



2018/2110(INI)

27.9.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 86

Draft opinion

Keith Taylor

(PE626.972v01-00)

Implementation report as regards Regulation 1/2005 on the protection of animals during transport within and outside the EU
(2018/2110(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Deplores the poor implementation of Regulation 1/2005, ***leading to persistent*** severe animal welfare problems during transport; considers that the requirements of the Regulation have not been met;

Amendment

1. Deplores the poor implementation of Regulation 1/2005, ***which should be based in concrete figures; Asks the Commission to present to the European Parliament the ongoing report with regard to the overall application and enforcement of the current legislation in the Union to reduce*** severe animal welfare problems during transport; considers that the requirements of the Regulation have not been ***sufficiently*** met;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Markus Ferber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Deplores the poor implementation of Regulation 1/2005, leading to persistent severe animal welfare problems during transport; considers that the requirements of the Regulation have not been met;

Amendment

1. Deplores the poor implementation of Regulation 1/2005, leading to persistent severe animal welfare problems during transport; considers that the requirements of the Regulation have not been met; ***stresses that the requirements of Regulation 1/2005 must be complied with when live animals are transported from a departure point within the EU to a final destination outside the Union;***

Or. de

Amendment 3
Ryszard Antoni Legutko, Kosma Złotowski, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Deplores the poor implementation of Regulation 1/2005, leading to persistent severe animal welfare problems during transport; considers that the requirements of the Regulation have not been met;

Amendment

1. Deplores the poor implementation of Regulation 1/2005 ***and the less than strict compliance with its provisions in the Member States***, leading to persistent severe animal welfare problems during transport; considers that the requirements of the Regulation have not been met;

Or. pl

**Amendment 4
Marita Ulvskog, Jytte Guteland**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ia. Notes that the most common failures of compliance with Regulation 1/2005 involve infringement of the rules which prohibit overcrowding and require sufficient headroom, prohibit transport in extreme heat, require adequate access to water during long journeys, prohibit the transport of animals in an unsuitable condition, prohibit inadequate bedding, and require animals to be unloaded for 24 hours' rest and to receive food and water after a specified maximum journey time;

Or. sv

**Amendment 5
Ryszard Antoni Legutko, Kosma Złotowski, Tomasz Piotr Poręba**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Reiterates that transport is one of the most sensitive moments in the life of an animal and that even the best planned transport causes animals to suffer stress; considers, therefore, that transported animals must be subject to the highest and strictest legal protection.

Or. pl

Amendment 6
Marita Ulvskog, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Calls on the Member States to substantially improve compliance with Regulation 1/2005;

Or. sv

Amendment 7
Marita Ulvskog, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Agrees with the Commission that it is good practice for competent authorities to inspect all consignments destined for non-EU countries when loading, and considers that some consignments within the EU should be inspected at loading to maintain good standards; notes that during loading, competent authorities may monitor compliance with requirements relating to floor area and ceiling height, the proper functioning of

ventilation and water systems, the proper functioning of the drinking facilities and that they are suitable for the species being transported, that animals are not loaded in an unsuitable condition and that adequate feed and bedding are provided;

Or. sv

Amendment 8
Marita Ulvskog, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Id. Notes that animals are often transported through several Member States, which makes it difficult to report infringements; notes, at the same time, that Article 26 of Regulation 1/2005 requires Member States which identify infringements to report them in a systematic and detailed manner to prevent any recurrence of the infringement; notes that Article 26 of Regulation 1/2005 gives Member States strong supervisory powers, and is concerned that many Member States do not use these powers correctly or adequately;

Or. sv

Amendment 9
Andor Deli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours;

deleted

Amendment 10
Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for *a ban on all journeys over eight hours and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours*;

Amendment

2. Calls for *an assessment by the Commission and the EFSA, jointly with the EU platform on animal welfare, on the impact of long journeys on the welfare of animals*;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours *and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours*;

Amendment

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours;

Or. en

Amendment 12
David-Maria Sassoli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over *eight* hours and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to *four* hours;

Amendment

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over *seven* hours and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to *three* hours;

Amendment 13
Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours;

Amendment

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours; ***suggests that electronic bands be attached all live animals to enable tracking of their location and the duration of journeys in transport vehicles, as well as any non-compliance with transport schedules.***

Or. es

Amendment 14
Ryszard Antoni Legutko, Kosma Złotowski, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours;

Amendment

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours, ***including loading time and irrespective of whether the journey is by land or sea,*** and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours;

Or. pl

Amendment 15
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours;

Amendment

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours ***for live animals*** and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours ***to prevent nomadism of animals for slaughter***;

Or. it

Amendment 16
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours;

Amendment

2. Calls for a ban on all journeys over eight hours ***with a maximum of four hours for unweaned animals*** and for journeys to slaughter to be limited to four hours;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Ryszard Antoni Legutko, Kosma Złotowski, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Favours a more efficient transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals;

Amendment

3. Favours a more efficient, ***technically easier and more economically and ethically rational*** transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals ***intended solely for slaughter***;

Or. pl

Amendment 18
Georges Bach

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Favours a more efficient transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals;

Amendment

3. Favours a more efficient transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals **and favours regional slaughterhouses**;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Favours a more efficient transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals;

Amendment

3. Favours a more efficient transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals, **whenever possible**;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Andor Deli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Favours a more efficient transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals;

Amendment

3. Favours a more efficient transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals, **where possible**;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Isabella De Monte

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Favours a more efficient transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals;

Amendment

3. Favours a more efficient ***and ethical*** transport system that gives priority to the transport of meat over live animals;

Or. it

**Amendment 22
Keith Taylor**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Underlines the need for regional slaughterhouses and meat processing plants, including mobile slaughterhouses, and calls on the Commission to take all the necessary measures to promote slaughter closer to production and point of sale and also through administrative simplification;

Or. en

**Amendment 23
Ryszard Antoni Legutko, Kosma Złotowski, Tomasz Piotr Poręba**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Points out that some 70-80% of journeys involve animals for slaughter and that the economic losses resulting from poorly carried out or excessively long transport can be very high and

significantly reduce the animals' welfare;

Or. pl

Amendment 24

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Recognises the current market distortion caused by differing tariffs applied to live animals and to meat, which strongly incentivises the trade in live animals; urges the Commission, alongside its trading partners, to review this distortion with the aim of reducing the trade in live animals and, where necessary, replacing these sales with meat;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Ryszard Antoni Legutko, Kosma Złotowski, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Stresses that animal slaughter and meat processing at the closest possible proximity to the breeding location can help stimulate rural areas and their sustainable development;

Or. pl

Amendment 26

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3c. Deplores the conditions at border controls on third country frontiers where severe lack of facilities mean long queues and overheating causing horrific suffering and fatalities for many animals;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; ***believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal transport should be banned;***

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination;

Or. ro

Amendment 28
Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; ***believes that if compliance cannot be***

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment, ***C-424/13*** to the effect that EU traders must comply with the ***feeding, watering and resting periods in the*** Regulation until the final

guaranteed, live animal transport should be banned;

destination even when a consignment has left the Union; Asks the Commission to promote animal welfare transport internationally and conduct initiatives to increase awareness among third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; *believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal transport should be banned;*

Amendment

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; *calls on the Commission to set up common standards for tracing systems in animal transport;*

Or. en

Amendment 30
Georges Bach

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal *transport* should be banned;

Amendment

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal *export from the EU to third countries* should be banned;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal *transport* should be banned;

Amendment

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal *exports* should be banned;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal transport should be banned;

Amendment

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal transport should be banned; *suggests the Member States extend liability for non-compliance with the rules laid down in Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 to companies owning livestock in transportation.*

Or. es

Amendment 33
Ryszard Antoni Legutko, Kosma Złotowski, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal transport should be banned;

Amendment

4. Echoes the Court of Justice of the European Union's judgment to the effect that EU traders must comply with the Regulation until the final destination; believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal transport should be *strictly* banned;

Or. pl

Amendment 34
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 – indent 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Stresses the need for Member States to ensure that animal transport is properly organised, taking account of weather conditions and the type of transport;

Or. ro

Amendment 35
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Stresses that when animals are required to be unloaded for a 24-hour rest period in third countries, the organiser must identify a place for rest with facilities equivalent to those of a control post; calls on Competent Authorities to regularly inspect these facilities and not to

approve journey logs if the proposed place for rest has not been confirmed to have facilities equivalent to those of an EU control post;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Marita Ulvskog, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Observes that appropriate training of drivers is essential to ensure correct treatment of the animals transported;

Or. sv

Amendment 37
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Calls on official veterinarians at EU exit points to verify that animals are fit to continue their journey and that vehicles and/or vessels meet the requirements of the Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

5. Highlights **poor** conditions during maritime transport, and calls for:

Amendment

5. Highlights **the need to improve** conditions during maritime transport, **as established by the current regulation** and calls for:

Or. en

Amendment 39
Marita Ulvskog, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

5. Highlights poor conditions during maritime transport, and calls for:

Amendment

5. Highlights poor conditions during maritime transport **and notes that many vessels are risky for animals being transported in them**, and **therefore** calls for:

Or. sv

Amendment 40
Marie-Christine Arnautu
on behalf of the ENF Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

5. Highlights poor conditions during maritime transport, and calls for:

Amendment

5. Highlights poor conditions during maritime transport **and transport by lorry**, and calls for:

Or. fr

Amendment 41
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

5. Highlights poor conditions during maritime transport, and calls for:

Amendment

5. Highlights poor conditions during maritime **and overland** transport, and calls for:

Or. ro

Amendment 42
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels and to improve the checks on animal fitness before loading;

Amendment

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels and to improve the **Competent Authority's** checks on animal fitness before loading **as well as more rigorous inspections during loading to ensure this is carried out in line with the requirements of the Regulation;**

Or. en

Amendment 43
David-Maria Sassoli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels and to improve the checks on animal fitness before loading;

Amendment

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels and to improve the checks on animal fitness, **and health checks in particular,** before loading;

Or. it

Amendment 44
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels and to improve the checks on animal fitness before loading;

Amendment

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels **and motor vehicles** and to improve the checks on animal fitness before loading;

Or. ro

Amendment 45
Marie-Christine Arnautu
on behalf of the ENF Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels and to improve the checks on animal fitness before loading;

Amendment

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels **and lorries** and to improve the checks on animal fitness before loading;

Or. fr

Amendment 46
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels and to improve the checks on

Amendment

– Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vessels and to improve the checks on **the**

animal fitness before loading;

animals' fitness for travel before loading;

Or. it

Amendment 47

Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

– the Commission *to provide* a list of ports with adequate animal inspection facilities;

Amendment

– *Member States to provide* the Commission *with detailed plans of their inspection facilities before using any port as exit point for animals and asks the Commission for mapping* a list of ports with adequate animal inspection facilities *and through this list disseminate the best practices among EU and non- EU ports*;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Marie-Christine Arnautu
on behalf of the ENF Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

– the Commission to provide a list of ports with adequate animal inspection facilities;

Amendment

– the Commission to provide a list of ports *and border checkpoints* with adequate animal inspection facilities;

Or. fr

Amendment 49

David-Maria Sassoli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

– the Commission to provide a list of ports with *adequate* animal inspection facilities;

Amendment

– the Commission to provide a list of ports with animal inspection facilities *and adequate veterinary facilities*;

Or. it

Amendment 50

Marita Ulvskog, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *Member States to perform stricter checks at loading of animals, applying Regulation 1/2005, and*

Or. sv

Amendment 51

David-Maria Sassi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

– a veterinarian to be present throughout all *sea journeys*;

– a veterinarian to be present throughout all *stages of the journey*;

Or. it

Amendment 52

Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

– a veterinarian to be present throughout all sea journeys;

Amendment

– a veterinarian to be present throughout all sea journeys, ***whenever possible; Member States should have a system in the ports to monitor and prove compliance with the current regulation, which allows the competent authority to take appropriate measures;***

Or. en

Amendment 53

Marie-Christine Arnautu
on behalf of the ENF Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

– a veterinarian to be present throughout all sea journeys;

Amendment

– a veterinarian to be present throughout all sea journeys ***and a veterinary inspection to be carried out in each Member State crossed when animals are transported by lorry;***

Or. fr

Amendment 54

Maria Grapini

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

– a veterinarian to be present throughout all sea journeys;

Amendment

– a veterinarian ***or animal health technician*** to be present throughout all sea ***and overland*** journeys;

Or. ro

Amendment 55
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission to update Regulation 1/2005 timely in accordance with new scientific advice;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – introductory part

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. ***Deplores*** the fact that, ***despite clear*** recommendations from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), ***parts of the Regulation are not in line with current scientific knowledge***, and calls ***for updated*** rules ***on***:

6. ***Highlights*** the fact that ***the European Commission should take into consideration*** recommendations from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) ***and scientific reports on animals transport*** and calls ***on Member States for a proper implementation on the following*** rules:

Or. en

Amendment 57
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – indent 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

– sufficient ventilation and cooling in all vehicles;

– sufficient ventilation and cooling ***of the right kind*** in all vehicles ***to ensure animals do not die from suffocation***;

Or. it

Amendment 58
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – indent 1

Draft opinion

– sufficient ventilation **and** cooling in all vehicles;

Amendment

– sufficient ventilation, cooling **and heating** in all vehicles;

Or. ro

Amendment 59
Marie-Christine Arnautu
on behalf of the ENF Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – indent 2

Draft opinion

– appropriate drinking systems, particularly for unweaned animals;

Amendment

– appropriate **and functioning** drinking systems, particularly for unweaned animals, **and a sufficient supply of water to ensure that the animals have something to drink throughout the journey**;

Or. fr

Amendment 60
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – indent 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

– **provision of animal feeding systems throughout transport**;

Or. ro

Amendment 61
Marie-Christine Arnautu
on behalf of the ENF Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – indent 3

Draft opinion

– specific minimum headroom;

Amendment

– specific minimum headroom *in keeping with the size of the animals being transported and a moderate load density*;

Or. fr

Amendment 62
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – indent 3

Draft opinion

– specific minimum headroom;

Amendment

– *sufficient and* specific minimum headroom;

Or. it

Amendment 63
Georges Bach

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – indent 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *the competent authority to inspect the loading of vessels to ensure it is carried out in accordance with the Regulation, as required by Article 20(2)*

Or. en

Amendment 64

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 – indent 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *reduced stocking densities;*

Or. en

Amendment 65

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Highlights further poor conditions during road transport and calls for:

- *Member States to be more rigorous in certification and approval procedures for vehicles;*
- *Competent Authorities to ensure that there is no gap between the bottom of the partition and the vehicle floor nor between the outer edge of the partition and the wall of the vehicle;*
- *Member States to be more rigorous in determining whether to grant a certificate of competence to drivers and maintains that thorough training of drivers is vital in ensuring the proper treatment of animals;*

Or. en

Amendment 66

Ryszard Antoni Legutko, Kosma Złotowski, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *The presence of veterinary inspections of transported animals at the end of their transport;*

Or. pl

**Amendment 67
Keith Taylor**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. *Recognises that it is at loading that many problems can be detected and remedied before the start of the journey therefore urges Member States to inspect a minimum number of vehicles at loading;*

Or. en

**Amendment 68
Keith Taylor**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 c (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

6c. *Believes a number of yearly inspections by the Food and Veterinary Office should be implemented in proportion to the number of violations reported by NGOs, FVO inspections and official reports;*

Or. en

Amendment 69

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6d. Calls on the Member States to make more effective use of the strong enforcement powers given to them under the Regulation, including the power to require transporters to establish systems to prevent the recurrence of breaches and to suspend or withdraw a transporter's authorisation;

Or. en

Amendment 70

Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, **which leads to** unfair competition, inform the Commission thereof; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States concerned, **to undertake a mapping exercise identifying sanction systems, and** to ensure that penalties are effective and dissuasive;

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, **take corrective actions and sanctions to avoid** unfair competition, inform the Commission thereof, **provided that all the possibilities afforded by the regulation have been exhausted**; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States, **which systematically fail to implement and enforce the Regulation** concerned, to ensure that penalties are effective and dissuasive;

Or. en

Amendment 71

Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, which leads to unfair competition, inform the Commission thereof; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States concerned, to undertake a mapping exercise identifying sanction systems, and to ensure that penalties are effective and dissuasive;

Amendment

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, which leads *not only* to unfair competition *but also to suffering for the animals*, inform the Commission thereof; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States concerned, to undertake a mapping exercise identifying sanction systems, and to ensure that penalties are *definite*, effective and dissuasive;

Or. it

Amendment 72
Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, which leads to unfair competition, inform the Commission thereof; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States concerned, to undertake a mapping exercise identifying sanction systems, and to ensure that penalties are effective and dissuasive;

Amendment

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, which leads to unfair competition, inform the Commission thereof; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States concerned, to undertake a mapping exercise identifying sanction systems, and to ensure that penalties are effective and dissuasive; *suggests that transport, export or import licences could be temporarily withdrawn from companies guilty of serious breaches of the rules laid down in Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005.*

Or. es

Amendment 73
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, which leads to unfair competition, inform the Commission thereof; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States concerned, to undertake a mapping exercise identifying sanction systems, and to ensure that penalties are effective *and* dissuasive;

Amendment

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, which leads to unfair competition, inform the Commission thereof; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States concerned, to undertake a mapping exercise identifying sanction systems, and to ensure that penalties are effective, dissuasive *and proportional to the infringements detected*;

Or. ro

Amendment 74
Ryszard Antoni Legutko, Kosma Złotowski, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, which leads to unfair competition, inform the Commission thereof; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States concerned, to undertake a mapping exercise identifying sanction systems, and to ensure that penalties are effective and dissuasive;

Amendment

7. Insists that Member States that detect breaches of the proper implementation of the Regulation, which leads to unfair competition, inform the Commission thereof; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against the Member States concerned, to undertake a mapping exercise identifying sanction systems, and to ensure that penalties are *high*, effective and dissuasive;

Or. pl

Amendment 75

Pascal Durand, Michèle Rivasi, Eva Joly, Bart Staes, Yannick Jadot, Jill Evans, Maria Heubuch, Karima Delli, José Bové

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Given (i) the high and systematic violations of the Transport Regulation from Member States, and (ii) considering the insufficient resources the Commission invests to carry out official audits on animal welfare during transport, calls on a Committee of Inquiry to be set up to investigate alleged contraventions and maladministration in the application of Union law in relation to animal welfare during transport within and outside the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 76

Andor Deli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission to ***draw up a blacklist of operators guilty of repetitive and serious breaches of the Regulation based on inspection and implementation reports; calls on the Commission to update these blacklists frequently and also to include examples of*** best practise, both in transport and governance.

8. Calls on the Commission to ***promote*** best practise, both in transport and governance.

Or. en

Amendment 77

Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls on the Commission to draw up a blacklist of operators guilty of repetitive and serious breaches of the Regulation based on inspection and implementation reports; calls on the Commission to update these blacklists frequently and also to include examples of best *practise*, both in transport and governance.

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission to draw up a blacklist of operators guilty of repetitive and serious breaches of the Regulation based on inspection and implementation reports; calls on the Commission to update these blacklists frequently, *to publish them as often as possible* and also to include examples of best *practice*, both in transport and governance.

Or. it

Amendment 78
Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. *Calls on the Commission to continue cooperating with the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), EFSA and Member States to support the implementation and proper enforcement of regulation 1/2005 to promote and enhanced dialogue on animal welfare during transport issues with a particular focus on:*

- 1. *better application of EU rules on animal welfare during transport, through exchanges of information and best practices and the direct involvement of stakeholders,***
- 2. *supporting training activities of drivers and transport companies;***
- 3. *better dissemination of the Animal Transport Guides and Factsheets,***

translated into all EU languages;

4. the development and use of voluntary commitments by businesses to further improve animal welfare during transport;

5. increase exchanges of information and best practices between national authorities for reducing the number of infringements caused by transport companies and drivers.

Or. en

Amendment 79
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Highlights the poor conditions during road transport and calls for:

– harmonising throughout the Union the trainings provided to drivers. This is needed to guarantee an effective implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;

– the Member States to be more rigorous in determining whether to grant a certificate of approval for road vehicles and a certificate of competence for drivers;

– the competent authorities to ensure that animals on long journey vehicles have a sufficient quantity of bedding throughout the journey and that the bedding is and remains clean;

– the competent authorities to ensure that the partitions in the lorries do not have gaps in which animals' limbs could get trapped.

Or. en

Amendment 80
Georges Bach

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. An effective monitoring system should be implemented to overcome the low and insufficient number of checks and official audits. The information of the Trade Control and Expert System should be made publicly available and lorry drivers should be provided with a tablet or smart phone using a governmental application to introduce all the information regarding their means of transport, including information on animals transported, before starting the journey. Transparency for citizens should remain a priority.

Or. en

Amendment 81
Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Urges the European Commission to approve and submit a proposal for a review of Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations, with a view to setting up enhanced mechanisms for ensuring compliance by Member States with rules to protect live animals during transport.

Or. es

Amendment 82
Gesine Meissner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Recalls that vehicles carrying live animals within a radius of 100 km can be exempted from the provisions of Regulation 561/2006 on driving and rest times for truck drivers;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Urges the Member States to check all loadings destined for third countries as it is during the loading process that many problems can be detected and remedied.

Or. en

Amendment 84
Gesine Meissner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Asks for adequate loading and unloading facilities for animals into trucks and ships and adequately trained personnel;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8c. Urges the Member State that finds a breach of Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 to notify the Member State of departure, the Member State that authorised the transport company and, where appropriate, the Member State that issued the driver's certificate of competence; urges the Member States who receive such notifications to take action in order to prevent the recurrence of breaches.

Or. en

Amendment 86
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8d. Calls on Member States to make more use of the strong enforcement powers given by Article 26 of Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 such as the power to require transporters to establish systems for preventing the recurrence of breaches and the power to suspend or withdraw a transporter's licence.

Or. en