

# EUROOPA PARLAMENT

2004



2009

*Istungidokument*

LÕPLIK  
**A6-0255/2005**

27.7.2005

**\***

## **RAPORT**

Euroopa Liidu 2005. eelarveaasta paranduseelarve nr 4/2005 projekt  
(11220/2005– C6-0239/2005 – 2005/2079(BUD))

III jagu – Komisjon (tsunami)

Eelarvekomisjon

Raportöör: Salvador Garriga Polledo

## SISUKORD

### lehekülg

EUROOPA PARLAMENDI RESOLUTSIOONI ETTEPANEK .....	3
EXPLANATORY STATEMENT.....	6
ANNEX 1 .....	12
ANNEX 2.....	15
MENETLUS.....	16

## EUROOPA PARLAMENDI RESOLUTSIOONI ETTEPANEK

**Euroopa Liidu 2005. eelarveaasta paranduseelarve nr 4/2005 projekt, III jagu –  
Komisjon (tsunami)  
(11220/2005– C6-0239/2005 – 2005/2079(BUD))**

*Euroopa Parlament,*

- võttes arvesse Euroopa Ühenduse asutamislepingut ja eelkõige selle artikli 272 lõike 4 eelviimast lõiku;
  - võttes arvesse Euroopa Aatomienergiaühenduse asutamislepingut ja eelkõige selle artiklit 177;
  - võttes arvesse nõukogu 27. juuni 2002. aasta määrust (EÜ, Euratom) nr 1605/2002, mis käsitleb Euroopa ühenduste üldeelarve suhtes kohaldatavat finantsmäärust<sup>1</sup>, ja eelkõige selle artikleid 37 ja 38;
  - võttes arvesse Euroopa Liidu 2005. eelarveaasta üldeelarvet, mis võeti lõplikult vastu 16. detsembril 2004<sup>2</sup>;
  - võttes arvesse 6. mail 1999. aastal Euroopa Parlamendi, nõukogu ja komisjoni vahel sõlmitud institutsioonidevahelist kokkulepet eelarvemenetluse parandamise ja eelarvedistsipliini kohta<sup>3</sup>;
  - võttes arvesse Euroopa Parlamendi ja nõukogu XXX otsust paindlikkusinstrumendi kasutuselevõtu kohta taastusabi andmiseks tsunami tagajärjel kannatanud riikidele vastavalt eelpool nimetatud 6. mai 1999. aasta institutsioonidevahelise kokkuleppe punktile 24;
  - võttes arvesse komisjoni poolt 27. aprillil 2005. aastal esitatud Euroopa Liidu 2005. eelarveaasta paranduseelarve nr 3/2005 esialgset projekti (SEK(2005)0548);
  - võttes arvesse nõukogu poolt 15. juulil 2005. aastal koostatud paranduseelarve nr 4/2005 projekti (11220/2005 – C6-0239/2005);
  - võttes arvesse kodukorra artiklit 69 ja IV lisa;
  - võttes arvesse eelarvekomisjoni raportit (A6-0255/2005),
- A. arvestades, et Euroopa Liit peaks täitma lubadust eraldada tsunamikatastroofi järel taastusmeetmeteks 350 miljonit eurot, millest 170 miljonit eurot tuleks eelarvestada 2005. aastal;

B. arvestades, et nõukogu võttis 7. jaanuaril 2005. aastal endale kohustuse tagada, et

---

<sup>1</sup> EÜT L 248, 16.9.2002, lk 1.

<sup>2</sup> EÜT L 60, 8.3.2005, lk 1.

<sup>3</sup> EÜT C 172, 18.6.1999, lk 1. Kokkulepet muudeti otsusega 2003/429/EÜ (ELT L 147, 14.6.2003, lk 25).

käesoleval eesmärgil eraldatud vahendid lisanduvad juba võetud kulukohustustele;

- C. arvestades, et lisaks eelpool nimetatud lubadusele parlament juba kirjendas 2005. aasta eelarves tsunami tagajärjel kannatanud riikidele 123 miljonit eurot hädaabi olemasoleva poliitika mahtu vähendamata;
  - D. arvestades, et hädaabi reservi kasutuselevõtmise ning vastava ülekande tegemise ettepanekuga antakse täiendavalt 70 miljonit eurot;
  - E. arvestades, et paranduseelarve nr 4/2005 projekti eesmärk on kirjendada eelarves 15 miljonit eurot, mis võetakse kasutusele Euroopa Parlamendi ja nõukogu otsusega paindlikkusinstrumendi kasutuselevõtu kohta;
  - F. arvestades, et lisaks muudetakse piiratud ümberpaigutamise teel kättesaadavaks täiendavad ressursid 60 miljoni euro suuruses summas olemasolevaid Aasia programme piiramata;
  - G. arvestades, et rahastamine 12 miljoni euro suuruses summas toimub kiirreageerimise korra alusel;
  - H. arvestades, et paranduseelarve nr 4/2005 projekt hõlmab ka eelarverea 19 10 04 märkuste parandust, millega lisatakse viide ALA määrusele, et võimaldada esitatud summa rakendamist sihtfondide ja eelarvetoetuste kaudu;
  - I. arvestades, et paranduseelarve nr 4/2005 projekt hõlmab ka eelarverea 05 08 03 muudatuse, et võimaldada subsidiumi väljamakset OECDle andmete kogumiseks ja analüüsimiseks mitme kolmanda riigi ja uute liikmesriikide kohta,
1. kinnitab veelkord endale võetud kohustust reageerida kiiresti taastusabi vajadusele pärast 26. detsembri 2004. aasta laastavat tsunamit;
  2. on valmis andma Euroopa Liidule asjakohased vahendid uute raskete ülesannetega tegelemiseks, kaitstes samas jätkuvaid arenguprogramme ning ohustamata oma traditsioonilisi prioriteete;
  3. rõhutab, et paindlikkusinstrumendi esialgne kasutuselevõtmine 2005. aastal Iraagi taastamistööde rahastamiseks<sup>1</sup> vastavalt 6. mai 1999. aasta institutsioonidevahelise kokkuleppe punktile 24 otsustati 2004. aasta detsembris, kuna alates 2000. aastast ei olnud rahvusvaheliste kriisidega tegelemise vajadusi võimalik rahastada finantsperspektiivi rubriigis 4 määratletud ülemmäära raames;
  4. kiidab heaks paindlikkusinstrumendi täiendava kasutuselevõtu 2005. aastal 15 miljoni suuruses summas taastusmeetmete rahastamiseks tsunami tagajärjel kannatanud riikides;
  5. meenutab, et vastavalt institutsioonidevahelise kokkuleppe punktile 24 võib kasutamata jäänud summa kanda üle aastani n+2 ning et paindlikkusinstrumendi kasutuselevõtul kasutatakse ülekantud summasid lihtjärjekorras; arvab seetõttu, et kasutuselevõetav 15 miljoni euro suurune summa tuleb aastast 2004 ülekantud summast; märgib samuti, et

---

<sup>1</sup> P6\_TA(2004)0102, lisa.

pärast käesolevat kasutuselevõtmist jääb paindlikkusinstrumendi käsutusse 293 miljoni euro suurune summa;

6. kiidab heaks paranduseelarve nr 4/2005 projekti muutmata kujul;
7. teeb presidendile ülesandeks edastada käesolev resolutsioon nõukogule ja komisjonile.

## EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

### 1. INTRODUCTION

On the 26 December 2004 a strong earthquake measuring 9 on the Richter scale hit Southeast Asia and triggered massive tsunami in the Indian Ocean.

Following the Jakarta Tsunami Aid Conference of 6 January 2005 and the International Donors Conference held in Geneva on 11 January 2005 the overview of the EU financial intervention (including the new proposals) can be summarised as follows (in million EUR):

HUMANITARIAN AID		
2005 BUDGET	Aid, emergency food aid	23
	Emergency Aid Reserve	100
TOTAL		123

  

RECONSTRUCTION & REHABILITATION		
PDAB3 2005	Flexibility Instrument	98
	Asia Envelope	60
	Rapid Reaction Mechanism	12
	SubTOTAL	170
PDB 2006	Flexibility Instrument	123,5
	Taken from Cat.IV margin	26,5
	Asia Envelope	30
	Sub TOTAL	180
EC commitment -Jakarta Tsunami Aid Conference <sup>1</sup> TOTAL		350

### 2. STATE OF PLAY OF PLEDGES

The EC is placed amongst the three main donors after Australia and the United States. Aside from this, the EC has a leading role in the steering Committee of the Multidonors Trust Fund of Indonesia and Sri Lanka.

Indonesia and Maldives have quickly endorsed their plans. Regarding Sri Lanka the mechanism to deliver reconstruction aid to the north east has been subject to hard negotiations between the Government and the guerrilla LTTE. The steering structure in Sri Lanka was finally agreed on the 24th June 2005.

Concerning Sri Lanka and Indonesia the EC will sign a contribution agreement with the World Bank. Thereby, channelling its funds to the World Bank for the implementation. In the case of Maldives the EC will channel its contribution through the UN's Direct Execution system in the form of a contribution agreement. The EC will be an observer in the United

---

<sup>1</sup> This pledge was endorsed by the European Parliament Resolution on "EU aid for tidal wave victims in the Indian Ocean" and by the General Affairs and External Relations Council on 31 January.

Nation's Development Project Steering Committee.

Annex 1 presents further information on the composition and the modus operandi of the Trust Funds

### **3. COMMISSION PROPOSAL**

The PDAB No 3/2005 comprises the following elements:

- 1 The mobilisation of new funds for an amount of EUR 98 million in favour to the reconstruction of the countries affected by the Tsunami.
- 2 To finance the remaining 72 million by the Asia envelope for EUR 60 million and by the Rapid Reaction Mechanism for EUR 12 million.
- 3 The modification of the remarks of budget line 19 10 04, by adding a reference to the ALA regulation to allow the implementation of the proposed amount through Trust Funds and budget support
- 4 The modification of the remarks of budget line 05 08 03 to make possible the disbursement of a subsidy to the OECD for the analysis and collection of data of several Third countries and New Member States.

#### **a) Flexibility Instrument**

According to the point number 24 of the IIA, the flexibility instrument annual ceiling amounts up to EUR 200 million. The portion of the annual amount which is not used may be carried over up to the year n+2.

The flexibility amount proposed for 2005 is EUR 98 million out of a total available of EUR 308 million (see Annex 2).

#### **b) Redeployment**

Regarding the redeployment within the Asia envelope it should be pointed out that the Commission foresees to implement those projects affected by the Tsunami redeployment from 2007 (the redeployment implying the delay of the projects). The projects affected are the following ones:

**Indonesia'** reconstruction (EUR 60 million in **2005** and **2006**):

For **2005** EUR 30 million from the ERASMUS MUNDUS programme CHINA project which has a current allocation of EUR100 million.

For **2006** EUR 30 million out of a EUR 50 million EDUCATION PROJECT in Indonesia.

**Sri Lanka'** reconstruction (EUR 13 million in **2005**):

- Coming from 3 projects delayed (with a total allocation of EUR 26 million) on:
- Rural development;
  - Civil aviation cooperation;
  - School rehabilitation.

**Maldives'** reconstruction (EUR 2 million in **2005**):

From a regional development project that has been defined in 2004<sup>1</sup>, redirected to the need of building up environmental defence systems (mainly to the construction of a natural barrier to protect the islands of an other natural disaster)

**Asia Proeco II B:** This horizontal programme will focus on the Tsunami countries with an allocation of EUR15 million for **2005**.

**c) Payment appropriations**

Concerning Payment Appropriations all additional needs can be satisfied within the existing allocation in heading 4. If necessary, reinforcement would be requested through the global transfer after the summer or through a specific transfer during the year.

**d) Margin**

In what regards the Margin Available after including PDAB3 in heading 4 the result would be a **negative margin of EUR198 million** (the share out being: 100 Iraq + 98 PDAB 3).

**4-REACTION TO THE COMMISSION PROPOSAL**

**a) The first mandate given for negotiation by the Council Budgetary Committee to the Luxembourg presidency - Results of the Council Budgetary Committee meeting of 31st May**

Not to go beyond the EUR 200 million ceiling for the **flexibility instrument** and therefore mobilise only the **15 million** that remain available;

On the basis of the former Commission 2005 implementation plan that foresaw a surplus of some EUR50 million the Budgetary Committee agreed to **use** the amount mobilised last year under heading 3 for **Decentralised Agencies, EUR 40 million**;

Agreed on the **EUR 12 million** contribution from the **Rapid Reaction Mechanism**;

Furthermore, the remaining amount needed, **EUR 43 million** would be provided by a **negative reserve** (laid down in article 44 of the Financial Regulation).

**b) Trialogue 22<sup>nd</sup> June**

The European Parliament made clear that Council recommendation did not suit Parliament priorities, damage Parliament 2005 budgetary Strategy, and were thereby not admissible. The Trialogue delegation focused its discussion in the following points:

***Negative Reserve:*** Neither the Commission nor the Parliament agreed to mobilise this

---

<sup>1</sup> Maldives Regional Development Project to the UNDP's Community Livelihoods Programme.



reserve given that it must be drawn upon before the end of the financial year by means of transfers, meaning that the Commission would have to freeze other priorities during the year to feed this reserve.

***EUR 40 million from Category III (agencies -flexibility instrument budget 2005):***

The Commission confirmed that this recommendation should not be followed, given that after the revision of the Commission' February forecast only EUR12 million are available in Category III .

The Parliament refused to follow this recommendation considering that it would damage considerably its 2005 budgetary strategy and priorities in Internal Policies. The European Parliament reminded that it made clear in its 13<sup>th</sup> January Resolution that the funding of the reconstruction after the Tsunami disaster should not jeopardise other priorities.

***Flexibility Instrument:*** Parliament delegation pointed out that within the margin of EUR 200 and the n+2 rule limit there is a large possibility of taking advantage of the old unused margins available. The text precise that the old margins are first needed. Limiting the possibility of using 2003 and 2004 margins will be against the spirit and the letter of the text.

The Council Delegation refused to change any of its proposals for the financing of the reconstruction of Tsunami affected countries. The Trialogue delegation made a "constat de desaccord" and agreed to discuss on this dossier at the Trialogue of 11st of July the Commission having suggested to make a new proposal.

**c) The new Commission Proposal could be summarised as follows:**

<b>RRM</b>	12
<b>REDEPLOYMENT</b>	60
<b>FLEXIBILITY INSTRUMENT</b>	48
<b>EMERGENCY RESERVE</b>	50
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>170</b>

**d) The Council Budgetary Committee position can be summarised as follows:**

	<b>TRIALOGUE 22ND JUNE</b>	<b>TRIALOGUE 11th JULY</b>
RRM	12	12
REDEPLOYMENT	60	60
FLEXIBILITY INSTRUMENT	15	15
EMERGENCY RESERVE		50
NEGATIVE RESERVE	83	33
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>170</b>

**e) Agreement between the European Parliament and the Council Presidency reached after the Trialogue of 11 July**

<b>RRM</b>	12
<b>REDEPLOYMENT</b>	60
<b>FLEXIBILITY INSTRUMENT</b>	15
<b>EMERGENCY RESERVE</b>	70
<b>NEGATIVE RESERVE or</b>	0
<b>EVOLUTION IMPLEMENTATION 2005</b>	
<b>TOTAL AGREED</b>	<b>157</b>
<i>("fresh money" - new resources)</i>	<i>(85)</i>
<i>Amounts still remaining to finance</i>	<i>13</i>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>170</b>

COBU voted the Draft report on this AB ,on the 14th July, after the negotiations at the Trialogue on 22 June and 11 July and on an anticipated procedure. This was done, as in the past, in order to allow to speed up the procedure and the adoption of the amending budget in a single reading in September part session , as well as the signature at the conciliation of a joint letter by the Minister Lewis and chair Lewandowski mobilising the emergency reserve for an

amount of 70 million euros.

This agreement was confirmed by both arms of the budgetary authority during the 15th of July Conciliation. Consequently the 15th of July the Council voted its Draft Amending Budget number 4 in line with the agreement confirmed in the Conciliation.

#### **5. Development Committee Opinion**

The Committee on Development has voted its opinion on the PDAB No 3 last Tuesday 21 of June, proposing: EUR 350 million to finance the Tsunami reconstruction in **three years** (instead of two years), to stick to the January Parliament's resolution on the Tsunami disaster not allowing any redeployment and to **decrease the amount proposed for flexibility** (up to EUR 80 million) **and increase the RRM contribution** (up to EUR 20 million).

#### **6. Committee on Foreign Affairs Opinion**

The Committee on Foreign Affairs has transmitted on the 27th of June its opinion by letter of its chair Mr Elmar Brock.

**The Foreign Affairs Committee agrees on the original Commission proposal** to finance the Tsunami affected countries reconstruction in two years time (2005-2006) following the Commission recommendations (i.e., EUR 98 million through flexibility, EUR 60 million through redeployment and EUR 12 million through the RRM).

The AFET Committee insists in not to increase the RRM contribution, as it considers that given that in 2005 only EUR 29 million were allocated, this would have negative consequences in the Community intervention capacity in other crisis-striking areas.

<b>INDONESIA</b> <b>(in EUR million)</b>	
<b>EC</b>	<b>200</b>
<b>Netherlands</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>World Bank</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Sweden</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Germany</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>U.K</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Denmark</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Norway</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>ADB</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>New Zealand</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>360</b>

**\* It is expected that Canada and the UK will provide additional resources. USA and Japan are also considering to join.**

The Trust Fund is managed by the World Bank and guided by the Steering Committee. The head of the EC Delegation in Malaysia is a co-chair of the Trust Fund's Steering Committee alongside the World Bank and the Government of Indonesia.

The Steering Committee will be responsible for:

- endorsing overall priorities and providing strategic guidance to the Trust Fund;
- reviewing progress of the Trust Fund's operations and ensuring proper reporting to all Contributors;
- ensuring coherence and collaboration between the Trust Fund and the Priority Program;
- endorsing the Project and Program Activities to be supported under the Trust Fund, along with the corresponding proposals for Grants to finance such Project and Program Activities

Four project concepts had been approved by the steering committee:

Housing for 1000 villages  
Recovery of Property Rights  
Community Recovery in Rural Areas  
Community Recovery in Urban Areas

<b>SRI LANKA</b> <b>(in EUR million)</b>	
<b>EC</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Sweden</b>	
<b>Netherlands</b>	
<b>UK</b>	
<b>Italy</b>	
<b>Germany</b>	
<b>Switzerland</b>	
<b>Norway</b>	
<b>Possibly Australia</b>	
<b>**It is Expected</b>	<b>+70</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>120</b>

Projects will be presented to the Trust Fund for financing after review by a "Joint Mechanism" involving the Government of Sri Lanka, the LTTE and representatives of the Muslim Community. This Mechanism will be overseen by an "Apex body" reviewing policy, setting criteria for projects and able to veto project proposals. The World Bank and one bilateral donor (probably the European Union (Commission + Presidency) would sit on the Apex Body as observers, being able to veto project proposals. Projects to be approved for financing will then be submitted to the donors for final endorsement.

In Sri Lanka irrespective of the delay on the constitution of the Trust Fund (waiting for the signature of the Joint Mechanism by the Government and the LTTE). EUR 45 million of the EUR55 million needed this year are waiting on EC funds to fund a road project in South Sri Lanka with the Asian Development Fund and emergency rehabilitation support for livelihoods with UNDP.

<b>MALDIVES</b> <b>(in EUR million)</b>	
<b>Japan</b>	<b>19,7</b>
<b>China</b>	<b>1,8</b>
<b>Singapore</b>	<b>0,6</b>
<b>GOM</b>	<b>2,0</b>
<b>German Red Cross</b>	<b>5,8</b>
<b>French red Cross</b>	<b>20,0</b>
<b>Int.Red Cross</b>	<b>39,1</b>
<b>OXFAM</b>	<b>0,6</b>
<b>World bank</b>	<b>14,0</b>
<b>ADB</b>	<b>21.8</b>
<b>FAO</b>	<b>2,1</b>
<b>UNICEF</b>	<b>13,2</b>
<b>WHO</b>	<b>0,2</b>
<b>UNDP</b>	<b>22,8</b>
<b>UNFPA</b>	<b>1,9</b>
<b>UNEP</b>	<b>0,8</b>

<b>UN/HABITAT</b>	<b>0,4</b>
<b>British Red Cross</b>	<b>30,0</b>
<b>Canadian Red Cross</b>	<b>16,5</b>
<b>Australian Red Cross</b>	<b>4,2</b>
<b>Taiwan Red Cross</b>	<b>1,0</b>
<b>HPL Singapore</b>	<b>2,0</b>
<b>Die Johanniter/ Germany</b>	<b>0,6</b>
<b>Dutch Government</b>	<b>10,2</b>
<b>European Union</b>	<b>17,8</b>
<b>Saudi Red Crescent Society</b>	<b>1,0</b>
<b>Kuwait Fund</b>	<b>10,1</b>
<b>Total Committed</b>	<b>260,2</b>
<b>GAP</b>	<b>114,8</b>

The Government Tsunami Reconstruction Fund is managed directly by the Ministry of Finance and treasury. The management is overseen by a Monitoring Board comprising representatives of the Government, the private sector and the UNDP Resident Coordinator and one representative of the international donor community. **The Fund has a Chief Financing Officer and a Financial Controller.**

**Flexibility Instrument**  
**- Amounts Available at the Beginning of 2005**

<b>EURm</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2000</b>
<b>Total amount mobilised</b>	185	95	12	200	200	200
<b>Reason</b>	<b>Iraq:100 Agencies:40 PEACE: 45</b>	<b>Iraq</b>	<b>Spanish Fisheries Fleet</b>	<b>Spanish Fisheries Fleet: 170  Border Regions candidate countries: 30</b>	<b>Serbia</b>	<b>Kosovo</b>
<b>Amount available</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE: 308</b>						

## MENETLUS

<b>Pealkiri</b>	Euroopa Liidu 2005. eelarveaasta paranduseelarve nr 4/2005 projekt	
<b>Viited</b>	11220/2005– C6-0239/2005 – 2005/2079(BUD)	
<b>Õiguslik alus</b>	art 272 (EÜ) ja 177 (Euratom)	
<b>Menetlusalus</b>	art 69 ja IV lisa	
<b>Vastutav komisjon</b> menetlusse andmise kuupäev	BUDG 0.0.0000	
<b>Nõuandvad komisjonid</b> menetlusse andmise kuupäev	AFET 0.0.0000	DEVE 0.0.0000
<b>Raportöör</b> nimetamise kuupäev	Salvador Garriga Polledo 25.11.2003	
<b>Aseraportöör</b>		
<b>Paranduseelarve esialgne projekt</b> tähtaeg esitamiseks komisjoni jaoks	nr 3/2005 – SEC(2005)0548 27.4.2005	
<b>Paranduseelarve projekti esitamise tähtaeg nõukogu jaoks</b>	15.7.2005	
<b>Paranduseelarve projekti edastamise tähtaeg nõukogu jaoks</b>	25.7.2005	
<b>Arutamine komisjonis</b>	14.7.2005	
<b>Vastuvõtmise kuupäev</b>	14.7.2005	
<b>Lõpphääletuse tulemused</b>	poolt: 38 vastu: erapooletuid:	
<b>Lõpphääletuse ajal kohal olnud liikmed</b>	Laima Liucija Andriksen, Reimer Böge, Herbert Bösch, Simon Busuttil, Paulo Casaca, Gérard Deprez, Valdis Dombrovskis, Hynek Fajmon, Szabolcs Fazakas, Markus Ferber, Salvador Garriga Polledo, Neena Gill, Dariusz Maciej Grabowski, Ingeborg Gräßle, Louis Grech, Nathalie Griesbeck, Catherine Guy-Quint, Jutta D. Haug, Ville Itälä, Anne Elisabet Jensen, Sergej Kozlík, Wiesław Stefan Kuc, Janusz Lewandowski, Vladimír Maňka, Mario Mauro, Jan Mulder, Giovanni Pittella, Wojciech Roszkowski, Antonis Samaras, Nina Škottová, László Surján, Helga Trüpel, Yannick Vaugrenard, Kyösti Tapio Virrankoski, Ralf Walter	
<b>Lõpphääletuse ajal kohal olnud asendusliikmed</b>	Lidia Joanna Geringer de Oedenberg, Hans-Peter Martin, Paul Rübig	
<b>Lõpphääletuse ajal kohal olnud asendusliikmed (kodukorra art 178 lg 2)</b>		
<b>Esitamise kuupäev – A6</b>	27.7.2005	A6-0255/2005
<b>Märkused</b>	Käesolev raport võeti eelarvekomisjonis vastu ennetavalt tingimusel, et nõukogu arvestab nõusolekut Euroopa Parlamendi läbirääkimispositsiooniga.	