



2017/2052(INI)

10.11.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 53

Draft opinion

Monica Macovei

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
(2017/2052(INI))

Amendment 1
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Points out that the structure of the new multiannual financial framework (MFF) must correspond to the top five political priorities of the EU; calls for more coherence between the funding of the EU budget and its objectives, if needed by breaking the 1 % glass ceiling of Member State GDP contributions and/or by adapting and reducing the EU's objectives;

Amendment

1. Points out that the structure of the new multiannual financial framework (MFF) must correspond to the top five political priorities of the EU; calls for more coherence between the funding of the EU budget and its objectives, if needed by breaking the 1 % glass ceiling of Member State GDP contributions and/or by adapting and reducing the EU's objectives , ***in order to offer clear orientation towards achieving results in all areas of the essential policy for achieving the goals of the economical growth strategy***

Or. en

Amendment 2
Nicolas Bay, Gilles Lebreton, Lorenzo Fontana, Auke Zijlstra, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Points out that the structure of the new multiannual financial framework (MFF) must correspond to the top five political priorities of the EU; calls for more ***coherence between*** the funding of the EU budget and its objectives, ***if needed by breaking*** the 1 % glass ceiling of Member State GDP contributions ***and/or*** by adapting and reducing the EU's objectives;

Amendment

1. Points out that the structure of the new multiannual financial framework (MFF) must correspond to the top five political priorities of the EU; calls for more ***budgetary restraint in*** the funding of the EU budget and its objectives, ***by lowering*** the 1 % glass ceiling of Member State GDP contributions ***and*** by adapting and reducing the EU's objectives ***in line with a strict interpretation of the principal of subsidiarity as enshrined in article 5.3 of the TEU;***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Gerard Batten, Raymond Finch, Kristina Winberg

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Points out that the structure of the new multiannual financial framework (MFF) must correspond to the top five political priorities of the EU; calls for more coherence between the funding of the EU budget and its objectives, ***if needed by breaking the 1 % glass ceiling of Member State GDP contributions and/or*** by adapting and reducing the EU's objectives;

Amendment

1. Points out that the structure of the new multiannual financial framework (MFF) must correspond to the top five political priorities of the EU; calls for more coherence between the funding of the EU budget and its objectives by adapting and reducing the EU's objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Points out that the structure of the new multiannual financial framework (MFF) ***must*** correspond to the ***top five*** political priorities of the EU; calls for more coherence between the funding of the EU budget and its objectives, if needed by breaking the 1 % glass ceiling of Member State GDP contributions and/or by adapting and reducing the EU's objectives;

Amendment

1. Points out that the structure of the new multiannual financial framework (MFF) ***should better*** correspond to the political priorities of the EU; calls for more coherence between the funding of the EU budget and its objectives, if needed by breaking the 1 % glass ceiling of Member State GDP contributions and/or by adapting and reducing the EU's objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. **Recommends separating the asylum, border and justice programmes into three comprehensive MFF headings corresponding to clear EU objectives in the framework of ‘Solidarity’, ‘Security’ and ‘Respect for the Rule of Law’, which are understandable and identifiable by EU citizens;**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 6

Nicolas Bay, Gilles Lebreton, Lorenzo Fontana, Auke Zijlstra, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Recommends separating the asylum, border and justice programmes into three **comprehensive** MFF headings corresponding to clear **EU** objectives in the framework of ‘**Solidarity**’, ‘Security’ and ‘**Respect for the Rule of Law**’, which are understandable and identifiable by **EU** citizens;

2. Recommends separating the asylum, border and justice programmes into three MFF headings corresponding to clear objectives in the framework of ‘Security’, ‘**Improved Returns**’ and ‘**Reintroduction of Internal Border Controls by Member States**’, which are understandable and identifiable by citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 7

Monica Macovei

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Recommends separating the asylum, border and justice programmes into **three** comprehensive MFF headings corresponding to clear EU objectives **in the framework of** ‘Solidarity’, ‘Security’ **and** ‘Respect for the Rule of Law’, which are understandable and identifiable by EU

2. Recommends separating the asylum, border and justice programmes into comprehensive MFF headings corresponding to clear EU objectives **that would cover migration**, solidarity, security **and** respect for the rule of law, which are understandable and identifiable by EU

citizens;

citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Bodil Valero

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Believes that there is no direct link between migration and terrorism in Europe; asks for increased funding to fight against the phenomenon of radicalisation leading to violent extremism within the EU; considers that this can be achieved by promoting integration and fighting against discrimination, racism and xenophobia;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Nicolas Bay, Gilles Lebreton, Lorenzo Fontana, Auke Zijlstra, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should not only be covered by dedicated, yet limited, instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), but should also be included by design in more generic future instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security); recommends that all Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) agencies should have

deleted

access to these funds to strengthen their operational capabilities; considers it necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should significantly address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Jaromír Štětina

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should not only be covered by dedicated, yet limited, instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), but should also be included by design in more generic future instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security); recommends that all Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) agencies should have access to these funds to strengthen their operational capabilities; considers it necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should significantly address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

Amendment

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should not only be covered by dedicated, yet limited, instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), but should also be included by design in more generic future instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security); recommends that all Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) agencies should have access to these funds to strengthen their operational capabilities; considers it necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should significantly address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia, *as well as the purposeful dissemination of disinformation that undermines and attacks the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights in Member States and EU itself.*

Amendment 11
Monica Macovei

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should not only be covered by dedicated, *yet limited*, instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), but should also be included by design in more generic future instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security); recommends that all Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) agencies should have access to these funds to strengthen their operational capabilities; considers it necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should significantly address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

Amendment

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should not only be covered by dedicated instruments *which should provide for an adequate level of funding to address the comprehensive challenges in these areas*, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), but should also be included by design in more generic future instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security) *and the external action instruments*; recommends that all Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) agencies should have access to these funds to strengthen their operational capabilities; considers it necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should significantly address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Bodil Valero

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should not only be covered by dedicated, yet limited, instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), but should also be included by design in more generic future instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security); ***recommends that all Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) agencies should have access to these funds to strengthen their operational capabilities***; considers it necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should significantly address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should not only be covered by dedicated, yet limited, instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), but should also be included by design in more generic future instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security); considers it necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should significantly address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

Or. en

Amendment 13 **Maria Grapini**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should not only be covered by dedicated, yet limited, instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), but should also be included by design in more generic future instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security); recommends that all Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) agencies should have access to these funds to strengthen their operational capabilities; considers it

AM\1139120EN.docx

Amendment

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should not only be covered by dedicated, yet limited, instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), but should also be included by design in more generic future instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security); recommends that all Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) agencies should have access to these funds to strengthen their operational capabilities; considers it

9/29

PE613.407v01-00

necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should *significantly* address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should address , *in particular* , the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

Or. en

Amendment 14 **Sylvie Guillaume**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should *not only* be covered by dedicated, *yet limited*, instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), *but* should *also be included by design in* more generic *future* instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF), *the Cohesion Fund or Security Union Fund (corresponding to the European Agenda on Security); recommends that all Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) agencies should have access to these funds to strengthen their operational capabilities;* considers it necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should significantly address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

Amendment

3. Stresses the importance of the different EU funds and highlights that actions in the field of security and migration should be covered by dedicated instruments, such as the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) or the Internal Security Fund (ISF), *with sufficient resources from the outset for the entire duration of the next multiannual financial framework. It should not be excluded that other* more generic instruments such as the European Social Fund (ESF) *may fund actions which are also relevant in the context of the integration of migrants and refugees into host societies;* considers it necessary that all ESI Funds be continued post-2020, and stresses that certain funds, such as the ESF, should significantly address the issue of programmes for combating radicalisation, marginalisation, hate crime and xenophobia;

Or. en

Amendment 15 **Bodil Valero**

Draft opinion

PE613.407v01-00

10/29

AM\1139120EN.docx

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. *considers that, as regards AMIF, priority should be given to projects supporting asylum seekers and integration of migrants and refugees; acknowledges the positive contribution of regional and local authorities as well as civil society organisations in that regard, and asks for the EU and the Member States to support their actions including by granting them direct access to AMIF;*

Or. en

Amendment 16

Bodil Valero

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. *Stresses the significant role played by civil society organisations in saving the lives of migrants and asylum seekers at the external borders as well as in safeguarding, promoting and implementing their rights; calls for more financial support to strengthen the activities of civil society;*

Or. en

Amendment 17

Monica Macovei

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Stresses that the level and mechanisms of funding for security and migration should be adequate in order to

4. Stresses that the level and mechanisms of funding for security and migration should be adequate **and**

avoid systematic recourse to the flexibility mechanism of the EU budget every year, such as has been the case with the current MFF since 2015;

provide for the necessary flexibility in order to avoid systematic recourse to the flexibility mechanism of the EU budget every year, such as has been the case with the current MFF since 2015, *and to ensure that the delivery modes are effectively replying to the emerging needs on the ground. The funds should also include a robust midterm review that would adjust the allocation of funding to the needs on the ground on the basis of updated statistics and to reward performance in implementing EU priorities;*

Or. en

Amendment 18
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Stresses that the level and mechanisms of funding for security and migration should be adequate in order to avoid systematic recourse to the flexibility mechanism of the EU budget every year, such as has been the case with the current MFF since 2015;

Amendment

4. Stresses that the level and mechanisms of funding for security and migration *and their implementing measures* should be adequate in order to avoid systematic recourse to the flexibility mechanism of the EU budget every year, such as has been the case with the current MFF since 2015;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

It is necessary to anticipate the financial needs for migration policies. Indeed, the migration issue will be even more significant after 2020, particularly

because of climate change and demographic challenges. It would be advisable not to repeat past mistakes in this area and to avoid being in the same situation as in 2015. Therefore, the whole area of migration needs significant additional resourcing.

Or. en

Amendment 20

Nicolas Bay, Gilles Lebreton, Lorenzo Fontana, Auke Zijlstra, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Recalls that the possible extension of the use of EU trust funds for financing humanitarian support actions inside the Union¹ should require that 100 % of these funds be included in the EU budget; recalls that Parliament should be able to exercise its powers of scrutiny not only over the creation of such EU trust funds, but also over their entire disbursement;

deleted

¹ *As proposed by the Commission during the mid-term revision of the current MFF.*

Or. en

Amendment 21 **Bodil Valero**

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Recalls that *the possible extension of the use of* EU trust funds for financing humanitarian support actions inside the Union¹ should require that 100 % of these funds be included in the EU budget;

5. *Reiterates its concerns about the establishment of ad-hoc instruments outside the Union budget, like trust funds, that do neither preserve the unicity and universality of the EU Budget nor*

recalls that Parliament should be able to exercise its powers of scrutiny not only over the creation of such EU trust funds, but also over their entire disbursement;

improve its transparency and readability; recalls that EU trust funds *should only* support actions *outside* the Union; recalls that Parliament should be able to exercise its powers of scrutiny not only over the creation of such EU trust funds, but also over their entire disbursement;

¹ As proposed by the Commission during the mid-term revision of the current MFF.

Or. en

Amendment 22 **Sylvie Guillaume**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that *the possible extension* of the use of EU trust funds *for* financing *humanitarian support actions inside* the Union¹ *should require that 100 % of these funds be included in the EU* budget; recalls that Parliament should be able to exercise its powers of scrutiny not only over the creation of such EU trust funds, but also over their entire disbursement;

Amendment

5. Recalls that the use of EU trust funds *remains controversial and that their use should be limited to what is strictly necessary; reiterates that they should not become a standard financing instrument and should not be allowed to threaten the unity of* the Union budget ; recalls that Parliament should be able to exercise its powers of scrutiny not only over the creation of such EU trust funds, but also over their entire disbursement;

¹ *As proposed by the Commission during the mid-term revision of the current MFF.*

Or. en

Amendment 23 **Bodil Valero**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 5 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. *deplores the increasing EU financial support to third countries such as Libya or Turkey to prevent migration, despite concerns related to the human rights situation in those third countries;*

Or. en

Amendment 24

Bodil Valero

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 b. *Recalls that, for the proper functioning of the Security Union, the focus of financial instruments should be on improving current policies and existing systems instead of creating new systems or databases which might not be necessary or proportionate;*

Or. en

Amendment 25

Nicolas Bay, Gilles Lebreton, Lorenzo Fontana, Auke Zijlstra, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. *Stresses the importance played by the JHA agencies and newly created Union bodies as part of the Security Union Agenda, as well as the significant role they play in countering trans-border crime, fraud and money laundering; calls for the allocation of appropriate resources for their operations and activities:*

deleted

a. regrets the insufficient provision of resources to law enforcement agencies, such as Europol, Eurojust and Cefpol,

under the current MFF and calls for resource programming in line with political expectations and agencies' operational demands, including the need for technological and technical support, as well as training;

b. stresses the importance of the work performed by the European Agency for the operational management of large-scale IT systems in the area of freedom, security and justice (eu-LISA) on a number of existing and new systems in the area of freedom, security and justice; calls for the allocation of adequate financial and human resources in order to ensure the continuation of this work, as well as for the smooth implementation and management of the Entry-Exit System, the European Travel Information and Authorisation System (ETIAS), the European Criminal Records Information System (ECRIS-TCN) and upcoming actions within the New European Interoperability Framework, taking into consideration their multi-annual nature;

c. recalls the Union's commitment to fighting poverty and social exclusion, as part of the Europe 2020 growth strategy, as well as the need for a better understanding of and response to the phenomena of radicalisation, the growing marginalisation of some groups, hate speech and hate-crime; underlines the role of the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) in relation to these matters;

d. calls for adequate resources for the consolidation of the new mandate of the European Agency for the Management of Operational Cooperation at the External Borders of the Member States of the European Union (FRONTEX) and underlines that its new tasks, competences and activities will require ongoing funding in the post-2020 financial framework;

e. calls for the allocation of proper resources in order to ensure the smooth implementation and running of the

Amendment 26
Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – introductory part

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance played by *the* JHA agencies and newly created Union bodies *as part of the Security Union Agenda, as well as the significant role they play in countering trans-border crime, fraud and money laundering*; calls for the allocation of appropriate resources for their operations and activities;

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance played by *all* JHA agencies and newly created Union bodies, *whether responsible for providing support on asylum matters, judicial cooperation, police cooperation, border management, data protection, large-scale IT projects or fundamental rights*; calls for the allocation of appropriate resources for their operations and activities; *regrets that the funding provided for many of those agencies has not kept pace with the increased expectations placed upon in terms of their tasks*;

Amendment 27
Jaromír Štětina

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – introductory part

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance played by the JHA agencies and newly created Union bodies as part of the Security Union Agenda, as well as the significant role they play in countering trans-border crime, fraud and money laundering; calls for the allocation of appropriate resources for their operations and activities;

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance played by the JHA agencies and newly created Union bodies as part of the Security Union Agenda, as well as the significant role they play in countering trans-border crime, fraud and money laundering; calls for the allocation of appropriate resources for their operations and activities, *so as to the agencies' cooperation with CSDP missions*:

Amendment 28

Maria Grapini

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 – introductory part

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance played by the JHA agencies and newly created Union bodies as part of the Security Union Agenda, as well as the significant role they play in countering trans-border crime, fraud and money laundering; calls for the allocation of appropriate resources *for* their operations and activities:

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance played by the JHA agencies and newly created Union bodies as part of the Security Union Agenda, as well as the significant role they play in countering trans-border crime, fraud and money laundering; calls for the allocation of appropriate resources *to sustain* their operations and activities:

Or. en

Amendment 29

Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 – point a

Draft opinion

a. regrets the insufficient provision of resources to law enforcement agencies, such as Europol, Eurojust and Cefpol, under the current MFF and calls for resource programming in line with political expectations and agencies' operational demands, including the need for technological and technical support, as well as training;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 30

Maria Grapini

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 – point a

Draft opinion

a. regrets the insufficient provision of resources to law enforcement agencies, such as Europol, Eurojust and Cefpol, under the current MFF and calls for resource programming in line with political expectations and agencies' operational demands, including the need for technological and technical support, as well as training;

Amendment

a. regrets the insufficient provision of resources to law enforcement agencies, such as Europol, Eurojust and Cefpol, under the current MFF and calls for resource programming in line with political expectations and agencies' operational demands, including the need for technological and technical support, **human resources**, as well as training;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Bodil Valero

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 – point a

Draft opinion

a. regrets the insufficient provision of resources to law enforcement agencies, such as **Europol**, Eurojust and Cefpol, under the current MFF and calls for resource programming in line with political expectations and agencies' operational demands, including the need for technological and technical support, as well as training;

Amendment

a. regrets the insufficient provision of resources to law enforcement agencies, such as Eurojust and Cefpol, under the current MFF and calls for resource programming in line with political expectations and agencies' operational demands, including the need for technological and technical support, as well as training;

Or. en

Amendment 32

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Bodil Valero

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 – point a a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

a a. Calls for increased funding to be allocated to the creation of additional Joint Investigation Teams in order to

increase cooperation and information exchange in cross-border investigations;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point b

Draft opinion

Amendment

b. stresses the importance of the work performed by the European Agency for the operational management of large-scale IT systems in the area of freedom, security and justice (eu-LISA) on a number of existing and new systems in the area of freedom, security and justice; calls for the allocation of adequate financial and human resources in order to ensure the continuation of this work, as well as for the smooth implementation and management of the Entry-Exit System, the European Travel Information and Authorisation System (ETIAS), the European Criminal Records Information System (ECRIS-TCN) and upcoming actions within the New European Interoperability Framework, taking into consideration their multi-annual nature;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 34
Bodil Valero

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point b

Draft opinion

Amendment

b. stresses the importance of the work performed by the European Agency for the operational management of large-scale IT systems in the area of freedom, security

b. stresses the importance of the work performed by the European Agency for the operational management of large-scale IT systems in the area of freedom, security

and justice (eu-LISA) on a number of existing and new systems in the area of freedom, security and justice; calls for the allocation of adequate financial and human resources in order to ensure the continuation of this work, *as well as for the smooth implementation and management of the Entry-Exit System, the European Travel Information and Authorisation System (ETIAS), the European Criminal Records Information System (ECRIS-TCN) and upcoming actions within the New European Interoperability Framework, taking into consideration their multi-annual nature;*

and justice (eu-LISA) on a number of existing and new systems in the area of freedom, security and justice; calls for the allocation of adequate financial and human resources in order to ensure the continuation of this work;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Monica Macovei

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point b

Draft opinion

b. stresses the importance of the work performed by the European Agency for the operational management of large-scale IT systems in the area of freedom, security and justice (eu-LISA) on a number of existing and new systems in the area of freedom, security and justice; calls for the allocation of adequate financial and human resources in order to ensure the continuation of this work, as well as for the smooth implementation and management of the Entry-Exit System, the European Travel Information and Authorisation System (ETIAS), the European Criminal Records Information System (ECRIS-TCN) and upcoming *actions within the New European Interoperability Framework, taking into consideration their multi-annual nature;*

Amendment

b. stresses the importance of the work performed by the European Agency for the operational management of large-scale IT systems in the area of freedom, security and justice (eu-LISA) on a number of existing and new systems in the area of freedom, security and justice; calls for the allocation of adequate financial and human resources in order to ensure the continuation of this work, as well as for the smooth implementation and management of the Entry-Exit System, the European Travel Information and Authorisation System (ETIAS), the European Criminal Records Information System (ECRIS-TCN) and upcoming *initiatives to allow interoperability between EU information systems for security, border and migration management;*

Or. en

Amendment 36
Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point c

Draft opinion

c. recalls the Union's commitment to fighting poverty and social exclusion, as part of the Europe 2020 growth strategy, as well as the need for a better understanding of and response to the phenomena of radicalisation, the growing marginalisation of some groups, hate speech and hate-crime; underlines the role of the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) in relation to these matters;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 37
Bodil Valero

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point c

Draft opinion

c. recalls the Union's commitment to fighting poverty and social exclusion, as part of the Europe 2020 growth strategy, as well as the need for a better understanding of and response to the phenomena of radicalisation, the growing marginalisation of some groups, hate speech and hate-crime; underlines the role of the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) in relation to these matters;

Amendment

c. recalls the Union's commitment to fighting poverty and social exclusion, as part of the Europe 2020 growth strategy, as well as the need for a better understanding of and response to the phenomena of radicalisation, the growing marginalisation of some groups, hate speech and hate-crime; underlines the role of the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA) in relation to these matters; ***calls for additional human resources to be allocated to the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights; regrets that the Agency's mandate still limits its role in support of fundamental rights; underlines that the Agency should be able to offer opinions on legislative proposals on its own initiative and that its remit should extend to all areas of rights protected under the Charter, including, for***

instance, issues of police and judicial cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point d

Draft opinion

Amendment

d. calls for adequate resources for the consolidation of the new mandate of the European Agency for the Management of Operational Cooperation at the External Borders of the Member States of the European Union (FRONTEX) and underlines that its new tasks, competences and activities will require ongoing funding in the post-2020 financial framework;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 39
Monica Macovei

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point d

Draft opinion

Amendment

d. calls for adequate resources for the *consolidation of the new mandate of the European Agency for the Management of Operational Cooperation at the External Borders of the Member States of the European Union (FRONTEX)* and underlines that its new tasks, competences and activities will require ongoing funding in the post-2020 financial framework;

d. calls for adequate resources for the European *Border and Coast Guard* Agency (*EBCG-A*) and underlines that its new tasks, competences and activities will require ongoing funding in the post-2020 financial framework;

Or. en

Amendment 40

Bodil Valero

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 – point d

Draft opinion

d. calls for adequate resources for the *consolidation of the new mandate of the European Agency for the Management of Operational Cooperation at the External Borders of the Member States of the European Union (FRONTEX) and underlines that its new tasks, competences and activities will require ongoing funding in the post-2020 financial framework;*

Amendment

d. calls for adequate resources for the *establishment of an EU Search and Rescue Fund intended to support Member States in their obligations under international maritime law to render assistance to persons in distress, notably in search and rescue situations;*

Or. en

Amendment 41

Bodil Valero

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 – point d a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

d a. Calls for additional human resources to be allocated to the EASO in order to support an enhanced mandate to transform EASO into a fully-fledged Agency which is capable of providing the necessary operational and technical assistance to the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Monica Macovei

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 – point d a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

d a. calls for adequate resources for the work of the future fully-fledged EU Agency for Asylum that should be fully equipped to respond to the evolving migration challenges and to reflect its enhanced role in a reformed Common European Asylum System;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Monica Macovei

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point d b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

d b. stresses the role of the EMCDDA in providing comprehensive assessment of illicit drug use and its importance both on the preventative side and law enforcement follow up actions and the need to hence ensure that adequate resources are provided to the agency;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point e

Draft opinion

Amendment

e. calls for the allocation of proper resources in order to ensure the smooth implementation and running of the European Public Prosecutor's Office;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 45
Gerard Batten, Raymond Finch, Kristina Winberg

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point e

Draft opinion

Amendment

e. calls for the allocation of proper resources in order to ensure the smooth implementation and running of the European Public Prosecutor's Office;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 46
Bodil Valero

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – point e a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

e a. calls for the allocation of proper resources to the European Data Protection Supervisor to ensure a smooth functioning of the secretariat of the European Data Protection Board, in view of the implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Jaromír Štětina

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*6 a. Stresses the importance of fight against purposeful dissemination of disinformation with regard to the protection of fundamental democratic principles, values and the unity of the EU.
In this regard calls for the allocation of sufficient financial resources to this*

course.

Or. en

Amendment 48
Sylvie Guillaume

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to *propose clear and strict rules for financially sanctioning* Member States failing to comply with ‘the rule of law’.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to *invoke article 7 of the Treaty on European Union for* Member States failing to comply with ‘the rule of law’ *and calls the Council to assume its responsibilities in that regard.*

Or. en

Amendment 49
Nicolas Bay, Lorenzo Fontana, Auke Zijlstra, Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to *propose clear and strict rules for financially sanctioning* Member States failing to comply with ‘the rule of law’.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to *stop interfering with* Member States "failing to comply with ‘the rule of law’".

Or. en

Amendment 50
Gilles Lebreton

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to *stop*

propose clear and strict rules for financially sanctioning Member States failing to comply with 'the rule of law'.

undermining the sovereignty of States in the name of an alleged "rule of law" which it alone would determine.

Or. en

Amendment 51

Gerard Batten, Raymond Finch, Kristina Winberg

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Stresses that the future MFF proposal must not include the UK's contribution or entail any legal obligations on the UK regarding its liabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Gerard Batten, Raymond Finch, Kristina Winberg

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 b. Stresses, furthermore, that if the Treaties cease to apply, as stated in Article 50(3) of the TEU, it follows that laws made under those Treaties, including the MFF Regulation, must also cease to apply; underlines, therefore, that once the UK leaves the Union, there can be no legal obligation upon the UK to continue contributions to the present or future MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 53

Gerard Batten, Raymond Finch, Kristina Winberg

PE613.407v01-00

28/29

AM\1139120EN.docx

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 c. Considers that if the UK wishes to remain part of any EU programmes, that is a decision for the government of the day and any financial contributions should be decided on a case-by-case basis and applied in the same way as negotiations with third countries; notes for example that Israel has access to EU programmes as a third country without being a contributor to the MFF;

Or. en