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Amendment 24
Jens Geier
on behalf of the S&D Group

Report
Christofer Fjellner
2010 discharge: EU general budget, Section III, Commission 2011/2201(DEC)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 64

Motion for a resolution

64. Calls on the Commission to provide Parliament with a detailed overview of the criteria and verification mechanisms applied to avoid conflicts of interest and 'revolving door' cases for Agencies/Joint Undertakings;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en
2.5.2012

Amendment 25
Jens Geier
on behalf of the S&D Group

Report
Christofer Fjellner
2010 discharge: EU general budget, Section III, Commission
2011/2201(DEC)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 105

Motion for a resolution

105. Deplores the fact that, year after year, non-respect of public procurement rules accounts for a large proportion of the errors; sees the wider implications and considers this to be an indication that the functioning of the internal market is at stake; calls on the Commission to pursue the ongoing reform of public procurement taking due account of these worrying results and to follow up on infringements rigorously as the Court of Auditors has also identified cases of incorrect transposition of Union Directives into national public procurement law (Annual Report, point 4.27);

Amendment

105. Deplores the fact that, year after year, non-respect of public procurement rules accounts for a large proportion of the errors; calls on the Commission to pursue the ongoing reform of public procurement taking due account of these worrying results and to follow up on infringements rigorously as the Court of Auditors has also identified cases of incorrect transposition of Union Directives into national public procurement law (Annual Report, point 4.27);

Or. en
Amendment 26  
Jens Geier  
on behalf of the S&D Group  

Report  
Christofer Fjellner  
2010 discharge: EU general budget, Section III, Commission  
2011/2201(DEC)  

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 117  

Motion for a resolution  

117. Notes that DG REGIO resumed 24% (12 out of the 49 payments interrupted in 2010, all of which had been interrupted in October 2010\textsuperscript{104}) of the interrupted payments in December 2010; \textit{believes that resuming payments in December aggravates the imbalance of payments throughout the year which increases the risk of error in managing the payments and limits the time for the Court of Auditors to audit those payments}; invites the Commission to inform Parliament for which of these 12 cases funding would have been lost according to the n+2/n+3 rule if payments had been resumed in 2011;  

Amendment  

117. Notes that DG REGIO resumed 24% (12 out of the 49 payments interrupted in 2010, all of which had been interrupted in October 2010\textsuperscript{104}) of the interrupted payments in December 2010; \textit{invites the Commission to inform Parliament for which of these 12 cases funding would have been lost according to the n+2/n+3 rule if payments had been resumed in 2011};  

Or. en
Amendment 27
Jens Geier
on behalf of the S&D Group

Report
Christofer Fjellner
2010 discharge: EU general budget, Section III, Commission
2011/2201(DEC)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 118

118. Wishes to highlight the important difference between a financial correction implemented by a Member States which does not result in a net reduction and financial corrections implemented by the Commission by means of recovery orders which result in a net reduction; believes that financial corrections implemented by a Member State have a «virtual character» with little sanctioning effect; welcomes the improvements made to Note 6 of the annual accounts of the European Union and invites the Commission to continue to improve the information provided, for example by comparing the financial corrections and recoveries to the corresponding amounts of payments;

Amendment
118. Wishes to highlight the important difference between a financial correction implemented by a Member States which does not result in a net reduction and financial corrections implemented by the Commission by means of recovery orders which result in a net reduction; calls on the Commission to increase Member States' responsibility to implement their control mechanisms more effectively; welcomes the improvements made to Note 6 of the annual accounts of the European Union and invites the Commission to continue to improve the information provided, for example by comparing the financial corrections and recoveries to the corresponding amounts of payments;

Or. en
Amendment 28
Jens Geier
on behalf of the S&D Group

Report
Christofer Fjellner
2010 discharge: EU general budget, Section III, Commission
2011/2201(DEC)

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 136

136. Recalls that the main risks linked to budget support (risk to effectiveness of the aid as well as risks of fraud and corruption) also do not materialise in the Statement of Assurance audit; invites the Commission to rigorously monitor those risks;

136. Recalls that the main risks linked to budget support (risk to effectiveness of the aid as well as risks of fraud and corruption) also do not materialise in the Statement of Assurance audit; invites the Commission to rigorously monitor those risks; however, considers sectoral budget support an effective measure for long-term capacity building; calls on the Commission to introduce budget support only under rigorous and well-defined conditions;

Or. en