

21.2.2018

A8-0025/27

Amendment 27

Jörg Meuthen, Kristina Winberg, Sophie Montel
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0025/2018

Frank Engel

Annual report on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU in 2016
2017/2125(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the relevant
resolutions of the Parliamentary
Assembly of the Council of Europe,*

Or. en

21.2.2018

A8-0025/28

Amendment 28

Jörg Meuthen, Kristina Winberg, Sophie Montel
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0025/2018

Frank Engel

Annual report on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU in 2016
2017/2125(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Recital U a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ua. whereas it ‘considers that interinstitutional agreements can produce legal effects only on relationships between EU institutions and that they therefore do not constitute soft law defined in terms of a legal effect in relation to third parties’ (i.e. individual Member States), as expressed in paragraph 15 of its resolution of 4 September 2007 on institutional and legal implications of the use of ‘soft law’ instruments (2007/2028(INI));

Or. en

21.2.2018

A8-0025/29

Amendment 29

Jörg Meuthen, Kristina Winberg, Sophie Montel
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0025/2018

Frank Engel

Annual report on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU in 2016
2017/2125(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Recital U b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***Ub. whereas Christian refugees in
reception centres for asylum seekers in
the Member States are suffering attacks
by, and even death threats from, fanatical
Muslims who live in accordance with
Sharia law;***

Or. en

21.2.2018

A8-0025/30

Amendment 30

Jörg Meuthen, Kristina Winberg, Sophie Montel
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0025/2018

Frank Engel

Annual report on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU in 2016
2017/2125(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Recital U c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Uc. whereas Article 4(2) of the TEU states that ‘the Union shall respect the equality of Member States before the Treaties as well as their national identities, inherent in their fundamental structures, political and constitutional, inclusive of regional and local self-government. It shall respect their essential State functions, including ensuring the territorial integrity of the State, maintaining law and order and safeguarding national security. In particular, national security remains the sole responsibility of each Member State’;

Or. en

21.2.2018

A8-0025/31

Amendment 31

Jörg Meuthen, Kristina Winberg, Sophie Montel
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0025/2018

Frank Engel

Annual report on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU in 2016
2017/2125(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Asserts that neither national sovereignty nor subsidiarity can justify or legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the fundamental values of the European Union which inspired the introductory articles of the European Treaties, which every Member State has willingly endorsed and committed themselves to respecting;

1. Asserts that neither national sovereignty nor subsidiarity can justify or legitimise the systematic refusal on the part of a Member State to comply with the fundamental values of the European Union which inspired the introductory articles of the European Treaties, which every Member State has willingly endorsed and committed themselves to respecting;
asserts also that the definition of core values and principles is a living and permanent process and should be conducted on the basis of human dignity, taking into account the particular ethos of each Member State's society;

Or. en

21.2.2018

A8-0025/32

Amendment 32

Jörg Meuthen, Kristina Winberg, Sophie Montel
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0025/2018

Frank Engel

Annual report on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU in 2016
2017/2125(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Underlines the need to avoid unilateral interpretations of the principle of non-discrimination and rejects the attempt to grant to Article 21 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights a preeminent role compared with other (equally important) provisions contained therein;

Or. en

21.2.2018

A8-0025/33

Amendment 33

Jörg Meuthen, Kristina Winberg, Sophie Montel
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0025/2018

Frank Engel

Annual report on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU in 2016
2017/2125(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1b. Recalls that Article 67 of the TFEU states that ‘the Union shall constitute an area of freedom, security and justice with respect for fundamental rights and the different legal systems and traditions of the Member States’;

Or. en

21.2.2018

A8-0025/34

Amendment 34

Jörg Meuthen, Kristina Winberg, Sophie Montel
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0025/2018

Frank Engel

Annual report on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU in 2016
2017/2125(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1c. Recalls that Article 4(2) of the TEU states that ‘the Union shall respect the equality of Member States before the Treaties as well as their national identities, inherent in their fundamental structures, political and constitutional, inclusive of regional and local self-government. It shall respect their essential State functions, including ensuring the territorial integrity of the State, maintaining law and order and safeguarding national security. In particular, national security remains the sole responsibility of each Member State’;

Or. en

21.2.2018

A8-0025/35

Amendment 35

Jörg Meuthen, Kristina Winberg, Sophie Montel
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

A8-0025/2018

Frank Engel

Annual report on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU in 2016
2017/2125(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Notes that compliance with the Copenhagen Criteria by states at the time of their accession to the EU must be subject to constant monitoring and to a constant dialogue within and between Parliament, the Commission and the Council;

2. Notes that compliance with the Copenhagen Criteria by states at the time of their accession to the EU must be subject to constant monitoring and to a constant dialogue within and between Parliament, the Commission and the Council; ***notes that the broader question is whether the EU institutions, especially Parliament and the Commission, are entitled to require Member States to perform an impact assessment on additional obligations while implementing the Copenhagen Criteria and EU fundamental rights law;***

Or. en