AMENDMENTS

1 - 92

Draft opinion
Neoklis Sylikiotis
(PE546.676v01-00)

Investment for jobs and growth: promoting economic, social and territorial cohesion in the Union
(COM(2014)0473 – 2014/2245(INI))
Amendment 1
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines the fact that the economic crisis has seriously damaged economic, social and territorial cohesion, resulting in huge differences between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU¹;

Amendment

1. Underlines the fact that the economic crisis has seriously damaged economic, social and territorial cohesion, resulting in huge differences between Member States; emphasises the need to reinforce the EU Cohesion policy with a clear statement of priorities, highlighting the fact that reduction of regional disparities is the key to achieving the EU wide goals of growth and jobs;


Or. en

Amendment 2
Henna Virkkunen, Antonio Tajani, Krišjānis Kariņš

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines the fact that the economic crisis has seriously damaged economic, social and territorial cohesion, resulting in huge differences between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU¹;

Amendment

1. Underlines that the economic crisis has challenged economic, social and territorial cohesion in the EU, showing that huge differences still exist between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU¹;

¹ Industrial Scoreboard 2013, Commission
1. Underlines the fact that the economic crisis has seriously damaged economic, social and territorial cohesion, resulting in huge differences between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU\(^1\); 

\(^1\)Industrial Scoreboard 2013, Commission Staff Working Document, p. 6.
1. Underlines the fact that the economic crisis has seriously damaged economic, social and territorial cohesion, resulting in huge differences between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU;


1. Underlines the fact that the economic crisis has seriously damaged economic, social and territorial cohesion, resulting in a marked widening of the differences between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU;

1. Underlines the fact that the economic crisis has seriously damaged economic, social and territorial cohesion, resulting in huge differences between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU¹;

1. Underlines the fact that the economic crisis has seriously damaged economic, social and territorial cohesion, resulting in huge differences between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU; considers, however, that the current crisis is systemic and that there is an urgent need for the EU to abandon the ultraliberal 'model';

Or. fr

Amendment 10
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

1. Underlines the fact that the economic crisis has seriously damaged economic,
social and territorial cohesion, resulting in huge differences between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU\(^1\); social and territorial cohesion, resulting in huge differences between Member States; recalls that since the onset of the crisis over 3.8 million jobs have been lost in manufacturing in the EU\(^1\); and that most of them have been lost because of unfair competition without protection at our borders;

\(^1\) Industrial Scoreboard 2013, Commission Staff Working Document, p.6.


Amendment 11
Henna Virkkunen, Antonio Tajani, Krišjānis Kariņš

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

**Draft opinion**

1a. Highlights that substantial efforts are needed to bring the EU back on track to meet the 20% reindustrialisation target by 2020; calls to strengthen and renew the industrial structure in the European Union in order to increase competitiveness, growth and jobs; emphasises that in order to gain this investments need to be made in digital, energy and transport infrastructure as well in a longer-term perspective – but not less urgent - in education, research and increasing the skills of workers.

**Amendment**

Or. en

Amendment 12
Paul Tang

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)
Draft opinion

1a. Acknowledges that cohesion policy investments have helped to mitigate the negative effects of the economic and financial crisis and have become a significant part of the investment budget in certain European countries; acknowledges the efforts of the European Commission in redirecting cohesion policy investment to areas that were hit the hardest by the crisis;

Amendment

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

1a. Underlines that the Treaty of European Union includes the objective of promoting economic, social and territorial cohesion and solidarity among Member States (Article 3 TEU).

Amendment

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

1b. Emphasises that the goals set in the Europe 2020 strategy should be taken well into account in cohesion policy; underlines that cohesion policy
investments should be aimed to growth, increasing innovations, to SMEs, digital economy and low carbon bioeconomy; highlights that in particular investments in these sectors have the potential not only to secure existing jobs but to trigger the creation of growth and further jobs;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Underlines the fact that the industrial sector constitutes one of the cornerstones of economic and social cohesion in Europe since nearly 80 % of European exports depend on the industrial sector and industry accounts for 80 % of expenditure on R&D in Europe;

2 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, COM(2014)0014, For a European Industrial Renaissance, p.3.

Or. it

Amendment 16
Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

2. Underlines the key role that the industrial sector plays in the European economy, accounting as it does for 80 % of exports and 80 % of expenditure on R&D;
2. Underlines the fact that the industrial sector constitutes one of the cornerstones of economic and social cohesion in Europe since nearly 80 % of European exports depend on the industrial sector and industry accounts for 80 % of expenditure on R&D in Europe; 

—

2 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, COM(2014)0014, For a European Industrial Renaissance, p.3.

Or. it
2 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, COM(2014)0014, For a European Industrial Renaissance, p.3.

2 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, COM(2014)0014, For a European Industrial Renaissance, p.3.


1\(^a\) Making Europe’s regions and cities more competitive, fostering growth and creating jobs. p.4

Amendment 18
Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno, Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

2. Underlines the fact that the industrial sector constitutes one of the cornerstones of economic and social cohesion in Europe since nearly 80\% of European exports depend on the industrial sector and industry accounts for 80\% of expenditure on R&D in Europe\(^2\); observes, however, that the EU exposes our industries to fierce competition by obstinately rejecting any economic protectionism and imposing severe constraints, to which competition is not subject;

---

2 Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions, COM(2014)0014, For a European Industrial Renaissance, p.3.
Amendment 19
Henna Virkkunen, Antonio Tajani, Krišjānis Kariņš

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion Amendment

2a. Recommends that cohesion policy must be modernized; recommends that renewing industry and structures, and supporting new innovations should be in the centre of it in order to enhance employment in the whole European union.

Amendment 20
Neoklis Sylikiotis, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Marisa Matias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion Amendment

2a. Underlines that EU must promote environmental friendly policy, emphasizing on the renewable energy sources and severe sanctions for the industrial pollution.

Amendment 21
Henna Virkkunen, Antonio Tajani, Krišjānis Kariņš

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)
Draft opinion

2b. Emphasises the importance of the simplification of management and procedures of cohesion policy programmes; stresses that the administrative burden caused by different managing and monitoring procedures must be reasonable when compared to the amount of the funding gained from cohesion policy programmes.

Or. en

Amendment 22
Neoklis Sylikiotis, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

2b. Underlines that SMEs make up 99% of the EU’s corporate fabric and account for 80% of jobs in the EU

Or. en

Amendment 23
Henna Virkkunen, Krišjānis Kariņš

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;
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Amendment 24  
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

**Draft opinion**

3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

**Amendment**

3. Is concerned by the recurrent problem of payment backlog, leading to late payments and delays in the implementation of programs and therefore looks forward to the Commission's payment plan to tackle this problem;

Or. en

Amendment 25  
Davor Ivo Stier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

**Draft opinion**

3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

**Amendment**

3. Considers that austerity measures usually have a negative impact on the economy and its industrial sector if these measures are not accompanied by structural reforms aimed at increasing competitiveness;

Or. en

Amendment 26  
Nadine Morano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3
Draft opinion

3. Considers that **austerity measures** in several European countries **are having a negative impact** on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

Amendment

3. Considers that in several European countries **the reforms implemented with a view to the necessary recovery of public finances, which is the basis for guaranteed long-term growth creating many jobs, can have a short-term impact** on industrial structures, resulting in a **postponement of or fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers**;

Or. fr

Amendment 27
Herbert Reul

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that **austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on** industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

Amendment

3. Considers that **further measures and investment are needed in order to strengthen** industrial structures in the **Member States and to facilitate** access to credit for manufacturers;

Or. de

Amendment 28
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries **are having a negative impact on** industrial structures, **so that a budget which has been restored**
resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers; to balance can strengthen industrial structures and ensures that, on the basis of a sound financial situation, investments are made once again and access to credit for manufacturers is facilitated;

Amendment 29
Renato Soru

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

Amendment

3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers; believes that negative impact to be at the root of the spiralling recession that has occurred in many Member States;

Amendment 30
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

Amendment

3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers; underscores the need for a "mesoeconomic" (regions-centric)
approach in order to achieve economic recovery;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion
3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

Amendment
3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries, which are the consequence of the mistaken policies of the Troika, are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

Or. fr

Amendment 32
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion
3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

Amendment
3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment, more difficult access to credit for manufacturers and a rise in unemployment;

Or. es

Amendment 33
Anne Sander
3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for manufacturers;

3. Considers that austerity measures in several European countries are having a negative impact on industrial structures, resulting in a fall-off in investment and more difficult access to credit for producers in the sector;

3a. Takes the view that the fragmentation of the financial market, the risk aversion of European undertakings and a cautious approach to innovation are acting as a
Draft opinion Amendment

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs;

Amendment 36
Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion Amendment

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs;

Amendment 37
Henna Virkkunen, Antonio Tajani, Krišjānis Kariņš

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion Amendment

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs;

Amendment 38
Constanze Krehl, Theresa Griffin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4
4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs;

4. Underlines, that the generation of new and decent work through the means of cohesion policy is one of the most important aims; stresses therefore, that member states shall focus their policies on creation of decent work while implementing their operational programs for the current funding period, in order to take measures against the high youth unemployment rates and in order to enable the regions to develop healthy and sustainable economies;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs;

Amendment

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection, in particular, to promote the renewable sources of energy as well as energy efficiency measures; and recalls that the global market for eco-friendly products and services is projected to almost double by 2020 being around 2 trillion of euros a year[^1]

Amendment 40
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs;

Amendment

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments from the Cohesion policy are geared towards maximum results and impact, and respects the new performance framework in order to boost smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

Or. en

Amendment 41
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs;

Amendment

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have as one of their objectives the creation of new jobs, but rejects on legal and economic grounds the use of a mandatory employment clause <sup>1a</sup>;

<sup>1a</sup> An employment clause would lead directly to crowding out and divert investment from long-term projects to short-term speculation.

Or. de
Amendment 42
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

4. **Considers** that **all** the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds **should have an employment clause that includes the obligation** to create new **and non-precarious** jobs;

4. **Welcomes the fact** that the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds **for the period 2014-2020 will make it possible** to create new **high-quality** jobs;

Or. fr

Amendment 43
Nadine Morano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds **should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs**;

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds **should come accompanied by clauses to promote local employment**;

Or. fr

Amendment 44
Davor Ivo Stier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

4. Considers that **all the new** projects and investments promoted by EU funds should

4. Considers that projects and investments promoted by EU funds should **aim for the**
have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs; creation of new jobs;
Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs;

Amendment

4. Considers that all the new projects and investments promoted by EU funds should have an employment clause that includes the obligation to create new and non-precarious jobs; *considers that, to this end, investment should, as a priority, be channelled into profitable and productive projects*;

Or. fr

Amendment 48
Neoklis Sylikiotis, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Points out that it is essential to provide greater support especially to micro and small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) and worker cooperatives in order to boost their productivity within a stable business environment, minimize the effect of dominant market positions occupied by large companies and conglomerates, and help MSMEs and worker cooperatives to be set up and to grow*.

Amendment

4a. Points out that it is essential to provide greater support especially to micro and small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) and worker cooperatives in order to boost their productivity within a stable business environment, minimize the effect of dominant market positions occupied by large companies and conglomerates, and help MSMEs and worker cooperatives to be set up and to grow*.

Or. en

Amendment 49
Paul Tang

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)
4a. Underlines the importance of concentrating EU investments on thematic priorities such as research and innovation, the digital agenda, the support of small and medium-sized enterprises and low carbon economy for maximising the impact of investments on economic growth and job creation;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Antonio Tajani, Henna Virkkunen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Welcomes the proposal on Capital Markets Union and considers it an important tool to complement to the Investment Plan for Europe and improve SMEs access to credit by creating and developing alternative sources of funding to bank loans including through improving initial public offerings.

Or. en

Amendment 51
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Takes the view that the inefficient internal cost structures perpetuated by the failure to carry out structural reforms are
deterring private investors;

Amendment 52
Antonio Tajani, Henna Virkkunen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

4b. Calls for the rapid implementation and use of the Capitals Markets Union to support industry led work to develop European private placement markets and support the take up of long-term investment funds. A successful Capital Markets Union will reduce fragmentation in the EU’s financial markets, thereby helping to reduce the cost of funding

Amendment

Draft opinion

4b. Stresses that investments should focus on areas that are capable of producing multiplier effects on jobs and growth, such as investments in innovation or education;

Amendment

Amendment 54
Paul Tang
Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

4c. Stresses the importance of regional funding for small and medium-sized companies, who act as a regional engine for job creation, smart growth, and the digital an low-carbon economies;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Paul Tang

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 d (new)

Draft opinion

4d. Underlines the importance of cohesion policy funding for the transition towards a low-carbon economy and reaching the 2020 and 2030-objectives for CO2-reduction, energy efficiency and renewables;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Paul Tang

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 e (new)

Draft opinion

4e. Notes that reporting on projects financed by EU funds is usually focused on compliance with rules and regulations and not on the effects that are achieved, causing a lack of knowledge on the
effectiveness of EU subsidies; stresses, in this regard the importance of systematically collecting information on the impact of EU funds in order to increase the effect of EU spending;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 58
Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno, Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

deleted

Or. fr
Amendment 59
Ernest Maragall
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment

5. Recognising the crucial role of the research and development in achieving the Cohesion policy objectives, strongly supports the "relative innovation" approach to R&I, which prioritizes the reduction of the innovation gap within the Union; calls for further clarification of the Smart Specialisation concept, which has the potential of boosting the competitiveness of the EU regions;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment

5. Underlines the importance of an efficient use of cohesion funds, in order to maximise its impact in pursuing the objectives of the Europe 2020 strategy especially to support SMEs, research and innovation, and climate change mitigations; recalls that the cohesion policy should be used as investment tool and should go hand in hand with national and regional reforms policy;

Or. en
5. Calls for **more social and public investments**, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that **all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.**

5. Calls for **a stable environment for private investors in the areas of transport and strategic infrastructure, innovation research and development**, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; **calls for an appropriate balance to be struck between the objectives of reindustrialisation and of environmental and climate protection**;

Or. de

---

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection; **Welcomes the new European Fund for Strategic Investment; calls on the commission to coordinate the expenditure of the EFSI with existing allocations within the cohesion policy budget in order to maximise the potential leverage effect.**

Or. en
Amendment 63
Renato Soru

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection; takes the view, furthermore, that investment efforts should focus on innovative sectors that are of key importance to creating the conditions for sustainable, green growth.

Amendment 64
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment

5. Calls for more investments in cutting-edge sectors of industry and in modernising and digitising traditional industries, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that investments and projects should also contribute towards environmental protection and a resumption of sustainable growth.
5. Calls for **more social and public** investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; **recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.**

5. Calls for **a more stable and better regulatory environment both at national and EU level in order to make the EU more attractive in particular for private investments**, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020;

Or. en

---

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls also that it would not be possible to finance all these investments and projects solely on credit or to allow them to compromise schedules for the reduction of public debt and deficits;

Or. fr
Paragraph 5

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment

5. Calls for more social and coordinated public investments of the Member States, local and regional authorities, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Or. en

Amendment 68
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment

5. Calls for more social and public investments by States, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Or. fr

Amendment 69
Herbert Reul

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20% by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment

5. Calls for more public and private...
investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment 70
Csaba Molnár

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should contribute to the attainment of environmental protection objectives.

Amendment

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Or. de

Amendment 71
Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be almost impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Or. hu
Amendment 72
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more social and public investments, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection.

Amendment

5. Calls for more social and public investments, particularly in the field of R&D, without which it will be impossible to reach the target of raising industry’s contribution to GDP to as much as 20 % by 2020; recalls that all investments and projects should enhance environmental protection and promote sustainable development.

Amendment 73
Zigmantas Balčytis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5a (new)

Draft opinion

5a. Criticises the fact that when resources are allocated from EU funds the focus is on the expenditure itself and on compliance with administrative rules, and not on the achievement of tangible results, with the result that the impact of EU funding cannot be properly assessed and the measures which would be most effective in fostering the economic development of the regions of the EU cannot be identified;

Amendment

Or. fr

Or. it
Amendment 74  
Nicolas Bay, Gianluca Buonanno  

Draft opinion  
Paragraph 6  

Draft opinion  

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment  

deleted

(It cannot be part of the EU’s remit to pursue such a strategy, particularly if the EU continues to dogmatically oppose the protectionist measures which are called for.)

Or. fr

Amendment 75  
Henna Virkkunen, Antonio Tajani, Krišjānis Kariņš  

Draft opinion  
Paragraph 6  

Draft opinion  

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a

Amendment  

the ultimate goal of which should be tackling unemployment and securing competitiveness, growth and more jobs.

high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment 76
Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment

6. Calls for an industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth and jobs as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU.

Amendment 77
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

6. Calls for an inclusive strategy for the reindustrialisation of Europe that will, without employing fiscal stimulus measures and without creating any risk of crowding-out, tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social
quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Or. de

Amendment 78
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU, protection of the fundamental rights of individuals and maintaining a balance between economic and social objectives; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity, decent work and decent pay for everyone.

Or. It

Amendment 79
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health

Amendment

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health
and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment 80
Nadine Morano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced social dialogue, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and personal development for all, through both work and private life.

Amendment 81
Neoklis Sylikiotis, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure

Amendment

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure
more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment 82
Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, and jobs and protect workers’ rights and will guarantee access to public health and education with a view to pursuing and achieving greater economic, social and territorial cohesion; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Or. en

Amendment 83
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy

Amendment

6. Calls for an industrial strategy, based on
that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

States, that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment 84
Davor Ivo Stier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

*Draft opinion*

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development *and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.*

*Amendment*

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development, high quality of life, *ensuring dignity of all human beings*, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Or. fr

Amendment 85
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6
6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment

6. Calls for an inclusive industrial strategy, based on viable, long-term projects, that will tackle unemployment and secure more growth, more jobs with enhanced workers’ rights, and access to public health and education as one of the means of achieving the economic, social and territorial cohesion that is needed in the EU; considers that the ultimate goal should be sustainable development and a high quality of life, together with prosperity and decent work for everyone.

Amendment 86
Constanze Krehl, Theresa Griffin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

6a. Emphasises, that in order to enable innovative and productive research activities it is necessary to further increase funding for research and development; calls therefore to better align existing funding measures, i.e. the European Structural and Investment Funds and Horizon2020 funding as laid down in Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Amendment

6a. Emphasises, that in order to enable innovative and productive research activities it is necessary to further increase funding for research and development; calls therefore to better align existing funding measures, i.e. the European Structural and Investment Funds and Horizon2020 funding as laid down in Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Amendment 87
Neoklis Sylikiotis, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)
6a. Calls for a direct support to promote solid growth and sustainable development of MSMEs in order to improve labour productivity and resource use efficiency and keep pace with the evolving knowledge society and with development based on balanced economic growth, especially in Member States where the crisis has had a greater impact in terms of MSME closures;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Csaba Molnár

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

6a. Calls on Member States to accelerate the Youth Guarantee programme, because the crisis has prevented disproportionate numbers of young people at the beginning of their careers from finding jobs, and because youth unemployment has reached alarming levels in half of the regions;

Or. hu

Amendment 89
Csaba Molnár

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

6b. Calls for a special programme to be drawn up, as part of cohesion funding, to help the most disadvantaged regions to
catch up, with targeted investments, training, job creation and the development of inter-city transport; notes that, with declining regions, it will be impossible to attain its 2020 objectives;

Or. hu

Amendment 90
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Calls for the investment plan to be used to further the efforts to complete the internal market in the areas of finance, telecommunications, energy, transport and services.

Or. de

Amendment 91
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Calls, as a means of improving the employment situation, for proper account to be taken of the needs of SMUs when laws and regulations are drawn up and for access to credit to be facilitated for undertakings, whatever their size, which create jobs.

Or. de
Draft opinion  
Paragraph 6 c (new)

**Draft opinion**

6c. Calls, as a means of improving the situation as regards innovation, exchanges of knowledge and ideas and the competiveness and innovativeness of European undertakings, for the digital internal market to be completed.

**Amendment**

Or. de