



2018/2093(INI)

9.10.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 21

Draft opinion
Doru-Claudian Frunzuliță
(PE627.770v02-00)

Differentiated integration
(2018/2093(INI))

Amendment 1

Lieve Wierinck, Thierry Cornillet, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls its conclusions that intergovernmental ***decision-taking*** structures and processes increase complexity of institutional responsibility, reduce transparency and democratic accountability and that the Community method is best for the functioning of the Union;

Amendment

1. Recalls its conclusions that intergovernmental ***decision-making*** structures and processes increase complexity of institutional responsibility, reduce transparency and democratic accountability and that the Community method is best for the functioning of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 2

Pirkko Ruohonen-Lerner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. ***Calls for the integration of the European Stability Mechanism (ESM) into the EU Treaties by turning it into a full-fledged EU body, on condition that the Commission's competences on economic policy coordination and multilateral surveillance are fully respected and strengthened;***

Amendment

deleted

Or. fi

Amendment 3

Alain Lamassoure

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. ***Calls for the integration of the European Stability Mechanism (ESM) into the EU Treaties by turning it into a full-fledged EU body, on condition that the Commission's competences on economic policy coordination and multilateral surveillance are fully respected and strengthened;***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 4
Martin Schirdewan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. ***Calls for the integration of the European Stability Mechanism (ESM) into the EU Treaties by turning it into a full-fledged EU body, on condition that the Commission's competences on economic policy coordination and multilateral surveillance are fully respected and strengthened;***

2. ***Calls for the abolition of the European Stability Mechanism (ESM) and demands a fundamental review of the current economic governance framework including the Stability and Growth Pact as well as the Fiscal Compact;***

Or. en

Amendment 5
Lieve Wierinck, Thierry Cornillet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls for the creation of the seat for a Finance Minister for the Euro Area. A Finance Minister for the Euro Area will manage a Euro area treasury. He/ she

will ensure consistent, predictable and coherent decision-making with regard to Euro Area policies and will coordinate and ensure the implementation of the relevant Euro area rules and legislation that these policies entail and shall be accountable to the European Parliament.

Or. en

Amendment 6
Martin Schirdewan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Highlights, in this respect, the catastrophic consequences the implementation of austerity policies have had on the economies and societies of member states in EFSF and /or EFSM and / or ESM programmes; points out that these policies have contributed to the divergence of member states' economies instead of their convergence;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Martin Schirdewan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Notes that the divergence in unit labour costs has been one of the main drivers for the macroeconomic imbalances in the Eurozone; regrets that the economic governance framework of the Union does not provide a framework for wage coordination between Eurozone

member states which could help alleviate said imbalances;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Lieve Wierinck, Thierry Cornillet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. *Calls for the creation of a budget line for the Euro Area, in the Multi-annual Financial Framework for the Union. This budget shall support the implementation of Euro Area policies.*

Or. en

Amendment 9
Martin Schirdewan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. *Regrets, that the Commission has withdrawn the bank structural reform package (COM/2014/043 final) in July 2018; sees the financial stability of the Union jeopardised as long as the banking sector has not undergone fundamental structural changes; views thus the Banking Union as incomplete until measures to change the structure of the banking system have been implemented;*

Or. en

Amendment 10
Lieve Wierinck, Thierry Cornillet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. Considers that the Banking Union needs to be strengthened by a credible safeguard. Calls for the establishment of a European Monetary Fund that would serve as a fiscal backstop to the European Resolution Fund. It will consist of a credit line to the Single Resolution Fund. It shall be used as a means of last resort and shall be fiscally neutral.

Or. en

Amendment 11
Alain Lamassoure

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Requests a strengthened role for the European Parliament and the national parliaments in the **renewed** economic governance framework to reinforce democratic accountability;

3. Requests a strengthened role for the European Parliament and the national parliaments in the economic governance framework to reinforce democratic accountability;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Martin Schirdewan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Believes that after the latest tax

scandals the ordinary legislative procedure should urgently be introduced for matters related to corporate income taxation as this would reduce member states' incentives to engage in a harmful race to the bottom via taxation policy (tax dumping) and would enhance transparency, fairness and economic convergence;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Pirkko Ruohonen-Lerner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for any future model of differentiated integration to be designed *to provide incentives for and fully support Member States aspiring to 'opt in' in their efforts of economic development and conversion aimed at meeting the necessary criteria in a reasonable timeframe;*

Amendment

5. Calls for any future model of differentiated integration to be designed *so as not, by means of support funding, to encourage Member States short-sightedly to join systems which have long-term effects but no effective means of escape;*

Or. fi

Amendment 14
Alain Lamassoure

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. *Stresses the importance for convergence of the Cohesion, Structural and Investment Funds and of the EIB, welcomes programmes such as the Reform Support Programme to help Member States on their way to joining the*

Amendment

deleted

euro and further conversion within the EU, and requests an increase in the budget allocation for such programmes.

Or. en

Amendment 15
Lieve Wierinck, Thierry Cornillet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance for convergence of the Cohesion, Structural *and Investment* Funds and *of the EIB*, welcomes programmes such as the Reform Support Programme to *help* Member States *on their way to joining* the euro *and further conversion within the EU, and requests an increase in the budget allocation for such programmes.*

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance for convergence of the Cohesion *and* Structural Funds and, welcomes programmes such as the Reform Support Programme to *support* Member States *to join the Euro Area and adopt* the Euro *as their currency.*

Or. en

Amendment 16
Pirkko Ruohonen-Lerner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance for convergence of the Cohesion, Structural and Investment Funds and of the EIB, *welcomes* programmes such as the Reform Support Programme to help Member States on their way to joining the euro and further conversion within the EU, *and requests an increase in the budget allocation for such programmes.*

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance for convergence of the Cohesion, Structural and Investment Funds and of the EIB *and takes a critical view of* programmes such as the Reform Support Programme to help Member States on their way to joining the euro and further conversion within the EU.

Or. fi

Amendment 17
Martin Schirdewan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance for convergence of the Cohesion, Structural and Investment Funds and of the EIB, *welcomes programmes such as the Reform Support Programme to help Member States on their way to joining the euro and further conversion within the EU, and requests an increase in the budget allocation for such programmes.*

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance for convergence of the Cohesion, Structural and Investment Funds and of the EIB, *calls for additional efforts and resources to achieve socio-economic convergence between and within Member States as the gap between rich and poor is widening.*

Or. en

Amendment 18
Lieve Wierinck, Thierry Cornillet, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Recalls that the completion of the Single Market is a catalyst for economic integration and convergence of the Member State economies that it consists of; Calls on the Commission as a matter of priority to enforce the current legislation and accelerate the work on removing obstacles to its completion.

Or. en

Amendment 19
Alain Lamassoure

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Welcomes the proposal to integrate the Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in the legal framework of the Union.

Or. en

Amendment 20
Lieve Wierinck, Thierry Cornillet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Stresses the importance of the Investment Funds and the EIB in closing the investment gap in the EU and to support capacity building.

Or. en

Amendment 21
Alain Lamassoure

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Welcomes and strongly encourages the initiative from some Member States to consider joining the Banking Union.

Or. en