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Amendment 57

Evžen Tošenovský
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report A7-0252/2014

Romana Jordan
Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations

Proposal for a directive

Recital 33

Text proposed by the Commission

(33) This Directive introduces new provisions on self-assessments and peer-reviews of nuclear installations based on selected nuclear safety topics covering their entire lifecycle. At an international level, there is already confirmed experience with conducting such peer-reviews on nuclear power plants. At the EU level, the experience from the stress tests process shows the value of a coordinated exercise to assess and review the safety of EU nuclear power plants. A similar mechanism, based on cooperation between the Member States' regulatory authorities and the Commission, should be applied here. Therefore, competent regulatory authorities coordinating in the context of expert groups such as ENSREG, could contribute with their expertise to identifying the relevant safety topics and in carrying out these peer reviews. If Member States fail to jointly select at least one topic the Commission should select one or more topics to be subject to the peer reviews. Participation of other stakeholders, such as Technical Support Organisations, international observers or non-governmental Organisations could bring added value to the peer reviews.

Amendment

(33) This Directive introduces new provisions on self-assessments and peer-reviews of nuclear installations based on selected nuclear safety topics covering their entire lifecycle. At an international level, there is already confirmed experience with conducting such peer-reviews on nuclear power plants. At the EU level, the experience from the stress tests process shows the value of a coordinated exercise to assess and review the safety of EU nuclear power plants. A similar mechanism, based on cooperation between the Member States' regulatory authorities and the Commission within the framework of ENSREG, should be applied here. Therefore, competent regulatory authorities coordinating in the context of expert groups such as ENSREG, could contribute with their expertise to identifying the relevant safety topics and in carrying out these peer reviews. Participation of other stakeholders, such as Technical Support Organisations, international observers or non-governmental Organisations could bring added value to the peer reviews.

Or. en
Amendment 58
Evžen Tošenovský
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Romana Jordan
Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations

Proposal for a directive
Recital 36

Text proposed by the Commission

(36) In case the Commission identifies substantial deviations or delays in the implementation of the technical recommendations from the peer review process, the Commission should invite the competent regulatory authorities of Member States not concerned to organise and carry out a verification mission with the aim of getting a full picture of the situation and informing the Member State concerned about possible measures to remedy any identified shortcomings.

Amendment

(36) Each Member State subject to the peer review should publish a follow-up report on the implementation of recommendations after the peer review. If appropriate, a follow-up peer review should then be organised and its conclusions should be made public.

Or. en
### Amendment 59

Evžen Tošenovský  
on behalf of the ECR Group

**Report**  
Romana Jordan  
Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations  

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 1 – point 11**  
Directive 2009/71/Euratom  
Article 8 e – paragraph 2 – point a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) jointly and in close coordination with the Commission select one or more specific topics related to the nuclear safety of nuclear installations. Should Member States fail to jointly select at least a topic within the time frame specified in this paragraph, the Commission shall select the topics to be the subject of the peer reviews;</td>
<td>(a) jointly select one or more specific topics related to the nuclear safety of nuclear installations;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Amendment 60
Evžen Tošenovský
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Romana Jordan
Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – point 11
Directive 2009/71/Euratom
Article 8 e – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Should the Commission identify substantial deviations or delays in the implementation of the technical recommendations resulting from the peer review process, the Commission shall invite the competent regulatory authorities of Member States not concerned to organise and carry out a verification mission to get a full picture of the situation and inform the Member State concerned about possible measures to remedy any identified shortcomings.

Amendment

Each Member State subject to the peer review shall make available to other Member States, the Commission and the public a follow-up report on the implementation of recommendations after the peer review. If appropriate, a follow-up peer review shall then be organised under the same procedures as those described in paragraph 2 and its conclusions should be made public.

Or. en
26.3.2014 A7-0252/61

Amendment 61
Evžen Tošenovský
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report A7-0252/2014
Romana Jordan
Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – point 11
Directive 2009/71/Euratom
Article 8 f

Text proposed by the Commission

Based on the results of the peer reviews performed in accordance with Article 8e(2) and the resulting technical recommendations, in line with the principles of transparency and continuous improvement of nuclear safety, Member States shall, with the support of the competent regulatory authorities, jointly develop and establish guidelines on the specific topics referred to in Article 8e(2)(a).

Amendment

deleted

Or. en
(27) Ageing of the safety related structures, systems and components of a nuclear installation, and especially embrittlement of components which are difficult to replace in practice, such as reactor pressure vessels, puts a natural limit to its acceptable continued operation. From both a safety and an economical point of view, the limit of operational lifetime is typically 40 years after the start of commercial operation therefore Member States should ensure that possible lifetime extension of existing nuclear power plants does not expose the workers and the public to additional risks. To this end, Directive 2009/71/Euratom should be amended to include new Community wide safety objectives to be complied with by the regulatory authorities and the licence holders in case of a lifetime extension of existing nuclear power plants.

(27) Ageing of the safety related structures, systems and components of a nuclear installation, and especially embrittlement of components which are difficult to replace in practice, such as reactor pressure vessels, puts a natural limit to its acceptable continued operation. From both a safety and an economical point of view, the limit of operational lifetime is typically 40 years after the start of commercial operation therefore Member States should ensure that possible lifetime extension of existing nuclear power plants does not expose the workers and the public to additional risks. To this end, Directive 2009/71/Euratom should be amended to include new Community wide safety objectives to be complied with by the regulatory authorities and the licence holders in case of a lifetime extension of existing nuclear power plants; in case of a request for a lifetime extension, the nuclear installation should comply with the same safety requirements as the safety requirements stipulated for new nuclear installations;
Amendment 63
Teresa Riera Madurell, Kathleen Van Brempt
on behalf of the S&D Group

Report
Romana Jordan
Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – point 7
Directive 2009/71/Euratom
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) is functionally separate from any other public or private entity concerned with the promotion or utilisation of nuclear energy or electricity production;</td>
<td>(a) is legally and functionally separate from any other public or private entity concerned with the promotion or utilisation of nuclear energy or electricity production;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or. en
Amendment 64
Teresa Riera Madurell, Kathleen Van Brempt
on behalf of the S&D Group

Report
Romana Jordan
Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – point 8 – point a
Directive 2009/71/Euratom
Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall ensure that the national framework requires that the prime responsibility for the nuclear safety of a nuclear installation rests with the licence holder. This responsibility cannot be delegated.

Amendment

Member States shall ensure that the national framework requires that the sole responsibility for the nuclear safety of a nuclear installation rests with the licence holder. This responsibility cannot be delegated. Nuclear operators and waste licensees shall be fully insured and all insurance costs as well as liabilities and costs for damage caused to people and the environment in the case of accidents shall be fully covered by the operators and licensees.

Or. en
Amendment 65
Teresa Riera Madurell, Kathleen Van Brempt
on behalf of the S&D Group

Report
Romana Jordan
Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – point 8 – point b
Directive 2009/71/Euratom
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission
Member States shall ensure that the national framework requires licence holders, under the supervision of the competent regulatory authority, to regularly assess and verify, and continuously improve, as far as reasonably achievable, the nuclear safety of their nuclear installations in a systematic and verifiable manner.

Amendment
Member States shall ensure that the national framework requires licence holders, under the supervision of the competent regulatory authority, to regularly assess and verify, and continuously improve, the nuclear safety of their nuclear installations in a systematic and verifiable manner.

Or. en
Amendment 66
Teresa Riera Madurell, Kathleen Van Brempt
on behalf of the S&D Group

Report
Romana Jordan
Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 11
Directive 2009/71/Euratom
Article 8 f

Text proposed by the Commission

Based on the results of the peer reviews performed in accordance with Article 8e(2) and the resulting technical recommendations, in line with the principles of transparency and continuous improvement of nuclear safety, Member States shall, with the support of the competent regulatory authorities, jointly develop and establish guidelines on the specific topics referred to in Article 8e(2)(a).

Amendment

Based on the results of the peer reviews performed in accordance with Article 8e(2) and the resulting technical recommendations, in line with the principles of transparency and continuous improvement of nuclear safety, Member States shall, with the support of the competent regulatory authorities, jointly develop and establish guidelines on the specific topics referred to in Article 8e(2)(a). The Commission shall ensure that mandatory EU-wide nuclear safety standards are in force, at the latest, three years after the entry into force of this Directive.

Or. en