



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Budgets

2009/2236(INI)

23.4.2010

AMENDMENTS

1 - 26

Draft opinion
Giovanni La Via
(PE439.964v01-00)

The future of the CAP after 2013
(2009/2236(INI))

AM\814118EN.doc

PE440.155v02-00

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1

Carl Haglund, Anne E. Jensen

Draft opinion

Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas, with the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, the European Parliament has gained the power to shape the Union's agricultural policy, not only as regards multiannual agricultural programmes but also by amending the annual budget for agriculture,

Amendment

B. whereas, with the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, the European Parliament has gained the power to shape the Union's agricultural policy, not only as regards multiannual agricultural programmes but also by amending the annual budget for agriculture, ***thus giving the Parliament the responsibility of ensuring a fair and sustainable common agricultural policy,***

Or. en

Amendment 2

Carl Haglund, Anne E. Jensen

Draft opinion

Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas the new CAP will have to face new and demanding challenges with a view not merely to meeting the food consumption needs of European citizens with adequate quality products and supporting the income of the agricultural sector but also preserving the environment and responding to climate change,

Amendment

C. whereas the new CAP will have to face new and demanding challenges with a view not merely to meeting the food consumption needs of European citizens with adequate quality products, ***meeting the challenges with regards to world food security, ensuring the functioning of the agricultural markets*** and supporting the income of the agricultural sector but also preserving the environment ***in all parts of Europe*** and responding to climate change,

Or. en

Amendment 3
Damien Abad, Pascale Gruny

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas the new CAP will have to face new and demanding challenges with a view not merely to meeting the food consumption needs of European citizens with adequate quality products and ***supporting the income of the agricultural sector*** but also preserving the environment and responding to climate change,

Amendment

C. whereas the new CAP will have to face new and demanding challenges with a view not merely to meeting the food consumption needs of European citizens with adequate quality products and ***ensuring a sustainable income to farmers through innovative market regulation mechanisms*** but also preserving the environment and responding to climate change,

Or. en

Amendment 4
Angelika Werthmann

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas the new CAP will have to face new and demanding challenges with a view not merely to meeting the food consumption needs of European citizens with adequate quality products and supporting the income of the agricultural sector but also preserving the environment and responding to climate change,

Amendment

C. whereas the new CAP will have to face new and demanding challenges with a view not merely to meeting the food consumption needs of European citizens with adequate ***non-GMO*** quality products and supporting the income of the agricultural sector but also preserving the environment and responding to climate change,

Or. de

Amendment 5
Estelle Grelrier

Draft opinion
Recital C a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ca. whereas agricultural expenditure only accounts for 0.49% of total EU GDP (2008 figure), which, when converted into per capita financial support to farmers, puts the European Union level of support on a par with that of its main trading partners, such as the USA,

Or. fr

Amendment 6
Estelle Grelrier

Draft opinion
Recital C b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Cb. whereas expenditure in the form of direct aid accounts for 0.38% of EU GDP (2008 figure); emphasises also that this corresponds to income support that is unevenly distributed among Member States, regions and farmers,

Or. fr

Amendment 7
Estelle Grelrier

Draft opinion
Recital C c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Cc. whereas expenditure linked to the rural development policy accounts for

0.11% of EU GDP; emphasises the heterogeneous nature of this policy that includes funding for development actions in rural areas and indirect support for certain products,

Or. fr

Amendment 8
Estelle Grelier

Draft opinion
Recital C d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Cd. whereas, since 2007, voluntary modulation mechanisms have allowed for the redeployment of financial aid between direct payments and rural development, without however improving the transparency, legitimacy and simplification of the financial resources allocated to agriculture,

Or. fr

Amendment 9
Carl Haglund, Anne E. Jensen

Draft opinion
Recital D

Draft opinion

Amendment

D. whereas the current small margins available under Heading 2 make it very difficult for the Union to respond appropriately to unexpected ***political*** events and may deprive the annual budgetary procedure of its substance,

D. whereas the current small margins available under Heading 2 make it very difficult for the Union to respond appropriately to ***market crises and*** unexpected ***global*** events and may deprive the annual budgetary procedure of its substance,

Amendment 10
Estelle Grelier

Draft opinion
Recital D

Draft opinion

D. whereas the current small margins available under Heading 2 make it very difficult for the Union to respond appropriately to unexpected political events and may deprive the annual budgetary procedure of its substance,

Amendment

D. whereas the current small margins available under Heading 2 ***as from the 2011 budget year*** make it very difficult for the Union to respond appropriately to unexpected political events and may deprive the annual budgetary procedure of its substance,

Or. fr

Amendment 11
Carl Haglund, Anne E. Jensen, Riikka Manner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Draws attention to the fact that in order to avoid inevitable disparities between Member States it is necessary to maintain a CAP financed entirely from the European budget;

Amendment

3. ***While ensuring that European Common Agriculture Policy stays common***, draws attention to the fact that in order to avoid inevitable disparities between Member States it is necessary to maintain a CAP financed entirely from the European budget; ***ensuring that agriculture can be practiced in all parts of the EU, particularly with regards to less favoured areas and areas with shorter growing seasons***;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Isabelle Durant

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Draws attention to the fact that in order to avoid *inevitable* disparities between Member States it is necessary to maintain a CAP *financed entirely from the European budget*;

Amendment

3. Draws attention to the fact that in order to avoid disparities between Member States it is necessary to maintain a CAP *with a set of financing rules applicable to all, containing inter alia a further conditioning of direct aids so as to include the new challenges agricultural production faces and to further enhance rural development; furthermore, possibilities of co-financing of aids which should take account of factors as employment and income for public goods should be explored*;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Angelika Werthmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Draws attention to the fact that in order to *avoid* inevitable disparities between Member States it is necessary to maintain a CAP financed entirely from the European budget;

Amendment

3. Draws attention to the fact that in order to *minimise* inevitable disparities between Member States it is necessary to maintain a CAP financed *fairly and* entirely from the European budget;

Or. de

Amendment 14
Monika Hohlmeier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. notes that, in view of the new challenges, objectives and enlargements facing the European Union, the current CAP budget should not be reduced without careful consideration;

Or. de

Amendment 15
Angelika Werthmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. stresses that regional differences in the size of agricultural holdings is a key feature of Europe's cultural diversity;

Or. de

Amendment 16
Estelle Grelier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Recalls that over the last four years of the current MFF, it was only possible to agree the annual budgets either by using up the existing margins or through recourse to revision ***and reprogramming, reducing*** the ceilings of Heading 2 in order to finance other EU priorities;

4. Recalls that over the last four years of the current MFF, it was only possible to agree the annual budgets either by using up the existing margins ***in the different headings of the MFF*** or through recourse to ***the revision provided for by paragraph 23 of the IIA of 17 May 2006 by using the margins available below*** the ceilings of Heading 2 in order to finance other EU priorities; ***points out that from the 2011 budget year until the expiry of the current***

MFF (2013), the margins below the ceiling of Heading 2 will be drastically reduced and it will no longer therefore be possible to use these to finance other EU policies;

Or. fr

Amendment 17
Carl Haglund, Anne E. Jensen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls that over the last four years of the current MFF, it was only possible to agree the annual budgets either by using up the existing margins or through recourse to revision and reprogramming, reducing the ceilings of Heading 2 in order to finance other EU priorities;

Amendment

4. Recalls that over the last four years of the current MFF, it was only possible to agree the annual budgets either by using up the existing margins or through recourse to revision and reprogramming, reducing the ceilings of Heading 2 in order to finance other EU priorities; ***points out that in 2011-2013 the margins in Heading 2 will be extremely limited;***

Or. en

Amendment 18
Isabelle Durant

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Considers necessary to reorganise CAP allocations in a way to
- favour as a rule sustainable farming systems, which preserve biodiversity, protect water resources and soil fertility, respect animal welfare and employment;
- guarantee a fair income for farmers including the delivery of healthy food and

*public goods;
- contribute to the global fight against
hunger, based on the recognition of the
right to food;*

Or. en

Amendment 19
Isabelle Durant

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses the need, given the new Common Agricultural Policy objectives, to provide adequate funding in the new MFF, in order to be able to better support the policy;

Amendment

5. ***In this context***, stresses the need, given the new Common Agricultural Policy objectives, ***to promote an integrated rural development and agricultural policy and social and environmental conditioning of aid aimed at delivering healthy food, public goods and services to society***, to provide adequate funding in the new MFF, in order to be able to better support the policy;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Anne E. Jensen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses the need, given the new Common Agricultural Policy objectives, to provide adequate funding ***in the new MFF***, in order to be able to better support the policy;

Amendment

5. Stresses the need, given the new Common Agricultural Policy objectives, to provide adequate funding ***and a more flexible approach*** in order to be able to better support the policy;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Damien Abad, Pascale Gruny

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses the need, given the new Common Agricultural Policy objectives, to provide adequate funding in the new MFF, in order to be able to better support the policy;

Amendment

5. Stresses the need, given the new Common Agricultural Policy objectives, to provide adequate funding in the new MFF, in order to be able to better support the policy ***in accordance to the major challenges this crucial sector for the EU food security will have to face in the coming years;***

Or. en

Amendment 22
Estelle Grelier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses the need, given the new Common Agricultural Policy objectives, to provide adequate funding in the new MFF, in order to be able to better support the policy;

Amendment

5. Stresses the need, given the new Common Agricultural Policy objectives, to provide adequate funding in the new MFF, in order to be able to better support the policy, ***through the introduction of a new aid system that ensures it is more legitimate, fairer and more effective;***

Or. fr

Amendment 23
Giovanni La Via

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Draws attention to the fact that the rule stipulating that the Commission may no longer amend its draft budget once the Conciliation Committee has been convened will ***preclude the use of*** the traditional autumn letter of amendment to take account of the updated forecasts for agricultural policy and their budgetary implications; takes the view that, if these circumstances arise, the most appropriate procedure would involve the submission by the Commission – if necessary – of a specific draft amending budget once all the agricultural data have been finalised;

Amendment

6. Draws attention to the fact that the rule stipulating that the Commission may no longer amend its draft budget once the Conciliation Committee has been convened will ***urge to advance*** the traditional autumn letter of amendment ***in order*** to take account of the updated forecasts for agricultural policy and their budgetary implications; takes the view that, if these circumstances arise, the most appropriate procedure would involve the submission by the Commission – if necessary – of a specific draft amending budget once all the agricultural data have been finalised;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Anne E. Jensen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Draws attention to the fact that the rule stipulating that the Commission may no longer amend its draft budget once the Conciliation Committee has been convened will preclude the use of the traditional autumn letter of amendment to take account of the updated forecasts for agricultural policy and their budgetary implications; takes the view that, if these circumstances arise, the most appropriate procedure would involve the submission by the Commission – if necessary – of a specific draft amending budget once all the agricultural data have been finalised;

Amendment

6. Draws attention to the fact that the rule stipulating that the Commission may no longer amend its draft budget once the Conciliation Committee has been convened will preclude the use of the traditional autumn letter of amendment to take account of the updated forecasts for agricultural policy and their budgetary implications; ***taking into account that agriculture year is running from the 15 October each year asks the Commission to present the latest financial data on agriculture before the Conciliation committee in convened***; takes the view that, if these circumstances arise, the most appropriate procedure would involve the submission by the Commission – if necessary – of a specific draft amending

budget once all the agricultural data have been finalised;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Angelika Werthmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Draws attention to the fact that the rule stipulating that the Commission may no longer amend its draft budget once the Conciliation Committee has been convened will preclude the use of the traditional autumn letter of amendment to take account of the updated forecasts for agricultural policy and their budgetary implications; takes the view that, if these circumstances arise, the most appropriate procedure would involve the submission by the Commission – if necessary – of a specific draft amending budget once all the agricultural data have been *finalised*;

Amendment

6. Draws attention to the fact that the rule stipulating that the Commission may no longer amend its draft budget once the Conciliation Committee has been convened will preclude the use of the traditional autumn letter of amendment to take account of the updated forecasts for agricultural policy and their budgetary implications; takes the view that, if these circumstances arise, the most appropriate procedure would involve the submission by the Commission – if necessary – of a specific draft amending budget once all the agricultural data have been *collected in a statistically satisfactory manner*;

Or. de

Amendment 26
Anne E. Jensen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Recalls that Pilot Projects (PP) and Preparatory Actions (PA) introduced by the European Parliament have evolved into important tools for the formulation of political priorities and the introduction of

Amendment

7. Recalls that Pilot Projects (PP) and Preparatory Actions (PA) introduced by the European Parliament have evolved into important tools for the formulation of political priorities and the introduction of

new initiatives that often turned into EU activities and programmes, including in the field of agriculture and rural development;

new initiatives that often turned into EU activities and programmes, including in the field of agriculture and rural development;
believes that also in the future pilot projects and preparatory actions could be a platform for testing new ideas for reforms;

Or. en