



2018/0251(NLE)

15.10.2018

AMENDMENTS

19 - 53

Draft report

Rebecca Harms

(PE627.763v01-00)

Proposal for a Council regulation establishing the nuclear decommissioning assistance programme of the Ignalina nuclear power plant in Lithuania (Ignalina programme); and repealing Council Regulation (EU) No 1369/2013

Proposal for a regulation

(COM(2018)0466 – C8-0394/2018 – 2018/0251(NLE))

Amendment 19
Zigmantas Balčytis, Peter Kouroumbashev

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) In accordance with Protocol No 4 of the 2003 Act of Accession on the Ignalina nuclear power plant ⁽¹³⁾ Lithuania committed itself to the closure of Unit 1 and Unit 2 of the Ignalina nuclear power plant by 31 December 2004 and 31 December 2009 respectively, and to the subsequent decommissioning of those units.

¹³ OJ L 236, 23.9.2003, p. 944.

Amendment

(1) In accordance with Protocol No 4 of the 2003 Act of Accession on the Ignalina nuclear power plant ⁽¹³⁾ Lithuania committed itself to the **premature** closure of Unit 1 and Unit 2 of the Ignalina nuclear power plant by 31 December 2004 and 31 December 2009 respectively, and to the subsequent decommissioning of those units.

¹³ OJ L 236, 23.9.2003, p. 944.

Or. en

Amendment 20
Zigmantas Balčytis, Peter Kouroumbashev

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) In line with its obligations under the Act of Accession and with Union assistance, Lithuania shut down the two units within the respective deadlines and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the decrease of the level of radiological hazard. Based on the available estimates, additional financial resources are required for this purpose post 2020.

Amendment

(2) In line with its obligations under the Act of Accession and with Union assistance, Lithuania shut down the two units within the respective deadlines and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the decrease of the level of radiological hazard. Based on the available estimates **and the foreseen final closure date in 2038** , additional financial resources are required for this purpose post 2020 **and beyond** .

Or. en

Amendment 21
Rolandas Paksas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Recognising that the premature shutdown and consequent decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant with two **1 500** MW RBMK type reactor units inherited from the Soviet Union was of an unprecedented nature and represented for Lithuania an exceptional financial burden not commensurate with the size and economic strength of the country, Protocol No 4 stated that the Union assistance under the Ignalina programme is to be seamlessly continued and extended beyond 2006, for the period of the next Financial Perspectives.

Amendment

(4) Recognising that the premature shutdown and consequent decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant with two **1 500** MW RBMK type (***graphite moderated, channel-type***) reactor units inherited from the Soviet Union was of an unprecedented nature, ***given that there have been no cases in practice anywhere in the world of a reactor of a similar design being dismantled***, and represented for Lithuania an exceptional financial burden not commensurate with the size and economic strength of the country, Protocol No 4 stated that the Union assistance under the Ignalina programme is to be seamlessly continued and extended beyond 2006, for the period of the next Financial Perspectives.

Or. It

Amendment 22
Zigmantas Balčytis, Peter Kouroumbashev

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Recognising that the premature shutdown and consequent decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant with two **1 500** MW RBMK type reactor units inherited from the Soviet Union was of an unprecedented nature and represented for Lithuania an exceptional financial burden not commensurate with the size and economic strength of the country, Protocol No 4 stated that the Union assistance under the Ignalina

Amendment

(4) Recognising that the premature shutdown and consequent decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant with two **1 500** MW RBMK type reactor units inherited from the Soviet Union was of an unprecedented nature and represented for Lithuania an exceptional financial burden not commensurate with the size and economic strength of the country, Protocol No 4 stated that the Union assistance under the Ignalina

programme is to be seamlessly continued and extended beyond 2006, for the period of the next Financial Perspectives.

programme is to be seamlessly continued and extended beyond 2006, for the period of the next Financial Perspectives *until the final closure date which is currently foreseen in 2038*.

Or. en

Amendment 23
Werner Langen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) The storage of spent fuel at the Ignalina interim waste store might give rise to security-related questions.

Or. de

Amendment 24
Peter Kouroumbashev, Adam Gierek, Zigmantas Balčytis, Răzvan Popa, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Theresa Griffin, Clare Moody

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10) The Programme should also ensure dissemination of knowledge gained from the Programme to all Member States, in coordination and synergy with the other relevant Union programme for decommissioning activities in Bulgaria, Slovakia and the Commission's Joint Research Centre; *as such* measures bring the greatest Union added value.

(10) The Programme should also ensure dissemination of knowledge gained from the Programme to all Member States, in coordination and synergy with the other relevant Union programme for decommissioning activities in Bulgaria, Slovakia and the Commission's Joint Research Centre. *In order for these measures to bring the greatest Union added value, the funding for dissemination of knowledge should not be part of the funding for decommissioning works, but should come from other financial sources of the Union.*

Or. en

Amendment 25
Werner Langen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) The decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant should be carried out with recourse to the best available technical expertise, and with due regard to the nature and technological specifications of the installations to be decommissioned, in order to ensure safety and the highest possible efficiency, thus taking into account international best practices.

Amendment

(11) The decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant should be carried out with recourse to the best available technical expertise, and with due regard to the nature and technological specifications of the installations to be decommissioned, in order to ensure safety and the highest possible efficiency, thus taking into account international best practices ***and specialists***.

Or. de

Amendment 26
Zigmantas Balčytis, Peter Kouroumbashev

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) An effective monitoring and control of the evolution of the decommissioning process should be ensured by the Commission and Lithuania in order to assure the highest Union added value of the funding allocated under this Regulation, ***although the ultimate responsibility for the decommissioning rests with Lithuania***. This includes effective measurement of progress and performance, and the enacting of corrective measures where necessary.

Amendment

(12) An effective monitoring and control of the evolution of the decommissioning process should be ensured by the Commission and Lithuania in order to assure the highest Union added value of the funding allocated under this Regulation. This includes effective measurement of progress and performance, and the enacting of corrective measures where necessary.

Or. en

Amendment 27
Werner Langen

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) An effective monitoring and control of the evolution of the decommissioning process should be ensured by the Commission and Lithuania in order to assure the highest Union added value of the funding allocated under this Regulation, although the ultimate responsibility for the decommissioning rests with Lithuania. This includes effective **measurement** of progress and performance, **and** the enacting of corrective measures **where necessary**.

Amendment

(12) An effective monitoring and control of the evolution of the decommissioning process should be ensured by the Commission and Lithuania in order to assure the highest Union added value of the funding allocated under this Regulation, although the ultimate responsibility for the decommissioning rests with Lithuania. This includes effective **monitoring** of progress and performance **and, where necessary**, the enacting of corrective measures **together with Lithuania and the EU**.

Or. de

Amendment 28
Jaromír Kohlíček

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) The programme should be conducted with a joint financial effort of the Union and Lithuania. **A maximum Union co-financing threshold should be established** in line with the co-financing practice established under the predecessor programmes. **Taking into account the practice of comparable Union programmes and the strengthened Lithuanian economy, from the inception of the Ignalina decommissioning programme until the end of the implementation of the activities financed under this Regulation, the Union co-financing rate should be no higher than 80 % of eligible costs. The remaining co-financing should be provided by Lithuania and** sources **other than the Union budget**, notably from international

Amendment

(16) The programme should be conducted with a joint financial effort of the Union and Lithuania in line with the co-financing practice established under the predecessor programmes. **Effort to attract funding from other** sources, notably from international financial institutions and other donors **should be made**.

financial institutions and other donors.

Or. en

Amendment 29

Peter Kouroumbashev, Adam Gierek, Zigmantas Balčytis, Răzvan Popa, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Clare Moody, Theresa Griffin

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) The programme should be conducted with a joint financial effort of the Union and Lithuania. ***A maximum*** Union co-financing threshold should be established in line with the co-financing practice established under the predecessor programmes. Taking into account the ***practice of comparable Union*** programmes and the ***strengthened Lithuanian economy***, from the inception of the Ignalina decommissioning programme until the end of the implementation of the activities financed under this Regulation, ***the Union co-financing rate*** should be no ***higher than 80 %*** of eligible costs. The remaining co-financing should be provided by Lithuania and sources other than the Union budget, notably from international financial institutions and other donors.

Amendment

(16) The programme should be conducted with a joint financial effort of the Union and Lithuania. ***Protocol 4 of the 2003 Act of Accession stipulates that the Union contribution under the Ignalina Programme may, for certain measures, amount to up to 100% of the total expenditure.*** A Union co-financing threshold should be established in line with the co-financing practice established under the predecessor programmes. Taking into account the ***findings of the 2018 Commission report on the evaluation and implementation of the EU nuclear decommissioning assistance programmes in Bulgaria, Slovakia and Lithuania*** and the ***political commitment by Lithuania to contribute as a maximum 14% of the overall decommissioning cost, the Union co-financing rate***, from the inception of the Ignalina decommissioning programme until the end of the implementation of the activities financed under this Regulation, should be no ***lower than 86 %*** of eligible costs. The remaining co-financing should be provided by Lithuania and sources other than the Union budget, notably from international financial institutions and other donors.

Or. en

Justification

The purpose of the increase of the ratio of co-financing is due to the already agreed obligation, undertaken under point 6, of Article 2 of Protocol 4 on the Ignalina nuclear

power plant in Lithuania, stipulating that the contribution under the Ignalina Programme may amount to up to 100% of the total expenditure. Furthermore, the total nuclear decommissioning costs, necessary for the Ignalina nuclear power plant, put disproportionate burden on the Lithuanian national budget expenditures.

Amendment 30

Algirdas Saudargas, Bronis Ropė, Laima Liucija Andrikienė

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) The programme should be conducted with a joint financial effort of the Union and Lithuania. ***A maximum*** Union co-financing threshold should be established in line with the co-financing practice established under the predecessor programmes. Taking into account the ***practice of comparable Union programmes and the strengthened Lithuanian economy***, from the inception of the Ignalina decommissioning programme until the end of the implementation of the activities financed under this Regulation, ***the Union co-financing rate*** should be no ***higher than 80 %*** of eligible costs. The remaining co-financing should be provided by Lithuania and sources other than the Union budget, notably from international financial institutions and other donors.

Amendment

(16) The programme should be conducted with a joint financial effort of the Union and Lithuania. ***Protocol 4 of the 2003 Act of Accession stipulates that the Union contribution under the Ignalina Programme may, for certain measures, amount to up to 100% of the total expenditure.*** A Union co-financing threshold should be established in line with the co-financing practice established under the predecessor programmes. Taking into account the ***findings of the 2018 Commission report on the evaluation and implementation of the EU nuclear decommissioning assistance programmes in Bulgaria, Slovakia and Lithuania and the political commitment by Lithuania to contribute as a maximum 14% of the overall decommissioning cost, the Union co-financing rate***, from the inception of the Ignalina decommissioning programme until the end of the implementation of the activities financed under this Regulation, should be no ***less than 86 %*** of eligible costs. The remaining co-financing should be provided by Lithuania and sources other than the Union budget, notably from international financial institutions and other donors.

Or. en

Amendment 31

Zigmantas Balčytis, Peter Kouroumbashev

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) The programme should be conducted with a joint financial effort of the Union and Lithuania. A maximum Union co-financing threshold should be established in line with the co-financing practice established under the predecessor programmes. Taking into account the practice of comparable Union programmes and the strengthened Lithuanian economy, from the inception of the Ignalina decommissioning programme until the end of the implementation of the activities financed under this Regulation, the Union co-financing rate should be **no higher than 80** % of eligible costs. The remaining co-financing should be provided by Lithuania and sources other than the Union budget, notably from international financial institutions and other donors.

Amendment

(16) The programme should be conducted with a joint financial effort of the Union and Lithuania. A maximum Union co-financing threshold should be established in line with the co-financing practice established under the predecessor programmes. Taking into account the practice of comparable Union programmes and the strengthened Lithuanian economy, from the inception of the Ignalina decommissioning programme until the end of the implementation of the activities financed under this Regulation, the Union co-financing rate should be **retained at current 86** % of eligible costs. The remaining co-financing should be provided by Lithuania and sources other than the Union budget, notably from international financial institutions and other donors.

Or. en

Amendment 32
Jaromír Kohlíček

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

(19) The Programme falls within the scope of the Lithuanian National Programme under the Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom.

Amendment

(19) The Programme falls within the scope of the Lithuanian National Programme under the Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom **and may contribute to its implementation without prejudice to this Directive.**

Or. en

Amendment 33
Carolina Punset

PE628.644v01-00

10/19

AM\1165441EN.docx

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 23 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 a) Due to historical reasons, Union financial support in decommissioning the Ignalina nuclear reactor is fully justified, but the program should not set a precedent for the use of Union funds in the decommissioning of other nuclear reactors. It should be an ethical obligation for each Member State to avoid any undue burden on future generations in respect of spent fuel and radioactive waste, including any radioactive waste expected from decommissioning of existing nuclear installations. National policies have to be based on the "polluter pays principle".

Or. en

Justification

Recital 24 of Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom. Member States have to make sure that the prime responsibility for the safety of spent fuel and radioactive waste management facilities and/or activities rests with the licence holder, while bearing ultimate responsibility for the management of the spent fuel and radioactive waste generated on their territory. Article 4(3)(e) of Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom.

Amendment 34
Carolina Punset

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 23 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(23 b) Commission Recommendation 2006/851/Euratom indicates that in accordance with the "polluter pays principle", nuclear operators should set aside adequate financial resources during the productive life of the installations for the future decommissioning costs.

Or. en

Amendment 35
Jaromír Kohlíček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist Lithuania in implementing Ignalina nuclear power plant decommissioning, with specific emphasis on managing the radiological safety challenges of the decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant, *whilst ensuring broad dissemination to all EU Member States of knowledge thereby generated on nuclear decommissioning.*

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist Lithuania in implementing Ignalina nuclear power plant decommissioning, with specific emphasis on managing the radiological safety challenges of the decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant.

Or. en

Amendment 36
Werner Langen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist Lithuania in implementing Ignalina nuclear power plant decommissioning, with specific emphasis on managing the radiological safety challenges of the decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant, whilst ensuring broad dissemination to all EU Member States of knowledge thereby generated on nuclear *decommissioning.*

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist Lithuania in implementing Ignalina nuclear power plant decommissioning, with specific emphasis on managing the radiological safety challenges of the decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant, whilst ensuring broad dissemination to all EU Member States of knowledge thereby generated on *decommissioning old nuclear facilities. This also concerns the security-related questions raised by the interim waste store in the Ignalina region.*

Or. de

Amendment 37
Rolandas Paksas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist Lithuania in implementing Ignalina nuclear power plant decommissioning, with specific emphasis on managing the radiological safety challenges of the decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant, *whilst ensuring broad dissemination to all EU Member States of knowledge thereby generated on nuclear decommissioning.*

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to **fully** assist Lithuania in **safely** implementing Ignalina nuclear power plant decommissioning, with specific emphasis on managing the radiological safety challenges of the decommissioning of the Ignalina nuclear power plant.

Or. lt

Amendment 38
Jaromír Kohlíček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Programme has the specific objective to carry out the dismantling and decontamination of the Ignalina equipment and reactor shafts in accordance with the decommissioning plan, continue with the safe management of the decommissioning and legacy waste *and disseminate the generated knowledge among EU stakeholders.*

Amendment

2. The Programme has the **main** specific objective to carry out the dismantling and decontamination of the Ignalina equipment and reactor shafts in accordance with the decommissioning plan, continue with the safe management of the decommissioning and legacy waste.

Or. en

Amendment 39
Werner Langen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Programme has the ***specific*** objective to carry out the dismantling and decontamination of the Ignalina equipment and reactor shafts in accordance with the decommissioning plan, continue with the safe management of the decommissioning and legacy waste ***and disseminate the generated knowledge among EU stakeholders.***

Amendment

2. The Programme has the ***main*** objective to carry out the dismantling and decontamination of the Ignalina equipment and reactor shafts in accordance with the decommissioning plan ***and to*** continue with the safe management of the decommissioning and legacy waste.

Or. de

Amendment 40
Jaromír Kohlíček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The main specific objective shall be complemented by the aim to disseminate the knowledge gained from the Programme among Union stakeholders.

Or. en

Amendment 41
Werner Langen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The Programme also has the complementary objective to ensure broad dissemination of the knowledge generated on decommissioning nuclear facilities with the same type of reactor in other Member States.

Or. de

Amendment 42
Werner Langen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. *The* detailed description of the *specific* objective is set out in *the* Annex I. The Commission may *modify, by means* of implementing acts, *the Annex I*, in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 12(2).

Amendment

3. A detailed description of the *main* objective is set out in Annex I. The Commission may *amend Annex I by way* of implementing acts in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 12(2).

Or. de

Amendment 43
Peter Kouroumbashev, Adam Gierek, Zigmantas Balčytis, Răzvan Popa, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Clare Moody, Theresa Griffin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021 - 2027 shall be EUR **552 000 000** in current prices.

Amendment

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021 - 2027 shall be EUR **780 000 000** in current prices, *dedicated exclusively for decommissioning activities as part of the implementation of the main objective of the Programme.*

Or. en

Justification

The financial envelope shall be dedicated exclusively for decommissioning activities in order to assure that the funding for dissemination of knowledge is not part of the funding for decommissioning works. Furthermore, the financial envelope shall be increased from EUR 542 000 000 to EUR 780 000 000 in order to allow the beginning of the process of dismantling Unit 2 of the Ignalina nuclear powerplant as early as 2026 .

Amendment 44
Jaromír Kohlíček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021 - 2027 shall be EUR **552 000 000** in current prices.

Amendment

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021 - 2027 shall be EUR **780 000 000** in current prices.

Or. en

Amendment 45
Zigmantas Balčytis, Peter Kouroumbashev

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021 - 2027 shall be EUR **552 000 000** in current prices.

Amendment

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021 - 2027 shall be EUR **780 000 000** in current prices.

Or. en

Amendment 46
Algirdas Saudargas, Bronis Ropė, Laima Liucija Andrikienė

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021 - 2027 shall be EUR **552 000 000** in current prices.

Amendment

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021 - 2027 shall be EUR **780 000 000** in current prices.

Or. en

Amendment 47
Werner Langen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021 - 2027 shall be EUR **552 000 000** in current prices.

Amendment

1. The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2021-2027 shall be EUR **683 000 000** in current prices.

Or. de

Justification

This is a realistic figure, according to the Commission's latest calculations. The EUR 131 000 000 increase will enable a seamless transition to decommissioning the second reactor and will cover all running costs.

Amendment 48
Jaromír Kohlíček

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The overall maximum Union co-financing rate applicable under the Programme shall be no higher than 80%. The remaining financing shall be provided by Lithuania and additional sources other than the Union budget.

Amendment

Every effort should be made to continue co-financing practise as established under the pre-accession assistance and the assistance provided over the previous Multiannual Financial Programmes for the decommissioning efforts of Lithuania, as well as to attract co-financing from other sources as appropriate.

Or. en

Amendment 49
Peter Kouroumbashev, Adam Gierek, Zigmantas Balčytis, Răzvan Popa, Miapetra Kumpula-Natri, Clare Moody, Theresa Griffin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The overall maximum Union co-financing rate applicable under the Programme shall
AM\1165441EN.docx

Amendment

The overall maximum Union co-financing rate applicable under the Programme shall

17/19

PE628.644v01-00

be no **higher than 80%**. **The remaining financing shall be provided by Lithuania and additional sources other than the Union budget.**

be no **lower than 86%**. Lithuania **shall contribute as a maximum 14% of the overall decommissioning costs.**

Or. en

Amendment 50

Algirdas Saudargas, Bronis Ropė, Laima Liucija Andrikienė

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The overall maximum Union co-financing rate applicable under the Programme shall be no **higher than 80%**. The remaining financing shall be provided by Lithuania and additional sources other than the Union budget.

Amendment

The overall maximum Union co-financing rate applicable under the Programme shall be no **less than 86%**. The remaining financing shall be provided by Lithuania and additional sources other than the Union budget.

Or. en

Amendment 51

Zigmantas Balčytis, Peter Kouroumbashev

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The overall maximum Union co-financing rate applicable under the Programme shall **be no higher than 80%**. The remaining financing shall be provided by Lithuania and additional sources other than the Union budget.

Amendment

The overall maximum Union co-financing rate applicable under the Programme shall **remain at current 86%**. The remaining financing shall be provided by Lithuania and additional sources other than the Union budget.

Or. en

Amendment 52

Werner Langen

Proposal for a regulation

PE628.644v01-00

18/19

AM\1165441EN.docx

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The overall maximum Union co-financing rate applicable under the Programme shall be no higher than 80%. ***The remaining financing shall be provided by Lithuania and*** additional sources other than the Union budget.

Amendment

The overall maximum Union co-financing rate applicable under the Programme shall be no higher than 80%. ***Lithuania shall bear no more than 20% of the overall decommissioning cost. Any costs in excess of the EUR 683 000 000 should*** be provided ***from*** additional sources other than the Union budget.

Or. de

Justification

The Commission's proposal to fix the maximum co-financing rate at 80% will ensure Lithuania's continuing motivation for cost-efficient programme implementation.

Amendment 53 **Jaromír Kohlíček**

Proposal for a regulation **Annex I – point 7**

Text proposed by the Commission

7. The disposal of spent fuel and radioactive waste in a deep geological repository ***is excluded from the scope*** of the Programme, ***and has to be developed by Lithuania in its national programme for the*** management of spent fuel and radioactive waste ***as required by Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom.***

Amendment

7. The ***final*** disposal of spent fuel and radioactive waste in a deep geological repository ***being essential for reaching the main specific objective*** of the Programme, ***Union assistance may contribute to certain measures related to the implementation of the deep geological repository in line with the decommissioning plan, without prejudice to the relevant Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom on the responsible and safe*** management of spent fuel and radioactive waste.

Or. en