



2015/2353(INI)

13.5.2016

AMENDMENTS

294 - 358

Draft report

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

(PE580.444v01-00)

on the preparation of the post-electoral revision of the MFF 2014-2020:
Parliament's input ahead of the Commission's proposal
(2015/2353(INI))

Amendment 294

Reimer Böge, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. Underlines that an essential element of the difficulties in agreeing on a multiannual financial framework between Member States is their primary focus on net balances; reiterates its position that the Union budget is not a simple zero-sum game but, rather, the expression of common policies which create collective added value; urges the Member States, therefore, to change their perception of and approach to the Union budget in order to ensure that the outcome is not another stalemate that will only further disconnect the Union from its citizens;

Amendment

48. Underlines that an essential element of the difficulties in agreeing on a multiannual financial framework between Member States is their primary focus on net balances; reiterates its position that the Union budget is not a simple zero-sum game but, rather, ***an important trigger for convergence and*** the expression of common policies which create collective added value; urges the Member States, therefore, to change their perception of and approach to the Union budget, ***that is to establish the size of the budget based on a thorough assessment of the financial needs deriving from the Union's legal obligations, its political objectives set out in its programmes and policies as well as international commitments,*** in order to ensure that the outcome is not another stalemate that will only further disconnect the Union from its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 295

Alain Lamassoure

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. Underlines that an essential element of the difficulties in agreeing on a multiannual financial framework between Member States is their primary focus on

Amendment

48. Underlines that an essential element of the difficulties in agreeing on a multiannual financial framework between Member States is their primary focus on

net balances; reiterates its position that the Union budget is not a simple zero-sum game but, rather, the expression of common policies which create collective added value; urges the Member States, therefore, to change their perception of and approach to the Union budget in order to ensure that the outcome is not another stalemate that will only further disconnect the Union from its citizens;

net balances; reiterates its position that the Union budget is not a simple zero-sum game but, rather, the expression of common policies which create collective added value; urges the Member States, therefore, to change their perception of and approach to the Union budget in order to ensure that the outcome is not another stalemate that will only further disconnect the Union from its citizens; ***calls accordingly on the Commission to produce a study on the savings achieved at national level by Member States as a result of policy action funded at EU level;***

Or. fr

Amendment 296
Marco Zanni, Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. Underlines that an essential element of the difficulties in agreeing on a multiannual financial framework between Member States is their primary focus on net balances; reiterates its position that the Union budget *is* not a simple zero-sum game but, rather, the expression of common policies which create collective added value; urges the Member States, therefore, to change their ***perception of and*** approach to the Union budget ***in order to ensure that the outcome is not another stalemate that will only further disconnect the Union from its citizens;***

Amendment

48. Underlines that an essential element of the difficulties in agreeing on a multiannual financial framework between Member States is their primary focus on net balances; reiterates its position that the Union budget ***ought not to be*** a simple zero-sum game but, rather, the expression of common policies which ***could*** create collective added value; urges the Member States, therefore, to change their approach to the Union budget, ***indicating clearly the priorities they wish to support, committing themselves fully and consistently to the development and implementation thereof, and taking care to avoid any dangerous drift towards payment arrears;***

Or. it

Amendment 297
Richard Ashworth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. Underlines that an essential element of the difficulties in agreeing on a multiannual financial framework between Member States is ***their primary*** focus on net balances; reiterates its position that the Union budget is not a simple zero-sum game but, rather, the expression of common policies which create collective added value; ***urges the Member States, therefore, to change their perception of and approach to the Union budget in order to ensure that the outcome is not another stalemate that will only further disconnect the Union from its citizens;***

Amendment

48. Underlines that an essential element of the difficulties in agreeing on a multiannual financial framework between Member States is ***the*** focus on net balances, ***which is understandable given that national contributions from the Member States constitutes the vast majority of the EU's budget;*** reiterates its position that the Union budget is not a simple zero-sum game but, rather, the expression of common policies which ***can*** create collective added value; ***believes it is incumbent on all participants in future MFF negotiations to act on the basis of sound financial management and budgetary discipline;***

Or. en

Amendment 298
José Manuel Fernandes, Alain Lamassoure

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

48a. Points to the political imperative of setting up a decision-making procedure that guarantees the availability of the necessary financial resources, either at EU or national level, in order to ensure the full implementation of the political decisions taken by the European Council;

Or. en

Amendment 299

Ernest Maragall

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 48 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

48a. Calls on the Commission to draw conclusions on the limitations of the current allocation key for determining support from cohesion policy funds based on GDP per capita only;

Or. en

Amendment 300

Younous Omarjee, Liadh Ní Riada

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

49. Recalls that, according to recital 3 of the MFF Regulation, the three institutions have agreed to jointly examine the issue of the most suitable duration in the context of the review/revision; reiterates its position that the duration of the MFF should be aligned with the political cycle of both Parliament and the Commission, thus making the European elections a forum for debate on future spending priorities;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 301

Clare Moody

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

49. Recalls that, according to recital 3 of the MFF Regulation, the three institutions have agreed to jointly examine the issue of the most suitable duration in the context of the review/revision;
reiterates its position that the duration of the MFF should be aligned with the political cycle of both Parliament and the Commission, thus making the European elections a forum for debate on future spending priorities;

Amendment

49. Recalls that, according to recital 3 of the MFF Regulation, the three institutions have agreed to jointly examine the issue of the most suitable duration in the context of the review/revision;

Or. en

Amendment 302
Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Underlines, however, that, especially for programmes under shared management in the field of cohesion policy and rural development, longer-term predictability is essential, given the time it takes to agree on sectoral legislation and operational programmes at national and regional level;

Amendment

50. Underlines, however, that, especially for programmes under shared management in the field of cohesion policy and rural development, ***but also for other EU programmes***, longer-term predictability is essential, given the time it takes to agree on sectoral legislation and operational programmes at national and regional level;

Or. en

Amendment 303
Younous Omarjee, Liadh Ní Riada
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51. *Believes that, given the rapidly changing political environment and with a view to ensuring greater flexibility, some elements of the MFF should be agreed for five years while others, notably those related to programmes requiring longer-term programming and/or policies foreseeing complex procedures for the establishment of implementation systems, should be agreed for a period of 5+5 years with compulsory mid-term revision;*

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 304
Clare Moody

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51. *Believes that, given the rapidly changing political environment and with a view to ensuring greater flexibility, some elements of the MFF should be agreed for five years while others, notably those related to programmes requiring longer-term programming and/or policies foreseeing complex procedures for the establishment of implementation systems, should be agreed for a period of 5+5 years with compulsory mid-term revision;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 305
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Believes that, given the rapidly changing political environment and with a view to ensuring greater flexibility, *some elements of the MFF should be agreed for five years while others, notably those related to programmes requiring longer-term programming and/or policies foreseeing complex procedures for the establishment of implementation systems, should be agreed for a period of 5+5 years with compulsory mid-term revision;*

Amendment

51. Believes that, given the rapidly changing political environment and with a view to ensuring greater flexibility the MFF should be agreed for five years while *the different legal bases should be set without any expiration dates, like the CAP regulations; is therefore of the opinion that the MFF negotiations should mainly focus on the financial envelopes of the different EU funds and should not be necessarily linked to a revision of all legal basis; is of the opinion that such disconnection between the MFF negotiation and the revision of the legal basis could faster and ease the budgetary negotiations while keeping the needed flexibility to adapt and/or revise the legal bases when needed;*

Or. en

Amendment 306

Inese Vaidere

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Believes that, *given the rapidly changing political environment and with a view to ensuring greater flexibility, some elements of the MFF should be agreed for five years while others, notably those related to programmes requiring longer-term programming and/or policies foreseeing complex procedures for the establishment of implementation systems, should be agreed for a period of 5+5 years with compulsory mid-term revision;*

Amendment

51. Believes that the MFF should be agreed *on the existing 7 years period, ensuring qualitative implementation of the programs and enhancing the long-term planning certainty;*

Or. en

Amendment 307

Gérard Deprez, Martina Dlabajová, Louis Michel, Nils Torvalds, Jean Arthuis, Charles Goerens, Nedzhmi Ali

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Believes that, given the rapidly changing political environment and with a view to ensuring greater flexibility, *some elements of the MFF should be agreed for five years while others, notably those related to programmes requiring longer-term programming and/or policies foreseeing complex procedures for the establishment of implementation systems, should be agreed for a period of 5+5 years with compulsory mid-term revision;*

Amendment

51. *Insists on the need to ensure the synchronisation with the EU institutional cycle, its democratic responsibility, accountability and popular legitimacy and believes that, also given the rapidly changing political environment and with a view to ensuring greater flexibility, the MFF should be agreed for five years and match with the duration of the European Parliament legislature;*

Or. en

Amendment 308

Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. *Underlines the need for a fully-fledged reform of the own resources system, with simplicity, fairness and transparency as guiding principles; is therefore expecting an ambitious final report from the High Level Group on Own Resources by the end of 2016, as well as an equally ambitious legislative package on own resources as of 2021 from the Commission by the end of 2017;*

Amendment

52. *Is opposed to* a reform of the own resources system and *maintains that taxation should remain an exclusive Member State competence;*

Or. sv

Amendment 309
Inese Vaidere

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Underlines the need for a fully-fledged reform of the own resources system, with simplicity, fairness **and transparency** as guiding principles; is therefore expecting an ambitious final report from the High Level Group on Own Resources by the end of 2016, as well as an equally ambitious legislative package on own resources as of 2021 from the Commission by the end of 2017;

Amendment

52. Underlines the need for a fully-fledged reform of the own resources system, with simplicity, fairness, **transparency and main focus on expenditures** as guiding principles; is therefore expecting an ambitious final report from the High Level Group on Own Resources by the end of 2016, as well as an equally ambitious legislative package on own resources as of 2021 from the Commission by the end of 2017; **stresses that the own resources system should be based on Member States' welfare, ensuring fair burden-sharing also in the future;**

Or. en

Amendment 310
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Underlines the need for a fully-fledged reform of the own resources system, with simplicity, fairness and transparency as guiding principles; is therefore expecting an ambitious final report from the High Level Group on Own Resources by the end of 2016, as well as an equally ambitious legislative package on own resources as of 2021 from the Commission by the end of 2017;

Amendment

52. Underlines the need for a fully-fledged reform of the own resources system, with simplicity, fairness and transparency as guiding principles; is therefore expecting an ambitious final report from the High Level Group on Own Resources by the end of 2016, as well as an equally ambitious legislative package on own resources as of 2021 from the Commission by the end of 2017 **which should pave the way toward a rebate-free, genuine and fair own resource system**

wholly funded by own resources;

Or. en

Amendment 311
Richard Ashworth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. *Underlines the need for a fully-fledged reform of the own resources system, with simplicity, fairness and transparency as guiding principles; is therefore expecting an ambitious final report from the High Level Group on Own Resources by the end of 2016, as well as an equally ambitious legislative package on own resources as of 2021 from the Commission by the end of 2017;*

Amendment

52. *Notes that the High Level Group on Own Resources is due to report by the end of 2016; remains open to proposals on own resources; nevertheless, believes that a convincing candidate for possible new own resources has yet to be formalised; in addition, is strongly opposed to any new measure that would increase the overall tax burden on EU citizens or disproportionately affect a minority of Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 312
Marco Zanni, Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Underlines the need for a *fully-fledged* reform of the own resources system, with simplicity, fairness and transparency as guiding principles; is therefore expecting an *ambitious* final report from the High Level Group on Own Resources by the end of 2016, as well as an *equally ambitious* legislative package on own resources as of 2021 from the Commission by the end of 2017;

Amendment

52. Underlines the need to *examine the possibility of a* reform of the own resources system, with simplicity, fairness and transparency as guiding principles; is therefore expecting *a* final report from the High Level Group on Own Resources by the end of 2016, as well as *a* legislative package on own resources as of 2021 from the Commission by the end of 2017;

Amendment 313
Richard Ashworth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53. Stresses the need to reduce the share of the GNI contributions to the Union budget in order to exit the 'juste retour' approach of Member States; underlines that this would reduce the burden on national treasuries and thus make the resources concerned available for Member States' national budgets; recalls that the current VAT own resource is over-complex and is in essence a second GNI contribution, and therefore calls for this own resource either to be substantially reformed or to be scrapped altogether; considers it necessary, however, to keep the GNI contributions as an element of the budget, given the need for its function as a balancing contribution;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 314
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53. Stresses the need to reduce the share of the GNI contributions to the Union budget in order to exit the 'juste retour' approach of Member States; underlines that this would reduce the burden on national

53. Stresses the need to reduce the share of the GNI contributions to the Union budget in order to exit the 'juste retour' approach of Member States; underlines that this would reduce the burden on national

treasuries and thus make the resources concerned available for Member States' national budgets; recalls that the current VAT own resource is over-complex and is in essence a second GNI contribution, and therefore calls for this own resource either to be substantially reformed or to be scrapped altogether; *considers it necessary, however, to keep the GNI contributions as an element of the budget, given the need for its function as a balancing contribution;*

treasuries and thus make the resources concerned available for Member States' national budgets; recalls that the current VAT own resource is over-complex and is in essence a second GNI contribution, and therefore calls for this own resource either to be substantially reformed or to be scrapped altogether;

Or. en

Amendment 315
Marco Zanni, Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Stresses the need to reduce the share of the GNI contributions to the Union budget in order to exit the 'juste retour' approach of Member States; underlines that this would reduce the burden on national treasuries and thus make the resources concerned available for Member States' national budgets; recalls that the current VAT own resource is over-complex and is in essence a second GNI contribution, and therefore calls for this own resource either to be substantially reformed or to be scrapped altogether; considers it necessary, however, to keep the GNI contributions as an element of the budget, given the need for its function as a balancing contribution;

Amendment

53. Stresses the need to reduce the share of the GNI contributions to the Union budget in order to exit the 'juste retour' approach of Member States; underlines that this would reduce the burden on national treasuries and thus make the resources concerned available for Member States' national budgets; recalls that the current VAT own resource is over-complex and is in essence a second GNI contribution, and therefore calls for this own resource either to be substantially reformed or to be scrapped altogether; considers it necessary, however, to keep the GNI contributions as an element of the budget, given the need for its function as a balancing contribution; *stresses that changes affecting Union budget revenue should not have any adverse impact on citizens;*

Or. it

Amendment 316
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Stresses the need to reduce *the share of the* GNI contributions to the Union budget *in order to exit the ‘juste retour’ approach of Member States*; underlines that this would reduce the burden on national treasuries and thus make the resources concerned available for Member States’ national budgets; recalls that the current VAT own resource is over-complex and is in essence a second GNI contribution, and therefore calls for this own resource either to be substantially reformed or to be scrapped altogether; considers it necessary, however, to keep the GNI contributions as an element of the budget, given the need for its function as a balancing contribution;

Amendment

53. Stresses the need to reduce *Member States’* GNI contributions to the Union budget; underlines that this would reduce the burden on national treasuries and thus make the resources concerned available for Member States’ national budgets; recalls that the current VAT own resource is over-complex and is in essence a second GNI contribution, and therefore calls for this own resource either to be substantially reformed or to be scrapped altogether; considers it necessary, however, to keep the GNI contributions as an element of the budget, given the need for its function as a balancing contribution;

Or. sv

Amendment 317
Richard Ashworth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. *Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies that create added value; notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits its recommendations;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 318
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies that create added value; notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits its recommendations;

Amendment

deleted

Or. sv

Amendment 319
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies that create added value; notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits its recommendations;

Amendment

54. Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies that create added value; notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits its recommendations; *reminds its position set out on March 13 2013 that it supports the Commission's legislative proposals on the own-resources package, and that revenues from the Financial Transaction Tax should be allocated at least partly to the EU budget as a genuine own resource; calls on the HLGOR to work on the possibility to introduce an EU-wide Carbon tax as a potential EU Own resources as of 2021 as well as an EU corporate tax when implementing a full CCCTB (Common Consolidate Corporate Tax base);*

Amendment 320**Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Isabelle Thomas****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 54***Motion for a resolution*

54. Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies that create added value; notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits *its* recommendations;

Amendment

54. Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies that create added value; notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and ***in the European Parliament, such as a reformed VAT, a Financial Transaction Tax, bank levies and ECB seigniorage, a reformed EU Emissions Trading System and carbon taxation, transport taxation, an EU-wide corporate taxation, electricity or digital taxation;*** eagerly awaits ***the High Level Group's*** recommendations;

Amendment 321**Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Liadh Ní Riada, Younous Omarjee****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 54***Motion for a resolution*

54. Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies that create added value; notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits its recommendations;

Amendment

54. Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies that create added value, ***through progressive tax figures, reinforcing the fiscal capacity to tackle the financial, economic and environmental crisis along cycles;*** notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits

its recommendations;

Or. en

Amendment 322

Marco Zanni, Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, *ideally with* a clear link to European policies that create added value; *notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits its recommendations;*

Amendment

54. Calls for the *possible* introduction of one or several new own resources *to indicate* a clear link to European policies that create added value;

Or. it

Amendment 323

Gérard Deprez, Martina Dlabajová, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Jean Arthuis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Calls for the introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies *that create added value*; notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits its recommendations;

Amendment

54. Calls for the *phasing-out of all forms of rebates and for the* introduction of one or several new own resources, ideally with a clear link to European policies; notes that a large number of possible new own resources have already been discussed by the High Level Group, and eagerly awaits its recommendations;

Or. en

Amendment 324
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Isabelle Thomas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54a. *Stresses furthermore that a new revenue system should reflect the Union's political priorities such as combating cross border tax fraud, tax evasion and fiscal competition, reducing the risk of financial speculation, and addressing environmental issues; calls for the continuation of tax harmonisation with the aim of establishing a minimum EU-wide corporate taxation;*

Or. en

Amendment 325
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54a. *Calls for the immediate establishment of binding common accounting rules for the MS contributions to the EU own resources, stating clearly its EU nature;*

Or. en

Amendment 326
Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54 a (new)

54a. *Underlines that achieving European sustainable mobility requires appropriate investments, especially to ensure consistent maintenance and expansion of public transport infrastructure; calls therefore on the establishment of an investment fund specifically dedicated to public transport and public transport infrastructure projects; considers that corresponding expenses could be compensated by creating a European "Climate Solidarity Tax", based on CO2 emissions of every transport modes, which could help tackling climate change and promoting sustainable green transports;*

Or. en

Amendment 327

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 55

55. Underlines the importance of the principle of the unity of the budget, and recalls that according to Article 310(1) TFEU all items of revenue and expenditure of the Union shall be shown in the budget; is concerned about the recent shift from the Community method to intergovernmental decision-making as observed, since 2014, in the setting-up of the Bêkou Trust Fund for the Central African Republic, the Madad Regional Trust Fund in response to the Syrian crisis, and the EU Emergency Trust Fund for Africa, as well as of the Refugee Facility for Turkey;

55. Underlines the importance of the principle of the unity of the budget, and recalls that according to Article 310(1) TFEU all items of revenue and expenditure of the Union shall be shown in the budget; is concerned about the recent shift from the Community method to intergovernmental decision-making as observed, since 2014, in the setting-up of the Bêkou Trust Fund for the Central African Republic, the Madad Regional Trust Fund in response to the Syrian crisis, and the EU Emergency Trust Fund for Africa, as well as of the Refugee Facility for Turkey; ***stresses that this form of financing entails a reallocation of funds under existing multiannual financial programmes***

negotiated and agreed among the three institutions; highlights that this endangers democratic accountability, as the European Parliament has been excluded from setting-up of those funds;

Or. en

Amendment 328
Richard Ashworth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. Underlines that according to the Treaty, Parliament and the Council establish the Union budget on an equal footing as the two arms of the budgetary authority; considers, moreover, that *full* parliamentary *control over all* expenditure is an essential element of all EU spending; calls on the Commission to preserve the unity of the budget and to consider it a guiding principle when proposing new policy initiatives;

Amendment

56. Underlines that according to the Treaty, Parliament and the Council establish the Union budget on an equal footing as the two arms of the budgetary authority; considers, moreover, that parliamentary *scrutiny of* expenditure is an essential element of all EU spending; calls on the Commission to preserve the unity of the budget and to consider it a guiding principle when proposing new policy initiatives;

Or. en

Amendment 329
Inese Vaidere

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57

Motion for a resolution

57. *Reiterates its long-standing position that the European Development Fund should be integrated in the Union budget, as from 2021, while ensuring the financing of the African Peace Facility and security-related operations;*

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 330

Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 57

Motion for a resolution

57. Reiterates its long-standing position that the European Development Fund should be integrated in the Union budget, as from 2021, while ensuring the financing of the African Peace Facility and security-related operations;

Amendment

57. Reiterates its long-standing position that the European Development Fund (**EDF**) should be integrated in the Union budget, as from 2021, while ensuring the financing of the African Peace Facility and security-related operations; ***highlights in this respect that also the financial means financing the EDF have to be transferred into the EU budget then;***

Or. en

Amendment 331

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 57

Motion for a resolution

57. Reiterates its long-standing position that the European Development Fund should be integrated in the Union budget, as from 2021, while ensuring the financing of the African Peace Facility and security-related operations;

Amendment

57. Reiterates its long-standing position that the European Development Fund (**EDF**) should be integrated in the Union budget, as from 2021, while ensuring the financing of the African Peace Facility and security-related operations; ***highlights in this respect that also the financial means financing the EDF have to be transferred into the EU budget then;***

Or. en

Amendment 332
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57

Motion for a resolution

57. Reiterates its long-standing position that the European Development Fund should be integrated in the Union budget, as from 2021, while ensuring the financing of the African Peace Facility and security-related operations;

Amendment

57. Reiterates its long-standing position that the European Development Fund should be integrated in the Union budget, as from 2021, while ensuring the financing of the African Peace Facility and security-related operations; ***stresses that the budgetisation of the EDF should lead to an increase of the ceiling in H4 accordingly;***

Or. en

Amendment 333
Marco Zanni, Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

rejects the idea of a specific budget for the euro area, since this would confirm a significant divide between countries using the euro and those retaining their own currencies and lead to further surrender of democratic national sovereignty to technocratic formations;

Or. it

Amendment 334
José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

57a. *Stresses that any future integration of such instruments into the EU budget entails that their respective financial envelopes are added on top of the MFF ceilings, which will need to be revised accordingly, in order not to jeopardise the financing of other EU policies and programmes;*

Or. en

Amendment 335

Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Enhanced flexibility

Enhanced flexibility *and special instruments*

Or. en

Amendment 336

Richard Ashworth

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

58. Stresses that the rigid structure of the Union budget deprives the budgetary authority of the possibility of reacting adequately to changing circumstances; ***calls, therefore,*** for greater flexibility in the next MFF, in particular through more flexibility between headings and years with the aim of fully exploiting the MFF ceilings;

58. Stresses that the rigid structure of the Union budget deprives the budgetary authority of the possibility of reacting adequately to changing circumstances; ***notes that an extensive array of flexibility provisions were built in to the current MFF; believes, however, that there is scope*** for greater flexibility in the next MFF, in particular through more flexibility between headings and years with the aim

of fully exploiting the MFF ceilings;
believes that the Commission should further consider creating a mechanism to allow funding to be re-allocated between headings, provided any increase of the ceiling in one heading is offset by an equivalent decrease in the ceiling of another heading;

Or. en

Amendment 337
Janusz Lewandowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

58. Stresses that the rigid structure of the Union budget deprives the budgetary authority of the possibility of reacting adequately to changing circumstances; calls, therefore, for greater flexibility in the next MFF, in particular through more flexibility between headings *and* years with the aim of fully exploiting the MFF ceilings;

Amendment

58. Stresses that the rigid structure of the Union budget deprives the budgetary authority of the possibility of reacting adequately to changing circumstances; calls, therefore, for greater flexibility in the next MFF, in particular through more flexibility between headings *in the form of flexibility of unspent margins and between* years with the aim of fully exploiting the MFF ceilings;

Or. en

Amendment 338
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

58. Stresses that the rigid structure of the Union budget deprives the budgetary authority of the possibility of reacting adequately to changing circumstances; *calls, therefore, for greater flexibility in*

Amendment

58. Stresses that the rigid structure of the Union budget deprives the budgetary authority of the possibility of reacting adequately to changing circumstances; *notes also that this is a guarantee that the*

the next MFF, in particular through more flexibility between headings and years with the aim of fully exploiting the MFF ceilings;

financial framework ceilings will not be exceeded;

Or. sv

Amendment 339

Clare Moody

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 58 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

58a. Recalls that many EU policies and programmes have their own revision/review requirements, mostly scheduled in 2017, and that these reviews will have to take account of available budgetary resources; in light of this the MFF review/revision should increase flexibility between headings so that budgetary resources can be reallocated more easily; notes that lack of flexibility in this respect leads to a situation whereby priorities are underfunded and scarce resources are not spent where the need is greatest;

Or. en

Amendment 340

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

59. Underlines that in addition to the ability to react flexibly to changing circumstances without prejudice to the agreed programming, there is also a necessity for the Union to be able to react quickly to developing crises; calls,

deleted

therefore, for the establishment of a permanent EU crisis reserve within the Union budget over and above the MFF ceilings, in order to avoid ad hoc solutions like the setting-up of trust funds;

Or. en

Amendment 341
José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Underlines that in addition to the ability to react flexibly to changing circumstances without prejudice to the agreed programming, there is also a necessity for the Union to be able to react quickly to developing crises; calls, therefore, for the establishment of a permanent EU crisis reserve within the Union budget ***over and above the MFF ceilings***, in order to avoid ad hoc solutions like the setting-up of trust funds;

Amendment

59. Underlines that in addition to the ability to react flexibly to changing circumstances without prejudice to the agreed programming, there is also a necessity for the Union to be able to react quickly to developing crises; calls, therefore, for the establishment of a permanent EU crisis reserve within the Union budget, in order to avoid ad hoc solutions like the setting-up of trust funds; ***stresses that such mechanism, meant to respond to crises and unforeseen situations, should by its very nature operate as an MFF special instrument and be counted over and above the MFF ceilings;***

Or. en

Amendment 342
Richard Ashworth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Underlines that in addition to the ability to react flexibly to changing

Amendment

59. Underlines that in addition to the ability to react flexibly to changing

circumstances without prejudice to the agreed programming, there is also a necessity for the Union to be able to react quickly to developing crises; calls, therefore, for *the establishment* of a permanent EU crisis reserve within the Union budget over and above the MFF ceilings, in order to *avoid* ad hoc solutions like the setting-up of trust funds;

circumstances without prejudice to the agreed programming, there is also a necessity for the Union to be able to react quickly to developing crises; calls, therefore, for *an evaluation of the added value and utility* of a permanent EU crisis reserve within the Union budget over and above the MFF ceilings, in order to *minimize the use of* ad hoc solutions like the setting-up of trust funds;

Or. en

Amendment 343

Jean Arthuis, Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

59a. Calls for an assessment on whether the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund (EGF) is the most appropriate instrument to deal with mass redundancies, as recommended by the Court of Auditors in its Special Report No 7 in 2013;

Or. en

Amendment 344

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

59a. Calls for an assessment on whether the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund (EGF) is the most appropriate instrument to deal with mass redundancies, as recommended by the Court of Auditors in its Special Report No

7 in 2013;

Or. en

Amendment 345

Ernest Maragall

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 22

Motion for a resolution

Follow up of *the Paris agreement* on
climate change

Amendment

Follow up of *international agreements* on
climate change *and biodiversity*

Or. en

Amendment 346

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 22

Motion for a resolution

Follow up of the *Paris agreement* on
climate change

Amendment

Follow up of the *international agreements*
on climate change

Or. en

Amendment 347

Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Gérard Deprez, Nedzhmi Ali

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

**60. Notes that the agreement reached
on 12 December 2015 in Paris by the 196
parties to the UN Framework Convention
on Climate Change is a universal,**

Amendment

deleted

binding, dynamic and differentiated agreement aimed at facing the challenge of climate change; calls on the Commission to present its first evaluation of the possible impact of the COP21 agreement on the EU budget in due time for the revision;

(Moved to new 20a.)

Or. en

Amendment 348
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

60. Notes that the agreement reached on 12 December 2015 in Paris by the 196 parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change is a universal, binding, dynamic and differentiated agreement aimed at facing the challenge of climate change; ***calls on the Commission to present its first evaluation of the possible impact of the COP21 agreement on the EU budget in due time for the revision;***

Amendment

60. Notes that the agreement reached on 12 December 2015 in Paris by the 196 parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (***COP 21***) is a universal, binding, dynamic and differentiated agreement aimed at facing the challenge of climate change; ***stresses that any funding for the possible measures originating from COP 21 should be additional; furthermore stresses that individual obligation of donor countries to provide support to developing countries cannot be financed from the EU budget ; recalls that the EU is committed to implement the United Nations Convention's Strategic Plan for Biodiversity which will expire in 2020; calls on the Commission to present an assessment of the budgetary implications of different replacement options in time for revision;***

Or. en

Amendment 349

Ernest Maragall

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

60. Notes that the agreement reached on 12 December 2015 in Paris by the 196 parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change is a universal, binding, dynamic and differentiated agreement aimed at facing the challenge of climate change; calls on the Commission to present its first evaluation of the possible impact of the COP21 agreement on the EU budget in due time for the revision;

Amendment

60. Notes that the agreement reached on 12 December 2015 in Paris by the 196 parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change is a universal, binding, dynamic and differentiated agreement aimed at facing the challenge of climate change; calls on the Commission to present its first evaluation of the possible impact of the COP21 agreement on the EU budget in due time for the revision; ***calls upon the Commission and Member States to increase for the post 2020-MFF the climate-related spending in the EU budget to 50% and to improve the current method of tracking such spending In light of the Paris agreement on global action to combat climate change and to show European leadership in this regard;***

Or. en

Amendment 350

Victor Negrescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

60. Notes that the agreement reached on 12 December 2015 in Paris by the 196 parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change is a universal, binding, dynamic and differentiated agreement aimed at facing the challenge of climate change; calls on the Commission to present its first evaluation of the possible impact of the COP21 agreement on the EU budget in

Amendment

60. Notes that the agreement reached on 12 December 2015 in Paris by the 196 parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change is a universal, binding, dynamic and differentiated agreement aimed at facing the challenge of climate change; calls on the Commission to present its ***implementation strategy and*** first evaluation of the possible impact of the

due time for the revision;

COP21 agreement on the EU budget in due time for the revision;

Or. en

Amendment 351

Charles Goerens, Gérard Deprez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 60 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

60a. Follow up of the Addis Abeba "Third International Conference on Financing for Development"

Notes that the Heads of State and Government and High Representatives, gathered in Addis Ababa from 13 to 16 July 2015 affirmed their strong political commitment to address the challenge of financing and creating an enabling environment at all levels for sustainable development to end poverty and hunger; Calls on the EU and its Member States to re-commit without delay to the 0,7% of GNI target for ODA and submit a timeline on how to gradually increase ODA in order to reach the 0,7% by 2030;

Or. en

Amendment 352

Ernest Maragall

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 60 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

60a. Recalls that the Union is a party to the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity, and that as such it committed to implement the Convention's

Strategic Plan for Biodiversity; notes that the above mentioned plan, which has guided the Union's policy in the field of biodiversity since 2010, will expire in 2020; therefore calls on the Commission to present an assessment of the budgetary implications of different replacement options in time for the revision;

Or. en

Amendment 353

Ernest Maragall

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 61

Motion for a resolution

61. Recalls Parliament's critical stance as regards the manner in which the procedure leading to the adoption of the MFF Regulation for 2014-2020 was conducted; recalls that the adoption of the regulation requires Parliament's consent; stresses, therefore, that Parliament needs to be fully involved in the relevant negotiations from the outset; considers that the EU institutions should formalise the modalities for the next MFF procedure in an agreement reached at the time of the **mid-term review/revision** of the MFF, which should take account of the shortcomings of the previous negotiations and fully safeguard Parliament's role and prerogatives as set out in the Treaties; considers that these modalities should eventually be enshrined in the IIA, as is the case for the annual budgetary procedure;

Amendment

61. Recalls Parliament's critical stance as regards the manner in which the procedure leading to the adoption of the MFF Regulation for 2014-2020 was conducted; recalls that the adoption of the regulation requires Parliament's consent; stresses, therefore, that Parliament needs to be fully involved in the relevant negotiations from the outset; considers that the EU institutions should formalise the modalities for the next MFF procedure in an agreement reached at the time of the **post electoral revision** of the MFF, which should take account of the shortcomings of the previous negotiations and fully safeguard Parliament's role and prerogatives as set out in the Treaties; considers that these modalities should eventually be enshrined in the IIA, as is the case for the annual budgetary procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 354

José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

61a. Considers that the unanimity requirement for the adoption of the MFF Regulation represents a true impediment in the process; calls on the European Council in that regard to activate the passerelle in Art 312(2) TFEU so as to allow for the adoption of the MFF Regulation by qualified majority; recalls, moreover, that the general passerelle clause of Art 48(7) TEU can also be deployed, in order to apply the ordinary legislative procedure; stresses that a shift towards qualified majority voting for the adoption of the MFF Regulation would be in line with the decision-making process for the adoption of virtually all EU multiannual programmes as well as for the annual procedure for adopting the EU budget;

Or. en

Amendment 355
Reimer Böge, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

61a. Recalls that the Treaty does not assign the European Council the right to exercise legislative functions; reiterates, in this context, its strong objection to the European Council's interference in legislation during the last MFF negotiations; demands from the European Council that it limits itself to its tasks defined by the Treaty and to refrain from pre-empting policy changes which are to be decided under the ordinary legislative

*procedure, thereby respecting
Parliament's legislative prerogatives
under co-decision;*

Or. en

Amendment 356

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. ***Calls on the Member States to reach a rapid agreement on the next MFF in order to*** allow all new programmes to start without delay on 1 January 2021;

Amendment

62. ***Insists that the legislative process to adopt the next MFF should be concluded by the end of 2018, following substantial negotiations between the European Parliament and Council; stresses that a timely MFF agreement will*** allow all new programmes to start without delay on 1 January 2021;

Or. en

Amendment 357

Gérard Deprez, Martina Dlabajová, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Jean Arthuis, Nedzhmi Ali

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. **Calls on the Member States to reach a rapid agreement on the next MFF in order to** allow all new programmes to start without delay on 1 January 2021;

Amendment

62. **Calls on the Member States to reach a rapid agreement on the next MFF, and on the sectorial regulations accompanying the MFF,** in order to allow all new programmes to start without delay on 1 January 2021;

Or. en

Amendment 358

Gérard Deprez, Martina Dlabajová, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Jean Arthuis, Nedzhmi Ali

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

62a. In addition, to better inform national parliaments and European citizens of the importance of programming approach, the European Parliament and the Commission should organize, when appropriate, an inter-institutional and inter-parliamentary conference in which the challenges of the next MFF will be exposed as well as the outline of the negotiations;

Or. en