



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

Committee on International Trade

2014/2228(INI)

30.3.2015

AMENDMENTS

155 - 300

Draft report
Bernd Lange
(PE549.135v01-00)

containing the European Parliament's recommendations to the Commission on the negotiations for the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) (2014/2228(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 155
Artis Pabriks, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 156
Reimer Böge

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Amendment

G. whereas the TTIP negotiations are more transparent than any negotiations on international agreements in the past; whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Or. de

Amendment 157
Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms ***of democratic control*** of the negotiation process;

Amendment

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past, ***coupled with a lack of communication***, has led to deficiencies in terms ***of interpretation by the general public and by certain decision-makers of the conduct*** of the negotiation process; ***adds that negotiations at this level must not lead to openness of a kind which would imperil or sabotage the satisfactory conduct of the defence of the general interests of the European Union by the negotiators, by revealing our strategy to our partner or to other stakeholders; underlines in this respect that the publication of the confidential documents which were leaked on the Internet did not result in legal action, despite the clear breach represented by this;***

Or. fr

Amendment 158
Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas ***the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the*** negotiation process;

Amendment

G. whereas ***a false perspective has been created that the negotiations have been of a secretive character and this has created concerns in general public; whereas the Commission has sought to address these concerns by launching unparalleled transparency during the Agreement's*** negotiation process;

Or. en

Amendment 159

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Amendment

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process; ***whereas full access to key negotiation documents to parliamentarians on different governance levels on both side of the Atlantic, as well as to journalists, researchers and citizens and their organisations in civil society should manifest a democratic principle; whereas consolidated texts continue to be accessible in reading rooms only, which paradoxically concerns more and more chapters as the negotiations advance;***

Or. en

Amendment 160

Nicola Danti, Alessia Maria Mosca, Pedro Silva Pereira, Sorin Moisă

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the ***secret character of*** negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Amendment

G. whereas the ***limited level of transparency of the*** negotiations as they have been conducted in the past ***has been a serious mistake and it*** has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Or. en

Amendment 161
Marine Le Pen

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past ***has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;***

Amendment

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past ***represents a denial of democracy and a serious breach of the duty of openness required of the European institutions;***

Or. fr

Amendment 162
Daniel Caspary, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas ***the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;***

Amendment

G. whereas, ***for reasons of negotiation tactics, not all the information can be published for negotiations, and this poses particular challenges for citizen participation and democratic control of the negotiation process; whereas this has not had an adverse effect in the case of our concluded agreement;***

Or. de

Amendment 163
Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the *secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process*;

Amendment

G. whereas *the negotiations on the TTIP agreement should offer the maximum possible transparency; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative; whereas the new Commission's new transparency offensive promotes democratic control of the negotiation process and has already successfully eliminated transparency deficiencies; whereas the negotiations will also always require a certain degree of confidentiality in order to be able to achieve a high-quality outcome*;

Or. de

Amendment 164

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández

Motion for a resolution

Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Amendment

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has *represented a very serious mistake which has not only* led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process *but has also helped create a feeling of rejection from TTIP negotiations, in many cases based on incorrect or distorted information*;

Or. es

Amendment 165
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the *secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process*;

Amendment

G. whereas the *nature of international negotiations demand a certain degree of discretion in order to effectively ensure results, a balance needs to be struck to between discretion and transparency; a higher level of transparency should be possible in order to strengthen democratic control*;

Or. en

Amendment 166
Marietje Schaake, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Alexander Graf Lambsdorff, Olli Rehn, Petras Auštrevičius, Catherine Bearder

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the *secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process*;

Amendment

G. whereas the *European Commission has made significant efforts to increase access to information by launching a transparency initiative, which has increased democratic control of the negotiation process*;

Or. en

Amendment 167
Pablo Zalba Bidegain

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations ***as they have been conducted in the past has led*** to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Amendment

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations ***may lead*** to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Or. es

Amendment 168
Eleonora Forenza

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Amendment

G. whereas the secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation process;
whereas the TTIP negotiations have shown the need to substantially revise the negotiation procedures laid down by ART 207 and 218 of the TFEU

Or. en

Amendment 169
Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the ***secret character of negotiations as they have been conducted in the past has led to deficiencies in terms of democratic control of the negotiation***

Amendment

G. whereas the ***legal framework governing the negotiation of EU trade agreements is laid down in Article 207 TFEU, which establishes that the Commission***

process;

*negotiates agreements in consultation
with a special committee established by
the Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 170
Goffredo Maria Bettini

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the *secret character of negotiations* as they have been conducted in the past has led to *deficiencies in terms* of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Amendment

G. whereas the *limited level of transparency of the negotiation* as they have been conducted in the past has *been a serious mistake and it has* led to *deficiencies in term* of democratic control of the negotiation process;

Or. en

Amendment 171
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

*Ga. welcomes the steps and actions taken
by Commissioner Malmstrom for greater
transparency in the negotiations and the
publication of several documents;*

Or. en

Amendment 172
**Eric Andrieu, Maria Arena, Agnes Jongerius, Jude Kirton-Darling, Jörg Leichtfried,
Emmanuel Maurel, Joachim Schuster, Marita Ulvskog**

Motion for a resolution
Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. whereas the EU's commitment to the UNESCO Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions and the Article 167 of the TFEU ensure and preserve the right of the EU and its Member States to establish and to implement cultural policies, as well as measures aimed at the protection and promotion of cultural diversity; whereas the United States are not signatories to the UNESCO convention;

Or. en

Amendment 173

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution
Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. whereas the EU Ombudsman has conducted an inquiry concerning transparency in the TTIP negotiations and the Commission's handling of requests for access to information, and has come to a decision including ten recommendations for change;

Or. en

Amendment 174

Alessia Maria Mosca, Goffredo Maria Bettini, Sorin Moisă, Nicola Danti

Motion for a resolution
Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. whereas the current phase of fast change in the global geopolitical scenario requires a resolute attitude by the EU, which has to explore the various political and economic options in order to reinforce its global economic role;

Or. en

Amendment 175
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Recital G b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Gb. take immediate action to involve and consult regional governments with legislative competences in order to take them fully into account during the negotiations.

Or. en

Amendment 176
Helmut Scholz
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group
Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution
Recital G b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Gb. whereas both Article 1 and Article 10 (3) of the Treaty on European Union stipulate that "decisions shall be taken as openly and as closely as possible to the

citizen'';

Or. en

Amendment 177

Eric Andrieu, Maria Arena, Agnes Jongerius, Jude Kirton-Darling, Jörg Leichtfried, Emmanuel Maurel, Sorin Moisă, Alessia Maria Mosca, Joachim Schuster, Marita Ulvskog, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution

Recital G c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Gc. whereas agriculture is at the heart of wider strategic issues such as food safety, sustainable development, societal choices and collective preferences and that the agricultural sectors in the EU and US differ considerably in many areas, such as consumer health aspects and food safety standards, including GMOs and hormone-treated meat;

Or. en

Amendment 178

Matteo Salvini

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the

deleted

*protection of Europeans' personal data
are non-negotiable;*

Or. it

Amendment 179

Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data **are non-**negotiable;

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social, **environmental** and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data **can be** negotiable **only if they reach the highest standards;**

(The current situation in areas such as food safety and personal data protection is not completely satisfactory, and could even be improved (eg.: endocrine disruptors, etc.))

Or. fr

Amendment 180

Marine Le Pen

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas President Juncker has **clearly** reiterated in his Political Guidelines that –

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the

while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;

EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable; *but that, at the same time, the Commission wishes to stress economic and regulatory integration within the Single Market, and intends to lay stress on openness to competition of network industries and infrastructures, even if this means liberalising activities which have hitherto been undertaken as national public services;*

Or. fr

Amendment 181
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will *not sacrifice* its safety, health, social and data protection standards *or* our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will *maintain* its safety, health, social and data protection standards *and* our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;

Or. en

Amendment 182

Frédérique Ries, Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, ***recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;***

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social, ***food, environmental*** and data protection standards or our cultural diversity;

Or. fr

Amendment 183

Tokia Saïfi, Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly ***declared that he wishes the commercial agreement with the United States to be reasonable and balanced, and*** whereas he reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;

Amendment 184

Daniel Caspary, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data ***and of local public services*** are non-negotiable;

Or. de

Amendment 185

Jarosław Wałęsa, Andrzej Grzyb, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Julia Pitera, Dariusz Rosati, Adam Szejnfeld, Danuta Jazłowiecka, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Bolesław G. Piecha, Andrey Kovatchev, Eva Paunova, Mariya Gabriel, Emil Radev, Vladimir Urutchev

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social

and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data **are non-negotiable**;

and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the **high level of** safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data **will be maintained**;

(It is important to stress that we are not talking about lowering standards in negotiations rather than saying that issues of food safety are not-negotiable, as it is not true - issues of food safety or SPS are being negotiated, but we don't want to decrease level of protection in this negotiations. That is the difference.)

Or. en

Amendment 186

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each **other's** product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each **other's** product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable **and that the rule of law should also apply in this context**;

Or. en

Amendment 187

Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution

Recital H

Motion for a resolution

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;

Amendment

H. whereas President Juncker has clearly reiterated in his Political Guidelines that – while the EU and the US can go a significant step further in recognising each other's product standards and working towards transatlantic standards – the EU will not sacrifice its safety, health, **animal health**, social and data protection standards or our cultural diversity, recalling that the safety of the food we eat and the protection of Europeans' personal data are non-negotiable;

Or. en

Amendment 188

Frédérique Ries, Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez

Motion for a resolution

Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas his declarations have already been extended into the undertaking given by the European Trade Commissioner, Cecilia Malmström, and the American commerce representative, Michael Froman, on 20 March in Brussels, for the protection of public services in the commercial agreements negotiated by the EU and the United States;

Or. fr

Amendment 189

Viviane Reding

Motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas trust is indispensable for ambitious and complex negotiations to succeed; whereas the European Parliament deplores the recent revelations on the hacking of Gemalto SIM Cards; whereas a fresh start can only be achieved by taking bold actions on both sides of the Atlantic to safeguard the fundamental rights of our citizens; whereas these concrete actions must strive to re-instigate trust at both consumer and political level, thereby providing renewed impetus for trade negotiations; whereas due note is taken of recent positive developments in the US administration and in the US Congress such as the proposed enhancement of data protection standards;

Or. en

Amendment 190
Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas Article 8 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union establishes the principle of gender equality, stipulating that, in all its activities, the Union shall aim to eliminate inequalities, and to promote equality, between men and women;

Or. es

Amendment 191
Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas the European Parliament in its resolution of 23 May 2013 has taken a clear stance for the exclusion of cultural and audiovisual services from the scope of the negotiations of TTIP, including those provided online, but at no point in the negotiating mandate it is clearly stated that cultural services and products should be considered and treated differently from other commercial services and products;

Or. en

Amendment 192
Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Motion for a resolution
Recital H b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hb. having regard to Articles 21 TEU and 208 TFEU, which set out a list of principles that EU foreign policy must observe with a view to ensuring its coherence;

Or. es

Amendment 193
Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution
Recital H b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hb. whereas in its answer to written question E-009607/2014 the Commission states that 'Audiovisual services' are not classified as 'culture' and that "the EU does not lay down a definition of 'cultural services' for the purposes of its trade agreements and that the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Agreement (TTIP) is no exception to this rule";

Or. en

Amendment 194

Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution

Recital H c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Hc. whereas in Europe PDO/PGI product designations are governed by strict specifications regarding quality and origin, while in the United States they represent no more than 'common names' that may be freely used without any restrictions;

Or. en

Amendment 195

Matteo Salvini

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction

deleted

of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

Or. it

Amendment 196

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 197

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, David Campbell Bannerman, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 198
Reimer Böge

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas ***ISDS mechanisms are required in order to effectively protect foreign investors from discrimination, even in highly developed legal systems***; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve

investment protection and equal treatment
of investors;

Or. de

Amendment 199

Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – *is needed* within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – *is currently being undertaken* within and between the three European institutions *whilst associating civil society and companies, particularly those which have already been involved with this mechanism*, on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors, *whilst clearly giving the States the right to regulate*;

Or. fr

Amendment 200

Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has also

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also

clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas *now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;*

clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas *Commissioner Malmström confirmed this in her 18 March 2015 statement to the INTA committee and set out a proposal for the modernisation of investor protection systems which would fully respect the jurisdiction of national courts;*

Or. en

Amendment 201

Tokia Saïfi

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions, *and also with the representatives of civil society and companies*, on the best way to achieve investment protection, equal treatment of investors *and the right to regulate States;*

Or. fr

Amendment 202
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has *also clearly* stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the *best* way *to* achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes, *but that it should be noted that investors encounter problems to which national judicial systems are not always capable of responding effectively, not least because the rights granted to investors within the scope of international trade agreements are not directly enforceable in North American courts*; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the way *the dispute resolution mechanism between investors and States should be configured in order to achieve the correct balance between* investment protection and equal treatment of investors *and protection of the right of the EU and its Member States to legislate*;

Or. pt

Amendment 203
Marine Le Pen

Motion for a resolution
Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines

that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors; ***but that a mechanism for settling disagreements or an arbitration court is very unfavourably viewed by the citizens of the Member States, and could constitute a serious infringement of the sovereignty of the Member States, their legal institutions and the national legal systems partly produced by the national legislator democratically designated by the citizens of the Member States;***

Or. fr

Amendment 204 **Christofer Fjellner**

Motion for a resolution **Recital I**

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a ***reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to***

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a ***continued improvement of the investor-to-state dispute settlement mechanism can take place without weakening the protection of our investors from***

achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

discrimination and expropriation without compensation;

Or. en

Amendment 205

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors;

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited by special regimes for investor disputes; whereas now that the results of the public consultation on investment protection and ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection process – taking account of *the mostly very* critical and constructive contributions – is needed within and between the three European institutions on the best way to achieve investment protection and equal treatment of investors *without the use of the ISDS mechanism*;

Or. en

Amendment 206

Marietje Schaake, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Alexander Graf Lambsdorff, Olli Rehn, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited

Amendment

I. whereas President Juncker has also clearly stated in his Political Guidelines that he will not accept that the jurisdiction of courts in the Member States is limited

by special regimes for investor disputes;
whereas now that the results of the public
consultation on investment protection and
ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection
process – taking account of critical and
constructive contributions – is needed
within and between the three European
institutions on the best way to achieve
investment protection and *equal treatment
of investors*;

by special regimes for investor disputes;
whereas now that the results of the public
consultation on investment protection and
ISDS in the TTIP are available, a reflection
process – taking account of critical and
constructive contributions – is needed
within and between the three European
institutions on the best way to achieve
investment protection and *non-
discrimination*;

Or. en

Amendment 207

Gérard Deprez, Frédérique Ries, Louis Michel

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*Ia. whereas the method for settling
conflicts between investors and States
must without fail be accessible, guarantee
impartial treatment of the dispute, and
include a possibility of appeal against the
decision given in the lower court;*

Or. fr

Amendment 208

Tiziana Beghin

Motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*Ia. whereas the Commission must keep in
mind that in response to its public
consultation on ISDS in TTIP, EU
citizens requested the complete removal of
ISDS from TTIP and not its reform;*

Amendment 209
Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas the ECJ has considered, in its Opinion 1/09, that the creation of mechanisms for settling disputes outside the institutional and judicial context of the Union which would deprive the courts of the Member States of their jurisdiction relating to the interpretation and application of EU law, and the Court of its own jurisdiction to answer, on a preliminary basis, the questions posed by the said courts, would distort the forms of jurisdiction which the treaties give the institutions of the Union and the Member States, which are essential for the preservation of the very nature of the law of the Union, and would consequently be incompatible with the Treaties;

Or. fr

Amendment 210
Gérard Deprez, Frédérique Ries, Louis Michel

Motion for a resolution
Recital I b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ib. whereas it is necessary to ensure that the investors cannot dispute, whatever the judicial mechanism, decisions of a general nature taken by the Member States relating to public service;

Amendment 211
Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Recital I b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ib. whereas the ECJ estimated, in its Opinion 2/13, that the jurisdiction of the Union in respect of international relations, and its ability to conclude international agreements, necessarily included the option of submitting to the decisions of a court created or designated under such agreements, in respect of the interpretation and application of the provisions; whereas, however, the Court also stipulated that an international agreement could have effects on its own jurisdiction only if the essential conditions for the preservation of its nature were met and, hence, only if there was no violation of the autonomy of the Union's legal order.

Amendment 212
Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Recital I c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ic. whereas, on 10 September 2014, the Commission refused to register ICE Stop TTIP, considering that it went beyond the scope of the attributions of the European Commission to submit a legal deed of the Union required for the purposes of

application of the treaties; Whereas a 'Stop TTIP' initiative was consequently commenced outside the procedure laid down by Regulation 211/2011 and has already collected more than a million signatures;

Or. fr

Amendment 213

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas many critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by European citizens; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

deleted

(See consolidated letter G)

Or. de

Amendment 214

Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

J. whereas many critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by

J. whereas many critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by

European citizens; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

European citizens *as well as by representatives of European municipalities and regions*; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

Or. en

Amendment 215
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas *many* critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by European citizens; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

Amendment

J. whereas *a clear majority of Europeans support a free trade agreement with the US*^{23d}, critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by European citizens; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

^{23d} *Eurobarometer, November 2014.*
http://ec.europa.eu/public_opinion/cf/showmap.cfm?keyID=3905&nationID=11,1,27,28,17,2,16,18,13,6,3,4,22,7,8,20,21,9,23,24,12,19,29,26,25,5,14,10,15,&startdate=2014.11&enddate=2014.11#fcExportDiv

Or. en

Amendment 216
Reimer Böge

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas many critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, **taking** into account the concerns voiced by European citizens; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

Amendment

J. whereas many critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner **and for the backgrounds of the institutions involved to be better communicated to the public; whereas the objectively argued** concerns voiced by European citizens **must be taken** into account **in the process**; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

Or. de

Amendment 217
Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution
Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas many critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by European citizens; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

Amendment

J. whereas many critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by European citizens; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative; **considers that the current context in which the negotiations are being conducted following the revelations relating to the spying undertaken by our American commercial partner through the NSA throughout European territory has contributed to this need for transparency in order to dispel**

all doubts concerning its intentions;

Or. fr

Amendment 218

Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas *many critical voices* in the public debate *have shown* the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, *taking into account the concerns voiced by European citizens*; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

Amendment

J. whereas *an active campaign against TTIP have created a perception* in the public debate *of* the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

Or. en

Amendment 219

Daniel Caspary, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas many critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by European citizens; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

Amendment

J. whereas many critical voices in the public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by European citizens, *as has been the case up to now*; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the Commission's transparency initiative;

Or. de

Amendment 220

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones

Motion for a resolution

Recital J

Motion for a resolution

J. whereas *many critical voices* in the *public debate have shown the need for the TTIP negotiations to be conducted in a more transparent and inclusive manner, taking into account the concerns voiced by European citizens*; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the *Commission's* transparency initiative;

Amendment

J. whereas *trade agreements should be negotiated* in the *most transparent and inclusive manner possible, while respecting the need for a necessary element of confidentiality to ensure that negotiators can reach a high quality final deal*; whereas Parliament fully supports both the decision of the Council to declassify the negotiating directives and the *Commission's* transparency initiative;

Or. en

Amendment 221

Frédérique Ries, Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel

Motion for a resolution

Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas, also, the salutary efforts of the Commission to persuade the American partner of the justification for increased openness in the negotiations, and the need to continue this proactive approach;

Or. fr

Amendment 222

Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution
Recital J a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ja. whereas the Commission has held many more meetings with industry representatives than with civil society organisations (CSOs) and refuses to make the minutes of those meetings public;

Or. en

Amendment 223
Christofer Fjellner, Artis Pabriks

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed and it is now exactly the right time to undertake a reflection on the state of play;

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed and it is now exactly the right time to undertake a reflection on the state of play ***without drawing new red lines;***

Or. en

Amendment 224
Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed ***and it is now exactly the right time to undertake a reflection on the state of play;***

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been continuing, but up to now no common text has been agreed; ***adds that it is necessary to undertake a continuing reflection on the***

conduct of these negotiations;

(There is no ideal time, only favourable moments)

Or. fr

Amendment 225

Marine Le Pen

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed and it is now exactly the right time to undertake *a reflection on the state of play;*

Amendment

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed and it is now exactly the right time to undertake *a profound challenge of the legitimacy and relevance of this agreement, and also to make public all the negotiation documents and the inter-institutional communication produced or in the course of preparation;*

Or. fr

Amendment 226

Matteo Salvini

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed and it is now exactly the right time to undertake a reflection on the state of play;

Amendment

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed and it is now exactly the right time to undertake a reflection on the state of play, *although it is clear to everyone that the offensive interests expressed by the counterparty greatly endanger the prerogatives of European businesses, and*

pose a particular risk to our agricultural sector;

Or. it

Amendment 227

Daniel Caspary, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed *and it is now exactly the right time to undertake a reflection on the state of play;*

Amendment

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed;

Or. de

Amendment 228

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution

Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed *and it is now exactly the right time to undertake a reflection on the state of play;*

Amendment

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed *yet;*

Or. de

Amendment 229

Marietje Schaake, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Alexander Graf Lambsdorff, Olli Rehn, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed ***and it is now exactly the right time to undertake a reflection on the state of play;***

Amendment

K. whereas since July 2013 talks between the US and the EU have been going on, but up to now no common text has been agreed;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution
Recital K

Motion for a resolution

K. whereas ***since July 2013*** talks between the US and ***the EU have been going on,*** but up to now no common text has been agreed ***and it is now exactly the right time to undertake a reflection on the state of play;***

Amendment

K. whereas talks between the US and ***EU began in July 2013,*** but up to now no common text has been agreed ; ***whereas political stocktaking is a useful exercise in influencing the direction of negotiations;***

Or. en

Amendment 231
Bernd Lange

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ka. whereas the scope and the extent to which TTIP will affect developing countries are uncertain and dialogue opportunities with those countries on the impact of TTIP are needed;

Amendment

Amendment 232
Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas TTIP is expected to be a mixed agreement requiring ratification by the European Parliament, European Council and all 28 EU Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 233
Eleonora Forenza

Motion for a resolution
Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas early consultations mechanisms between the EU and the US, including potentially further impact assessment with extended stakeholder consultations earlier in the legislative process, may lead to delays in or even abandonment of regulations, especially in the fields of environment and consumers protection.
Whereas it has still to be further assessed the gender impact of the TTIP, especially in terms of access to labour market, welfare and healthcare;

Or. en

Amendment 234

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the EU in particular faces growing concerns over its energy security and its need to diversify its energy supplies and transit routes in the face of political and geopolitical developments;

Or. en

Amendment 235

Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution

Recital K a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ka. whereas the ratification procedure for an agreement with such wide-ranging implications needs to include a referendum stage in each member State or at European level;

Or. en

Amendment 236

Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Addresses, in the context of the ongoing negotiations on TTIP, the following recommendations to the Commission:

1. Requests that the Commission suspends the ongoing negotiations on TTIP

Amendment 237

Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to **a deep, comprehensive**, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to **an** ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement **which is in the interest of the citizens and** that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Amendment 238

Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase **international** competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase **European** competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content

of the agreement *is more important* than the speed of the negotiations;

of the agreement, *its legal robustness and its monitoring and application mechanisms are much more important* than the speed of the negotiations;

Or. fr

Amendment 239
Marine Le Pen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) *to ensure that* TTIP negotiations *lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;*

Amendment

(i) *to suspend* the negotiations on the TTIP agreement

Or. fr

Amendment 240
Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of

high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers **by guaranteeing the protection of existing and future levels of protection**, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

(The amendment is based on the proposal by BEUC, The European Consumer Organisation.)

Or. en

Amendment 241

Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote **sustainable** growth, support the creation of **high-quality** jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; **the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;**

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote growth, support the creation of **sustainable** jobs for European workers, directly **and indirectly** benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 242

Tokia Saïfi, Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement ***in a spirit of reciprocity and mutual benefit*** that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Or. fr

Amendment 243

David Martin, Maria Arena, Jude Kirton-Darling, Jörg Leichtfried, Emmanuel Maurel, Sorin Moisă, Alessia Maria Mosca, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Joachim Schuster, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Eric Andrieu, Goffredo Maria Bettini, Victor Boştinaru, Nicola Danti, Agnes Jongerius, Costas Mavrides, Pedro Silva Pereira, Marita Ulvskog, Martina Werner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular ***SMEs***; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth ***with shared benefits across EU Member States***, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers ***by ensuring a high level of existing and future labour, social and environmental standards, fight tax evasion, tax avoidance and tax havens***, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU

companies, in particular *micro and SMEs, and contribute to attracting more foreign investments in the EU*; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations, *which should in any case take into account the developments in the global international arena*;

Or. en

Amendment 244

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a *deep, comprehensive, ambitious*, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, *increase international competitiveness*, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, *safeguard quality public services*, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers *by guaranteeing the protection of existing and future enhanced levels of protection*, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; *apply the precautionary principle, ensure data protection, keep and develop the necessary public services, for example for health and education, guarantee the existence of family agriculture and GIs, ensure cultural diversity and have a coherent policy of cooperation for development*; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 245
Evelyne Gebhardt, Peter Simon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, ***inter alia by means of greater involvement of the European consumer protection organisations in the negotiations***, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Or. de

Amendment 246
Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a ***deep, comprehensive, ambitious***, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement ***that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs***; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement ***promoting sustainable development, fully respecting existing and future EU social, environmental and health standards, and creating no obstacle, neither legally nor politically, for the implementation of existing EU laws or the adoption of new ones***; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Amendment 247

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement ***that would*** promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European ***workers***, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; ***the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;***

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement, ***based on a single undertaking that will*** promote ***trade and investment***, sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European ***citizens***, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs;

Amendment 248

Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content

Amendment

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs, ***without***

of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

lowering EU standards, food safety and protection of geographical indications; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 249

David Borrelli, Tiziana Beghin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that *transparent* TTIP negotiations lead to a deep, comprehensive, ambitious, balanced and high-standard trade and investment agreement that would promote sustainable growth, support the creation of high-quality jobs for European workers, directly benefit European consumers, increase international competitiveness, and open up new opportunities for EU companies, in particular SMEs; the content of the agreement is more important than the speed of the negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 250

Alain Cadec

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ia) to ensure that reciprocity in terms of social, health and environmental standards is a fundamental principle in the negotiations of TTIP; the TTIP must under no circumstances weaken

European standards in these fields, and their preservation must be considered to be a red line in the negotiations;

Or. fr

Amendment 251
Lola Sánchez Caldentey

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point i a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ia) to respect the Article 208 of the Lisbon Treaty, which establishes the principle of policy coherence for development, requiring that the objectives of development cooperation be taken into account in TTIP negotiations as EU trade and development policies are interlinked;

Or. en

Amendment 252
Helmut Scholz
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group
Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point i a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ia) to ensure that the human rights clause includes:

– Confirmation of states obligations under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other relevant international human rights instruments including, but not limited to, the European Convention on Human Rights, the European Social

Charter and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights;

– Assurance that state parties will interpret the provisions in the agreement in accordance with international human rights law;

– Assurance that none of the obligations arising from the agreement will have the effect of modifying the obligations to respect, protect, and fulfil fundamental rights in the EU;

– An exception permitting parties to suspend their obligations arising from the agreement if there are grounds to believe that it will result in a breach of fundamental rights;

– A mechanism putting forward periodic human rights impact assessments to be conducted jointly by a designated committee of the US Congress and the European Parliament;

– A mechanism to bring complaints in front of national courts in order to initiate an investigation by the designated authority into human rights disputes arising under the agreement;

– Procedures to ensure that citizens have equality before the law;

– Assurance that the Parties to the agreement will not in any way whatsoever relatively privilege their own citizens, or otherwise discriminate against non-citizens, merely according to their citizenship status in any matter affected by this agreement, concerning public order, national security, crime or grounds of important public interest; such as internationally recognised labour standards, environment, public health or food safety standards;

– An accessible mechanism to impose sanctions when fundamental rights and standards are abused and dialogue or mediation have been exhausted;

Amendment 253

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ia) to ensure that TTIP takes into account that trade is not an end in itself but a means to improve well-being, which could mean suspending the TTIP negotiations until it can be conclusively proven that the Commission's previous estimates of job dislocation are no longer a legitimate concern;

Or. en

Amendment 254

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point i b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ib) to reject the approach of a so-called "living agreement" and to insist that all important questions of detail relating to the agreement be decided in the negotiations, as nothing shall be agreed until everything is agreed; to reject the proposal that regulatory issues should be subsequently referred to specially established groups of experts, bypassing the democratic legislative process;

Or. en

Amendment 255
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards *and preventing social and environmental dumping*;

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards;

Or. en

Amendment 256
Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on

three main areas – ***ambitiously improving*** reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping;

three main areas – ***application of*** reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing ***fiscal***, social and environmental dumping;

Or. fr

Amendment 257

Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of

government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards *and preventing social and environmental dumping*;

government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards;

Or. en

Amendment 258
Marine Le Pen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that *while* the TTIP negotiations *consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping*;

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that the TTIP negotiations *were not prepared with a spirit of openness or with the goal of defending the economic interests of the Member States and their citizens*;

Or. fr

Amendment 259

Tokia Saïfi, Marielle de Sarnez, Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping;

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards ***and the level of consumer protection*** and preventing social and environmental dumping;

Or. fr

Amendment 260

David Martin, Maria Arena, Jude Kirton-Darling, Jörg Leichtfried, Emmanuel Maurel, Sorin Moisă, Alessia Maria Mosca, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Joachim Schuster, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Eric Andrieu, Goffredo Maria Bettini, Victor Boştinaru, Nicola Danti, Agnes Jongerius, Costas Mavrides, Pedro Silva Pereira, Marita Ulvskog, Martina Werner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping;

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting, *fair*, genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping, *including through a structured system of pre and post impact assessment and evaluation procedures, including a precise gender assessment*;

Or. en

Amendment 261
Matteo Salvini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public

procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation *while* upholding ***regulatory standards*** and preventing social and environmental dumping;

procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation, upholding ***the legislative competences of the Member States and of regions with legislative powers*** and preventing social and environmental dumping;

Or. it

Amendment 262

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to ***emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of***

Amendment

(ii) to ***present to Parliament and to the public in general the possible economic and social expected results of its TTIP project, in particular for jobs and working conditions, sector by sector, country by country; to present to Parliament and to the public in general, an evaluation of the possible costs of the reduction of the so called Non Trade Barriers, also with regard to a reduced level of the Commission's ambition to establish in the Union a legal framework for economic and financial actors, which***

government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping;

would benefit the society in general.

Or. en

Amendment 263

Marietje Schaake, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Alexander Graf Lambsdorff, Olli Rehn, Ulrike Müller, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping;

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping, **welcomes therefore the fact that all major players in the negotiations have publically**

committed to these goals;

Or. en

Amendment 264

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural *means of* achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping;

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural *measures for* achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping;

Or. de

Amendment 265

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ***ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government)***, reducing NTBs and enhancing ***the compatibility of regulatory regimes***, and developing ***common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities*** – all these areas ***are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, the agreement should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and*** should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping;

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – market access, reducing NTBs and enhancing regulatory ***cooperation***, and developing global trade ***rules*** – all these areas ***have different objectives, concern different actors, and vary in scope and time horizons, and therefore should be treated each in its own merits without cross-bargaining in the ambit of a comprehensive package; TTIP negotiations*** should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping, ***while ensuring that both partners remain free to enact standards that provide higher levels of environmental, labour, consumer and privacy protection than those required by the agreement;;***

Or. en

Amendment 266

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and

Amendment

(ii) to emphasise that while the TTIP negotiations consist of negotiations on three main areas – ambitiously improving reciprocal market access (for goods, services, investment and public procurement at all levels of government), reducing NTBs and enhancing the compatibility of regulatory regimes, and

developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, ***the agreement*** should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation ***while upholding regulatory standards and preventing social and environmental dumping***;

developing common rules to address shared global trade challenges and opportunities – all these areas are equally important to be included in a comprehensive package; TTIP should be ambitious and binding on all levels of government on both sides of the Atlantic, ***and*** should lead to lasting genuine market openness on a reciprocal basis and trade facilitation on the ground, and should pay particular attention to structural means of achieving greater transatlantic cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 267

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iia) to incorporate rules on transparency and combating financial crime in order to advance cooperation between the EU and the US in the fight against corruption, illicit financial flows and money-laundering and to set global higher standards against financial and tax criminality; including measures for cooperation in the implementation of standards to enforce anti-bribery laws, transparency in corporate reporting, mutual legal assistance and the promotion of integrity among public officials, political actors and the private sector.

Or. en

Amendment 268
Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iia) to ensure, while safeguarding the protection achieved by EU standards and regulations, TTIP should go beyond the WTO Technical Barriers to Trade Agreement, in areas such as conformity assessment, product requirements, or standards, as well as providing for transparency in the preparation and availability of technical regulations;

Or. en

Amendment 269

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iia) to regard the very high level of European protection standards which exist for the general public in the European Union should be regarded as an attainment deserving of the utmost protection, and that the statutory standards which exist in the EU Member States, for example regarding product safety, social protection and the protection of health, the environment, the climate, food and animal welfare, as well as consumer protection and data protection rights, should on no account be lowered but rather, where possible, improved; to ensure that these protection standards can in future be optimised and adapted

unilaterally by a Member State in the light of the latest findings without any restriction;

Or. en

Amendment 270

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iib) to take immediate action to ensure that any dispositions in the Treaty are subordinated to the right of the EU and its Member-States to define the levels of protection and that any such policy is not secured at the cost of social cohesion or the delivery of critical infrastructure or public services and is addressed on the basis of maintaining the highest standards and is done so with regard to existing levels of protection, especially within areas such as health and safety, education, consumer, labour and environmental legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 271

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point ii c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iic) to bear in mind that the Lisbon

Treaty defines EU trade policy as an integral part of the Union's overall external action and that that policy therefore must address development, environmental and social objectives as well as contribute to meeting the other objectives set out in the Treaty on the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 272

Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) to keep in mind the strategic importance of the EU-US economic relationship in general and of TTIP in particular, inter alia as an opportunity to promote the principles and values that the EU and the US share and cherish and to design common approaches to global trade, investment and trade-related issues such as high standards, norms and regulations, in order to develop a broader transatlantic vision and a common set of strategic goals;

Amendment

(iii) to keep in mind the strategic importance of the EU-US economic relationship in general and of TTIP in particular, inter alia as an opportunity to promote the principles and values that the EU and the US share and cherish and to design *a* common *approach to and* a vision of global trade, investment and trade-related issues such as norms, *standards* and regulations, in order to develop a broader transatlantic vision *applicable to all*, and a common set of strategic goals;

Or. fr

Amendment 273

Marine Le Pen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) to keep in mind the strategic importance of the EU-US economic

Amendment

(iii) to keep in mind the strategic importance of the EU-US economic

relationship *in general and of TTIP in particular, inter alia as an opportunity to promote the principles and values that the EU and the US share and cherish and to design common approaches to global trade, investment and trade-related issues such as high standards, norms and regulations, in order to develop a broader transatlantic vision and a common set of strategic goals;*

relationship *and to highlight the need to encourage independent national commercial policies, whilst seeking European cooperation which would offer protection of European markets;*

Or. fr

Amendment 274
Viviane Reding

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) to keep in mind the strategic importance of the EU-US economic relationship in general and of TTIP in particular, inter alia as an opportunity to promote the principles and values that the EU and the US share and cherish and to design common approaches to global trade, investment and trade-related issues such as high standards, norms and regulations, in order to develop a broader transatlantic vision and a common set of strategic goals;

Amendment

(iii) to keep in mind the strategic importance of the EU-US economic relationship in general and of TTIP in particular, inter alia as an opportunity to promote the principles and values that the EU and the US share and cherish and to design common approaches to global trade, investment and trade-related issues such as high standards, norms and regulations, in order to develop a broader transatlantic vision and a common set of strategic goals; *to bear in mind that given the size of the transatlantic market, TTIP is an opportunity to shape and regularise the international trade order so as to ensure that both blocs thrive in a networked and connected world;*

Or. en

Amendment 275
Helmut Scholz
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) to keep in mind *the strategic importance of the EU-US economic relationship in general and of TTIP in particular, inter alia as an opportunity to promote the principles and values that the EU and the US share and cherish and to design common approaches to global trade, investment and trade-related issues such as high standards, norms and regulations, in order to develop a broader transatlantic vision and a common set of strategic goals;*

Amendment

(iii) to keep in mind *that concluding the partnership will be of positive international significance only if the establishment of a large transatlantic market and, in the process, the adoption, as is intended, of new, shared standards are integral components of international efforts to achieve a fair, partnership based and multilateral world trading system and do not reinforce the current supremacy of lead markets; to rejects therefore the hijacking of the TTIP by leading politicians both in the US and in EU Member States who conceive of it as an 'economic NATO', which is a euphemism for the geopoliticising of transatlantic market cooperation;*

Or. en

Amendment 276

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) to keep in mind the strategic importance of the EU-US economic relationship in general and of TTIP in particular, inter alia as an opportunity to promote the principles and values that the EU and the US share and cherish *and to design* common approaches to global trade, investment and trade-related issues such as high standards, norms and regulations, in order to develop a broader transatlantic

Amendment

(iii) to keep in mind the strategic importance of the EU-US economic relationship in general and of TTIP in particular, inter alia as an opportunity to promote the principles and values *anchored in a liberal, rules based framework* that the EU and the US share and cherish *while designing* common approaches to global trade, investment and trade-related issues such as high standards,

vision and a common set of strategic goals;

norms and regulations, in order to develop a broader transatlantic vision and a common set of strategic goals;

Or. en

Amendment 277

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iiia) to insist that any expansion of coordination with the USA on matters of IT-security must be contingent on the end of mass surveillance programmes and targeted intrusion against EU citizens, institutions and Member states

Or. en

Amendment 278

Jan Huitema

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iiia) to take into account the effects of the Russian embargo, especially on the agricultural sector and, keeping in mind the continuous geopolitical relevance of agriculture; emphasise the importance of access to a range of different markets and the need for strong and strategic trade partnerships with reliable partners;

Or. en

Amendment 279

Marielle de Sarnez, Robert Rochefort

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iiia) to attempt to make the negotiations lead to a convergence of standards, based on norms of demanding quality and safety, since the European model, with its high quality standards, is not negotiable;

Or. fr

Amendment 280

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iii b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iiib) to be aware that the TTIP would effect third countries with which the EU and the US already have close, agreement-based trade and economic relations and with which new integration processes and interactions would emerge as a result of the conclusion, as is intended, of further bilateral or multilateral trade and investment agreements; to ensure therefore that, for that to happen, it is essential that the TTIP forgo any type of arrangement which constrains the political leeway of the parties to act on the development, environment, health and social policy demands of their citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 281
Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves *as a stepping-stone* for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; bilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level;

Amendment

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves *just as an intermediate step* for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; bilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level;

Or. en

Amendment 282
Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; *bilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level;*

Amendment

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone *and an impetus* for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; *stresses generally that the multilateral solutions adopted under the WTO should be preferred to bilateral commercial agreements;*

Or. fr

Amendment 283
Marine Le Pen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to ensure, especially given the ***recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; bilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level;***

Amendment

(iv) to ensure, especially given the ***disagreements brought before the WTO and the statutory divergences between the Union and the United States, and the divergences of interests between each Member State, that no new bilateral agreement is proposed between the Union, on the one hand, and the United States, on the other;***

Or. fr

Amendment 284
Viviane Reding

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; bilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level;

Amendment

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; ***to aim at setting a global level playing field; bilateral and plurilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level; to ensure synergies with other trade agreements currently being negotiated, in particular TiSA, and with domestic priorities such the achievement of a truly European Single Market;***

Or. en

Amendment 285

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; ***bilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level;***

Amendment

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; ***to give priority to international and multilateral fora, to work on a bettering and democratizing of the international institutions, and to refrain from the intention to propose or impose to the rest of the world global rules that have been negotiated without them;***

Or. en

Amendment 286

Alessia Maria Mosca, Goffredo Maria Bettini, Sorin Moisă, Nicola Danti, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; bilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent ***improvements*** on the multilateral level;

Amendment

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; bilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent ***efforts in order to reach***

significant improvements on the multilateral level;

Or. en

Amendment 287

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US *serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and* is not *seen as an alternative to* the WTO process; bilateral trade agreements *are always the second-best option and* must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level;

Amendment

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US is not *pre-empting or counter-acting* the WTO process; bilateral trade agreements must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level;

Or. en

Amendment 288

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process; *bilateral trade agreements are always the second-best option and must not prevent improvements on the multilateral level;*

Amendment

(iv) to ensure, especially given the recent positive developments in the World Trade Organisation (WTO), that an *open and accessible* agreement with the US serves as a stepping-stone for broader trade negotiations and is not seen as an alternative to the WTO process;

Or. en

Amendment 289

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv – point a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(a) recalls the EU's commitment to inclusion from a gender perspective across all its policies, including the Commercial policy, and calls upon the Commission to ensure that the focus on protection of rights and gender equality is reflected not only in the chapter of the Agreement that concerns sustainability but also throughout the whole of the text, and in each and every one of the impact studies and applicability assessments relating to the Agreement.

Or. es

Amendment 290

Alain Cadec

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) to ensure that disagreements relative to the investments are settled in the context of the parties' courts; settlement of disagreements must not be delegated to a private mechanism;

Or. fr

Amendment 291

Maria Arena, Jan Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) to ensure that TTIP contains a clause allowing either of the parties to denounce the agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 292

Emmanuel Maurel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) to keep in mind that the agreement should not risk prejudicing the Union's cultural and linguistic diversity, including in the audiovisual and cultural services sector, and that existing and future provisions and policies in support of the cultural and audiovisual sectors, in particular in the digital world, are kept out of the scope of the negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 293

Gérard Deprez, Frédérique Ries, Louis Michel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) to ensure that the fields coming within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Member States as universal services (for example in the fields of education, health

and social security) are excluded from the negotiation mandate;

Or. fr

Amendment 294

Eric Andrieu, Maria Arena, Emmanuel Maurel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) to set up with the Council, in parallel to the negotiations on the TTIP agreement, a genuine external representation of the Eurozone in order to reinforce the benefits of the euro;

Or. en

Amendment 295

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) to conduct an assessment study of the effects of TTIP on developing countries; to minimize the potential serious risk of diminished market access and resulting trade diversion for some countries;

Or. en

Amendment 296

Viviane Reding

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) to respect the constitutional obligation to promote cultural diversity, enshrined in Article 167 paragraph 4 of the TFEU, by clearly and explicitly excluding from the negotiations cultural and creative industries, such as the audio-visual, media and publishing sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 297

Franck Proust, Tokia Saïfi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) considers that the notion of reciprocity must guide the European negotiators, in order to uphold, calmly but firmly, the offensive interests of the European Union in the actions to combat inequalities in respect of access to public and private markets and of treatment, in order that both parties are playing the globalisation game by the same rules;

Or. fr

Amendment 298

Eric Andrieu, Maria Arena, Emmanuel Maurel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ivb) to set up, with the ECB, and with their US counterparts, a supervisory committee on the euro/dollar exchange rate, whereas the fluctuations of this rate have a greater impact on several sectors than the regulatory factors, and on a broader scale than the mere transatlantic dimension, since the 'dollar zone' is not limited to the US but encompasses numerous other countries in Latin America and in Asia, including China which has been maintaining a low fluctuating dollar parity over many years;

Or. en

Amendment 299

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a – point iv b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ivb) to be aware that TTIP and other mega trade deals are likely to reshape global trade rules and set new standards, while in doing so being discriminatory, by excluding some 130 countries from the negotiations and risking side-lining important issues for developing countries such as food security, agricultural subsidies and climate change mitigation; to step up efforts to advance in democratic multilateral fora, in line with the UNCTAD comprehensive Investment Policy Framework for Sustainable Development and to overcome the current Doha Development Round stalemate;

Or. en

Amendment 300

Marielle de Sarnez, Robert Rochefort

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(aa) to consider that this agreement will be ‘win-win’ only if the European Union gives itself the means for greater integration, which involves completion of the Single Market and strengthening of its economic, budgetary, fiscal and political governance;

Or. fr