



2017/2073(INI)

20.9.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 117

Draft report
Nicola Danti
(PE607.891v01-00)

Implementation of Directive 2005/36/EC as regards regulation and the need for reform in professional services
(2017/2073(INI))

Amendment 1
Mylène Troszczynski

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. *whereas the free movement of workers, the freedom of establishment and the freedom to provide services within the EU constitute the backbone of the single market and bring many benefits to citizens and businesses;*

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 2
Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Roberta Metsola

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. *whereas services account for 71% of the GDP and 68% of total employment, the full potential of the Single Market in services still remains unfulfilled;*

Or. en

Amendment 3
Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B. *whereas in the absence of harmonisation, it is for the Member States to decide on the regulation of professions,*

B. *whereas in the absence of harmonisation, the regulation of professional services remains Member*

albeit in a non-discriminatory, justified and proportionate manner;

States *competence, which has to be exercised in a transparent,* non-discriminatory, justified and proportionate manner;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Mylène Troszczynski

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas in the absence of harmonisation, it is for the Member States to decide on the regulation of professions, *albeit in a non-discriminatory, justified and proportionate manner;*

Amendment

B. whereas in the absence of harmonisation it is for the Member States to decide on the regulation of professions;

Or. fr

Amendment 5
Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas in the absence of harmonisation, it is for the Member States to decide on the regulation of professions, albeit in a non-discriminatory, justified and proportionate manner;

Amendment

B. whereas in the absence of harmonisation, it is for the Member States to decide on the regulation of professions, albeit *not always* in a non-discriminatory, justified and proportionate manner;

Or. pl

Amendment 6

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella, Kerstin Westphal, Evelyne Gebhardt

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. Whereas the EU framework should address disproportionate barriers while fully preserving the protection of public interest objectives, such as consumer protection, thus ensuring high quality of the service provided;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas over-regulation of professional services and unjustified barriers are detrimental to the Member States' economies and the internal market as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Roberta Metsola

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ba. whereas smart regulation can have positive effects on the European market

and deregulation should therefore not be the overall aim;

Or. en

Amendment 9

Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution

Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Bb. whereas in many cases, regulation of professional services can be justified, for example when it aims at protecting a number of general interest objectives, it nevertheless needs to be adjusted regularly to take into consideration technological, societal or market developments;

Or. en

Amendment 10

Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Roberta Metsola

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D. whereas Directive 2005/36/EC was amended in 2013, introducing in Article 59 a transparency and mutual evaluation exercise for all regulated professions in the Member States, whether they are regulated on the basis of national rules or on the basis of rules harmonised at EU level;

D. whereas Directive 2005/36/EC was amended in 2013, ***with the objective to achieve a proportionate regulatory framework, justified by general interests objectives***, introducing in Article 59 a transparency and mutual evaluation exercise for all regulated professions in the Member States, whether they are regulated on the basis of national rules or on the basis of rules harmonised at EU level;

Amendment 11
Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas the mutual evaluation exercise revealed that the level of regulation of professions varies significantly between Member States, and therefore warrants further clarification as to why the level of state intervention is particularly high in some countries;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Richard Sulík

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas several Member States recently implemented or announced the intention to implement protectionist measures reducing the free movement of labour on the Single Market, particularly concerning the regulated professions in the transport sector;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Roberta Metsola

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas Member States were required to submit national action plans (NAPs) to the Commission by 18 January 2016 with information on decisions on maintaining or amending professional regulations; whereas there are still 6 Member States that have not submitted their NAPs;

Or. en

Amendment 14

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Lucy Anderson, Evelyne Gebhardt

Motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. Whereas not all the provisions of the Directive, and in particular Article 59, have been fully implemented yet by the Member States even after the passing of the deadline;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Recital D b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Db. whereas both the guidance on reform recommendations and the proportionality test aim to guarantee proportionate regulation and are to be considered as complementary: while the

test is a measure to be used before Member States adopt new legislation or modify the rules already in place, the guidance encourages them to adapt the existing regulatory framework for specific professions;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella, Kerstin Westphal, Evelyne Gebhardt

**Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. Whereas professional mobility combined with a high level of protection of public interest objectives can significantly contribute to sustainable economic growth in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 17 Richard Sulík

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Stresses that *regulated professions* play a fundamental role in the EU economy, *representing* a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is of paramount importance for preserving the EU economic, social and cultural model;

1. Stresses that *rule of law, contractual freedom and the freedom to conduct a business* play a fundamental role in the EU economy; *acknowledges that regulated professions cover* a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is

of paramount importance for preserving the EU economic, social and cultural model *if the free movement of labour on the Single Market is respected*;

Or. en

Amendment 18

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that regulated professions play a fundamental role in the EU economy, *representing a significant part of the occupation rate as well as* an important share of the added value in the Union; *believes*, furthermore, that *the quality of* professional services *is* of paramount importance for *preserving the EU economic, social and cultural model*;

Amendment

1. Stresses that regulated professions play a fundamental role in the EU economy, *as they account for 22% of the European labour force and thus represent* an important share of the added value in the Union; *considers*, furthermore, that *high-quality* professional services *are* of paramount importance for *growth, innovation and job creation*;

Or. en

Amendment 19

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella, Kerstin Westphal

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that regulated professions play a fundamental role in the EU economy, representing a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is of paramount importance for preserving the EU

Amendment

1. Stresses that regulated professions play a fundamental role in the EU economy, representing a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is of paramount importance for preserving the EU

economic, social and cultural model;

economic, social and cultural model *and to strengthen the competitiveness of the EU*;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that regulated professions play a fundamental role in the EU economy, representing a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is of paramount importance for preserving the EU economic, social and cultural model;

Amendment

1. Stresses that regulated professions play a fundamental role in the EU economy, representing a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is of paramount importance for preserving the EU economic, social and cultural model *and for labour mobility*;

Or. ro

Amendment 21
Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that regulated professions *play a fundamental role* in the EU economy, representing a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is of paramount importance for preserving the EU economic, social and cultural model;

Amendment

1. Stresses that regulated professions *are very important* in the EU economy, representing *in the services sector* a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is of paramount importance for preserving the EU economic, social and cultural model;

Amendment 22

Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Stresses that regulated professions play a fundamental role in the EU economy, representing a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is of paramount importance for preserving the *EU* economic, *social and cultural model*;

Amendment

1. Stresses that regulated professions play a fundamental role in the EU economy, representing a significant part of the occupation rate as well as an important share of the added value in the Union; believes, furthermore, that the quality of professional services is of paramount importance for preserving the *EU's* economic *growth*;

Or. cs

Amendment 23

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

1a. believes, therefore that improving the regulatory environment by eliminating the disproportionate regulatory barriers will help to realise the largely untapped potential in the development of professional services;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 24

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Recalls that there are over 5,500 regulated professions across the EU, which corresponds to an average of 200 regulated professions per Member State, but with large variations between countries;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Roberta Metsola

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission initiative providing guidance for Member States in the context of the mutual evaluation exercise, including the organisation of in-depth discussions with national authorities;

2. Welcomes the Commission initiative providing guidance for Member States in the context of the mutual evaluation exercise, including the organisation of in-depth discussions with national authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 26

Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission initiative providing guidance for Member States in the context of the mutual evaluation exercise, including the organisation of in-depth discussions with

2. Welcomes the Commission initiative providing guidance for Member States in the context of the mutual evaluation exercise, including the organisation of in-depth discussions with national authorities; ***points out that the***

national authorities;

guidance should take account of the need to reduce bureaucracy and open the professions to new service providers;

Or. pl

Amendment 27
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Welcomes the Commission initiative providing guidance for Member States in the context of the mutual evaluation exercise, including the organisation of in-depth discussions with national authorities;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission initiative providing guidance for Member States in the context of the mutual evaluation exercise, including the organisation of in-depth discussions with national authorities *and the national professional associations concerned*;

Or. it

Amendment 28
Richard Sulík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

2a. Stresses that the major task of the Single Market is the elimination of internal barriers to guarantee the exercise of the four fundamental freedoms of EU citizens; stresses that the Commission as the guardian of the Treaties should enforce the Single Market rules and guide the Member States to comply with the fundamental freedoms including the free movement of the labour;

Or. en

Amendment 29

Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Roberta Metsola

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Believes that it will help Member States to exchange on best practices and better understand their regulatory choices taking into consideration the fact that some Member States foresee a higher level of state intervention in regulated professions than others;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Points out that the guidance should take account of the need to reduce bureaucracy and open the professions to new service providers;

Or. pl

Amendment 31

Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Believes that the Commission

3. Believes that the Commission

communication of 10 January 2017 might help Member States to better *regulate* professional services; stresses, however, that elements beyond mere economic analysis are needed for a holistic assessment of the performance of the regulatory environment in each Member State;

communication of 10 January 2017 might help Member States to better *understand the level of regulation of their* professional services *compared with the other Member States*; stresses, however, that elements beyond mere economic analysis are needed for a holistic assessment of the performance of the regulatory environment in each Member State;

Or. it

Amendment 32
Richard Sulík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Believes that the Commission communication of **10 January** 2017 might help Member States to better regulate professional services; *stresses, however, that elements beyond mere economic analysis are needed for a holistic assessment of the performance of the regulatory environment in each Member State*;

Amendment

3. Believes that the Commission communication of **10 January** 2017 might help Member States to better regulate professional services; *welcomes the specific recommendations for Member States to reduce the national regulatory burden which is disproportional to protection of the public interest objectives; encourages the Commission to define which distortive national regulations to the Single Market could possibly lead to the infringement procedures*;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Richard Sulík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Stresses that the healthcare sector

which covers 40% of all the regulated professions in the Single Market also falls within the scope of Directive 2005/36/EC; therefore calls for a Commission initiative providing guidance to the Member States for the healthcare sector similarly to the 10 January 2017 Commission communication on reform needs in seven sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. *Notes that Member States have faced significant challenges in notifying information about the professions they regulate and the requirements for accessing those professions;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 35

Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Notes that Member States have faced significant challenges in notifying information about the professions they regulate and the requirements for accessing those professions;

4. Notes that Member States have faced significant challenges in notifying information about the professions they regulate and the requirements for accessing those professions, *which have led to an increase in red tape;*

Or. it

Amendment 36

Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Roberta Metsola

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that Member States have faced significant challenges in notifying information about the professions they regulate and the requirements for accessing those professions;

Amendment

4. Notes that Member States have faced significant challenges in notifying information about the professions they regulate and the requirements for accessing those professions; ***calls on Member States and the Commission to significantly improve notification procedures;***

Or. en

Amendment 37

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Deplores the fact that a number of Member States have failed to fully notify the information about the professions they regulate and the requirements for accessing those professions;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Mylène Troszczynski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. ***Underlines that improving transparency and comparability of the national requirements on the access to or pursuit of regulated professions is key to ensuring safe mobility and that consequently, in line with Directive 2005/36/EC, all national requirements should be notified and made publicly available in the database for regulated professions;***

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 39
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Underlines that improving transparency and comparability of the national requirements on the access to or pursuit of regulated professions ***is key to ensuring safe*** mobility and that consequently, in line with Directive 2005/36/EC, all national requirements should be notified and made publicly available in the database for regulated professions;

Amendment

5. Underlines that improving transparency and comparability of the national requirements on the access to or pursuit of regulated professions ***could enable greater mobility among professional service providers*** and that consequently, in line with Directive 2005/36/EC, all national requirements should be notified and made publicly available in the database for regulated professions, ***in a clear and intelligible manner;***

Or. it

Amendment 40
Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Underlines that improving transparency and comparability of the national requirements on the access to or pursuit of regulated professions is key to ensuring safe mobility and that consequently, in line with Directive 2005/36/EC, all national requirements should be notified and made publicly available in the database for regulated professions;

5. Underlines that *reducing financial and bureaucratic burdens and* improving transparency and comparability of the national requirements on the access to or pursuit of regulated professions is key to ensuring safe mobility and that consequently, in line with Directive 2005/36/EC, all national requirements should be notified and made publicly available in the database for regulated professions;

Or. pl

Amendment 41

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Lucy Anderson, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella, Evelyne Gebhardt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Acknowledges the improvements to the database of regulated professions made by the Commission, including the creation of an interactive map, allowing citizens to check the professional access requirements across the EU and to visualise more easily which profession is regulated in a given Member State; calls on the Commission to further improve the database for regulated professions, in order to facilitate timely and accurate notification of the information by competent authorities and thus enhance transparency for EU citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. *Takes note of the divergences across Member States, as to the number of regulated professions and scope of activities, covered by similar professions, which explains the different ways to regulate professions, chosen by each Member State; considers that even though the ISCO and the NACE classifications constitute useful tools, the EU should develop its own classification, based on the notified activities by the Member States; calls on the Commission to improve the comparability of different professions and to define a common set of activities for each profession notified in the database with a view to facilitate voluntary harmonisation across the EU;*

Or. en

Amendment 43
Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. *Notes* that not all Member States have submitted a National Action Plan (NAP) as required by Directive 2005/36/EC and that the levels of depth and detail of the NAPs submitted differ;

6. ***Deeply regrets*** that not all Member States have submitted a National Action Plan (NAP) as required by Directive 2005/36/EC and that the levels of ***ambition***, depth and detail of the NAPs submitted differ;

Or. en

Amendment 44

Pascal Arimont

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6**

Motion for a resolution

6. *Notes* that not all Member States have submitted a National Action Plan (NAP) as required by Directive 2005/36/EC and that the levels of depth and detail of the NAPs submitted differ;

Amendment

6. *Regrets* that not all Member States have submitted a National Action Plan (NAP) as required by Directive 2005/36/EC and that the levels of depth and detail of the NAPs submitted differ;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Roberta Metsola

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6**

Motion for a resolution

6. Notes that not all Member States have submitted a National Action Plan (NAP) as required by Directive 2005/36/EC and that the levels of depth and detail of the NAPs submitted differ;

Amendment

6. Notes that not all Member States have submitted a National Action Plan (NAP) as required by Directive 2005/36/EC and that the levels of depth and detail of the NAPs submitted differ; *calls on those Member States that have not submitted their NAP yet to proceed without any due delay, as only with complete information from all Member States, the Commission can present a full picture on regulated professions at the EU level;*

Or. en

Amendment 46

Mylène Troszczynski

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7**

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to **fully implement Article 59 of Directive 2005/36/EC and to** step up their efforts to guarantee more transparency of their professional regulations, **which is crucial for the mobility of professionals across the EU**;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to step up their efforts to guarantee more transparency of their professional regulations;

Or. fr

Amendment 47
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to fully implement Article 59 of Directive 2005/36/EC and to step up their efforts to guarantee more transparency of their professional regulations, **which is crucial for the mobility of professionals across the EU**;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to fully implement Article 59 of Directive 2005/36/EC and to step up their efforts to guarantee more transparency of their professional regulations;

Or. it

Amendment 48
Jasenko Selimovic

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Calls on the Member States to fully implement Article 59 of Directive 2005/36/EC and to step up their efforts to guarantee more transparency of their professional regulations, which is crucial for the mobility of professionals across the

Amendment

7. Calls on the Member States to fully implement Article 59 of Directive 2005/36/EC and to step up their efforts to guarantee more transparency of their professional regulations, which is crucial for the mobility of professionals across the

EU;

EU; *invites the Commission to take action in particular against those Member States identified as having a particularly restrictive regulation;*

Or. en

Amendment 49

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella, Kerstin Westphal, Evelyne Gebhardt

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Acknowledges that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future;

Amendment

8. Acknowledges that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; *believes that a transparent flow of information between public institutions and stakeholders is necessary to effectively address issues and challenges affecting professions;* calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 50

Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Acknowledges that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future;

Amendment

8 Acknowledges that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future, *and especially of*

professional organisations, whose participation is imperative, since they provide a link between professionals and consumers;

Or. es

Amendment 51
Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Acknowledges that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future;

Amendment

8. Acknowledges that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future, *including not only professional associations but also consumer organisations;*

Or. pl

Amendment 52
Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Acknowledges that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future;

Amendment

8. Acknowledges that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future *and increased transparency in consultation with stakeholders;*

Or. ro

Amendment 53

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. ***Acknowledges*** that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future;

Amendment

8. ***Deprecates*** that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Pascal Arimont

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. ***Acknowledges*** that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future;

Amendment

8. ***Regrets*** that some Member States did not consult the relevant stakeholders in an appropriate manner while preparing the NAPs; calls for a broader involvement of all interested parties in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. *Calls on the Member States to involve all interested parties and carry out broader public consultations not only in view of preparing NAPs but also before reforming regulation of professions, in order to allow citizens, consumers and professionals to express their views;*

Or. en

Amendment 56

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Stresses that effective regulation of *professions contributes to the development of a fair society*; recalls that Member States are free to *introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context*;

Amendment

9. Stresses that effective regulation of *professional services will be beneficial for both consumers and professionals and could have a positive impact on the productivity and competitiveness of the EU economy*; recalls that Member States are free to *regulate professional services with the aim to ensure the protection of general interest objectives*; stresses, *however that such regulation should be in full compliance with the principles of non-discrimination and proportionality, as repeatedly confirmed by the European Court of Justice*;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Mylène Troszczynski

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Stresses that effective regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, ***including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context;***

Amendment

9. Stresses that effective regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public ***and/or national*** interest objectives;

Or. fr

Amendment 58
Pascal Arimont

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Stresses that effective regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context;

Amendment

9. Stresses that effective ***and proportionate*** regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context, ***and subject to the limitations of non-discrimination and proportionality as laid down in the Treaty and the interpretative case-law;***

Or. en

Amendment 59
Richard Sulík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Stresses that effective regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context;

Amendment

9. Stresses that effective regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context, ***and within the limits of proportionality***;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Stresses that ***effective*** regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context;

Amendment

9. Stresses that ***better*** regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context;

Or. pl

Amendment 61
Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Stresses that effective regulation of professions contributes to ***the development of a fair society***; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context;

Amendment

9. Stresses that effective regulation of professions contributes to ***higher transparency***; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, reflecting thus their vision for society and their socio-economic context;

Or. cs

Amendment 62

Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Stresses that effective regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, ***reflecting thus*** their vision for society and their socio-economic context;

Amendment

9. Stresses that effective regulation of professions contributes to the development of a fair society; recalls that Member States are free to introduce new regulations or to amend existing rules restricting the access to or pursuit of regulated professions where justified by public interest objectives, including overriding reasons of general interest, ***which serve to maintain*** their vision for society and their socio-economic context;

Or. it

Amendment 63

Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. *Believes that making regulation on professional services more proportionate and adapted to market reality may result in improved market dynamics, lower prices to consumers and improved performance of sector efficiency;*

Or. en

Amendment 64
Mylène Troszczyński

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. *At the same time*, considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly unfair for young professionals, **hamper competition** and negatively affect service recipients, including consumers;

10. Considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly unfair for young professionals and negatively affect service recipients, including consumers;

Or. fr

Amendment 65
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. At the same time, considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly unfair for young professionals, hamper competition and negatively affect

10. At the same time, considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly unfair, **especially** for young professionals **struggling to enter a profession, and can** hamper competition

service recipients, including consumers;

and negatively affect service recipients,
including consumers;

Or. it

Amendment 66
Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. At the same time, considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly unfair for young professionals, hamper competition and negatively affect service recipients, including consumers;

Amendment

10. At the same time, considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly unfair for young professionals, hamper competition and negatively affect service recipients, including consumers, ***and should be excluded;***

Or. ro

Amendment 67
Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. At the same time, considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly unfair for young professionals, hamper competition and negatively affect ***service*** recipients, including consumers;

Amendment

10. At the same time, considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly unfair for young professionals, hamper competition, ***reduce the quality of services*** and negatively affect ***their*** recipients, including consumers;

Or. pl

Amendment 68

Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. At the same time, considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly **unfair** for young professionals, hamper competition and negatively affect service recipients, including consumers;

Amendment

10. At the same time, considers that discriminatory, unjustified and disproportionate requirements can be particularly **discouraging** for young professionals, hamper competition and negatively affect service recipients, including consumers;

Or. cs

Amendment 69

Richard Sulík

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Welcomes in this respect the Commission proposal on a Proportionality Test which will both oblige and assist Member States to conduct an in-depth assessment before adopting new or amending regulation of professions;

Or. en

Amendment 70

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of

deleted

protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Or. en

Amendment 71

Mylène Troszczynski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, *such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;*

Amendment

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public *and national* interest objectives;

Or. fr

Amendment 72

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson, Arndt Kohn, Marc Tarabella, Evelyne Gebhardt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, **and** the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Amendment

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, ***either explicitly mentioned in the Treaty, such as public policy, public security and public health, or recognized by the Court of Justice case-law, which may continue to evolve; among others,*** the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, ***combating fraud and prevention of tax evasion and avoidance,*** the protection of the environment, the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Richard Sulík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage **and** social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Amendment

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage, social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this; ***encourages the Member***

States to consider a deregulation of the regulated professions as a market driven incentive to provide higher quality of the services at lower costs for the consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 74
Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Amendment

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social, *health* and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Or. fr

Amendment 75
Pascal Arimont

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the

Amendment

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the

safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; ***within the limits of proportionality*** acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Amendment

11. Recognises the role of ***better*** professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the margin of appreciation of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Or. pl

Amendment 77
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the *margin of appreciation* of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

11. Recognises the role of professional regulation in achieving a high level of protection of public interest objectives, such as the protection of consumers, recipients of services and workers, the safeguarding of the proper administration of justice, the protection of the environment, and the preservation of national historic and artistic heritage and social and cultural policy objectives; acknowledges the *legislative sovereignty* of Member States in determining the ways to achieve this;

Or. it

Amendment 78
Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Notes that profession-specific regulations pursuing objectives in the public interest aim to ensure effective supervision of the lawful practice of the regulated profession, and of its deontological rules where relevant;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 79
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Notes that profession-specific regulations pursuing objectives in the public interest *aim to* ensure effective

12. Notes that profession-specific regulations pursuing objectives in the public interest *must* ensure effective

supervision of the lawful practice of the regulated profession, and of its deontological rules where relevant;

supervision of the lawful practice of the regulated profession, and of its deontological rules where relevant;

Or. it

Amendment 80
Antonio López-Istúriz White

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. *Notes that some professional regulations retain reserves of activities given the risk that failure to regulate would entail for the safety of consumers and third parties. Accordingly, the existence of these reserves is based on criteria relating to the protection of the general interest rather than to merely commercial criteria;*

Or. es

Amendment 81
Mylène Troszczynski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. *Points out that better comparability of the level of professional qualifications is needed in order to increase the homogeneity of the evidence of formal qualifications across the European Union and to create a level playing field for young Europeans entering the professions, as well as promote their mobility;*

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 82

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Olga Sehnalová, Marc Tarabella, Kerstin Westphal

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Points out that better comparability of the level of professional qualifications is needed in order to increase the homogeneity of the evidence of formal qualifications across the European Union and to create a level playing field for young Europeans entering the professions, as well as promote their mobility;

Amendment

13. Points out that better comparability of the level of professional qualifications is needed in order to increase the homogeneity of the evidence of formal qualifications across the European Union and to create a level playing field for young Europeans entering the professions, as well as promote their mobility ***across the EU***;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Points out that better comparability of the level of professional qualifications is needed in order to increase the homogeneity of the evidence of formal qualifications across the European Union and to create ***a level playing field*** for young Europeans entering the professions, as well as promote their mobility;

Amendment

13. Points out that better comparability of the level of professional qualifications is needed in order to increase the homogeneity of the evidence of formal qualifications across the European Union and to create ***comparable conditions*** for young Europeans entering the professions, as well as promote their mobility;

Or. pl

Amendment 84

Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Points out that better comparability of the level of professional qualifications is needed in order to increase the homogeneity of the evidence of formal qualifications across the European Union and to create a level playing field for young Europeans entering the professions, *as well as promote* their mobility;

Amendment

13. Points out that better comparability of the level of professional qualifications is needed in order to increase the homogeneity of the evidence of formal qualifications across the European Union and to create a *more* level playing field for young Europeans entering the professions, *thereby facilitating* their mobility;

Or. it

Amendment 85
Mylène Troszczynski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. *Calls on the Commission and the Member States, together with professional organisations in their respective areas of competence, to follow up adequately the recommendations of the Working Group on Bolstering the Business of Liberal Professions;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 86
Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Marc Tarabella, Virginie Rozière, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Josef Weidenholzer, Evelyne Gebhardt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Points out that the Panama Papers and other recent scandals have revealed the role of European enablers and intermediaries, including lawyers, accountants, wealth managers and other professionals, in setting up offshore structures facilitating tax avoidance and evasion and money laundering; underlines the necessity to better regulate these actors at European and national level in order to effectively tackle these phenomena;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Pascal Arimont

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Welcomes the concrete reform recommendations developed on the basis of the new restrictiveness indicator in combination with a qualitative assessment and which support the Commission's analysis and country-specific recommendations under the European Semester; calls on the Commission to closely monitor the implementation of the reform recommendations and, where appropriate, take enforcement action and propose further measures;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. *States that reform recommendations cannot replace enforcement action by the Commission and calls on the Commission to make use of instruments such as infringement procedures for enforcement;*

Or. en

Amendment 89

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. *Encourages, however, Member States to make full use of the restrictiveness indicator in order to compare Member States' performance in the seven groups of professions selected and to significantly reduce the accumulated burden of multiple requirements as regards to those professions;*

Or. en

Amendment 90

Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Nicola Danti, Marc Tarabella, Virginie Rozière, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Evelyne Gebhardt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. *Calls for a shift in the regulatory framework for intermediaries and enablers advising on tax matters, including clear regulation, appropriate*

public supervision, effective sanctions and compulsory codes of conducts at EU level; calls on the European Commission to ensure that actors advising on tax matters are adequately regulated and to propose harmonised rules in this area, creating an EU framework regulating all professionals advising on tax matters;

Or. en

Amendment 91

Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Takes note of the fact that the Commission has issued a new restrictiveness indicator, *seeking to improve* on the existing OECD Product Market Regulation restrictiveness indicator as regards the detailed analysis of the sectors concerned;

Amendment

15. Takes note of the fact that the Commission has issued a new restrictiveness indicator, *with the aim of improving* on the existing OECD Product Market Regulation restrictiveness indicator as regards the detailed analysis of the sectors concerned;

Or. it

Amendment 92

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. **Takes note of** the fact that the Commission has issued a new restrictiveness indicator, seeking to improve on the existing OECD Product Market Regulation restrictiveness indicator as regards the detailed analysis of the sectors concerned;

Amendment

15. **Welcomes** the fact that the Commission has issued a new restrictiveness indicator, seeking to improve on the existing OECD Product Market Regulation restrictiveness indicator as regards the detailed analysis of the sectors concerned;

Amendment 93
Pascal Arimont

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. *Takes note of the fact that the Commission has issued a new restrictiveness indicator, seeking to improve on the existing OECD Product Market Regulation restrictiveness indicator as regards the detailed analysis of the sectors concerned;*

Amendment

15. *Welcomes the Commission's new restrictiveness indicator, which improves upon on the existing OECD Product Market Regulation restrictiveness indicator as regards the detailed analysis of the sectors concerned;*

Amendment 94
Mylène Troszczyński

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data and including merely an analysis of the barriers to free movement, *is to be used as a purely indicative tool and does not determine automatically whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;*

Amendment

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data and including merely an analysis of the barriers to free movement, *must never be used to determine whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;*

Amendment 95
Adam Szejnfeld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data and including merely an analysis of the barriers to free movement, is to be used as ***a purely*** indicative tool ***and does not determine automatically whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;***

Amendment

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data and including merely an analysis of the barriers to free movement, is to be used as ***an*** indicative tool;

Or. pl

Amendment 96
Richard Sulík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data ***and including merely an*** analysis of the barriers to free movement, ***is to be used as a purely indicative tool and*** does not determine automatically whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;

Amendment

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data ***as a well as on a qualitative*** analysis of the ***regulatory requirements, focuses on restrictions on access to and exercise of regulated professions, which by their nature also amount to*** barriers to free movement; ***recognizes that this indicator is particularly useful as an indicative tool to establish the relative intensity of regulation in a specific professional sector and country but that it does not intend to*** determine automatically whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Virginie Rozière

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data and including merely an analysis of the barriers to free movement, *is to* be used as a purely indicative *tool and does not determine* automatically whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data and including merely an analysis of the barriers to free movement, *must* be used *solely* as a purely indicative *too land shall not* automatically *determine* whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate; *considers that in any event, such an assessment of the disproportionate nature of a regulation is a matter for the sovereign assessment of the Court of justice*;

Or. en

Amendment 98
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data and including merely an analysis of the barriers to free movement, is to be used as a purely indicative tool and does not determine *automatically* whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;

Amendment

16. Underlines that this indicator, based *solely* on numerical data and including merely an analysis of the *potential* barriers to free movement, is to be used as a purely indicative tool and does not determine whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;

Or. it

Amendment 99
Pascal Arimont

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data and including *merely* an analysis of the barriers to free movement,

Amendment

16. Underlines that this indicator, based on numerical data and including an analysis of the barriers to free movement,

is to be used as *a purely* indicative tool and does not determine automatically whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;

is to be used as *an* indicative tool and does not determine automatically whether a possibly stricter regulation in some Member States is disproportionate;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Roberta Metsola

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Notes that the restrictiveness indicator only takes into account quantitative data and not qualitative data; states that the restrictiveness indicator can therefore only be seen as an indicative tool and does not permit to draw conclusions on the overall regulatory intensity in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Recalls that the analysis of the impact of the regulations in Member States should be subject not only to a quantitative but also to a qualitative assessment encompassing the general interest objectives and the quality of the service provided;

17. Recalls that the analysis of the impact of the regulations in Member States should be subject not only to a quantitative but also to a qualitative assessment encompassing the general interest objectives and the quality of the service provided *and the possible benefits for citizens and the labour market arising from regulation, such as the decrease in*

fraud and the reduction of information asymmetries;

Or. it

Amendment 102

Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Recalls that the analysis of the impact of the regulations in Member States should be subject not only to a quantitative but also to a qualitative assessment *encompassing the general interest objectives and the quality of the service provided;*

Amendment

17. Recalls that the analysis of the impact of the regulations in Member States should be subject not only to a quantitative but also to a qualitative assessment; *expresses satisfaction, in that context, that the indicator is accompanied by a qualitative assessment and analysis which provides additional information on the reality on the ground;*

Or. en

Amendment 103

Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi, Pascal Arimont, Birgit Collin-Langen, Carlos Coelho, Philippe Juvin, Roberta Metsola

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Recalls that the analysis of the impact of the regulations in Member States should be subject not only to a quantitative but also to a qualitative assessment encompassing the general interest objectives and the quality of the service provided;

Amendment

17. Recalls that the *overall* analysis of the impact of the regulations in Member States should be subject not only to a quantitative but also to a qualitative assessment encompassing the general interest objectives and the quality of the service provided;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella, Evelyne Gebhardt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Besides an effective regulatory framework in the EU and in the Member States, highlights the need for effective and coordinated policies to support professionals in the EU and to strengthen the competitiveness, the innovation capacity and the quality of professional services in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 105

Jasenko Selimovic

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Urges Member States to carefully re-assess and, where necessary, adapt their regulation in line with the specific reform recommendations on selected professional sectors with the ultimate aim of creating a better regulatory environment that is proportionate;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Marc Tarabella

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17b. *Stresses the need to consider the professionals from both an entrepreneurial perspective and a professional point of view strictu sensu; in this light, is convinced that economic tools should be combined with policies aiming at strengthening the human capital in the professional services;*

Or. en

Amendment 107

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17c. *Highlights the importance of education, skills development and entrepreneurial training to ensure that professionals in the EU remain competitive and able to face the transformational changes that affect the liberal professions as a consequence of innovation, digitalization and globalization; stresses the close connection between the knowledge of a professional and the quality of service provided; notes the important role that should be played by higher education and research institutions in this regard;*

Or. en

Amendment 108

Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Maria Grapini, Virginie Rozière, Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella, Kerstin Westphal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17d. *Calls on the Member States to properly analyse the needs of the market for professional services in future decades and to develop policies making EU professional services globally competitive;*

Or. en

Amendment 109
Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Notes that scientific progress, technological innovation and digitalisation have a considerable impact on professional services, bringing new opportunities for professionals *as well as* challenges for the labour market and the quality of services;

18. Notes that scientific progress, technological innovation and digitalisation have a considerable impact on professional services, bringing new opportunities for professionals *but also* challenges for the labour market and the quality of services, *as they necessitate permanent professional training and quicker adaptation to the needs of the market;*

Or. it

Amendment 110
Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Notes that scientific progress,

18. Notes that scientific progress,

PE610.720v02-00

52/56

AM\1134765EN.docx

technological innovation and digitalisation have a *considerable impact* on professional services, bringing new opportunities *for professionals as well as challenges for* the labour market and the quality of services;

technological innovation and digitalisation have a *positive effect on the development of* professional services, bringing new opportunities *on* the labour market and *in* the *area of* quality of services;

Or. cs

Amendment 111

Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Welcomes the acknowledgement by the Commission of the need to reflect on the impact of new technologies on professional services, especially in the legal and accounting sectors; notes in particular that close attention needs to be paid to the consequent risks for service recipients, including consumers, *of such a transformational change*;

Amendment

19. Welcomes the acknowledgement by the Commission of the need to reflect on the impact of new technologies on professional services, especially in the legal and accounting sectors, *where red tape could be reduced and procedures accelerated*; notes in particular that close attention needs to be paid to the consequent risks *of such a transformational change* for service recipients, including consumers, *who must not be excluded from new technologies, but rather helped to exploit them to the full, such as through digital literacy projects*;

;

Or. it

Amendment 112

Maria Grapini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Welcomes the acknowledgement by the Commission of the need to reflect on the impact of new technologies on professional services, especially in the

Amendment

19. Welcomes the acknowledgement by the Commission of the need to reflect on the impact of new technologies on professional services, especially in the

legal and accounting sectors; notes in particular that close attention needs to be paid to the consequent risks for service recipients, including consumers, of such a transformational change;

legal and accounting sectors; notes in particular that close attention needs to be paid to the consequent risks for service recipients, including consumers, of such a transformational change, *with the aim of avoiding barriers for them*;

Or. ro

Amendment 113
Jiří Pospíšil

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Welcomes the acknowledgement by the Commission of the need to reflect on the *impact* of new technologies on professional services, especially in the legal and accounting sectors; notes in particular that close attention needs to be paid to the *consequent risks for* service recipients, including consumers, of such a transformational change;

Amendment

19. Welcomes the acknowledgement by the Commission of the need to reflect on the *possible effects* of new technologies on professional services, especially in the legal and accounting sectors; notes in particular that close attention needs to be paid to the *impact on* service recipients, including consumers, of such a transformational change;

Or. cs

Amendment 114
Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Lucy Anderson, Arndt Kohn, Marc Tarabella

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

19a. Stresses that new technologies will be unlikely to replace human beings in making ethical and moral decisions; points out, in this regard, that rules on the organization of professions, including rules on supervision by public bodies or professional associations could play an important role and help to share equitably the benefits of digitalisation;

Amendment

Amendment 115
Richard Sulík

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. *Notes that in certain areas market driven mechanisms like consumer feedback can deliver better services at lower prices for the consumers than the regulation of the particular service; points out that technological development makes some regulations obsolete and possibly disproportional;*

Or. en

Amendment 116
Jasenko Selimovic, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. *Stresses that, for regulation to be fit for purpose, it has to be reviewed regularly in order to take account of technical innovation and digitalisation in professional services; underlines that such changes may render established rules as obsolete or unjustified;*

Or. en

Amendment 117
Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Maria Grapini, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Virginie Rozière, Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson, Arndt Kohn, Pina Picierno, Marc Tarabella, Kerstin Westphal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19b. Calls on the Commission to continue keeping the Parliament regularly informed on the state of play in relation of the compliance with the Directive by the Member States;

Or. en