avoid *exceeding the total target of fishing mortality*.

limits and closure periods. Member States shall establish minimum recreational fishing limits that do not compete with professional fishing and with measures aimed at controlling catches in order to avoid *its commercialisation and unfair competition with the sector*.

Where scientific advice indicates that recreational fishing has a significant impact on the mortality of a particular stock, gravely affecting the opportunities for the professional fishing sector, the Council shall ban recreational fishing when setting fishing opportunities in order to avoid exceeding the total target of fishing mortality.

All recreational fishing species shall be marked on board the recreational vessel.

Or. es

Amendment 228

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. When scientific advice indicates that recreational fisheries have a significant impact on the fishing mortality of a particular stock, the Council shall take them into account and may limit recreational fisheries when setting fishing opportunities in order to avoid exceeding the total target of fishing mortality.

Amendment

4. When scientific advice indicates that recreational fisheries *may* have a significant impact on the fishing mortality of a particular *demersal* stock, the Council shall take them into account and may limit recreational fisheries when setting fishing opportunities in order to avoid exceeding the total target of fishing mortality.

Or. en

Amendment 229

Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Proposal for a regulation

PE623.900v02-00

100/118

AM\1160607EN.docx

Chapter VI a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Where scientific advice recommends that fishing opportunities be restricted, that restriction may be waived for small-scale artisanal and coastal fishing vessels of the outermost regions, which shall be allowed preferential access to, or made subject to a higher fishing opportunity limit for, highly migratory species in their exclusive economic zones. The Commission shall inform the European Parliament, before any decision is taken by the Council, about significant variations in fishing opportunities affecting the outermost regions.

Or. pt

Amendment 230

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For all stocks of species in the Western Waters to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the Commission *is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013* in order to supplement this Regulation by specifying details of that obligation as provided for in points (a) to (e) of Article 15(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

For all stocks of species in the Western Waters to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the Commission ***shall propose measures*** in order to supplement this Regulation by specifying details of that obligation as provided for in points (a) to (e) of Article 15(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. pt

Amendment 231

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo, Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

For all stocks of species in the Western Waters to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 in order to supplement this Regulation by specifying details of that obligation as provided for in points (a) to (e) of Article 15(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

For all **demersal** stocks of species in the Western Waters to which the landing obligation applies under Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 of this Regulation and Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 in order to supplement this Regulation by specifying details of that obligation as provided for in points (a) to (e) of Article 15(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 232

Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 10a

This Regulation must take into account the constraints related to the size of artisanal and coastal fishing vessels used in the outermost regions. The landing of by-catches, in so far as it does not exacerbate the impact on spawning stock biomass, shall accordingly be permitted.

Or. pt

Amendment 233

Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For *each of* the ICES zones referred to in Article 1(1) of this Regulation, each Member State shall issue fishing authorisations in accordance with Article 7 of Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 for vessels flying its flag which engage in fishing activities in that area. In such fishing authorisations, Member States may also limit the total capacity *expressed in kW* of such vessels using a specific gear.

Amendment

1. For the ICES zones referred to in Article 1(1) of this Regulation, each Member State shall issue fishing authorisations in accordance with Article 7 of Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 for vessels flying its flag which engage in fishing activities in that area. In such fishing authorisations, Member States may also limit the total capacity of such vessels using a specific gear.

Or. fr

Amendment 234
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. In fishing authorisations referred to in paragraph 1, Member States may also limit the total capacity of such vessels using a specific gear.

Or. fr

Amendment 235
Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Ulrike Rodust, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Where stocks of common interest are also exploited by third countries, the Union shall engage with those third

1. Where stocks of common interest are also exploited by third countries, the Union shall engage with those third

countries with a view to ensuring that those stocks are managed in a sustainable manner that is consistent with the objectives of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013, in particular Article 2(2) thereof, and of this Regulation. Where no formal agreement is reached, the Union shall make every effort to reach common arrangements for fishing of such stocks with a view to ***making the sustainable management possible thereby promoting a level-playing field for Union operators.***

countries with a view to ensuring that those stocks are managed in a sustainable manner that is consistent with the objectives of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013, in particular Article 2(2) thereof, and of this Regulation. Where no formal agreement is reached, the Union shall make every effort to reach common arrangements for fishing of such stocks with a view to ***exploiting stocks at the MSY rate by 2020 at the latest and thereafter.***

Or. en

Amendment 236

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the ***purpose*** of paragraph 1 of this Article, Member States having direct management interest in the North Western waters and Member States having direct management interest in the South Western waters may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 ***for the first time not later than twelve months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter twelve months after each submission of the evaluation of the plan in accordance with Article 14. They may also submit such recommendations when deemed necessary by them,*** in particular in the event of an abrupt change in the situation for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 July of the previous year.

Amendment

2. For the ***purposes*** of paragraph 1 of this Article, Member States having direct management interest in the North Western waters and Member States having direct management interest in the South Western waters may, ***when they deem this to be necessary,*** submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, in particular in the event of an abrupt change in the situation for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 July of the previous year.

Amendment 237

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the purpose of paragraph 1 of this Article, Member States having direct management interest in the North Western waters and Member States having direct management interest in the South Western waters may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the first time not later than twelve months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter twelve months after each submission of the evaluation of the plan in accordance with Article 14. They may also submit such recommendations when deemed necessary by them, in particular in the event of an abrupt change in the situation for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 July of the previous year.

Amendment

2. For the purpose of paragraph 1 of this Article, Member States having direct management interest in the North Western waters and Member States having direct management interest in the South Western waters may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the first time not later than twelve months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter twelve months after each submission of the evaluation of the plan in accordance with Article 14. They may also submit such recommendations when deemed necessary by them, in particular in the event of an abrupt change in the situation for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 July of the previous year, ***or as soon as possible when such joint recommendations wish to tackle emergency situations identified by the latest scientific advice.***

Or. en

Amendment 238

António Marinho e Pinto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the purpose of paragraph 1 of this Article, Member States having direct management interest in the North Western waters and Member States having direct management interest in the South Western waters may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the first time not later than twelve months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter twelve months after each submission of the evaluation of the plan in accordance with Article 14. They may also submit *such* recommendations when *deemed* necessary *by them*, in particular in the event of *an abrupt* change in the situation *for* any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 July of the previous year.

Amendment

2. For the purpose of paragraph 1 of this Article, Member States having direct management interest in the North Western waters and Member States having direct management interest in the South Western waters may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the first time not later than twelve months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter twelve months after each submission of the evaluation of the plan in accordance with Article 14. They may also submit *further* recommendations when necessary, in particular in the event of *a* change in the situation *of* any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies, *as well as to set out a plan which contains measures to implement the ecosystem based approach to fisheries management in the Western Waters*. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 July of the previous year.

Or. en

Justification

In accordance with Article 9 of the CFP regulation

Amendment 239

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the purpose of paragraph 1 of this Article, Member States having direct management interest in the North Western waters and Member States having direct management interest in the South Western

Amendment

2. For the purpose of paragraph 1 of this Article, Member States having direct management interest in the North Western waters and Member States having direct management interest in the South Western

waters may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the first time not later than twelve months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter twelve months after each submission of the evaluation of the plan in accordance with Article 14. ***They may also*** submit such recommendations ***when deemed necessary by them, in particular*** in the event of an abrupt change in the situation for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 July of the previous year.

waters may submit joint recommendations ***after consulting the Advisory Councils*** in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the first time not later than twelve months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter twelve months after each submission of the evaluation of the plan in accordance with Article 14. ***They shall*** submit such recommendations in the event of an abrupt change in the situation for any of the stocks to which this Regulation applies. Joint recommendations in respect of measures concerning a given calendar year shall be submitted no later than 1 July of the previous year.

Or. es

Amendment 240
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The Councils may also be consulted by the Commission and by the Member States regarding other measures. The advice of the Councils shall be taken into account. These consultations shall be carried out without prejudice to consultation with STECF or other scientific bodies. The opinions of the Advisory Councils may be submitted to all the Member States concerned and to the Commission, as set out in Article 44, paragraph 3, of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013.

Or. es

Amendment 241

Linnéa Engström, Marco Affronte, Yannick Jadot

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

By [*five* years after the *date of* entry into force of this Regulation], and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the results and impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular as regards the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3.

Amendment

No less than two years after the entry into force of this Regulation, and every five years thereafter, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the results and impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular as regards the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3, ***including the recovery of fish stocks above levels capable of producing the Maximum Sustainable Yield and the progress towards Good Environmental Status.***

The Commission shall report, in particular, after each setting of fishing opportunities on how these decisions comply with the requirements of this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 242
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

By [*five* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every *five* years thereafter, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the results and impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular as regards the achievement of the objectives set out in

Amendment

By [*three* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every *three* years thereafter, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the results and impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular as regards the achievement of the objectives set out in

Article 3.

Article 3.

Or. es

Amendment 243

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

By [*five* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every *five years* thereafter, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the results and impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular as regards the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3.

Amendment

By [*two* years after the date of entry into force of this Regulation], and every *year* thereafter, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the results and impact of the plan on the stocks to which this Regulation applies and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular as regards the achievement of the objectives set out in Article 3.

Or. pt

Amendment 244

João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 15

Exercise of the delegation

1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.

2. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 1(1), 8 and 10 shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of five years from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation. The

Amendment

deleted

Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.

3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 1(1), 8 and 10 may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

4. Before adopting a delegated act, the Commission shall consult experts designated by each Member State in accordance with the principles laid down in the Inter-institutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016²⁸.

5. As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council.

6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Articles 1(1), 8 and 10 shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and to the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

²⁸ *Inter-institutional agreement between the European Parliament, the Council of the European Union and the European Commission on better law-making.*

Or. pt

Amendment 245
Liadh Ní Riada

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The power to adopt delegated acts *is* conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.

Amendment

1. The power to adopt delegated acts ***may be*** conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 246
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 1(1), 8 and 10 shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of five years from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the ***five-year*** period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of

Amendment

2. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 1(1), 8 and 10 shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of five years from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the ***three-year*** period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of

each period.

each period.

Or. es

Amendment 247
Liadh Ní Riada

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 1(1), 8 and 10 **shall** be conferred on the Commission for a period of five years from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power **shall** be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.

Amendment

2. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 1(1), 8 and 10 **may** be conferred on the Commission for a period of five years from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power **may** be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.

Or. en

Amendment 248
Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 1
Regulation (EU) 2016/1139
Article 2 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) "Range of F_{MSY} " means a range of values provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular from the International Council on the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), where all levels of fishing

Amendment

(2) "Range of F_{MSY} " means a range of values provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular from the International Council on the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), **or a similar independent**

mortality within that range, result in maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the long term given a fishing pattern and under existing average environmental conditions without significantly affecting the reproduction process for the stock in question. It is derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to the maximum sustainable yield. It is capped so that the probability of the stock falling below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (B_{lim}) is no more than 5 %;

scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally, where all levels of fishing mortality within that range, result in maximum sustainable yield (MSY) in the long term given a fishing pattern and under existing average environmental conditions without significantly affecting the reproduction process for the stock in question. It is derived to deliver no more than a 5 % reduction in long-term yield compared to the maximum sustainable yield. It is capped so that the probability of the stock falling below the limit spawning stock biomass reference point (B_{lim}) is no more than 5 %;

Or. en

Amendment 249

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139

Article 2 – point 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) " B_{lim} " means the stock size reference point provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular by ICES, below which there may be reduced reproductive capacity;

Amendment

(8) " B_{lim} " means the stock size reference point provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular by ICES, *or a similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally*, below which there may be reduced reproductive capacity;

Or. en

Amendment 250

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139

Article 2 – point 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) "MSY B_{trigger} " means the spawning stock biomass reference point provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular from ICES, below which specific and appropriate management action is to be taken to ensure that exploitation rates in combination with natural variations rebuild stocks above levels capable of producing MSY in the long term;

Amendment

(9) "MSY B_{trigger} " means the spawning stock biomass reference point provided in the best available scientific advice, in particular from ICES, ***or a similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally***, below which specific and appropriate management action is to be taken to ensure that exploitation rates in combination with natural variations rebuild stocks above levels capable of producing MSY in the long term;

Or. en

Amendment 251

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} defined in Article 2 shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for the stocks listed in Article 1(1), and shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges of F_{MSY} , in accordance with this Article.

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} defined in Article 2 shall be achieved as soon as possible, and on a progressive, incremental basis by 2020 for the ***pelagic*** stocks listed in Article 1(1), and shall be maintained thereafter within the ranges of F_{MSY} , in accordance with this Article.

Or. en

Amendment 252

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be requested from ICES.

Amendment

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be requested from ***an independent scientific body recognised at EU or international level, such as*** ICES.

Or. es

Amendment 253

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be requested from ICES.

Amendment

2. Those ranges of F_{MSY} based on this Plan shall be requested from ICES, ***or similar independent scientific body recognized by the European Union or internationally.***

Or. en

Amendment 254

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139
Article 4 – paragraph 5 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) in order to limit variations in fishing opportunities between consecutive years to not more than 20%.

Amendment

(c) in order to limit variations in fishing opportunities between consecutive years to not more than 20%, ***except in those cases in which 'choke' situations or other situations which paralyse or***

significantly affect the activity of some fleets are alleviated.

Or. es

Amendment 255
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 3
Regulation (EU) 2016/1139
Article 4 a (new) – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from **ICES** based on this Plan:

Amendment

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from ***an independent scientific body that is recognised at EU or international level, such as ICES***, based on this plan:

Or. es

Amendment 256
Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 3
Regulation (EU) 2016/1139
Article 4 a (new) – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from ICES based on this Plan:

Amendment

The following conservation reference points to safeguard the full reproductive capacity of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) shall be requested from ICES, ***or a similar independent scientific body recognised by the European Union or internationally***, based on this Plan:

Or. en

Amendment 257

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139

Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) is below the $MSY B_{trigger}$, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(3) and (5) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the upper range of F_{MSY} , taking into account the decrease in biomass.

Amendment

1. When scientific advice indicates that for a given year the spawning biomass of any of the *pelagic* stocks referred to in Article 1(1) is below the $MSY B_{trigger}$, all appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above those capable of producing MSY. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(3) and (5) fishing opportunities shall be set at levels consistent with a fishing mortality that is reduced below the upper range of F_{MSY} , taking into account the decrease in biomass.

Or. en

Amendment 258

Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of any of the stocks referred to in Article 1(1) is below the B_{lim} , further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY. In particular,

Amendment

2. When scientific advice indicates that the spawning stock biomass of any of the *pelagic* stocks referred to in Article 1(1) is below the B_{lim} , further remedial measures shall be taken to ensure rapid return of the stock concerned to levels above the level capable of producing MSY.

those remedial measures may include, by way of derogation from Article 4 (3) and (5), suspending the targeted fishery for the stock and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

In particular, those remedial measures may include, by way of derogation from Article 4 (3) and (5), suspending the targeted fishery for the stock and the adequate reduction of fishing opportunities.

Or. en

Amendment 259
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 1 – point 4
Regulation (EU) 2016/1139
Article 5 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Notwithstanding Article 4(1), the target fishing mortality in line with the ranges of F_{MSY} referred to in that paragraph, together with rapid stock replenishment to levels higher than those necessary to achieve MSY in accordance with paragraph 1 or 2 of this Article, may be achieved progressively and in stages over a period between three and five years, insofar as this is necessary in the light of the economic, social and employment-related impact on the fishing activities concerned.

Or. es