



2016/2274(INI)

10.2.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 130

Draft opinion

Hans-Olaf Henkel

(PE595.594v01-00)

European standards - implementation of Regulation (EU) No 1025/2012
(2016/2274(INI))

Amendment 1

Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes *have been* effective;

Amendment

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes *needs to be a successful and effective way of reaching common standards to the advantage of the European people, consumers' needs and workers' rights and safety*;

Or. en

Amendment 2

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective;

Amendment

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective; *underlines that in areas like social, health, environment and safety there is still need for regulatory guidance*

Or. en

Amendment 3

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive

Amendment

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive

and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective;

and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective; *considers it vital to retain voluntary national standards;*

Or. fr

Amendment 4

Maria Spyraiki, Aldo Patriciello, Esther de Lange, Francesc Gambús, Krišjānis Kariņš, Massimiliano Salini, Anne Sander, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Cristian-Silviu Bușoi, Michal Boni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective;

Amendment

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective *and advantageous for European people and businesses;*

Or. en

Amendment 5

Theresa Griffin, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective;

Amendment

1. Stresses that *open*, voluntary, inclusive, *transparent, market-driven* and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective;

Or. en

Amendment 6

Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Kaja Kallas, Pavel Telička, Carolina Punset, Dominique Riquet

Draft opinion

PE599.618v01-00

4/51

AM\1116527EN.docx

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective;

Amendment

1. Stresses that ***open***, voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective;

Or. en

Amendment 7

Notis Marias

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. ***Stresses*** that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective;

Amendment

1. ***Notes*** that voluntary, inclusive and consensus-oriented standardisation processes have been effective;

Or. el

Amendment 8

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion Paragraph 1 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Reiterates that ICT Standardisation is essential for the Digital Single Market and standards are an important tool for economic growth and innovation creating a competitive advantage and market access for European businesses;

Or. en

Amendment 9

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Evžen Tošenovský, Anneleen Van Bossuyt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 2 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(2) *Underlines that inter-operability and performance of equipment, technical solutions and services are at the centre of ICT standardisation;*

Or. en

Amendment 10
Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 3 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(3) *Acknowledges the current challenging context of digitisation for all sectors, the increasing pace of technological change and proliferation of standard-setting fora and recognises the need to adapt the European standard setting processes to these new realities;*

Or. en

Amendment 11
Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 4 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(4) *Believes that ICT standardisation involves not only the setting of product requirements but also the development of innovative technologies;*

Or. en

Amendment 12
Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Acknowledges the success of the New Approach and calls for the preservation of its principles;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Kaja Kallas, Pavel Telička, Carolina Punset, Dominique Riquet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Emphasises that effective standardisation in support of digitisation is crucial for the transformation to a modern data-driven economy and the development of the Digital Single Market; calls for increased cooperation between the European standardisation organisations, especially concerning the ICT priority areas of cyber security, 5G communications, cloud computing, the Internet of Things and data technologies;

Or. en

Amendment 14
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Regrets that the Commission has not accompanied the Standardisation

package with an analysis of the impact of the proposed guidelines on key areas such as employment, consumer rights and SME activities;

Or. es

Amendment 15

Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Reminds that standards are an important tool for innovation and that open standards help stimulate and accelerate innovation processes and improve research and development;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora;

2. Inter-institutional dialogue

*Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on **an increased and optimized** EU presence **and coordination** in international **standardization bodies and calls on a continuous dialogue between the European Parliament, the Commission, the Council and European Standardisation Organisations (ESOs);***

Or. en

Amendment 17

Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora;

Amendment

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation, ***which should be part of an EU digital strategy to create economies of scale, improve competitiveness for European companies and increase interoperability*** and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence ***through European Stakeholder participation*** in international ICT fora;

Or. en

Amendment 18

Zigmantas Balčytis

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. ***Recognises*** the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora;

Amendment

2. ***notes that standards recognized at international level play a crucial role in enhancing the competitiveness of European companies internationally;*** recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora;

Or. en

Amendment 19

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support *an EU* presence in international ICT fora;

Amendment

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support *a well-coordinated European* presence in international ICT fora, *facilitating an increase in the international take-up of European standards*;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Kaja Kallas, Pavel Telička, Carolina Punset, Dominique Riquet

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 2**

Draft opinion

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support *an EU presence* in international *ICT fora*;

Amendment

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support *the presence of European standardisation organisations* in international *standardisation bodies such as ISO, IEC and ITU*;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Barbara Kappel

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 2**

Draft opinion

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora;

Amendment

2. Recognises the strategic importance *for Europe's economic development* of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora, *chiefly ISO, IEC and ITU*;

Or. de

Amendment 22

Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation **and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora;**

Amendment

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora;

Amendment

2. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU **and Member State** presence in international ICT fora;

Or. fr

Amendment 24

Notis Marias

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. **Recognises** the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora;

Amendment

2. **Stresses** the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls on the Commission to support an EU presence in international ICT fora;

Or. el

Amendment 25
Bernd Lange

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Stresses that for digital standards, effective monitoring can only be ensured with binding standardisation of data flows and processing techniques; emphasises that in this connection, access neutrality to digital platforms must be ensured for SMEs;

Or. de

Amendment 26
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Considers Commission and Member State involvement with European industry to be crucial in order to facilitate the adoption of global standards with a European stamp in the definition and rolling-out of 5G technologies;

Or. es

Amendment 27
Zigmantas Balčytis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Points out that standardisation helps to strengthen the single market,

making in turn for job creation, overall EU economic growth, enhanced competitiveness, and leadership in innovation and industry;

Or. It

Amendment 28
Michał Boni, Maria Spyra

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Calls on the Commission to take the lead in promoting intersectoral, cross-lingual standards and supporting privacy-friendly, reliable, secure services;

Or. en

Amendment 29
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Welcomes the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

3. Supports the five priority areas identified by the Commission in relation to ICT standardisation - 5G communications, cloud computing, internet of things, big data technologies and cybersecurity - as key areas for the completion of the digital single market and boosting the competitiveness of European industry; Welcomes the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Or. es

Amendment 30

Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Amendment

3. Welcomes the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as **public** eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent **public** transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely; ***Considers that public institutions should democratically settle these priorities as the public sector supports the most important of technology development in the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 31

Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the ICT priority areas *as* the essential **technology** building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Amendment

3. Welcomes the **following** ICT priority areas: ***5G communications, cloud computing, the Internet of Things (IoT), data technologies and cybersecurity.*** ***These are*** the essential **technological** building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Or. en

Amendment 32

José Blanco López

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems *and advanced manufacturing* will rely;

Amendment

3. Welcomes the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy *and resource* use, intelligent transport systems, *advanced manufacturing and smart homes and cities* will rely;

Or. es

Amendment 33

Maria Spyraiki, Aldo Patriciello, Esther de Lange, Francesc Gambús, Krišjānis Kariņš, Massimiliano Salini, Anne Sander, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Cristian-Silviu Bușoi

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Amendment

3. Welcomes the **5** ICT priority areas *identified by the European Commission* as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. *Welcomes the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems*

Amendment

3. *ICT priorities*

and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Welcomes the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Or. en

Amendment 35

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Welcomes* the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Amendment

3. *Notes* the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Or. fr

Amendment 36

Notis Marias

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Welcomes* the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Amendment

3. *Stresses* the ICT priority areas as the essential technology building blocks on which equally important areas such as eHealth, smart and efficient energy use, intelligent transport systems and advanced manufacturing will rely;

Or. el

Amendment 37

PE599.618v01-00

16/51

AM\1116527EN.docx

Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Recognises the importance of ICT standards for interoperability of systems and applications; Underlines the role open standards have played in achieving interoperability, increasing innovation, societal and economic benefits, especially in the SME sector;

Or. en

Amendment 38
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Warns against fragmentation and the proliferation of proprietary systems in the internet of things, and the risks this poses for both the development of innovative solutions and interoperability;

Or. es

Amendment 39
Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Reminds that common open standards are one prerequisite for cloud computing to flourish in the EU, by guaranteeing an appropriate level of security and thus ensuring trust and

confidence for the different users;

Or. en

Amendment 40

Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Recognises the strategic importance of ICT standardisation and calls for a continuous dialogue between the European Parliament, the Commission, the Council and the European Standardisation Organisations (ESOs).

Or. en

Amendment 41

Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Stresses the need for interoperable solutions in the Internet of Things context and the importance of maintaining a truly open standardization system in Europe.

Or. en

Amendment 42

Zigmantas Balčytis

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. *Points out that standardisation in the fields of goods, services, and ICT improves market access, especially for SMEs;*

Or. It

**Amendment 43
Zigmantas Balčytis**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. *notes remaining bottlenecks in early identification of standardization needs and early start of the standardization activities*

Or. en

**Amendment 44
Zigmantas Balčytis**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. *underlines that standardization is increasingly recognized as an important contributor to the research and development and plays an important role in bridging the gap between research and the market , fosters the dissemination and exploitation of research results , and creates basis for further innovation*

Or. en

**Amendment 45
Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri**

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. Recognises that efficient 5G communication networks critically depend on common standards to ensure interoperability and security, but recalls that the development of a very high capacity network is the backbone of a reliable 5G network;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 c. Reiterates that data and the usage of big data are essential for the European digital economy to flourish, which requires common open standards to allow the cross-border and interdisciplinary flow of data in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 d. Underlines that there will be no IoT without a secure and efficient cybersecurity regime across the EU; as the use of data and personal data and the number of interconnected objects increase, it will be fundamental that all

players within the digital realm use appropriate cybersecurity measures. Therefore, cybersecurity must remain an integral element in all relevant ICT standards development;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Asks the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

Amendment

4. Asks the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms *and to incorporate the existing recognised standardisation bodies (i.e. the ESOs) in the new initiatives in order to avoid an additional administrative burden, particularly for SMEs, thus ensuring greater efficiency;*

Or. de

Amendment 49
Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Kaja Kallas, Pavel Telička, Carolina Punset, Dominique Riquet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Asks the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

Amendment

4. Asks the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms *and to involve the existing recognized European standardisation bodies, such as ETSI, CEN and CENELEC, in new initiatives; stresses the strategic importance of developing global standards;*

Or. en

Amendment 50

Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Asks the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

Amendment

4. Asks the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms *maximizing the participation of all relevant stakeholders, such as public authorities, workers organizations and civil society organisations;*

Or. en

Amendment 51

Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Asks the Commission *to rationalise* the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

Amendment

4. Asks the Commission, *in conjunction with the existing recognised European Standards Organisations, to consolidate* the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms, *in particular to bring clarity for stakeholders such as SMEs;*

Or. en

Amendment 52

Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Asks the Commission to rationalise

Amendment

4. Asks the Commission to rationalise

the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms *whilst ensuring equal linguistic accessibility for all citizens of the Member States*;

Or. it

Amendment 53

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Asks the Commission to *rationalise* the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

Amendment

4. Asks the Commission to *help Member States in rationalising* the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms *and increase their transparency and inclusiveness*;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Asks the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

Amendment

4. Asks the Commission *and the Member States* to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

Or. fr

Amendment 55

Notis Marias

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. *Asks* the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

Amendment

4. ***Calls on*** the Commission to rationalise the number of platforms and coordination mechanisms;

Or. el

Amendment 56

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) *Cross-sectoral collaboration*

Stresses the need for greater cooperation within the ICT standardisation community, in particular between ESOs, and calls on ESOs to prepare a common annual work programmes identifying cross-cutting areas of common interest;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Asks the Commission to regularly update the European Parliament on the progress of ICT standardisation in Europe and in other markets in the framework of a comprehensive reporting about standardisation and its contribution to public health, consumers' rights, workers' safety and to reindustrialisation.

Or. en

Amendment 58
Zigmantas Balčytis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. notes the remaining barriers for SMEs to take part in standardization and uptake standards; calls on the Commission and standardization organizations to ensure greater inclusiveness and to ensure greater SMEs participation in the development and use of standards

Or. en

Amendment 59
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Underlines the importance of interconnecting platforms and databases at European level enabling better interoperability of networks and systems;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Zigmantas Balčytis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Calls on the Commission, given that this is provided for in the single market strategy, to start implementing the Joint Initiative on Standardisation as quickly as possible;

Amendment 61

Neoklis Sylikiotis, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. *Asks the Commission to involve the existing recognised standardisation organizations (i.e. the ESOs) on new initiatives, in order to avoid duplications of efforts for stakeholders, in particular SMEs.*

Or. en

Amendment 62

Theresa Griffin, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Encourages the European adoption of the Reference Architecture Model for Industry 4.0;

5. Encourages the European adoption of the Reference Architecture Model for Industry 4.0; ***highlights that the usage of only national reference architecture will be a hindrance to the digitisation of European industry;***

Or. en

Amendment 63

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Encourages the European ***adoption***
PE599.618v01-00

5.
26/51

Encourages the European ***Member***
AM\1116527EN.docx

of the Reference Architecture Model for Industry 4.0;

States to develop a common reference architecture model based on Reference Architecture Model for Industry 4.0;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Encourages the European adoption of the Reference Architecture Model for Industry 4.0;

Amendment

5. Encourages the European adoption of the Reference Architecture Model for Industry 4.0 (**RAMI**);

Or. de

Amendment 65
Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Kaja Kallas, Pavel Telička, Carolina Punset, Dominique Riquet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Encourages the European adoption of *the* Reference Architecture Model for **Industry 4.0**;

Amendment

5. Encourages the European adoption of **a** Reference Architecture Model for **digitising industry**;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. **Encourages** the European adoption of the Reference Architecture Model for

Amendment

5. **Emphasises** the European adoption of the Reference Architecture Model for

Amendment 67

Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Recalls the negative impact that globalization has had for both European and third countries workers, with the re-localization of industries in Europe and the development of less added value but more environmental and social costs in third countries. Considers that any model for the future industries should be based in the principle of "local production for global market".

Or. en

Amendment 68

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Recognises the importance of Commission's concerns in the cybersecurity and encourages further steps to set the basis for a resilient and secure IoT ecosystem that can function without increasing the risks for other network based applications, infrastructure or connected devices.

Or. en

Amendment 69
Zigmantas Balčytis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Points to the importance of interinstitutional dialogue in the standardisation process and urges the Commission to enter into dialogue as soon as possible with Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions on the implementation of EU standardisation policy;

Or. It

Amendment 70
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Underlines the importance of effective digitalisation of vertical industries and the need to represent their concerns appropriately in international ICT standardisation;

6. Underlines the importance of effective digitalisation of vertical industries ***in order to benefit small and medium enterprises and especially consumers at European, national, regional and local level*** and the need to represent their concerns appropriately in international ICT standardisation;;

Or. el

Amendment 71
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. ***Underlines*** the importance of effective digitalisation of vertical industries and the need to represent their concerns appropriately in international ICT standardisation;

6. ***Stresses that digitalisation is proceeding at a rapid pace and is a major driver of the economy; underlines*** the importance of effective digitalisation of vertical industries and the need to represent their concerns appropriately in international ICT standardisation;

Or. de

Amendment 72

Neoklis Sylikiotis, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the importance of effective digitalisation of vertical industries and the need to represent their concerns appropriately in international ICT standardisation;

Amendment

6. Underlines the importance of effective digitalisation of vertical industries and the need to represent their concerns ***of their workers*** appropriately in international ICT standardisation;

Or. en

Amendment 73

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion Paragraph 6 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Calls on a simple and accessible framework for standardisation in support of all SMEs across all ESOs;

Or. en

Amendment 74

Michał Boni, Maria Spyra

Draft opinion Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. *Calls on the Commission to adopt policies that remove excessive barriers in innovative sectors, to incentivise investments in research and development and European standardization; Notes that vertical industries should work out its own Roadmap for standardisation, relying on industry-led processes with a strong will to reach common standards, would have the capacity of becoming worldwide standards; Believes that the European standardisation bodies should play a special role in this process;*

Or. en

Amendment 75
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. *Calls on the Commission to promote European standards that supports open-source tools capable to ensure equal access to the equities developed at European level;*

Or. en

Amendment 76
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and NGOs;

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and NGOs; ***draws attention in this***

regard to the warning given by the Economic and Social Committee in relation to the low level of participation in the public consultation 'Standards in the Digital Single Market: setting priorities and ensuring delivery', and calls for an assessment to be made of the need to gather additional information on the composition of the respondents;

Or. es

Amendment 77

Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miriam Dalli, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and NGOs;

Amendment

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, ***that are easily implemented*** with appropriate involvement of ***all relevant stakeholders such as*** manufacturing industries, SMEs, ***social stakeholders, trade unions*** and NGOs;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and NGOs;

Amendment

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and NGOs ***as well as standardisation organisations representing societal concerns at all;***

Or. en

Amendment 79
Michal Boni, Maria Spyraiki

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, *with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and NGOs*;

Amendment

7. Underlines the importance of agile *and elastic* standardisation processes *to encourage and incentivise a long-term cross-sector innovation and economic industry-wide cooperative framework*;

Or. en

Amendment 80
Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and *NGOs*;

Amendment

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and *social and societal stakeholders*;

Or. en

Amendment 81
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and NGOs;

Amendment

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs, *trade unions* and NGOs;

Amendment 82

Neoklis Sylikiotis, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, SMEs and NGOs;

Amendment

7. Underlines the importance of agile standardisation processes, with appropriate involvement of manufacturing industries, ***trade unions***, SMEs and NGOs;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Welcomes ETSI's efforts to provide easy access for European SMEs and its long-term strategy (2016-2021) addressing specifically cross-sectoral collaboration;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Bernd Lange

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Stresses that uniform (technical) arrangements help to reduce development, production and certification costs and

avoid the duplication of tasks;

Or. de

Amendment 85

Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Stresses that standardization also implies the development of a unitary process that is sustainable and transferable to all Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 86

Maria Spyraki, Aldo Patriciello, Esther de Lange, Francesc Gambús, Krišjānis Kariņš, Massimiliano Salini, Anne Sander, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Cristian-Silviu Buşoi, Michal Boni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Calls for a straightforward and integrated standardisation framework in support of SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 87

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Urges the Joint Initiative to better align research and innovation with

8. Joint Initiative

standard-setting priorities;

Urges the Joint Initiative to better align research and innovation with standard-setting priorities;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Urges the Joint Initiative to ***better*** align research and innovation with standard-setting priorities;

Amendment

8. Urges the Joint Initiative to align research and innovation ***more effectively*** with standard-setting priorities;

Or. el

Amendment 89
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. ***Urges*** the Joint Initiative ***to*** better align research and innovation with standard-setting priorities;

Amendment

8. ***Calls for*** the Joint Initiative better align research and innovation with standard-setting priorities;

Or. fr

Amendment 90
Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

8 a. Supports the inter-institutional dialogue on standardisation's

Amendment

contribution to growth and quality jobs and calls for the involvement of the European manufacturing, ICT industries, ESOs, trade unions, consumers' associations and civil society organisations.

Or. en

Amendment 91
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Highlights the fact that timely delivery is crucial;

Amendment

9. Highlights the fact that timely delivery is crucial, *considers that improvements have been made but the process of speeding up the elaboration and publication of standards should continue;*

Or. en

Amendment 92
Neoklis Sylikiotis, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Highlights the fact that timely delivery is crucial;

Amendment

9. Highlights the fact that timely delivery *of standards* is crucial, *including the citation of their references in the OJEU in case of harmonised standards*

Or. en

Amendment 93
Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 9**

Draft opinion

9. Highlights the fact that timely delivery is crucial;

Amendment

9. Highlights the fact that *speedy and* timely delivery is crucial *in order to increase certainty for all interested parties*;

Or. en

**Amendment 94
Barbara Kappel**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 9**

Draft opinion

9. *Highlights the fact that* timely delivery is crucial;

Amendment

9. *Stresses the importance of the* timely provision of standards;

Or. de

**Amendment 95
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 9**

Draft opinion

9. Highlights the fact that *timely* delivery is crucial;

Amendment

9. Highlights the fact that *it* is crucial *to deliver immediately*;

Or. fr

**Amendment 96
Notis Marias**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 9**

Draft opinion

9. Highlights the *fact that* timely delivery *is crucial*;

Amendment

9. Highlights the *need for* timely delivery;

Or. el

Amendment 97
Zigmantas Balčytis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. underlines the importance of raising awareness on the benefits that can be generated by standards , improving the knowledge of SMEs about the European standardization system , and assisting SMEs in finding the relevant information and instruments

Or. en

Amendment 98
Neoklis Sylikiotis, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. Calls on the Commission to develop with international partners an agenda for closer cooperation based on specific areas of common interest;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 99
Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Miriam Dalli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls on the Commission to ***develop with international partners*** an agenda for closer cooperation based on specific areas of common interest;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Commission to ***actively promote European standards internationally and to develop*** an agenda for closer cooperation based on specific areas of common interest ***with international partners***;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Notis Marias

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls on the Commission to develop with international partners an agenda for closer cooperation based on specific areas of common interest;

Amendment

10. Calls on the Commission to develop ***immediately*** with international partners an agenda for closer cooperation based on specific areas of common interest;

Or. el

Amendment 101

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls on the ***Commission*** to develop with international partners an agenda for closer cooperation based on specific areas of common interest;

Amendment

10. Calls on the ***Member States*** to develop with international partners an agenda for closer cooperation based on specific areas of common interest;

Or. fr

Amendment 102

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) International cooperation

Urges the Commission to consider the convergence with third countries on 5G, cloud computing, data and cyber security;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10 – point 2 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(2) Stresses the global nature of ICT technical specifications and calls on all parties concerned to globalise effectively European standards and adopt proven best standards of other regions notably for public procurement purposes with due respect to EU legislation and policies;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10 – point 3 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(3) Intellectual property rights

Believes that ICT standardisation requires a balanced and effective IPR policy;

Or. en

Amendment 105

Maria Spyraiki, Aldo Patriciello, Esther de Lange, Francesc Gambús, Massimiliano Salini, Anne Sander, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Cristian-Silviu Buşoi, Michał Boni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 a. Believes that ICT standards should be internationally recognised; Encourages the Commission to intensify cooperation with third countries, especially on 5G, cloud computing, data, and cybersecurity; calls on the Commission to adopt best standards of other regions;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Bernd Lange

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Stresses that fair trade agreements between the EU and non-EU states can contribute to common international standardisation rules;

Or. de

Amendment 107

Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 a. Calls on to Commission to undertake steps towards a fair and

uniform tax regime at EU level improving the access to European standards;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Bernd Lange

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 b. Stresses that international cooperation on standards helps ensure transparency, efficiency and coherence and creates a competition-friendly context for the industrial sector - a good example is the UNECE World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29), which was set up for the ICT sector;

Or. de

Amendment 109
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

Amendment

11. Highlights the fact that the FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory) system of licensing strikes an important balance between innovators and technology users;

11. Highlights the fact that the FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory) system of licensing strikes an important balance between innovators and technology users; *underlines the concerns that a lack of legal clarity can undermine the fairness of the system; Points out that SME are especially disadvantaged in the licencing process and suggests that more transparency and guidance for the licencing conditions and guidance would increase the use of standards;*

Amendment 110

Cora van Nieuwenhuizen, Kaja Kallas, Pavel Telička, Carolina Punset, Dominique Riquet

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 11**

Draft opinion

11. Highlights the fact that the FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory) system of licensing strikes an important balance between innovators and technology users;

Amendment

11. Highlights the fact that the FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory) system of licensing strikes an important balance between innovators and technology users, *by guaranteeing access to, and efficient licensing of, standard essential patents; stresses that essential R&D contributions to standardisation will be stimulated by maintaining a balanced standardisation framework;*

Or. en

Amendment 111

Notis Marias

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 11**

Draft opinion

11. Highlights the fact that the FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory) system of licensing *strikes* an important balance between innovators and technology users;

Amendment

11. Highlights the fact that the FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory) system of licensing *is expected to strike* an important balance between innovators and technology users;

Or. el

Amendment 112

Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 11**

Draft opinion

11. Highlights *the fact* that the **FRAND** (*fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory*) *system of licensing* strikes an important balance between innovators and technology users;

Amendment

11. Highlights that the *efficient* **FRAND licensing of SEPs** strikes an important balance between innovators and technology users.

Or. en

Amendment 113

Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 12**

Draft opinion

12. *Acknowledges* the recent landmark decision of the Court of Justice of the European Union in Huawei-ZTE;

Amendment

12. *Welcomes* the recent landmark decision of the Court of Justice of the European Union in Huawei-ZTE;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 12 – point 1 (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Encourages the Commission and ESOs to provide technical assistance beyond Europe supporting the internationalisation of European standards, institutional designs and standardisation processes;

Or. en

Amendment 115

Neoklis Sylikiotis, Marisa Matias, João Ferreira, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

12 a. Considers that open knowledge and licenses are the best instrument to boost innovation and technology development. Encourage the research institutions that use EU funds to use open patents and licenses in order to have a bigger role on standard setting;

Or. en

Amendment 116
Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

12 a. Stresses that it is for the parties to negotiate a FRAND licensing agreement on the portfolio of patents required to implement a standard and that a fair royalty reflects the value that patented technology contributes to the product.

Or. en

Amendment 117
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

Amendment

13. Notes the need for an evidence-based approach to monitoring and further developing the licensing framework in order to ensure a dynamic ***ecosystem***;

13. Notes the need for an evidence-based approach to monitoring and further developing the licensing framework in order to ensure a dynamic, ***investment-***

friendly and competitive economic environment;

Or. de

Amendment 118

Neoklis Sylikiotis, João Ferreira, Marisa Matias, Paloma López Bermejo, Sofia Sakorafa, Xabier Benito Ziluaga

Draft opinion

Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Notes the need for an evidence-based approach to monitoring and further developing the licensing framework in order to ensure a dynamic ecosystem;

Amendment

13. Notes the need for an evidence-based approach to monitoring and further developing the licensing framework, *including the evaluation of open licensing schemes*, in order to ensure a dynamic ecosystem;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Nadine Morano

Draft opinion

Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Notes the need for an evidence-based approach to monitoring and further developing the licensing framework in order to ensure a dynamic ecosystem;

Amendment

13. Notes the need for an evidence-based approach to monitoring and further developing the licensing framework in order to ensure a dynamic ecosystem *that creates added value and jobs*;

Or. fr

Amendment 120

Maria Spyraiki, Aldo Patriciello, Esther de Lange, Francesc Gambús, Massimiliano Salini, Anne Sander, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Cristian-Silviu Buşoi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

13 a. Highlights that the timely delivery of high quality ICT standards is of high importance. Calls on the Commission to accelerate the procedure of listing European ICT standards in the Official Journal of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 121
Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to ensure the efficient settlement of disputes, to support return on investment and to ensure wide access to standardised technology;

14. Calls on the Commission to ensure the efficient settlement of disputes, to support return on, **and sustainability of**, investment and to ensure wide access to standardised technology;

Or. de

Amendment 122
Maria Spyraiki, Aldo Patriciello, Esther de Lange, Francesc Gambús, Massimiliano Salini, Anne Sander, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Cristian-Silviu Buşoi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to ensure the efficient settlement of disputes, to support return on investment and to ensure wide access to standardised technology;

14. Calls on the Commission to ensure **transparency**, the efficient settlement of disputes, to support return on investment and to ensure wide access to standardised technology;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Calls on the Commission to *ensure the efficient settlement of disputes, to support return on investment and to ensure* wide access to standardised technology;

Amendment

14. Calls on the Commission to *maintain a system which allows for a fair return on investments in R&D in standards and ensures* wide access to standardised technology.

Or. en

Amendment 124

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Calls on the *Commission* to ensure the efficient settlement of disputes, to support return on investment and to ensure wide access to standardised technology;

Amendment

14. Calls on the *Member States* to ensure the efficient settlement of disputes, to support return on investment and to ensure wide access to standardised technology;

Or. fr

Amendment 125

Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Theresa Griffin, Martina Werner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14 a. *Calls on the Commission to publish bi-annual reports evidencing actual cases of a) unlicensed SEP use (i.e. infringements) lasting for 18 months or more; and b) access issues to standards due to systematic non-compliance with FRAND commitments.*

Amendment 126

Theresa Griffin, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Martina Werner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14 a. Reiterates that the Commission is crucial to the speed at which standards can be market-ready and encourages the Commission to agree, in conjunction with the ESOs, on a clear process to ensure the timely publishing of standards in the OJEU;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Evžen Tošenovský

Draft opinion

Paragraph 15

Draft opinion

Amendment

15. Asks the Commission to update Parliament regularly on the progress of ICT standardisation.

15. Monitoring

Asks the Commission to update Parliament regularly on the progress of ICT standardisation and its contribution to EU competitiveness and growth once a year in form of an informal exchange.

Or. en

Amendment 128

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser, Nicolas Bay, Dominique Bilde, Mario Borghezio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 15

Draft opinion

15. *Asks* the Commission *to* update Parliament regularly on the progress of ICT standardisation.

Amendment

15. ***Insists that*** the Commission update Parliament regularly on the progress of ICT standardisation.

Or. fr

Amendment 129
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 15

Draft opinion

15. *Asks* the Commission to update Parliament regularly on the progress of ICT standardisation.

Amendment

15. ***Calls on*** the Commission to update Parliament regularly on the progress of ICT standardisation.

Or. el

Amendment 130
Michał Boni, Maria Spyraiki

Draft opinion
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

15 a. Notes that a data driven economy depends on a wider ICT ecosystem to succeed, including high-educated experts as well as skilled people in order to terminate a digital divide and exclusion;

Or. en