



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

2009/2068(DEC)

2.2.2010

AMENDMENTS

1 - 4

Draft opinion

Jutta Haug

(PE431.045v02-00)

Discharge for implementation of the European Union general budget for the financial year 2008, Section III- Commission and executive agencies
SEC(2009)1089-C7-0172/2009-(2009/2068(DEC))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Jutta Haug

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Commission to develop further assistance and specific training for applicants and user-friendly guidelines; stresses that **more** attention should be given to those programme parts where the level of implementation **is** low;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to develop further assistance and specific training for applicants and user-friendly guidelines; stresses that **immediate** attention should be given to those programme parts where the level of implementation **has become** low **and to act accordingly**;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Thomas Ulmer

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Commission to develop further assistance and specific training for applicants and user-friendly guidelines; stresses that more attention should be given to the parts of the programme that have a low level of implementation;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to develop further assistance and specific training for applicants and user-friendly guidelines; stresses that more attention should be given to the parts of the programme that have a low level of implementation **or that these parts should be questioned**;

Or. de

Amendment 3
Jutta Haug

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines the importance to provide further and focused assistance to applicants implementing projects under the Public Health programme, in order to avoid unreasonable cost claims and incomplete financial reports which result in lengthy procedures; additionally, calls for clear and user-friendly call for tenders to avoid project applications which are clearly not eligible for funding or of poor quality;

Amendment

7. Underlines the importance to provide further and focused assistance to applicants implementing projects under the Public Health programme, in order to avoid unreasonable cost claims and incomplete financial reports which result in lengthy procedures; additionally, calls for clear and user-friendly call for tenders to avoid project applications which are clearly not eligible for funding ***due to their size and associated high costs*** or of poor quality;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Thomas Ulmer

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls for care to be taken to ensure that planned projects are worthwhile in terms of their size and financing.

Or. de