



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Regional Development

2013/2017(BUD)

25.4.2013

AMENDMENTS

1 - 9

Draft opinion
Georgios Stavrakakis
(PE508.173v01-00)

on 2014 Budget - Mandate for the Trilogue
(2013/2017(BUD))

AM\934571EN.doc

PE510.577v01-00

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Oldřich Vlasák

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines the strategic role a robust, results-oriented Cohesion Policy plays in generating jobs and growth throughout the Union; emphasises that ***structural*** and ***cohesion*** funds are significant components of public ***investment*** in the Member States ***and*** their regions;

Amendment

1. Underlines the strategic role a robust, results-oriented Cohesion Policy plays in generating jobs and growth throughout the Union; emphasises that ***the European Structural and Investment*** Funds are significant components of public ***investments*** in the Member States, their regions ***and local governments***;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Petru Constantin Luhan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Is concerned that the uncertainty linked to the adoption of the MFF constitutes an obstacle for any serious budgetary planning, which is quite worrying as 2104 is the start of the new programming period and affects Cohesion Policy in particular;

Amendment

2. Is concerned that the uncertainty linked to the adoption of the MFF constitutes an obstacle for any serious budgetary planning, which is quite worrying as 2104 is the start of the new programming period and affects Cohesion Policy in particular, ***as well as compromising the hoped-for results in connection with these objectives***;

Or. ro

Amendment 3
Victor Boştinaru

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Is concerned that the uncertainty linked to the adoption of the MFF constitutes an obstacle for any serious budgetary planning, which is quite worrying as 2104 is the start of the new programming period and ***affects*** Cohesion Policy ***in particular***;

Amendment

2. Is concerned that the uncertainty linked to the adoption of the MFF constitutes an obstacle for any serious budgetary planning, which is quite worrying as 2104 is the start of the new programming period and Cohesion Policy ***is particularly affected***;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Juozas Imbrasas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Is concerned that the uncertainty linked to the adoption of the MFF constitutes an obstacle for any serious budgetary planning, which is ***quite*** worrying as 2014 is the start of the new programming period and affects Cohesion Policy in particular;

Amendment

2. Is concerned that the uncertainty linked to the adoption of the MFF constitutes an obstacle for any serious budgetary planning, which is worrying as 2014 is the start of the new programming period and affects Cohesion Policy in particular;

Or. It

Amendment 5
Victor Boştinaru

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Points out that the idea of creating a Connecting Europe Facility is not viable without the adoption of the new MFF, and that its link with the Cohesion Fund would only be realistic if sufficient

*resources are made available and
adequate requirements are in place;*

Or. en

Amendment 6
Victor Boştinaru

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*2 b. Expresses its concern that
suspensions of payments exerted by the
Commission might in some cases be
linked to the shortfall in available
resources, with the consequent
application of stricter criteria;*

Or. en

Amendment 7
Georgios Stavrakakis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*3 a. Further, calls on the Commission to
closely monitor and assess Member
States' real payment needs in Heading 1b
throughout 2013 to avoid a repetition of
previous years' situation; warns that a
shortage in payment appropriations may
prevent the Union from honouring its
legal obligations and jeopardise
investment efforts on the ground;*

Or. en

Amendment 8
Iosif Matula

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Asks the Commission and the Member States to address effectively the administrative deficiencies in the implementation of Cohesion Policy on the ground, ensuring an adequate level of absorption and a meaningful attainment of the objectives and the results as set; calls on the Commission, therefore, to continue providing the necessary assistance in order to achieve these goals;

Amendment

4. Asks the Commission and the Member States to address effectively the administrative deficiencies in the implementation of Cohesion Policy on the ground, ensuring an adequate level of absorption and a meaningful attainment of the objectives and the results as set; calls on the Commission, therefore, to continue providing the necessary assistance in order to achieve these goals; ***recommends that appropriations from the current programming period be earmarked for training schemes for the authorities managing future programmes receiving support from the Structural and Cohesion Funds;***

Or. ro

Amendment 9
Iosif Matula

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the next programming period offers an opportunity to improve still further the positive impact of Cohesion Policy and insists that the preparation of the Partnership Agreements and programmes should take place as soon as possible to allow for a successful implementation from 1st January 2014 and a smooth transition to the next

Amendment

5. Considers that the next programming period offers an opportunity to improve still further the positive impact of Cohesion Policy and insists that the preparation of the Partnership Agreements and programmes should take place as soon as possible; ***urges the national authorities to forward their recommendations without delay so as to allow for a successful***

programming period.

implementation from 1st January 2014 and
a smooth transition to the next
programming period.

Or. ro