Amendment 82

Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 - C6-0184/2008 - 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) legal advice and court, arbitration and dispute settlement proceedings;

(c) court proceedings and legal advice;

Amendment 83

Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 - C6-0184/2008 - 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) the purpose of inspections, investigations and audits;

(d) the purpose of inspections, investigations and audits *and of competition procedures*;

Amendment 84 Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieuon behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 - C6-0184/2008 - 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 2 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) in view of the sensitive nature and the large number of documents produced within the context of competition procedures, a general assumption that publication would actually and specifically undermine the interest being protected shall suffice;

Amendment 85 Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 - C6-0184/2008 - 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 2 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) sources of information about alleged unlawful activities and information obtained in formal investigations,

Amendment 86 Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 – C6-0184/2008 – 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

unless there is an overriding public interest in disclosure.

Amendment 87 Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 – C6-0184/2008 – 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. *Access* to the following documents shall be refused if their disclosure would seriously undermine the decision-making process of the institutions:

Amendment

3. Without prejudice to the enhanced transparency required in procedures for the adoption of Union legislative acts or of non-legislative acts of general application, access to the following documents shall be refused if their disclosure would seriously undermine the decision-making process of the institutions:

Amendment 88 Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 - C6-0184/2008 - 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) documents relating to a matter where the decision has not been taken;

(a) documents relating to a matter where the decision has not been taken, and a constructive outcome could be jeopardised by their disclosure before they are finalised;

Amendment 89 Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 – C6-0184/2008 – 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Access to a document containing opinions for internal use as part of deliberations and preliminary consultations within the institution concerned shall be refused even after the decision has been taken if disclosure of the document would seriously undermine the institution's decision-making process, including the capacity of the institution to freely express and discuss views and suggestions prior to the decision being taken, unless there is an overriding public interest in disclosure.

Amendment 90 Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu on behalf of the PPE Group

A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 – C6-0184/2008 – 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The exceptions under paragraphs (2) and (3) shall apply unless there is an overriding public interest in disclosure. As regards paragraph 2(a) an overriding public interest in disclosure shall be deemed to exist where the information requested relates to emissions into the environment.

Amendment

4. The exceptions under paragraphs (2) and (3) shall apply unless there is an overriding public interest in disclosure. As regards paragraph 2(a) an overriding public interest in disclosure shall be deemed to exist where the information requested relates to actual emissions into the environment. For the purposes of this Article, 'emissions' shall mean the direct or indirect release of substances, vibrations, heat or noise from individual or diffuse sources in an installation into the air, water or land, as provided for in Council Directive 96/61/EC of 24 September 1996 concerning integrated pollution prevention and control.

Amendment 91

Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 – C6-0184/2008 – 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. If only parts of the requested document are covered by any of the exceptions, the remaining parts of the document shall be released.

Amendment

6. If only parts of the requested document are covered by any of the exceptions, the remaining parts of the document shall be released, unless the exception covers a collection of documents in accordance with paragraph 2.

Amendment 92

Renate Sommer, Véronique Mathieu

on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 - C6-0184/2008 - 2008/0090(COD)

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. The exceptions as laid down in this Article shall only apply for the period during which protection is justified on the basis of the content of the document. The exceptions may apply for a maximum period of 30 years. In the case of documents covered by the exceptions relating to the protection of personal data or commercial interests and in the case of sensitive documents, the exceptions may, if necessary, continue to apply after this period.

Amendment

7. The exceptions as laid down in this Article shall only apply for the period during which protection is justified on the basis of the content of the document. The exceptions may apply for a maximum period of 30 years, *unless there are over-riding* data *privacy* or commercial interests *reasons for continued protection*.

Amendment 93
Renate Sommer
on behalf of the PPE Group

Report A7-0426/2011

Michael Cashman

Public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents COM(2008)0229 – C6-0184/2008 – 2008/0090(COD)

Draft legislative resolution Paragraph 2

2. Considers that procedure

Draft legislative resolution

2. Considers that procedure

2011/0073(COD) has lapsed as *a result of* the incorporation into procedure 2008/0090(COD) of the contents of the Commission proposal (COM(2011)0137);

2. Considers that procedure 2011/0073(COD) has not lapsed, as it is supposed to be dealt with in parallel with procedure 2008/0090(COD) which might need - given its complexity - more time than the former procedure;

Amendment

Or. en

Justification

Endorsement of the Two-Step-Approach as suggested by the European Commission.