Amendment 1
Helmut Scholz, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Søren Bo Søndergaard
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Report
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo
Institutional aspects of accession by the European Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
2009/2241(INI)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion for a resolution</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Observes that the ECHR system has been supplemented by a series of additional protocols concerning the protection of rights which are not covered by the ECHR and recommends that the Commission be mandated also to negotiate accession to all the protocols concerning rights corresponding to the Charter of Fundamental Rights (1, 4, 6, 7, 12 and 13), regardless of whether they have been ratified by the Member States of the Union;</td>
<td>4. Observes that the ECHR system has been supplemented by a series of additional protocols concerning the protection of rights which are not covered by the ECHR and recommends that the Commission be mandated also to negotiate accession to all the protocols concerning rights corresponding to the Charter of Fundamental Rights, regardless of whether they have been ratified by the Member States of the Union;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en
Amendment 2
Helmut Scholz, Søren Bo Søndergaard
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo
Institutional aspects of accession by the European Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
2009/2241(INI)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that it would be unwise to formalise relations between the Court of Justice of the European Union and the European Court of Human Rights by establishing a preliminary ruling procedure before the latter or by creating a body or panel which would take decisions when one of the two courts intended to adopt an interpretation of the ECHR which differed from that adopted by the other; recalls in this context Declaration No 2 concerning Article 6(2) of the Treaty on European Union, which notes the existence of a regular dialogue between the Court of Justice of the European Union and the European Court of Human Rights, which should be reinforced when the Union accedes to the ECHR;

Amendment

Considers that it would be unwise to formalise relations between the Court of Justice of the European Union and the European Court of Human Rights by establishing a preliminary ruling procedure before the latter or by creating a body or panel which would take decisions when one of the two courts intended to adopt an interpretation of the ECHR which differed from that adopted by the other; recalls in this context Declaration No 2 concerning Article 6(2) of the Treaty on European Union, which notes the existence of a regular dialogue between the Court of Justice of the European Union and the European Court of Human Rights, which should be reinforced when the Union accedes to the ECHR; notes however that, in cases of conflict of interpretation of the ECHR between the Court of Justice of the European Union and the European Court of Human Rights, the interpretation by the latter prevails;

Or. en