



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Industry, Research and Energy

2011/2043(INI)

23.3.2011

AMENDMENTS

1 - 167

Draft report
Jean-Pierre Audy
(PE458.539v01-00)

on the midterm review of the 7th Framework Programme for Research
(2011/2043(INI))

AM\861447EN.doc

PE460.952v01-00

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 1
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the decision of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the 7th Framework Programme of the European Community (or European Union, since the Treaty of Lisbon) for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2007-2013)¹,

Amendment

– having regard to the decision of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the 7th Framework Programme of the European Community (or European Union, since the Treaty of Lisbon) for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2007-2013)¹ (**FP7**),

Or. fr

Amendment 2
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Citation 3

Motion for a resolution

having particular regard to Article 7 of the above decision on monitoring, evaluation and review of FP7,

Amendment

(Does not affect English version.)

Or. fr

Amendment 3
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– having regard to the conclusions of the Interim Evaluation of the Seventh Framework Programme for Research Activities (FP7), including the risk-sharing finance facility, by the 3074th EU Council meeting on competitiveness (Internal Market, Industry, Research and Space) of 9 March 2011,

Or. fr

Amendment 4

Antonio Cancian, Patrizia Toia, Amalia Sartori

Motion for a resolution

Citation 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to the Commission communication of 6 October 2010 on the flagship initiative 'Innovation Union' and to the relevant objectives,

Or. it

Amendment 5

Lambert van Nistelrooij, Jan Olbrycht, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Hermann Winkler, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz, Miroslav Mikolášik

Motion for a resolution

Citation 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to its Resolution of 20 May 2010 on the implementation of the synergies of research and innovation earmarked Funds in Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006 concerning the European Fund of Regional Development and the

***Seventh Framework Programme for
Research and Development in cities and
regions as well as in the Member States
and the Union¹,***

***¹Texts adopted on that date.
P7_TA(2010)0189***

Or. en

**Amendment 6
Jean-Pierre Audy**

**Motion for a resolution
Citation 19 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***– having regard to Council Joint Action
2004/551/CFSP of 12 July 2004 on the
establishment of the European Defence
Agency, which in Article 5(3.4.1) confers
on the Agency the task of ‘promoting, in
liaison with the Community’s research
activities where appropriate, research
aimed at fulfilling future defence and
security capability requirements and
thereby strengthening Europe’s industrial
and technological potential in this
domain’,***

Or. fr

**Amendment 7
Jean-Pierre Audy**

**Motion for a resolution
Citation 19 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***– having regard to the opinion of the
European Economic and Social
Committee of 15 September 2010 on the
Communication from the Commission to***

*the European Parliament, the Council,
the European Economic and Social
Committee and the Committee of the
Regions ‘Simplifying the implementation
of the research framework programmes’,*

Or. fr

Amendment 8
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*(This amendment does not apply to the
English text)*

Or. el

Amendment 9
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*Aa. whereas research is a fundamental
component of the knowledge triangle
(education – research –innovation), so
that any attempt to encourage it inevitably
boosts the other elements and it requires a
coherent and balanced policy for the
creation of a European knowledge-based
society,*

Or. el

Amendment 10
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ab. whereas research is the process of converting economic power into knowledge, while innovation is the reverse process of transforming knowledge into economic power,

Or. el

Amendment 11
Pilar del Castillo Vera, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B. whereas ***there is a need for a*** mid-term review of FP7 in the light of the numerous changes that have taken place since it was negotiated and adopted in 2006 (new institutions, new political bodies, economic crisis), and also given the scale of the financial sums available between now and when it ends,

B. whereas ***it is necessary to allow for the developments resulting from the*** mid-term review of FP7 in the light of the numerous changes that have taken place since it was negotiated and adopted in 2006 (new institutions, new political bodies, economic crisis), and also given the scale of the financial sums available between now and when it ends,

Or. es

Amendment 12
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas the Treaty of Lisbon ***makes***

C. whereas the Treaty of Lisbon ***introduces***

achievement of the European research area
a specific *objective* of European policy,

achievement of the European research area
as a specific *medium* of European policy,

Or. fr

Amendment 13
Gunnar Hökmark

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas FP7, especially centres of excellences and frontier research, are crucial for stimulating economic growth, making Europe more competitive and accelerating Europe's transformation into a knowledge society,

Or. en

Amendment 14
Amalia Sartori, Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas the Innovation Union will be the strategic reference framework for research activities and funding programmes starting from 2011,

Or. it

Amendment 15

Lena Ek on behalf of the ALDE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas spending should be aligned as far as possible with the overarching policy objectives under the Europe 2020 strategy,

Or. en

Amendment 16
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas ‘An Innovation Union’ affirms the need to develop a European innovation policy,

Or. fr

Amendment 17
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas the EU and its Member States must give themselves the means to respond jointly to the major societal challenges facing the peoples of Europe,

E. whereas the EU and its Member States must give themselves the means to respond jointly to the major societal challenges facing the peoples of Europe, ***such as demographic ageing, health, food supply, sustainable development, the major environmental challenges etc.,***

Or. fr

Amendment 18
Arturs Krišjānis Kariņš

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the EU and its Member States must give themselves the means to respond jointly to the major societal challenges facing the peoples of Europe,

Amendment

E. whereas the EU and its Member States must give themselves the means to respond jointly to the major societal challenges facing the peoples of Europe ***and whereas the resulting solutions must motivate individuals to shoulder greater responsibility for their actions,***

Or. lv

Amendment 19
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the EU and its Member States must give themselves the means to respond jointly to the major societal challenges facing the peoples of Europe,

Amendment

E. whereas the EU and its Member States must give themselves the means to respond jointly to the major societal, ***economic, environmental, demographic and ethical*** challenges facing the peoples of Europe,

Or. el

Amendment 20
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas investment in RDI is the best possible long-term response to the current economic and financial crisis, enabling the EU to become a society with skills that are competitive at world level,

Or. fr

Amendment 21
Marisa Matias

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas there are still huge inequalities between Member States concerning the access to FP7 funding, this instrument should be taken as a key tool for social cohesion within EU,

Or. en

Amendment 22
Bendt Bendtsen, Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

F. whereas Europe is ***in competition*** with ‘***Continent States***’ (China, India, Brazil, Australia, United States of America, Russia) ***but Europe is not a nation, rather a Union of States***, and our capacity to unite and coordinate our efforts, particularly in research, between the European Union and the Member States very largely determines our economic

F. whereas Europe is ***competing*** with ***economic powers such as*** China, India, Brazil, Australia, United States of America, ***and*** Russia, and ***whereas*** our capacity to unite and coordinate our efforts, particularly in research, between the European Union and the Member States very largely determines our economic competitiveness, and hence the possibility

competitiveness, and hence the possibility of financing our social ambitions and meeting our environmental commitments,

of financing our social ambitions and meeting our environmental commitments,

Or. en

Amendment 23

Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas Europe is in competition with ‘Continent States’ (China, India, Brazil, Australia, United States of America, Russia) but Europe is not a nation, rather a Union of States, and our capacity to unite and coordinate our efforts, particularly in research, between the European Union and the Member States very largely determines our economic competitiveness, and hence the possibility of financing our social ambitions and meeting our *environmental* commitments,

Amendment

F. whereas Europe is in competition with ‘Continent States’ (China, India, Brazil, Australia, United States of America, Russia) but Europe is not a nation, rather a Union of States, and our capacity to unite and coordinate our efforts, particularly in research, between the European Union and the Member States very largely determines our economic competitiveness, and hence the possibility of financing our social ambitions and meeting our commitments *concerning the wellbeing of Europe's citizens and the protection of the environment*,

Or. ro

Amendment 24

Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

Z. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector *is* thus not fully

Amendment

Z. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to *the lack of genuine socio-economic incentives resulting in* a lack of private investment and the

demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the Union,

attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector ***and the use of research for the benefit of the economy are*** thus not fully demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the Union,

Or. el

Amendment 25

Herbert Reul

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is ***essentially*** due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the Union,

Amendment

G. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is due ***in part*** to a lack of private investment ***and of inducements to invest within Member States***, and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the Union,

Or. de

Amendment 26

Konrad Szymański, Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully

Amendment

G. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully

demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the Union,

demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the Union, ***with full respect for the specificities and the ethical options made by the former,***

Or. en

Amendment 27

Henri Weber

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the Union,

Amendment

G. whereas delayed investment ***in research and development*** in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the Union,

Or. fr

Amendment 28

Lambert van Nistelrooij, Jan Olbrycht, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Hermann Winkler, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz, Miroslav Mikolášik

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums

Amendment

G. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums

involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the *Union*,

involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the *Union, the* Member States, and the *regions*,

Or. en

Amendment 29

Pilar del Castillo Vera, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination between the Member States and the Union,

Amendment

G. whereas delayed investment in Europe compared with other global powers is essentially due to a lack of private investment and the attractiveness of FP7 for the industrial sector is thus not fully demonstrated; but also, beyond the sums involved, there is a clear need for better coordination *and co-financing* between the Member States and the Union,

Or. es

Amendment 30

Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution

Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ga. whereas only a relatively low level of public investment in RDI is the subject of European cooperation,

Amendment

Or. fr

Amendment 31
Antonio Cancian, Patrizia Toia

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas a better relationship between the academic ***and industrial worlds*** is essential for research results to be converted into products and services generating economic growth,

Amendment

H. whereas a better relationship between the academic ***world, public research institutions and industry*** is essential for research results to be converted into products and services generating economic growth,

Or. it

Amendment 32
Henri Weber

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas ***a*** better ***relationship*** between the academic and industrial worlds is essential ***for*** research results ***to be converted*** into products and services generating economic growth,

Amendment

H. whereas better ***interaction*** between the academic, ***research*** and industrial worlds is essential ***to improve the conversion of*** research results into products and services generating economic growth,

Or. fr

Amendment 33
Philippe Lamberts on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas a better relationship between the academic and ***industrial worlds*** is essential for research results to be converted into products and services

Amendment

H. whereas a better relationship between the academic and ***the private sector*** is essential for research results to be converted into products and services

generating *economic growth*,

generating *benefits for society as a whole*,

Or. en

Amendment 34

Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas a better relationship between the academic and industrial worlds is essential for research results to be converted into products and services generating economic growth,

Amendment

H. whereas a better relationship between the academic, **research** and industrial worlds is essential for research results to be converted into products and services generating economic growth,

Or. el

Amendment 35

Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution

Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas research in and development of better and more effective models, methodologies and tools for innovation and commercialization is needed and must be part the rest of FP7,

Or. en

Amendment 36

Lena Ek on behalf of the ALDE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas FP7 should be modelled on the same general principles as ERA,

Or. en

Amendment 37
Henri Weber

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas the years 2011 to 2013 are fragile years, requiring immediate particular attention with regard to competitiveness factors, which ***include*** research and innovation,

J. whereas the years 2011 to 2013 are fragile years, requiring immediate particular attention with regard to competitiveness factors, ***of*** which research and innovation ***are essential components***,

Or. fr

Amendment 38
Marisa Matias

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas the years 2011 to 2013 are fragile years, requiring immediate particular attention with regard to competitiveness factors, which include research and innovation,

J. whereas the years 2011 to 2013 are fragile years, requiring immediate particular attention with regard to competitiveness ***and social cohesion*** factors, which include research and innovation,

Or. en

Amendment 39
Zigmantas Balčytis

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas complexity of administrative management ***remains a major handicap*** for FP7, to the extent that its simplification ***is*** a major challenge for ***the future of the programme;***

Amendment

K. whereas complexity of administrative management, ***considerable red tape, inefficiency and unjustified delays remain*** major ***handicaps*** for FP7, ***which provide no encouragement for the scientific community, civil society organisations or business community and industry representatives to participate in the programme,*** to the extent that simplification ***of the programme must remain*** a major challenge for its future,

Or. It

Amendment 40
Jean-Pierre Audy, Maria Da Graça Carvalho, Christian Ehler, Marisa Matias

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas complexity of administrative management remains a major handicap for FP7, to the extent that its simplification is a major challenge for the future of the programme;

Amendment

K. whereas complexity of administrative management remains a major handicap for FP7, to the extent that its simplification is a major challenge for the future of the programme, ***and whereas many improvements that do not require a change of regulation can be made midway through the FP7 while respecting stability, general consistency and legal certainty, the basis for mutual trust between the parties involved;***

Or. fr

Amendment 41
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas complexity of administrative management ***remains a major handicap*** for FP7, to the extent that its simplification is a major challenge for the future of the programme;

Amendment

K. whereas complexity of administrative management, ***bureaucracy and a lack of transparency remain enormous handicaps*** for FP7, to the extent that its simplification is a major challenge for the future of the programme;

Or. el

Amendment 42
Eva-Britt Svensson on behalf of the FEMM commission
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the target of participation of 40 % women researchers in FP7 is ambitious and the right target; whereas the current female participation of researchers in FP7 research projects is a disappointingly 25.5 %,

Or. en

Amendment 43
Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the beneficiaries of research programmes draw on multiple sources of

funding from the Member States and the Community, each having its own specific criteria and procedures for evaluation,

Or. ro

Amendment 44

Maria Da Graça Carvalho, Marisa Matias

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas simplification of the administration should be one of the highest priorities in the review,

Or. en

Amendment 45

Eva-Britt Svensson on behalf of the FEMM Committee

Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution

Recital K b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kb. whereas female researchers tend, to a higher degree than men, to perform administrative tasks and to work on smaller, less profiled research projects,

Or. en

Amendment 46

Eva-Britt Svensson on behalf of the FEMM Committee

Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution

Recital K c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Kc. whereas a highly problematic 'glass ceiling' seems to exist for female researchers, meaning that with seniority, the share of female researchers decreases; whereas the low number of female researchers selected for the ERC advanced investigator grant is an indication of such a 'glass ceiling',

Or. en

Amendment 47
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the quality of the expert reports on the interim evaluation of FP7, despite the general nature of the remit given to the expert groups; regrets, however, that the evaluation did not cover the overall picture made up of the actions of the Member States and those of the Union;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the quality of the expert reports on the interim evaluation of FP7 ***and of the risk-sharing finance facility, covering the quality of activities, implementation and the results obtained,*** despite the general nature of the remit given to the expert groups; regrets, however, that the evaluation did not cover the overall picture made up of the actions of the Member States and those of the Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 48
Marisa Matias, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the quality of the expert reports on the interim evaluation of FP7, despite the general nature of the remit given to the expert groups; *regrets*, however, that the evaluation did not cover the overall picture made up of the actions of the Member States and those of the Union;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the quality of the expert reports on the interim evaluation of FP7, despite the general nature of the remit given to the expert groups; *points out*, however, that the evaluation did not cover the overall picture made up of the actions of the Member States and those of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Fails to understand the delay on the part of the Commission, which published its communication on 9 February 2011 although it had an obligation to do so no later than 2010, and regrets the weakness of the Commission communication in view of current challenges;

Amendment

2. Fails to understand the delay on the part of the Commission, which published its communication on 9 February 2011 although it had an obligation to do so no later than 2010, and regrets the weakness of the Commission communication in view of current challenges, *particularly the current economic crisis situation, the sums remaining to be committed under the FP7 etc.*;

Or. fr

Amendment 50
Lena Ek on behalf of the ALDE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Highlights in particular the ten

specific recommendations made by the expert group and urgently calls for the implementation of these proposals;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission to provide a more specific follow-up on the ten recommendations put forward in the experts' reports;

Or. fr

Amendment 52
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2b. Underlines the relative nature of the conclusions drawn by the interim evaluation, seeing that the majority of FP7 funds have not yet been allocated, projects that have been initiated are still under way and others funded under the FP7 will run beyond its term;

Or. fr

Amendment 53
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2c. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to tighten up their methods of communication on the framework programmes, thus facilitating access to the information required for the participation of the various parties involved;

Or. fr

Amendment 54
Pilar del Castillo Vera, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 do not demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to RDI; ***deleted***

Or. es

Amendment 55
Marisa Matias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 do not demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to ***deleted***

RDI;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Jorgo Chatzimarkakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 do not demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to RDI;

Amendment

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 do not demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to RDI; ***welcomes the current development of an innovation scoreboard; nevertheless, urges the Commission to put more emphasis on the evaluation of efficiency and efficacy in financing research and innovation with regards to the European added value;***

Or. en

Amendment 57

Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 ***do not demonstrate sufficient*** European added-value with regard to RDI;

Amendment

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 ***tend to show*** European added-value with regard to RDI; ***regrets, however, that the Commission has done little to inform the Member States, scientific communities and the European public in general about what has been achieved;***

Or. fr

Amendment 58
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 do not demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to RDI;

Amendment

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 do not demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to RDI ***since Europe continues to lag behind the US and is losing the lead it had over the emerging economies;***

Or. el

Amendment 59
Philippe Lamberts on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Takes the view that the ***results achieved by FP7 do not demonstrate sufficient*** European added-value ***with regard to*** RDI;

Amendment

3. Takes the view that ***more efforts should be done by the Commission to better communicate on the*** European added-value ***of the European RDI programmes;***

Or. en

Amendment 60
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 ***do not demonstrate sufficient***

Amendment

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 ***could be enhanced in order to achieve their full*** added-value ***potential***

European added-value with regard to RDI;

with regard to RDI *in Europe*;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Ioan Enciu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 do not demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to RDI;

Amendment

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 do not demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to RDI, *as success rates are low*;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 *do not* demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to RDI;

Amendment

3. Takes the view that the results achieved by FP7 *in certain areas* demonstrate sufficient European added-value with regard to RDI;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Lambert van Nistelrooij, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Hermann Winkler, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Notes that the financial take out throughout Europe is highly unbalanced, and that the outcomes show that the old Member States absorb most of the financial resources; concludes that this is in contradiction with the territorial cohesion aim on a balanced development in Europe as added in the Lisbon Treaty;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Zigmantas Balčytis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Takes the view that the structure and implementation of FP7, and the prospective framework programme, must be based on the principles of simplicity, stability, coherence, transparency and legal certainty;

Or. lt

Amendment 65
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Deplores the lack of a method for evaluating how far projects funded by FP7 have advanced scientific knowledge;

Or. fr

Amendment 66
Zigmantas Balčytis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3b. Encourages better cooperation between European scientists and an environment conducive to mobility for them;

Or. lt

Amendment 67
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Welcomes the level of participation and excellence in project selection; regrets, however, that the success rate under this programme generally remains quite low and is a disincentive, particularly for SMEs;

4. Welcomes the level of participation and excellence in project selection; regrets, however, that the success rate under this programme generally remains quite low and is a disincentive, particularly for SMEs; ***underlines that the length of the projects often prevents SMEs' involvement; recommends that SMEs are more actively involved in the process of exploiting the achieved results; recommends that in particular beneficiaries among SMEs are offered twice a year the possibility of easy access to funds directed towards innovation and technology-transfer activities;***

Or. en

Amendment 68
Henri Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the *level of participation* and excellence *in project selection*; regrets, however, that the success rate under this programme generally remains quite low and is a disincentive, particularly for SMEs;

Amendment

4. Welcomes the *number of responses to calls for projects* and *the excellence of the projects selected*; regrets, however, that the success rate under this programme generally remains quite low and is a disincentive, particularly for SMEs; *considers that effective simplification of the administrative and financial aspects could remedy this*;

Or. fr

Amendment 69
Bendt Bendtsen, Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the level of participation and excellence in project selection; regrets, however, that the success rate under this programme generally remains quite low and is a disincentive, particularly for SMEs;

Amendment

4. Welcomes the level of participation and excellence in project selection; regrets, however, that the success rate under this programme generally remains quite low and is a disincentive, particularly for SMEs, *which play a particularly important role in capitalising on the developments in research and innovation within the framework programme*;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Welcomes the *level* of ***participation and excellence*** in project selection; regrets, however, that the success rate under this programme generally remains quite low and is a disincentive, ***particularly for SMEs***;

Amendment

4. Welcomes the *use* of ***principle of excellence*** in project selection; regrets, however, that the success rate ***of some Member States and SMEs*** under this programme generally remains quite low and is a disincentive;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Zigmantas Balčytis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

4a. Notes that the complexity of administrative and technical procedures makes it difficult, particularly for SMEs and small research institutes, to take part in research and innovation projects;

Amendment

Or. lt

Amendment 72
Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

4a. Believes that both FP7 and the future FP8 should make a greater contribution to the development of industry in Europe, and calls on the Commission and the

Amendment

Member States to encourage applied research;

Or. ro

Amendment 73
Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that growth in financial and human resources, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments has reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Amendment

deleted

Or. ro

Amendment 74
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that growth in financial and human resources, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments has reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Amendment

5. Notes that growth in financial and human resources, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments has reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective; ***underlines that the current cooperation programme is too narrow and the topics often too specific to address grand societal challenges; recommends that the next framework program provides for calls with a broader thematic scope;***

Or. en

Amendment 75
Jorgo Chatzimarkakis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that growth in financial and human resources, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments has reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Amendment

5. Notes that growth in financial and human resources, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments has reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective; ***with regards to FP8, calls on the Commission to assess the possibility of concentrating half of the FP budget on two mega themes, such as healthy ageing and energy storage;***

Or. en

Amendment 76
Marisa Matias, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that ***growth in financial and human resources, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and*** diversification of instruments has reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Amendment

5. Notes that diversification of instruments has reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Or. en

Amendment 77
Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

PE460.952v01-00

34/81

AM\861447EN.doc

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that ***growth in financial and human resources***, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments has ***reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective***;

Amendment

5. Notes that an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments has ***widened the scope*** of FP7;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Hermann Winkler, Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that ***growth in financial and human resources***, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments *has* reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Amendment

5. Notes that an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments *have* reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Or. de

Amendment 79

Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that ***growth in financial and human resources***, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments has reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Amendment

5. Notes that an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments has reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Amendment 80
Herbert Reul

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Notes that growth in financial and human resources, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments *has* reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Amendment

5. Notes that ***uncoordinated*** growth in financial and human resources, an ever-growing number of objectives and themes covered and diversification of instruments *have* reduced the capacity of FP7 to serve a specific headline European objective;

Or. de

Amendment 81
Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Teresa Riera Madurell, Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Considers that in order to increase the human resources dedicated to research and innovation in Europe, it is necessary to make professional careers in this field more attractive by eliminating administrative barriers and recognising merit and training time and work at any research centre; to this end, calls on the European Institutions to establish the criteria in order for universities or centres dedicated to research to implement a system which evaluates the researches' excellence and career;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Deplores the fact that research is still very fragmented and draws attention to the fact that research programmes (public and private) should maintain continuity with the European research agenda and set priorities more consistently so as to avoid overlap and the possibilities of error;

Or. el

Amendment 83
Lena Ek on behalf of the ALDE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Proposes that overhead costs for FP7 projects should be limited to 10%; considers that pre-review levels of 25% of overhead spending are intolerable given the overall budget restraints;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Henri Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Approves the strengthening of the 'Cooperation' chapter, which remains relevant given current scientific and technological challenges; stresses its role in developing RDI critical mass of a kind not achievable at national/regional level, thus demonstrating European added-value; ***recommends implementation of the 'Future and Emerging Technologies' scheme and extension of the use of 'roadmaps' to all thematic areas; asks for more flexibility in setting call themes and financial thresholds and ceilings, making a distinction between large and small projects;***

Amendment

6. Approves the strengthening of the 'Cooperation' chapter, which remains relevant given current scientific and technological challenges; stresses its role in developing RDI critical mass of a kind not achievable at national/regional level, ***enabling skills and expertise to be pooled, thus helping to build scientific communities and*** demonstrating European added-value; ***considers that transnational collaborative research must remain a priority, making an effective contribution to interdisciplinarity, intersectoral partnerships and the advancement of knowledge; considers that alongside the thematic priorities, a 'blank' programme could be introduced, with entirely 'bottom up' calls for projects, and that programmes like FET (Future and Emerging Technologies) or COST (Cooperation for Science and Technology) should be reinforced;***

Or. fr

Amendment 85
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Approves the strengthening of the 'Cooperation' chapter, which remains relevant given current scientific and technological challenges; stresses its role in developing RDI critical mass of a kind not achievable at national/regional level, thus demonstrating European added-value; recommends implementation of the 'Future and Emerging Technologies' scheme and extension of the use of 'roadmaps' to all

Amendment

6. Approves the strengthening of the 'Cooperation' chapter, which remains relevant given current scientific and technological challenges; stresses its role in developing RDI critical mass of a kind not achievable at national/regional level, thus demonstrating European added-value; recommends implementation of the 'Future and Emerging Technologies' scheme and extension of the use of 'roadmaps' to all

thematic areas; asks for more flexibility in setting call themes and financial thresholds and ceilings, making a distinction between large and small projects;

thematic areas; asks for more flexibility in setting call themes and financial thresholds and ceilings, making a distinction between large and small projects, ***and stresses the importance of setting up the European Research Area, including its on-line version;***

Or. fr

Amendment 86
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Approves the strengthening of the ‘Cooperation’ chapter, which remains relevant given current scientific and technological challenges; stresses its role in developing RDI critical mass of a kind not achievable at national/regional level, thus demonstrating European added-value; recommends implementation of the ‘Future and Emerging Technologies’ scheme and extension of the use of ‘roadmaps’ to all thematic areas; asks for more flexibility in setting call themes and financial thresholds and ceilings, making a distinction between large and small projects;

Amendment

6. Approves the strengthening of the ‘Cooperation’ chapter, which remains relevant given current scientific and technological challenges; stresses its role in developing RDI critical mass of a kind not achievable at national/regional level, thus demonstrating European added-value; recommends implementation of the ‘Future and Emerging Technologies’ scheme and extension of the use of ‘roadmaps’ to all thematic areas; asks for more flexibility in setting call themes and financial thresholds and ceilings, making a distinction between large and small projects; ***recommends to avoid too specific calls;***

Or. en

Amendment 87
Pilar del Castillo Vera, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Approves the strengthening of the ‘Cooperation’ **chapter**, which remains relevant given current scientific and technological challenges; stresses its role in developing RDI critical mass of a kind not achievable at national/regional level, thus demonstrating European added-value; recommends implementation of the ‘Future and Emerging Technologies’ scheme and extension of the use of ‘roadmaps’ to all thematic areas; asks for more flexibility in setting call themes and financial thresholds and ceilings, making a distinction between large and small projects;

Amendment

6. Approves the strengthening of the **specific programme on** ‘Cooperation’, which remains relevant given current scientific and technological challenges; stresses its role in developing RDI critical mass of a kind not achievable at national/regional level, thus demonstrating European added-value; recommends implementation of the ‘Future and Emerging Technologies’ scheme and extension of the use of ‘roadmaps’ to all thematic areas; asks for more flexibility in setting call themes and financial thresholds and ceilings, making a distinction between large and small projects;

Or. es

Amendment 88

Herbert Reul

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Notes that if FP7 is structured in such a way as to distinguish between science for science’s sake, science for competition, and science for society, there is a risk that the gradual transition from basic research to applied research and innovation will be left out of consideration; points to the need to prevent the successful implementation of integrated projects being hampered by structural rigidity;

Or. de

Amendment 89
Herbert Reul

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Proposes that research be accelerated in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy, environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, CO₂ capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

Amendment

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 90
Patrizia Toia, Antonio Cancian

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Proposes that research be **accelerated** in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy, environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, CO₂ capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

Amendment

7. Proposes that ***the requisite evaluation be carried out with a view to the subsequent development of*** research in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy, environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, CO₂ capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority; ***all this should be carried out on a basis of full respect for Member States' legislation, in view of the ethical implications of the issues***

concerned; these options should not lead to direct or indirect discrimination between Member States in terms of (more or less generous) EU funding;

Or. it

Amendment 91

Philippe Lamberts on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Proposes that research be ***accelerated in*** the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, ***medicine***, food, ***biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials***, pollution, energy, environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, ***CO2 capture***, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, ***space and security; water research should also be a priority;***

Amendment

7. Proposes that, ***in order to meet the EU 2020 strategy objectives***, research ***should be focused for the period 2011-2013 towards addressing EU's most pressing challenges, within the*** sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, food ***safety and nutrition, resource efficiency, combating*** pollution, ***achieving energy efficiency and promoting renewable energy, protecting and regenerating the*** environment (including climate change, ***water, soil***, woods and forests), ***developing*** ecotechnologies, ***promoting sustainable*** transport, ***supporting*** socio-economic sciences and humanities;

Or. en

Amendment 92

Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Proposes that research be accelerated in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’

Amendment

7. Proposes that research be accelerated, ***in line with the Europe 2020 Strategy***

chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy, environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, CO2 capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

priorities, in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy (**particularly intelligent networks**), environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, CO2 capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

Or. fr

Amendment 93

Antonio Cancian, Amalia Sartori

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Proposes that research be accelerated in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy, environment (**including** climate change, **woods and forests**), ecotechnologies, CO2 capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

Amendment

7. Proposes that research be accelerated in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy (**with particular reference to the SET-PLAN initiative**), environment **and** climate change, ecotechnologies, CO2 capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space, **cultural goods**, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

Or. it

Amendment 94

Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Proposes that research be accelerated in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy, environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, CO2 capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

Amendment

7. Proposes that research be accelerated in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine ***(including clinical and preventive research) and medical technologies***, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy, environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, CO2 capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

Or. en

Amendment 95

Marisa Matias, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Proposes that research be ***accelerated*** in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy, environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, CO2 capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

Amendment

7. Proposes that research be ***encouraged*** in the sectors identified in the ‘Cooperation’ chapter of FP7: health, medicine, food, biotechnology, ICT, nanosciences and nanotechnologies, materials, pollution, energy, environment (including climate change, woods and forests), ecotechnologies, CO2 capture, transport, socio-economic sciences and humanities, space and security; water research should also be a priority;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Patrizia Toia, Antonio Cancian

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Believes that, given, notably, the 2020 strategy and the objective of 'intelligent growth', it is necessary to identify common research areas among those which appear most promising in terms of concrete applications enabling the highest extent of sharing in an ethical context; such areas could form part of a common research platform financed by the EU and supported by a common network for data exchange, which should be treated as being of major importance and priority interest;

Or. it

Amendment 97
Maria Da Graça Carvalho, Marisa Matias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Proposes the reinforcement of collaborative research such as the activities funded in the specific programme "Cooperation"; calls for the possibility of forming smaller and medium sized projects and partner consortia that allow efficient coordination, in addition to strengthening scientific excellence; stresses that the collaborative research approach must remain the core element of the Framework Programme;

Or. en

Amendment 98
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Regrets that research in education and teaching is not prioritised more since education and teaching is a central key to growth and competitiveness;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7b. Regrets that the very specific and narrow calls in the Cooperation chapter on socio-economic sciences and humanities makes it very difficult to make new and innovation research in this area;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Norbert Glante

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Welcomes, in the 'Ideas' chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and

8. Welcomes, in the 'Ideas' chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and

attractiveness of European research bodies;
stresses the need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management;

attractiveness of European research bodies;

Or. de

Amendment 101
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; stresses the need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management;

Amendment

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; stresses the need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management, *as well as the need to increase funding for the ERC; supports greater transparency in the process of the appointment of the Scientific Council and in the composition of the review panels;*

Or. en

Amendment 102
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European

Amendment

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European

Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; stresses the need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management;

Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; stresses, *however, that the ERC alone cannot provide for all the needs of upstream research; regrets the lack of participation by industry in the ERC; stresses the* need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management;

Or. fr

Amendment 103

Antonio Cancian, Amalia Sartori

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and *attractiveness* of European research bodies; *stresses the need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy* and administrative management;

Amendment

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and *attraction capacity* of European research bodies; *expects, therefore, the confirmation of the existing legal status of the ERC, in a context of full transparency in terms of procedures* and administrative management;

Or. it

Amendment 104

Lambert van Nistelrooij, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Hermann Winkler, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; stresses the need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management;

Amendment

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; stresses the need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management; ***calls on the Commission to use the ERC as a pilot for greater independence of funding agencies for R&D and innovation;***

Or. en

Amendment 105

Philippe Lamberts on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; ***stresses the need to make*** the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its ***own scientific strategy and*** administrative management;

Amendment

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; ***calls on the Commission to assess the options for further improving the ERC structures and mechanisms, including making*** the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its administrative management;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Pilar del Castillo Vera, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; stresses the need to **make** the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management;

Amendment

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research bodies; stresses the need to **assess whether** the ERC **should be made** an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management;

Or. es

Amendment 107

Henri Weber

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research **bodies**; stresses the need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management;

Amendment

8. Welcomes, in the ‘Ideas’ chapter, promising results obtained by the European Research Council (ERC) and its role aimed at enhancing the visibility and attractiveness of European research; stresses the need to make the ERC an independent legal entity with decision-making power, directly responsible for its own scientific strategy and administrative management;

Or. fr

Amendment 108
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Recommends that the ERC retains a strong support for individual excellent scientists; calls on the ERC to provide a possibility for support of team-based projects, always provided that such projects are formed through bottom-up processes, where excellence is the only criteria and to provide grants for proof-of-concept in order to allow for exploitation of obtained results, while the grants should only be available to former ERC grant holders; believes that the ERC should support the formation of international graduate schools in order to establish strong connections between excellent research communities within Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Norbert Glante

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Notes that only 12% of the projects submitted to the ERC are approved, a fact which in many cases deters potentially excellent applicants from embarking on a time-consuming, costly application procedure; considers that if it were to raise the success rate for project submissions to 25%, the ERC could make a greater contribution to the imperative of promoting excellence in the EU;

Amendment 110
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career;

Amendment

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career *and mobility, especially in the case of women, since these Actions promote equal opportunity in research through measures that ensure the best combination of professional and family life and facilitate the transition to a scientific/research career after a break; calls for the promotion of actions which will help promote the mobility of European researchers, put an end to the ‘brain drain’, attract very promising young researchers from third countries and will make more attractive the prospect of a research career in the EU;*

Amendment 111
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career;

Amendment

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career; *however believes that within the Marie Currie Actions there is room for simplification within the number of actions; regrets the move of the Marie*

Currie Actions from DG Research to DG Education and Culture since doctoral and postdoctoral training might be regarded as an educational rather than a professional activity and thus further hinder the objective to make research careers more attractive to young researchers;

Or. en

Amendment 112
Antonio Cancian

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career;

Amendment

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career; *hopes that among these, particular attention will be paid in future to the proposals concerning research collaboration between the public sector (universities and public research institutes) and industry, as well as access to major research infrastructures; also hopes that there will be incentives for doctoral and postdoctoral research programmes in an industrial context;*

Or. it

Amendment 113
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports, within the framework of the

Amendment

9. Supports, within the framework of the

‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career;

‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career ***and secure individualised bottom-up research within a very broad range of topics; recommends that the Marie Curie program for mobility is continued and extended with further possibilities for mobility of PhD students;***

Or. en

Amendment 114
Marisa Matias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career;

Amendment

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career, ***although regrets that most of the scientific work carried out within EU is still done under precarious working conditions;***

Or. en

Amendment 115
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career;

Amendment

9. Supports, within the framework of the ‘People’ chapter, the Marie Curie Actions, which are of great value to researchers in their career, ***and calls for its funding to be increased in view of its high success rate;***

Or. fr

Amendment 116
Maria Da Graça Carvalho, Marisa Matias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Believes that the participation of young scientists in project teams in the context of collaborative research activities by industry and science organisation should be incentivated; calls on the Commission to use the mid-term review of the Seventh Framework Programme to promote the employment of young scientists by designing the rules and modes of participation in such a way as to devote a substantial portion of funding for hiring young researchers;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Gunnar Hökmark

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Recalls that FP7 should encourage collaboration and increased mobility between European researchers by for example introducing a research voucher scheme with money for research following researchers that move to universities in other Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 118
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Voices concerns regarding the heterogeneous nature of the objectives of the ‘Capacities’ chapter and the difficulties that result, notably with regard to international cooperation **and** actions in favour of SMEs and innovative SMEs; considers, **however**, that the ERA-NET and ERA-NET+ projects and the initiatives based on Article 185 fulfil their role aimed at structuring the European Research Area (ERA);

Amendment

10. Voices concerns regarding the heterogeneous nature of the objectives of the ‘Capacities’ chapter and the difficulties that result, notably with regard to international cooperation; **considers that** actions in favour of SMEs and innovative SMEs **meet a real need, and calls on the Commission to maintain these actions and the budget associated with them, while taking steps to improve their implementation**; considers that the ERA-NET and ERA-NET+ **‘Infrastructure’** projects and the initiatives based on Article 185 fulfil their role aimed at structuring the European Research Area (ERA);

Or. fr

Amendment 119
Lambert van Nistelrooij, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Hermann Winkler, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Voices concerns regarding the heterogeneous nature of the objectives of the ‘Capacities’ chapter and the difficulties that result, notably with regard to international cooperation and actions in favour of SMEs and innovative SMEs; considers, **however**, that the ERA-NET and ERA-NET+ projects and the initiatives based on Article 185 fulfil their role aimed at structuring the European Research Area (ERA);

Amendment

10. Voices concerns regarding the heterogeneous nature of the objectives of the ‘Capacities’ chapter and the difficulties that result, notably with regard to international cooperation and **the progress on the major Research Infrastructures (ESFRI)**; **considers that there is a clear need for** actions in favour of SMEs and innovative SMEs **and calls on the Commission to at least maintain the level of funding for these measures, while**

solving implementation-related problems;
considers that the ERA-NET and ERA-NET+ projects and the initiatives based on Article 185 fulfil their role aimed at structuring the European Research Area (ERA);

Or. en

Amendment 120

Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Teresa Riera Madurell, Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Considers that the ERA-Net scheme should be strengthened as a tool to support excellence and the development of criteria for quality indicators which constitutes the basis for the coordination between programmes or joint ventures; for this reason, believes that incentives should be given to programmes and joint projects which apply selection criteria based on the merit of the researchers and the quality of its scientific results;

Or. en

Amendment 121

Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Is sceptical about the effectiveness of using the funds that are spent on creating research networks of excellence and holding conferences and staging events,

and calls for the strengthening of electronic networking and cooperation activities between researchers and a greater use of the new technologies in the development and dissemination of research findings;

Or. el

Amendment 122

Philippe Lamberts on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Welcomes the Commission's initiatives within the FP7 that promote open access to the results of publicly funded research; calls for making open access the general principle for projects funded by the EU Framework Programmes, to extend this policy to data, and to support that development of smart research information services;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Teresa Riera Madurell

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Believes that, based on the European added value an open and excellence-based access to Research Infrastructures needs to be enhanced during the coming stages of FP7;

Amendment 124

Lambert van Nistelrooij, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Hermann Winkler, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges that ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) assist the competitiveness of European industry; regrets, however, the legal and administrative obstacles (legal personality, intellectual property, financial rules) and also the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges that ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) assist the competitiveness of European industry; regrets, however, the legal and administrative obstacles (legal personality, financial rules ***and in some cases also*** intellectual property), ***which may discourage a large number of key research actors and SMEs from participating***; also ***regrets*** the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; ***calls on Member States to fulfil their obligations once they have agreed to co-fund JTIs; calls on the Commission to ensure harmonisation of rules and funding rates for similar categories of participants in all JTIs following the FP7 model, including with regard to national co-funding***; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments;

Amendment 125

Philippe Lamberts on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges that ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) assist the

Amendment

11. Acknowledges that ***while*** ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) ***may*** assist

competitiveness of European industry; regrets, *however*, the legal and administrative *obstacles* (legal personality, intellectual property, financial rules) and also the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments;

the competitiveness of European industry, *these initiatives should remain within the boundaries of state aid and address pre-competitive issues*; regrets the legal and administrative *difficulties* (legal personality, intellectual property, financial rules) and also the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments *in particular for ensuring adequate balance between research and demonstration activities and securing the appropriate participation of public research institutes, SMEs and smaller research organisations*;

Or. en

Amendment 126
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges that ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) assist the competitiveness of European industry; regrets, however, the legal and administrative obstacles (legal personality, intellectual property, financial rules) and *also* the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges that ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) assist the competitiveness of European industry; regrets, however, the legal and administrative obstacles (legal personality, intellectual property, financial rules) *which risk deterring a large number of key players in research and SMEs*; regrets the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; *calls on the Member States to honour their budgetary commitments with regard to nationally co-funded JTIs*; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments;

Or. fr

Amendment 127
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges that ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) assist the competitiveness of European industry; regrets, **however**, the legal and administrative obstacles (legal personality, intellectual property, financial rules) and also the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges that ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) assist the competitiveness of European industry, ***underlying that selected industrial research actions through PPPs should not lead to the outsourcing of public funding***; regrets the legal and administrative obstacles (legal personality, intellectual property, financial rules) and also the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; ***stresses the need to review their status as a special body under the revised Financial Regulation***; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges that ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) assist the competitiveness of European industry; regrets, however, the legal and administrative obstacles (legal personality, intellectual property, financial rules) and also the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges that ‘Joint Technological Initiatives’ (JTIs) assist the competitiveness of European industry; regrets, however, the legal and administrative obstacles (legal personality, intellectual property, financial rules), ***the heterogeneous governance and legal structures between JTIs*** and also the high operating costs specific to start-up of JTIs; asks to be more closely involved in political control of these instruments;

Amendment 129
Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Expresses reservations regarding more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), preferring to maintain a balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 130
Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Expresses reservations regarding more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), preferring to maintain a balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs;

12. Favours more systematic use of open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), given that researchers have the best and most up-to-date knowledge of key research issues, and maintains that over-narrow application criteria could obstruct innovativeness as well as wasting resources;

Or. fi

Amendment 131
Marisa Matias, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. ***Expresses reservations regarding*** more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), ***preferring*** to maintain a balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs;

Amendment

12. ***Recognizes the*** more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach); ***suggests, although,*** to maintain a balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs; ***stresses the need to consult and work together with the researchers, the industry and civil society actors, in order to set the research agendas;***

Or. en

Amendment 132

Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. ***Expresses reservations regarding more systematic*** use of ***overly open calls for proposals*** (bottom-up approach), ***preferring to maintain a*** balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down), ***which meet specific needs;***

Amendment

12. ***Believes that a wider*** use of ***a*** bottom-up approach ***is key to ensure the long term capacity of the research base and to address future, yet unknown, grand challenges;*** ***calls for more*** balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down);

Or. en

Amendment 133

Jorgo Chatzimarkakis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Expresses reservations regarding more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), preferring

Amendment

12. Expresses reservations regarding more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), preferring

to maintain a balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs;

to maintain a balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs; ***in this context, asks the Commission to consider a financing system based on awarding successful innovation to complement the current up-front financing;***

Or. en

Amendment 134

Vicky Ford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. ***Expresses reservations regarding more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), preferring to maintain a balance between the two approaches*** (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs;

Amendment

12. ***Stresses the need*** to maintain ***the*** balance between bottom-up and top-down ***approaches***, which ***each*** meet specific needs;

Or. en

Amendment 135

Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. ***Expresses reservations regarding more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), preferring to maintain a balance between the two approaches*** (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs;

Amendment

12. ***Emphasises the importance*** of open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), ***while acknowledging the importance of*** a balance between the bottom-up and top-down, which meet specific needs;

Or. en

Amendment 136
Amalia Sartori

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Expresses reservations regarding more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), preferring to maintain a balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs;

Amendment

12. Expresses reservations regarding more systematic use of overly open calls for proposals (bottom-up approach), preferring to maintain a balance between the two approaches (bottom-up and top-down), which meet specific needs, ***taking care to present proposals which are more easily accessible for SMEs;***

Or. it

Amendment 137
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

12a. Is concerned about the small number of audits and the large number of errors and adjustments in FP7 projects, compared to FP6 projects, and calls for more regular information and for checks to be carried out not only on the basis of random sampling, but also by using realistic criteria, such as the experience of participants and the background of errors and compliance

Amendment

Or. el

Amendment 138
Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between European and national actions; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should complement the efforts of actors managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the RDEPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking; ***asks that the last three years of FP7 be devoted to helping structure the European Research Area;***

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between European and national actions; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should complement the efforts of actors managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the RDEPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking;

Or. fi

Amendment 139
Amalia Sartori

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between European and national actions; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should complement the efforts of actors managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between European and national actions; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should complement the efforts of actors managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the

RDFPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking; asks that the last three years of FP7 be devoted to helping structure the European Research Area;

RDFPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking; asks that the last three years of FP7 be devoted to helping structure the European Research Area *and pursuing the objectives of the Innovation Union, paying particular attention to the priorities identified with the innovation partnerships*;

Or. it

Amendment 140
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between European and national actions; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should complement the efforts of actors managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the RDFPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking; asks that the last three years of FP7 be devoted to helping structure the European Research Area;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between European and national actions *when the calls for proposals are formulated and also when the projects are evaluated*; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should complement the efforts of actors managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the RDFPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking, *but without neglecting the management of small projects*; asks that the last three years of FP7 be devoted to helping structure the European Research Area;

Or. fr

Amendment 141

Lambert van Nistelrooij, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Hermann Winkler, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between European and national actions; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should complement the efforts of actors managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the RDFPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking; asks that the last three years of FP7 be devoted to helping structure the European Research Area;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between European and national actions; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should complement the efforts of actors managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the RDFPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking, ***without neglecting small projects***; asks that the last three years of FP7 be devoted to helping structure the European Research Area;

Or. en

Amendment 142

Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between European and national actions; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should complement the efforts of actors

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to carry out an analysis to improve the link between, ***update and coordinate*** European and national actions; asks that calls for proposals, including those of July 2011, be issued in consultation with the Member States, not duplicating or competing with national initiatives but complementing them; suggests that FP7 should

managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the RDFPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking; asks that the last three years of FP7 be devoted to helping structure the European Research Area;

complement the efforts of actors managing national programmes involved in joint programming in order to move the RDFPs away from project management thinking towards programme management thinking; asks that the last three years of FP7 be devoted to helping structure the European Research Area;

Or. el

Amendment 143

Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Is sceptical about the fact that it is frequently only possible to fund one - and only one - proposal per call, which leads to a waste of the resources invested in preparing and evaluating excellent proposals and the non-funding of some excellent ideas; calls on the Commission to explore the possibility of funding excellent, non-selected research proposals, through an additional research budget (matching research funds) to which Member States, regional and structural funds and the private sector will contribute;

Or. el

Amendment 144

Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Teresa Riera Madurell, Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Stresses that in order to support the balanced development of the Joint Programming, the European Institutions should be committed to accept excellence criteria for the selection of projects and to draw up proposals for the evaluation of the results tailored to the characteristics of each sector;

Or. en

Amendment 145

Jean-Pierre Audy, Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Calls for all the official documents on European research and innovation policies to be linked, in line with the global approach of the Europe 2020 Strategy;

Or. fr

Amendment 146

Lena Ek on behalf of the ALDE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Calls for new calls for proposals on how to optimise the use of biogas, such as gasification, the use of new biomaterials and on the optimisation of biogas production;

Amendment 147

Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines, Pilar del Castillo Vera

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13b. Considers that once the Institutions and research groups have been familiarised and have integrated and become part of the research programmes, the 8th Framework Programme should not change too much in order to be familiar and well understood for all the actors involved;

Amendment 148

Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13b. Underlines the importance of the direct actions of the Joint Research Centre and their contribution to sustainable development, competitiveness and the security and safety of nuclear energy;

Amendment 149

Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines, Teresa Riera Madurell, Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13c. Stresses that, based on Art. 185 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) and in order to avoid duplicity on research and innovation and to achieve a greater diffusion of the results, it would be necessary to strengthen the coordination tasks of the Commission inside the joint programming;

Or. en

Amendment 150

Herbert Reul

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 151

Lena Ek on behalf of the ALDE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 152

Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 153

Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

deleted

Or. fi

Amendment 154

Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 155

Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. *Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;*

Amendment

14. *Points to the importance assigned under FP7 to security RDI not just for the purpose of improving defence capabilities, but also as a driver of scientific and technological progress; proposes that the EU, in cooperation with the Member States, lay down priority RDI objectives for the European Defence Agency in this field with a view to bringing about greater coordination and rational use of the resources allocated to FP7;*

Or. es

Amendment 156

Philippe Lamberts on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Proposes that *an ambitious* European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States *and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;*

Amendment

14. Proposes that *any* European research plan for technology and defence *that would* be adopted between the Union and the Member States *should be financed through contributions of Member States defence budgets;*

Or. en

Amendment 157

Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

Amendment

14. ***Bearing in mind that overall EU27 research and technology spending in the defence sector exceeds EUR 10 billion, while only 10% of this amount is spent through European cooperation,*** proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

Or. el

Amendment 158

Pilar del Castillo Vera, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Proposes that ***an*** ambitious European research plan for technology and defence ***be adopted between the Union and the Member States and*** receive significant initial financing from ***FP7*** and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

Amendment

14. Proposes that ***the Union and the Member States bring detailed assessment to bear on a future*** ambitious European research plan for technology and defence, ***couched in terms enabling it to*** receive significant initial financing from ***FP8*** and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending; ***calls in the mean time for dual-use technologies to be promoted by means of resources over and above FP7 projects;***

Amendment 159
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union *and* the Member States and *receive significant initial financing from FP7 and* the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

Amendment

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union, the Member States and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

Amendment 160
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States *and receive significant initial financing from FP7 and* the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

Amendment

14. Proposes that an ambitious European research plan for technology and defence be adopted between the Union and the Member States and the European Defence Agency on the basis of Article 45(d) of the EU Treaty, with a view to enhancing the industrial and technological base of the defence sector while at the same time improving the efficiency of military public spending;

Amendment 161
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Welcomes the steady progress towards a more balanced participation of men and women in FP; agrees that measures to boost female participation should be reinforced throughout project lifecycles and that the Commission should reinvigorate its approach to promoting female scientists and should aim to galvanise Member States to address gender gaps; underlines that the 40% target for female participation in the Programme and Advisory Committees should be sensitively but rigorously implemented;

Or. en

Amendment 162
Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Recognises the importance of the BSI (Black Sea Interconnection) project in terms of creating a regional research and education network in the greater Black Sea area and linking it to GEANT, and calls on the Commission to continue to support research projects in the BSR (Black Sea Region) such as HP-SEE, SEE-GRID, SCENE, CAREN and BSRN;

Amendment 163

Pilar del Castillo Vera, Maria Da Graça Carvalho

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Considers that, to enhance the impact of FP7, extensions of 6 to 12 months should be provided for by means of calls restricted to projects which have secured a favourable final technical evaluation, the idea being to carry out demonstration activities drawing on the technologies developed or the knowledge acquired;

Amendment 164

Britta Thomsen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Stresses that wider interdisciplinary perspectives will also be needed to tackle the growing societal challenges effectively; underlines that social sciences and humanities play a vital role in answering the grand challenges that the EU is facing;

Amendment 165
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Notes the hesitant progress seen with regard to women's participation in the FP7; welcomes the Commission's proposed follow-up measures and calls on the Member States to take specific steps in this direction;

Or. fr

Amendment 166
Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. Calls on the Commission to ensure, in the context of FP7 and the future financial framework, an appropriate level of R & D funding for GNSS applications and services;

Or. ro

Amendment 167
Jean-Pierre Audy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. Calls for the Commission and the Member States to take specific measures designed to increase the participation of young researchers in the framework

programmes;

Or. fr