



2018/0216(COD)

19.12.2018

AMENDMENTS 1137 - 1438

Draft opinion

Giovanni La Via

(PE630.523v01-00)

Establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the Common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)

Proposal for a regulation

(COM(2018)0392 – C8-0248/2018 – 2018/0216(COD))

Amendment 1137

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States may only grant support under this type of interventions for tangible and/or intangible investments, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6. Support to the forestry sector shall be based on a forest management plan or equivalent instrument.

Amendment

2. Member States may only grant support under this type of interventions for tangible and/or intangible investments, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6, ***demonstrably have no harmful effects on the environment, and do not contribute to polluting activities***. Support to the forestry sector shall be based on a forest management plan or equivalent instrument.

Or. de

Amendment 1138

Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States may only grant support under this type of interventions for tangible and/or intangible investments, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6. Support to the forestry sector shall be based on a forest management plan or equivalent instrument.

Amendment

2. Member States may only grant support under this type of interventions for tangible and/or intangible investments ***carried out by genuine farmers as defined in Article 4(1)(d)***, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6. Support to the forestry sector shall be based on a forest management plan or equivalent instrument.

Or. it

Amendment 1139

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States may only grant support under this type of interventions for tangible and/or intangible investments, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6. Support to the forestry sector shall be based on a forest management plan or equivalent instrument.

Amendment

2. Member States may only grant support under this type of interventions for tangible and/or intangible investments, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6, ***provided that they do not affect the environment.*** Support to the forestry sector shall be based on a forest management plan or equivalent instrument.

Or. en

Amendment 1140
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States may only grant support under this type of interventions for tangible and/or intangible investments, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6. Support to the forestry sector shall be based on a forest management plan or equivalent instrument.

Amendment

2. Member States may only grant support under this type of interventions for tangible and/or intangible, ***including non-productive*** investments, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6. Support to the forestry sector shall be based on a forest management plan or equivalent instrument.

Or. en

Amendment 1141
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***Member States may only grant***

Amendment

2. tangible and/or intangible

*support under this type of interventions for tangible and/or intangible investments, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6. Support to the forestry sector shall be based on a forest management **plan or equivalent instrument.***

investments, which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6. Support to the forestry sector shall be based on forest management *plans, targeting planting forests native to Member States and the practice of continuous cover;*

Or. en

Justification

It is important the forests that are planted are native to their countries to protect biodiversity.

Amendment 1142 **Nessa Childers**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 68 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Non-Productive investments can include:

(a) intangible studies and investments associated with the maintenance, restoration and upgrading of the cultural and natural heritage of villages, rural landscapes and high nature value sites, including related socio-economic aspects, as well as environmental awareness actions;

(b) the drawing up and updating of plans for the development of municipalities and villages in rural areas and their basic services and of protection and management plans relating to Natura 2000 sites and other areas of high nature value;

(c) investments targeting the relocation of activities and conversion of buildings or other facilities located within or close to rural settlements, with a view to improving the quality of life or increasing the environmental performance of the

settlement.

Or. en

Justification

The new CAP has left out the article 20 Basic services and village renewal in rural areas and included it under this general investment article. It is important to keep some of the basic elements of this article, specifically for environmental purposes.

Amendment 1143

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Non-Productive investments can include: (i) intangible studies and investments associated with the maintenance, restoration and upgrading of the cultural and natural heritage of villages, rural landscapes and high nature value sites, including related socio-economic aspects; (ii) the drawing up and updating of plans for the development of municipalities and villages in rural areas and their basic services and of protection and management plans relating to Natura 2000 sites and other areas of high nature value with a view to improving the quality of life or increasing the environmental performance of the settlement.

Or. en

Amendment 1144

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States may only grant support to investments in infrastructure on agricultural holdings that simultaneously demonstrate positive effects in terms of the environmental, climate and biodiversity objectives set out in Article 6 of this Regulation, on the one hand, and on the business situation of the agricultural holding, on the other.

Or. en

Justification

The Commission proposal (Article 92) calls for higher ambition with regard to climate- and environmental-related objectives. Ensuring that investments in physical assets, which currently account for almost 23% of rural development spending, contribute to meeting these goals is a concrete contribution to making Article 92 a reality.

Amendment 1145

Françoise Grossetête, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall establish a list of ineligible investments and categories of expenditure, including at least the following:

The ineligible investments and categories of expenditure shall be the following:

Or. fr

Amendment 1146

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) investments in renewable energy where the Bioenergy Sustainability Report, namely assessment of feedstock availability as a mandatory element of it, would indicate that the most efficient use of, in particular woody, biomass in accordance with cascading use principle could be undermined;

Or. en

Amendment 1147

Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) purchase of land *with the exception of land purchase for environmental conservation or land purchased by young farmers through the use of financial instruments;*

(c) purchase of land;

Or. en

Justification

Land purchases for any reason must be excluded.

Amendment 1148

Merja Kyllönen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) purchase of land with the exception of land purchase for environmental conservation or land purchased *by young*

(c) purchase of land with the exception of land purchase for environmental conservation, *purchase of land not built*

farmers through the use of financial instruments;

on and land built on up to 10 % of the total eligible expenditure for the operation concerned or land purchased through the use of financial instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 1149

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events;

Amendment

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events; *animals used in place of machines in difficult terrain to protect the landscape or to guard against large predators are also exempted from this point;*

Or. de

Amendment 1150

Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events;

Amendment

d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events *and for replacing livestock lost as a result of attacks by predator species;*

Or. es

Amendment 1151

Fredrick Federley, Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events;

Amendment

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events ***or replacement of livestock lost due to attacks by large carnivores;***

Or. en

Amendment 1152

Françoise Grossetête, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events;

Amendment

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events, ***protection of herds against predators;***

Or. fr

Amendment 1153

Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events;

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events, ***protection of herds against predators***;

Or. fr

Amendment 1154

Michel Dantin, Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events;

(d) purchase of animals, annual plants and their planting other than ***the protection of herds against predation or*** for the purpose of restoring agricultural or forestry potential following natural disaster and catastrophic events;

Or. en

Amendment 1155

Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) interest rate on debt, ***except in relation to grants given in the form of an interest rate subsidy or guarantee fee subsidy***;

(e) interest rate on debt;

Or. en

Amendment 1156
Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

f) investments in irrigation which **are** not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan;

Amendment

f) investments in irrigation which **may** not **be** consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan, ***unless said investments:***

i. enable a potential water saving of between 10 % and 25 %, provided that they feature in a plan for modernising irrigation and that public funding is conditional on the grant claim for the achieved water saving;

ii. enable agricultural production in areas with an annual rainfall less than 500 mm;

iii. only affect energy efficiency or the progress of actions prompting management of the available supply, the creation of a reservoir or the use of recycled water not affecting a body of ground or surface water.

Or. es

Amendment 1157
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC,

Amendment

(f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC,

including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan;

including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan, *or irrigation schemes without water metering, or irrigation schemes that do not implement advanced and effective protection against soil erosion, or do not increase humus levels and topsoil formation;*

Or. en

Justification

Rules from the previous rural development regulation have been drastically simplified by the Commission in its current proposal. However, given that climate change is accelerating and we have just witnessed the hottest and driest summers on record, it is imperative to be extremely careful about water use. We put back obligations to meter water use as per existing rules, and add obligations to protect topsoil and build humus in order to increase water retention capacity of the irrigated soil.

Amendment 1158

Susanne Melior, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation ***affecting*** water bodies whose status has been defined as less than ***good*** in the relevant ***river basin*** management plan;

Amendment

(f) investments in irrigation ***which do not lead to a net reduction in the water used for irrigation in that catchment area and*** which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including ***investments which are associated with the expansion of irrigation and/or an increased risk of diffuse pollution, particularly where this affects*** water bodies whose status has been defined as ***any*** less than ***‘good’*** in the relevant management plan;

Or. de

Amendment 1159
Francesc Gambús

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan;

Amendment

(f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan, ***except if the investment results in better water management and water conservation.***

Or. en

Amendment 1160
Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan;

Amendment

f) investments in irrigation ***and drainage*** which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan, ***unless such investments contribute to an actual water saving.***

Or. es

Amendment 1161
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan;

Amendment

f) investments in irrigation ***which are not demonstrably connected with drought risk reduction and*** which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan;

Or. cs

Amendment 1162
Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of ***water*** bodies, ***as laid down in Article 4(1)*** of Directive 2000/60/EC, ***including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan;***

Amendment

(f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of bodies ***within the meaning*** of Directive 2000/60/EC ***for reasons associated with water quantity, unless they are compliant with the principles described in Article 4(7) of Directive 2000/60/EC;***

Or. fr

Amendment 1163
Michel Dantin, Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies, ***as laid down in Article 4(1) of Directive 2000/60/EC, including expansion of irrigation affecting water bodies whose status has been defined as less than good in the relevant river basin management plan;***

(f) investments in irrigation which are not consistent with the achievement of good status of water bodies ***within the meaning of Directive 2000/60/EC, for reasons relating to the quantity of water, unless they are compatible with the principles described in Article 4(7) of Directive 2000/60/EC;***

Or. en

Justification

This amendment aims to propose an alternative to the Commission's proposal to make certain investments in irrigation eligible if they are compatible with the principles described in Article 4 (7) of the Water Framework Directive.

Amendment 1164

Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

g) investments in large infrastructures not being part of local development strategies;

g) investments in large infrastructures not being part of local development strategies ***and contravening the fulfilment of obligations arising from the legislative instruments referred to in Annex XI.***

Or. es

Amendment 1165

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g) investments in large infrastructures

(g) investments in large infrastructures,

not being part of local development strategies;

in particular for livestock operations, not being part of local development strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 1166
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) investments in *afforestation* which are not consistent with *climate and environmental objectives in line with sustainable forest management* principles, as developed in the *Pan-European Guidelines for Afforestation and Reforestation*.

Amendment

(h) investments in *infrastructures which have the effect of increasing stocking densities of food-producing animals*; and which are not consistent with *the recommendations to support good animal health and welfare to reduce antimicrobial use, and the principles laid down in the Council Directive 98/58/EC concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes, in particular the provision of housing, food, water and care appropriate to the physiological and ethological needs of the animals, in accordance with established experience and scientific knowledge*.

Or. en

Amendment 1167
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) investments in afforestation which are not consistent with climate and environmental objectives in line with sustainable forest management principles, as developed in the Pan-European Guidelines for Afforestation and

Amendment

(h) investments in afforestation which are not consistent with climate and environmental objectives in line with sustainable forest management principles, as developed in the Pan-European Guidelines for Afforestation and

Reforestation.

Reforestation, *in particular afforestation of species-rich meadows and pastures.*

Or. en

Amendment 1168

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) investments in afforestation which are not consistent with climate and environmental objectives in line with sustainable forest management principles, as developed in the Pan-European Guidelines for Afforestation and Reforestation.

Amendment

(h) investments in afforestation *and forest restoration* which are not consistent *with forest management plans, and* with climate and environmental objectives in line with sustainable forest management principles, as developed in the Pan-European Guidelines for Afforestation and Reforestation.

Or. en

Amendment 1169

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ha) investments leading to an increase in the number of animals and/or the stocking density of the holding benefiting from the investments.

Or. en

Amendment 1170

Tilly Metz, Sirpa Pietikäinen, Petras Auštrevičius, Jytte Guteland, John Flack, Eleonora Evi, Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ha) investments in infrastructures which are not consistent with recommendations to support good animal health and animal welfare, and the principles laid down in Council Directive 98/58/EC concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes, in particular the provision of housing, food, water and care appropriate to the physiological and ethological needs of the animals, in accordance with established experience and best available scientific knowledge.

Or. en

Justification

EAFRD should not provide support for unsustainable investments. High standards of animal welfare and the protection animal and human health and are an essential part of sustainable development. Investments in infrastructures which cannot meet animal health and animal welfare requirements, as for example intensive animal confinement systems such as enriched cages for hens and stalls for sows, should thus be considered as ineligible for support.

Amendment 1171

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ha) investments in bio-energy products which do not meet the sustainability criteria set out in the Renewable Energy Directive, including limits on particular raw materials.

Or. de

Amendment 1172

Jytte Guteland, Marita Ulvskog, Olle Ludvigsson, Anna Hedh, Aleksander Gabelic

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ha) investments in infrastructure that do not comply with recommendations for good animal welfare, and principles contained in Council Directive 98/58/EC on the protection of animals in agriculture.

Or. sv

Justification

The European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) should not support unsustainable investments. High standards of animal welfare and the protection of animal and human health is an essential part of sustainable agriculture development.

Amendment 1173

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ha) investments that may increase already-observed overproduction or market imbalances at the regional, national or European level.

Or. en

Justification

Support to investments shall not be granted to physical assets that may increase already-observed overproduction. For example, the CAP should be able to support investments aimed at increasing milk production capacities in regions facing overproduction.

Amendment 1174
Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ha) livestock farmers or businesses that assign all or part of their production to bullfighting remain excluded.

Or. es

Amendment 1175
Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(hb) investments for producing bioenergy that are inconsistent with the sustainability criteria laid down in the Renewable Energy Directive, including restrictions on certain types of raw materials, remain excluded.

This list of ineligible investments shall also apply to the types of sectoral interventions laid down in Chapter III.

Or. es

Amendment 1176
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In order to contribute to EU objectives, investments proposed by a management plan or other instrument implementing

the EU acquis that is subject to infringement, at any stage of infringement procedure, should be automatically considered ineligible investments without them being explicitly listed.

For this purpose, different Directorates General of the Commission should closely cooperate and in case of faulty provision of the funds ensure suspension of the funding, including ex-post recovery.

Or. en

Amendment 1177

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In reference to point h), the selection of species to be planted, of areas and of methods to be used shall avoid the inappropriate afforestation of sensitive habitats such as peat lands and wetlands and negative effects on areas of high ecological value including areas under high natural value farming. On sites designated as Natura 2000 pursuant to Council Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC only afforestation consistent with the management objectives of the sites concerned and agreed with the Member State's authority in charge of implementing Natura 2000 shall be allowed. The support should not be provided for investments in afforestation by an invasive or potentially invasive species, for the planting of trees for short rotation coppicing, Christmas trees or fast growing trees for energy production. Furthermore, for areas which are susceptible to forest fires, and which received support from EAFRD in 2007-

2013 or 2014-2020 for prevention of natural disasters, namely fire, or for restoration action following fire, the precondition for funding will be an active involvement of authorities on ecology and management of forest fires in any restoration and afforestation action.

Or. en

Justification

Most of the wording is taken from the delegated regulation to Reg 1307/2013 related to current measure 8 of the RDP. Further, given the reoccurrence of fires, which is in the end a natural element, we should be helping forests and landscape to be more resilient to fires. For this purpose, experts on forest fire ecology should be involved in investments in fire prevention or restoration action.

Amendment 1178

Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Points (a), (b), (d) and (g) of the first subparagraph shall not apply where support is provided through financial instruments.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

This paragraph undermines the purpose of the entire article.

Amendment 1179

Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Points (a), (b), (d) and (g) of the first subparagraph shall not apply where support is provided through financial instruments.

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 1180
Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall limit the support to the maximum rate of 75% of the eligible costs.

Member States shall limit the support to the maximum rate of 75% of the eligible costs. ***Member States must set a priority for investments made by young farmers as defined in Article 4(1)(e) of this Regulation.***

Or. it

Amendment 1181
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) afforestation and non-productive investments linked to the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1);

(a) afforestation, ***creation and regeneration of agroforestry systems***, and non-productive investments linked to the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1);

Or. en

Justification

In the current CAP “afforestation and creation of agroforestry” is a sub-measure of measure 8-a forest -related measure. To be consistent with other proposed amendments, agroforestry systems are here differentiated from afforestation action. At the same time, their creation and regeneration is not necessarily a non-productive investment, while it can have significant climate and environmental benefits.

Amendment 1182

Luke Ming Flanagan, Marian Harkin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) afforestation and non-productive investments linked to the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1);

Amendment

(a) ***establishment of agroforestry systems***, afforestation and non-productive investments linked to the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1);

Or. en

Justification

Agroforestry systems have many benefits for the environment and increasing farm resilience. Establishment and maintenance of agroforestry areas is not “afforestation” since the land normally remains as “agriculture” in the IACS/LPIS system. Costs for individual tree protection against animals can be high and inclusion of “agroforestry” in this article allows 100% of costs to be eligible for assistance.

Amendment 1183

Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) afforestation and ***non-productive*** investments linked to the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f)

Amendment

a) afforestation, ***regeneration of agroforestry systems*** and investments linked to the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points

of Article 6(1);

(d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1);

Or. es

Justification

Due to the special characteristics of agroforestry systems, at the halfway point between agriculture and forestry, these must be expressly mentioned.

Amendment 1184

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) non-productive investments linked to the specific animal welfare objective set out in point (i) of Article 6(1);

Or. en

Amendment 1185

Michel Dantin, Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) investments in areas subject to natural and/or specific constraints;

Or. en

Amendment 1186

Michel Dantin, Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point b b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bb) investments for the protection of herds against predation;

Or. en

Amendment 1187

Fredrick Federley, Nils Torvalds, Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) investments in the restoration of agricultural or forestry potential following natural disasters or catastrophic events and investments in appropriate preventive actions in forests and in the rural environment.

(c) investments in the restoration of agricultural or forestry potential following natural disasters or catastrophic events and investments in appropriate preventive actions in forests and in the rural environment, ***such as protective measures against attacks by large carnivores.***

Or. en

Amendment 1188

Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) investments in the restoration of agricultural or forestry potential following natural disasters or catastrophic events and investments in appropriate preventive actions in forests and in the rural environment.

c) investments in the restoration of agricultural or forestry potential following ***fires and other*** natural disasters or catastrophic events, ***including pests and disease***, and investments in appropriate preventive actions in forests and in the rural environment.

Or. es

Amendment 1189
Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) Member States may set priority criteria for granting support within the framework of this type of intervention that apply to sectoral contracts and short supply chains and local markets.

Or. it

Amendment 1190
Françoise Grossetête, Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) investments for protecting herds against predators.

Or. fr

Amendment 1191
Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) investments for protecting livestock against attacks by predator species;

Or. es

Amendment 1192
Kateřina Konečná, Jiří Maštálka

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) investments in access to farm and forest land, and land consolidation.

Or. en

Amendment 1193
Françoise Grossetête, Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) multifunctional forestry services and tangible forestry investments in mountain areas and the outermost regions

Or. fr

Amendment 1194
Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) investments made by young farmers;

Or. es

Justification

Support for investments made by young farmers should be improved, given the need to promote generational renewal.

Amendment 1195
Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cc) agricultural investments made in areas facing natural and other specific constraints.

Or. es

Justification

It is vitally important to preserve agricultural activity in these areas, because of their important contribution to maintaining biodiversity.

Amendment 1196
Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By way of derogation from the provisions of subparagraph 3 of this paragraph, Member States shall limit support to the maximum rate of 90% of eligible costs for investment made by young farmers as defined in Article 4(1)(e) of this Regulation.

Or. it

Amendment 1197
Pavel Poc, Jytte Guteland

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

d) investments in access to farm and forest land, and land consolidation.

Or. en

Justification

It is advisable to add land consolidation and agricultural and forestry infrastructure among investments where maximum support can be increased up to 100%, given that it is an investment made in the public interest and not always directly linked only to the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives.

Amendment 1198

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Rural development funds shall not be used to co-finance investments in concentrated animal feeding operations.

Or. en

Amendment 1199

Merja Kyllönen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 69 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Member States may grant support for the installation of young farmers and rural business start-up under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans with the view of contributing to the achievement of the specific objectives set out in Article

1. Member States may grant support for the installation of young farmers and rural business start-up ***and business development activities*** under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans with the view of contributing to the achievement of the specific objectives set out in Article

6.

6.

Or. en

Amendment 1200

Merja Kyllönen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 69 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the business start-up of non-agricultural activities in rural areas ***being part of local development strategies.***

Amendment

(c) the business start-up ***and business development*** of non-agricultural activities in rural areas.

Or. en

Amendment 1201

Florent Marcellesi, Tilly Metz

Proposal for a regulation

Article 69 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States shall make sure that interventions referred to in this article are distributed in a way that contributes to achieving gender equality in rural areas.

Or. en

Amendment 1202

Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 69 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States shall grant support

4. Member States shall grant support

in the form of lump sums. Support shall be limited to the maximum amount of EUR 100 000 and may be combined with financial instruments.

in the form of lump sums. Support shall be limited to the maximum amount of EUR 100 000 *to be allocated to farm investment* and may be combined with financial instruments.

Or. it

Amendment 1203
Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation
Article 69 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 69a

***Support for the transition project -
Funding farming projects***

1. Member States may grant transition project support to genuine farmers under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans with the view of contributing to the achievement of the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

2. The project should be based on a single brief consisting of an initial diagnostic and an action plan detailing:

- the objectives and their consistency with those in the CAP,

- the needs they meet,

- the investments, training, commitments and other actions necessary for achieving these objectives, as well as their costs,

- the risks associated with the project and their coverage,

- the beneficiaries and the project's added value for agriculture,

- the beneficiaries and the project's added value for society,

- an estimate of the needs in terms of public grants for guaranteeing the

project's complete success for tangible and intangible investments, and risk coverage.

3. Member States shall grant support in the form of lump sums. Support shall be limited to the maximum amount of EUR 100 000 and may be combined with financial instruments.

4. The Member State should check on the project completion to justify the public funds used.

5. Member States shall only fund one project under this Article per beneficiary.

Or. fr

Amendment 1204

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States *shall* grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

1. Member States *may* grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Or. de

Justification

no rewarding risky behaviour

Amendment 1205

Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Or. en

Amendment 1206
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Or. en

Amendment 1207
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Or. en

Justification

Costs of climate change related extreme weather are increasing every year; so this category risks swallowing up increasingly large portions of increasingly squeezed Rural Development budget. Making it obligatory for Member States only serves to decrease funding for other Rural Development measures that might affect positive changes to production systems. In addition, Member States should be able to choose.

Amendment 1208

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Or. en

Amendment 1209

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant support for risk management tools under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Or. en

Justification

Some Member States have risk management systems in place under a state aid regime and would like to continue using these systems. Therefore, the risk management tools should be optional.

Amendment 1210

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States **shall** grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Amendment

2. Member States **may** grant support under this type of interventions ***in order to promote risk-reducing agricultural practices which increase resilience against natural hazards and hazards arising from climate change, as well as*** in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Or. de

Justification

no rewarding risky behaviour

Amendment 1211

Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States **shall** grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help ***genuine*** farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Amendment

2. Member States **may** grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6, ***in addition risk mitigation strategies that increase farm resilience and reduce exposure to***

income instability should be supported and encouraged;

Or. en

Justification

Risk mitigation and the prevention of crisis situations developing should be encouraged and be on a par with risk management.

Amendment 1212

Nicola Caputo, Alojz Peterle, José Inácio Faria, Rory Palmer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Amendment

2. Member States shall grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.
These tools may consist of multi-risk management systems.

Or. en

Justification

Member States should respond to all specific objectives listed in Article 6.

Amendment 1213

Bas Eickhout

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States ***shall*** grant support

Amendment

2. Member States ***may*** grant support

under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

under this type of interventions in order to promote risk **mitigation and** management tools, which help genuine farmers **avoid, reduce and** manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are **not foreseen and** outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Or. en

Justification

Costs of climate change related extreme weather are increasing every year; this category risks swallowing up ever larger portions of increasingly squeezed Rural Development budget. Risk management and insurance must not fossilise bad agricultural practices that increase risk of losses. Only farmers who minimise risk (e.g. by climate proofing their systems by building/protecting humus/topsoil, or avoiding monocultural practices and spreading risk with high diversity systems) should benefit from EU funded private insurance.

Amendment 1214 **Christophe Hansen**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 70 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States **shall** grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Amendment

2. Member States **may** grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Or. en

Amendment 1215 **Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 70 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States **shall** grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Amendment

2. Member States **may** grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Or. en

Amendment 1216
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States **shall** grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Amendment

2. Member States **may** grant support under this type of interventions in order to promote risk management tools, which help genuine farmers manage production and income risks related to their agricultural activity which are outside their control and which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Or. en

Amendment 1217
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) ***financial contributions to premiums for insurance schemes;***

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1218

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *financial contributions to premiums for insurance schemes;* **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

Public EU funds for private insurance means CAP funds go to financial institutions, not farmers, where risky practices are currently being insured and spending is likely to increase as climate change kicks in. This not following the principle of budgetary efficiency.

Amendment 1219

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *financial contributions to premiums for insurance schemes;* **deleted**

Or. de

Justification

no rewarding risky behaviour

Amendment 1220

Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *financial contributions to* premiums for insurance *schemes*;

(a) premiums for *crop, animal and plant* insurance *against economic losses to farmers caused by adverse climatic events, animal or plant diseases, pest infestation, or an environmental incident*;

Or. en

Justification

The risk management should better target the situation at farm-level.

Amendment 1221

Nicola Caputo, Alojz Peterle, José Inácio Faria, Rory Palmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) *financial contributions to* mutual funds, *including the administrative cost of setting up*;

(b) mutual funds *to pay financial compensations to farmers for economic losses caused by adverse climatic events, an outbreak of an animal or plant disease, pest infestation, or an environmental incident*;

Or. en

Justification

The risk management should better target the situation at farm-level.

Amendment 1222

Susanne Melior

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) *financial contributions to mutual funds, including the administrative cost of setting up;*

(b) *a list of measures relating to preventing risk and increasing ecological resilience;*

Or. de

Justification

no rewarding risky behaviour

Amendment 1223

Luke Ming Flanagan, Marian Harkin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) *financial contributions to measures which increase farm resilience, including but not limited to, crop diversification strategies and agroforestry systems;*

Or. en

Justification

Agroforestry systems and crop diversification strategies can increase farm resilience.

Amendment 1224

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 3 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) *financial contributions for risk mitigation such as the protection of landscape features and soils that help reducing risks like drought, floods and*

fire.

Or. en

Amendment 1225

Susanne Melior

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *the types and coverage of eligible insurance schemes and mutual funds;* **deleted**

Or. de

Justification

no rewarding risky behaviour

Amendment 1226

Nicola Caputo, José Inácio Faria, Rory Palmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the types and coverage of eligible insurance schemes and mutual funds;

(a) the types and coverage of eligible insurance schemes and mutual funds. ***For the purpose of points (b), (c) and (d) of paragraph 3, ‘mutual fund’ means as scheme accredited by the Member State in accordance with its national law for affiliated farmers to insure themselves, whereby compensation payments are made to affiliated farmers for economic losses caused by the outbreak of adverse climatic events or an animal or plant disease or pest infestation or an environmental incident, or for a severe drop in their income;***

Justification

The risk management should better target the situation at farm-level.

Amendment 1227**Bas Eickhout****Proposal for a regulation****Article 70 – paragraph 4 – point a***Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the types and coverage of eligible ***insurance schemes and*** mutual funds;

Amendment

(a) the types and coverage of eligible mutual funds;

Or. en

Justification

Public EU funds for private insurance means CAP funds go to financial institutions, not farmers, where risky practices are currently being insured and spending is likely to increase as climate change kicks in. This not following the principle of budgetary efficiency.

Amendment 1228**Nicola Caputo, José Inácio Faria, Rory Palmer****Proposal for a regulation****Article 70 – paragraph 4 – point b***Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the methodology for the calculation of losses and triggering factors for compensation;

Amendment

(b) the methodology for the calculation of losses and triggering factors for compensation. ***Support shall only be granted for insurance contracts which cover losses caused by an adverse climatic event, an animal or plant disease, a pest infestation, an environmental incident, or a measure adopted in accordance with Directive 2000/29/EC to eradicate or contain a plant disease, or pest which destroys the production of the farmer.***

Indexes may be used in order to calculate the annual production of the farmer. The calculation method used shall permit the determination of the actual loss of an individual farmer in a given year. The measurement of the extent of the loss caused may be tailored to the specific characteristics of each type of product using:

(i) biological indexes (quantity of biomass loss) or equivalent yield loss indexes established at farm, local, regional or national level, or

(ii) weather indexes (including quantity of rainfall and temperature) established at local, regional or national level;

Or. en

Justification

The risk management should better target the situation at farm-level.

Amendment 1229
Susanne Melior

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) *the rules for the constitution and management of the mutual funds.*

Amendment

(c) *the methods used to establish that the recipient took all risk avoidance measures before being considered entitled to compensation.*

Or. de

Justification

no rewarding risky behaviour

Amendment 1230
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) Public money shall only be awarded for an insurance premium, if a beneficiary undertakes to implement mitigation measures to minimise their exposure to risk.

Or. en

Justification

Costs of climate change related extreme weather are increasing every year; so this category risks swallowing up increasingly large portions of increasingly squeezed rural development budget. Risk management and insurance must not fossilise bad agricultural practices that increase risk of losses. So only farmers who minimise risk (e.g. by climate proofing their systems by building/protecting humus/topsoil, or those avoiding monocultural practices and spreading risk with high diversity systems), would benefit from private insurance using public EU funds.

Amendment 1231
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Support shall not be granted to farmers that have failed to avoid risks through good management as required by GAEC and conditionality.

Or. en

Amendment 1232
Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall ensure that support is granted only for covering losses of at least 20% of the average annual production or income of the farmer in the preceding three-year period or a three-year average based on the preceding five-year period excluding the highest and lowest entry.

Amendment

5. Member States shall ensure that support is granted only for covering losses of at least 20% of the average annual production, **revenue** or income of the farmer in the preceding three-year period or a three-year average based on the preceding five-year period excluding the highest and lowest entry. **Support shall be in line with the production, revenue or income that can be achieved.**

Or. it

Amendment 1233
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Member States shall ensure that support is granted only for covering losses of at least **20%** of the average annual production or income of the farmer in the preceding three-year period or a three-year average based on the preceding five-year period excluding the highest and lowest entry.

Amendment

5. Member States shall ensure that support is granted only **where risk mitigation or minimisation measures have been undertaken and** for covering losses of at least **30%** of the average annual production or income of the farmer in the preceding three-year period or a three-year average based on the preceding five-year period excluding the highest and lowest entry.

Or. en

Amendment 1234
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. Member **States** shall limit the support to the maximum rate of **70%** of the eligible costs.

6. Member **States** shall limit the support to the maximum rate of **50%** of the eligible costs.

Or. en

Justification

This is not sustainable given budget restrictions - the COM draft will oblige more Member States spending on this category, generally increasing public/CAP expenditure from an increasingly squeezed rural development budget on private insurance, benefitting private financial institutions, without obliging any positive change to mitigate or reduce those risks in the first place.

Amendment 1235
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Member States shall ensure that overcompensation as a result of the combination of the interventions under this Article with other public **or private** risk management schemes is avoided.

7. Member States shall ensure that overcompensation as a result of the combination of the interventions under this Article with other public risk management schemes is avoided.

Or. en

Amendment 1236
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 71 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. Member States shall not support interventions that risk resulting in negative effects for the environment.

Justification

Funding toward intensification should not be granted.

Amendment 1237

Nicola Caputo, Alojz Peterle, José Inácio Faria, Rory Palmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. In the case of cooperation in the context of farm succession, Member States may grant support only to farmers having reached the retirement age as set under national legislation.

Amendment

7. In the case of cooperation in the context of farm succession ***and with the further aim to encourage generational renewal at farm level***, Member States may grant support only to farmers having reached the retirement age as set under national legislation.

Justification

This form of cooperation must be perceived and used as a way to contribute to the objective of generational renewal.

Amendment 1238

Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

8a. The LEADER initiative, referred to as community-led local development as set out in paragraph 1, shall provide for the active and primary involvement of farms and/or forestry holdings.

Amendment 1239
Luke Ming Flanagan, Marian Harkin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States may grant support for agricultural, forestry and rural business knowledge exchange and information under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

1. Member States may grant support for agricultural, **agroforestry**, forestry and rural business knowledge exchange and information under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Or. en

Amendment 1240
Pavel Poc, Jytte Guteland

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **may** grant support for agricultural, forestry and rural business knowledge exchange and information under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

1. Member States **shall** grant support for agricultural, forestry and rural business knowledge exchange and information under the conditions set out in this Article and as further specified in their CAP Strategic Plans.

Or. en

Amendment 1241
Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Under this type of interventions

Amendment

2. Under this type of interventions

Member States may cover costs of any relevant action to promote innovation, access to training and advice and exchange and dissemination of knowledge and information which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Member States may cover costs of any relevant action, ***primarily among young farmers***, to promote innovation, access to training and advice and exchange and dissemination of knowledge and information which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Or. it

Amendment 1242
Pavel Poc, Jytte Guteland

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Under this type of interventions Member States ***may*** cover costs of any relevant action to promote innovation, access to training and advice and exchange and dissemination of knowledge and information which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Amendment

2. Under this type of interventions Member States ***and the EU shall*** cover costs of any relevant action to promote innovation, access to training and advice and exchange and dissemination of knowledge and information which contribute to achieving the specific objectives set out in Article 6.

Or. en

Amendment 1243
Francesc Gambús

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall limit the support to a maximum of ***75%*** of the eligible costs.

Amendment

Member States shall limit the support to a maximum of ***100%*** of the eligible costs.

Or. es

Amendment 1244
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States *shall limit the support to a maximum of 75% of the* eligible costs.

Member States *may provide up to 100% of* eligible costs.

Or. en

Amendment 1245
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By way of derogation from the first subparagraph, in the case of setting-up of farm advisory services, Member States may grant support in the form of a fixed amount of maximum EUR 200 000.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

There is no justification for this, 200 000 Euro is a vastly differing sum of money in different Member States.

Amendment 1246
Karl-Heinz Florenz

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 72 a

Support to prevent and mitigate damage

caused by protected large carnivore species

1. Member States may, under the conditions laid down in this Article, support the introduction of measures to protect livestock farms from damages by large carnivore species protected by Council Directive 92/43/EEC and compensate producers for losses deriving from those damages.

2. Member States shall provide total or partial compensation for the additional costs and loss of income taking place as a result of the prevention measures or the casualties to herds as a result of these damages.

3. Member States shall define the types of losses as well as the preventive measures that will be covered by the compensation referred to in paragraph 2.

Or. en

Justification

As a result of the Habitats Directive, the presence of protected large carnivore species has increased in the European Union, and with it, also attacks on livestock. It is appropriate to introduce a specific intervention within the rural development chapter to compensate farmers for their losses accordingly.

Amendment 1247
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In selecting operations, managing authorities shall ensure the climate, environment and biodiversity proofing of planned interventions.

Or. en

Amendment 1248
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States *may decide to not* apply selection criteria for investment interventions clearly targeting environmental purposes or realised in connection with restoration activities.

Amendment

Member States **shall, in addition**, apply selection criteria for investment interventions clearly targeting environmental purposes or realised in connection with restoration activities.

Or. en

Justification

Environmental selection criteria must always be applied to ensure a level playing field.

Amendment 1249
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Selection criteria may not be defined for operations that ***have received a Seal of Excellence certification under Horizon 2020 or Horizon Europe or*** have been selected under Life +, provided that such operations are consistent with the CAP Strategic Plan.

Amendment

4. Selection criteria may not be defined for operations that have been selected under Life +, provided that such operations are consistent with the CAP Strategic Plan.

Or. en

Justification

This reduces admin for LIFE+ projects, as they have already met entry criteria. Agricultural Natura 2000 areas are in the worst condition of all Natura areas as they receive so little funding and farmers have no incentives to protect species or habitats.

Amendment 1250
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 74 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) payments of management fees and reimbursements of management costs incurred by the bodies implementing the financial instrument. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

Public funds should not be used to cover costs of private financial companies, especially as this draws from increasingly squeezed rural development funds and deprives other effective spending streams paying for nature friendly projects/farming.

Amendment 1251
Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 74 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 74a

Specific financial instruments

1. The Managing Authorities shall establish a fund for granting financial instruments, providing support in the form of guarantees for loans requested by young farmers as defined in Article 4(1)(e) of this Regulation.

2. The Member State may grant up to 50% of the allocation of interventions to be directed to young farmers to the above-mentioned fund.

3. The Member State may apply the financial instrument referred to in this

Article in conjunction with the support referred to in Article 69 of this Regulation.

Or. it

Amendment 1252
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 75

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

75 [...]

deleted

Or. en

Justification

This new instrument would allow even more (public) rural development funds to be shifted towards private insurance schemes, benefitting financial institutions rather than communities, nature and farmers. The EP and Council soundly rejected the last attempt of COM (in the Omnibus regulation mini reform) to transfer rural development resources to EFSI, but the European Commission is attempting through this reform to do the same under another name (InvestEU), leaving less funds for nature conservation, agro-environmental measures, LEADER, etc.

Amendment 1253
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 79 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) payments for areas of natural constraints as laid down under Article 66;

Or. en

Justification

These are currently taking funding from Rural Development (EAFRD) but are used without conditions as top-ups for direct payments; if they remain an unconditional top-up to direct payments, and are applied universally per region or member state on a per hectare basis as are direct payments, it makes sense to move these to the same fund as direct payments (the EAGF).

Amendment 1254

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 79 – paragraph 1 – point b b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bb) risk management tools as laid down in Article 70.

Or. en

Justification

These are set to increasingly use up more and more rural development funds, leaving less funding for nature or communities or agri-environment measures. Moving them to the EAGF makes more space for those environment/community development measures.

Amendment 1255

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 79 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The EAFRD shall finance the types of interventions referred to in Chapter IV of Title III.

2. The EAFRD shall finance the types of interventions referred to in Chapter IV of Title III, ***except those referred to in Articles 66 and 70.***

Or. en

Amendment 1256
Kateřina Konečná, Jiří Maštálka

Proposal for a regulation
Article 80 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Expenditure shall be eligible for contribution from the EAGF and the EAFRD from 1 January of *the year* following *the year of* the approval of the CAP Strategic Plan by the Commission.

Amendment

1. Expenditure shall be eligible for contribution from the EAGF and the EAFRD from 1 January of *relevant financial period. Expenditure will be subject to reimbursement* following the approval of the CAP Strategic Plan by the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1257
Francesc Gambús

Proposal for a regulation
Article 81 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

For the purpose of Article 86(5), the financial allocation of a Member State referred to in the first subparagraph after deduction of the amounts set out in Annex VI and before any transfers according to Article 15 is set out in Annex VII.

Amendment

For the purpose of Article 86(5) *and (5b)*, the financial allocation of a Member State referred to in the first subparagraph after deduction of the amounts set out in Annex VI and before any transfers according to Article 15 is set out in Annex VII.

Or. es

Amendment 1258
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 83 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with

Amendment

4. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with

Article 138 amending Annex IX to review the annual breakdown by Member State to take account of the relevant developments, including the transfers referred to in **Articles 15 and 90**, to make technical adjustments without changing the overall allocations, or to take account of any other change provided for by a legislative act after the adoption of this Regulation.

Article 138 amending Annex IX to review the annual breakdown by Member State to take account of the relevant developments, including the transfers referred to in **Article 90**, to make technical adjustments without changing the overall allocations, or to take account of any other change provided for by a legislative act after the adoption of this Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

Reference to Article 15 is not now relevant here.

Amendment 1259

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission implementing act approving a CAP Strategic Plan pursuant to Article 106(6) shall set the maximum contribution from the EAFRD to the plan. The EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of the amount of eligible public expenditure.

Amendment

The Commission implementing act approving a CAP Strategic Plan pursuant to Article 106(6) shall set the **minimum and** maximum contribution from the EAFRD to the plan. The EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of the amount of eligible public expenditure.

Or. en

Justification

This assures a minimum contribution to rural development, allowing better funding for nature, community development and agri-environmental measures for example, or keeping up with the expected increased RD expenditure for private insurance, digitisation etc., which otherwise would detract from funding environment/community friendly sustainable rural development.

Amendment 1260
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 85 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The minimum EAFRD contribution rate shall be **20 %**.

Amendment

The minimum EAFRD contribution rate shall be **10%**.

Or. en

Justification

Provides more flexibility.

Amendment 1261
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 85 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **80 %** for management commitments referred to in Article 65 of this Regulation, for payments under Article 67 of this Regulation, for non-productive investments referred to in Article 68 of this Regulation, for support for the European Innovation Partnership under Article 71 of this Regulation and for the LEADER, referred to as community-led local development in Article 25 of Regulation (EU) [CPR];

Amendment

(a) **85 %** for management commitments referred to in Article 65 of this Regulation, for payments under Article 67 of this Regulation, for non-productive investments referred to in Article 68 of this Regulation, for support for the European Innovation Partnership under Article 71 of this Regulation and for the LEADER, referred to as community-led local development in Article 25 of Regulation (EU) [CPR];

Or. en

Amendment 1262
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 85 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **80** % for management commitments referred to in Article 65 of this Regulation, for payments under Article 67 of this Regulation, for non-productive investments referred to in Article 68 of this Regulation, for support for the European Innovation Partnership under Article 71 of this Regulation and for the LEADER, referred to as community-led local development in Article 25 of Regulation (EU) [CPR];

Amendment

(a) **85** % for management commitments referred to in Article 65 of this Regulation, for payments under Article 67 of this Regulation, for non-productive investments referred to in Article 68 of this Regulation, for support for the European Innovation Partnership under Article 71 of this Regulation and for the LEADER, referred to as community-led local development in Article 25 of Regulation (EU) [CPR];

Or. en

Amendment 1263
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 85 – paragraph 3 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 80% for the installation of young farmers referred to in Article 69

Or. en

Justification

The installation of young farmers is a key priority of the new programming period and should therefore also be financed by 80%.

Amendment 1264
Luke Ming Flanagan

Proposal for a regulation
Article 85 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 100% for operations receiving funding from funds transferred to the

(b) 100% for operations receiving funding from funds transferred to the

EAFRD in accordance with **Articles 15 and 90** of this Regulation.

EAFRD in accordance with **Article 90** of this Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

Reference to Article 15 is not now relevant here.

Amendment 1265
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. At least **5 %** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for LEADER, referred to as community-led local development in Article 25 of Regulation (EU) [CPR].

Amendment

1. At least **10 %** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for LEADER, referred to as community-led local development in Article 25 of Regulation (EU) [CPR].

Or. en

Amendment 1266
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. At least 3% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for farm advisory services referred to in Article 13 and knowledge exchange and information interventions under Article 72.

Or. en

Justification

Farmers need to acquire new types of skills and knowledge in order to take up more sustainable agricultural practices. In the current programming period, knowledge transfer accounts for about 1.2 % of EAFRD spending and advisory services for about 0.9% in the EU-28. These figures are low and suggest that the rhetoric in favour of improving agricultural knowledge is not matched by concrete spending. Earmarking a minimum of 3% of the EAFRD for improving knowledge therefore makes sense.

Amendment 1267

Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66. **deleted**

The first subparagraph does not apply to the outermost regions.

Or. fr

Amendment 1268

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f)

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f)

of Article 6(1) of this Regulation,
excluding interventions based on Article 66.

of Article 6(1) of this Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

Natural constraint areas also contribute to the preservation of the environment.

Amendment 1269

Jytte Guteland, Marita Ulvskog, Olle Ludvigsson, Anna Hedh, Aleksander Gabelic

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- ***and climate-related objectives*** set out in points (d), (e) ***and (f)*** of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

At least **50%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental, ***climate and animal welfare-related objectives*** set out in points (d), (e), ***(f) and (ia)*** of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Or. sv

Justification

EU agriculture shall contribute to reversing the trend in terms of the environment, climate and animal welfare. Therefore, at least 50% of the money from the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) should go to sustainability.

Amendment 1270

Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, ***excluding interventions based on Article 66.***

Amendment

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions ***of all types*** addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation.

Or. es

Justification

Measures implemented in areas with natural constraints should be taken into consideration within the minimum expenditure of 30% of the EAFRD funds that should be dedicated to interventions relating to environmental and climate-related objectives, given the positive impact of agricultural activity for preserving these areas. The Commission's proposal also contradicts its impact assessment and the weighting laid down in Article 87(2)(c).

Amendment 1271

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Elsi Katainen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, ***excluding interventions based on Article 66.***

Amendment

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out ***at least*** in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

The proposed 30 % target is ambitious because payments to areas with natural constraints

are not included in the calculation and a part of the requirements now included in the environmental payments will be included in the eco-scheme.

Amendment 1272
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, ***excluding interventions based on Article 66.***

Amendment

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions ***under Articles 65, 66, 67, 68, 71 and 72*** addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 1273
Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, ***excluding interventions based on Article 66.***

Amendment

At least **50%** of the total ***and EAGF and*** EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in ***Annex IV, and*** Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental and climate-related objectives set out in points (d),(e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

Without ambitious ring fencing for eco-schemes and, there is a big risk that the next CAP be a step backwards for environmental and climate protection. To avoid this risk and to deliver on Europe's international commitments, a large budget at least 50% of the total EAGF and EAFRD should be set aside for farmers to voluntarily change their farming systems.

Amendment 1274

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, ***excluding interventions based on Article 66.***

Amendment

At least 70 % of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan and of direct EAGF payments as set out in Annexes IV and IX shall be reserved for interventions which are linked to specific environmental and climate objectives as set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation or to meeting the social demands as set out in point (i) of that Article. The Member States may choose the proportion of EAFRD and direct EAGF payments used for the aforementioned goals, provided that the overall total is 70 %.

The previous paragraph notwithstanding, at least 50 % of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX and at least 50 % of the total EAGF contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IV shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, consisting exclusively of measures set out in Articles 28, 28 a (new), 65, 67, and point (a) of Article 68(4). The Member States may choose the proportion of EAFRD and direct EAGF payments used for the aforementioned goals, provided that the overall total is 50 %.

Justification

Earmarking funds for the environment, nature, climate, and social affairs.

Amendment 1275
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

At least 70% of the total sum of CAP Strategic Plan contributions from the EAFRD and direct payments in EAGF as set out in annexes VII and IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental and climate-related objectives set out in points (d),(e) and (f), and societal demands objective (i) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66. Member States may choose the proportion of EAFRD and direct payments going to the mentioned objectives, provided that the total sum amounts to 70%.

Without prejudice to previous paragraph, at least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment 1276
Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total **EAFRD** contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

At least **40%** of the total **EAGF and EAFRD** contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66, **as well as all those investment measures, apart from those categorised as ‘non-productive’. Schemes benefiting the climate and the environment shall at least receive the budget set out in Article 28.9. Measures selected as eligible for quantifying their contribution to environmental and climate-related objectives shall be selected by a committee, formed of agricultural and environmental governing bodies and environmental experts.**

Or. es

Amendment 1277
Nessa Childers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

At least **50%** of the total **EAGF and EAFRD** contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66. **Of this amount, a minimum of EUR 15 billion per year shall be reserved for**

targeted and effective biodiversity schemes addressing the specific objective set out in points (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation. Member States shall allocate a minimum percentage of 2% of the budget of each scheme to independent scientific evaluation of its effectiveness for meeting its stated objective.

Or. en

Justification

There is a huge funding gap for nature at EU level: DG ENV estimated that currently at most 20% of the costs of implementing the Natura 2000 network were covered in 2011 (IEEP, 2011). This is despite the fact that the EU is legally obliged to allocate money towards paying for implementation of the EU's nature protection laws. The European Parliament report on the mid-term review of the EU's Biodiversity Strategy (2015/2137(INI)) noted that agriculture and forestry were the areas of most concern in relation to the implementation of the EU's Nature Directives, and called on Member States to "prioritise the target of restoring 15 % of degraded ecosystems by 2020 and to use the appropriations available within the MFF for this purpose". EU leaders have committed to fund nature conservation (Council Conclusions on EU Action Plan for nature, people and the economy, 2017), but instead of choosing to have a dedicated nature fund, they chose to integrate funding for nature into other funds, namely the CAP, which continues to fail to deliver any significant dedicated fund. There is therefore a need for hard ring-fencing of funds for biodiversity given that other approaches have not been successful. The best EU-level estimate of what is needed for funding nature in the EU is 15bn-20bn per year, based on research done in Germany which found that at least 1.4bn Euros annually are required in Germany alone to fully implement the Birds and Habitats Directive in terrestrial areas. A small fraction of the budget for each scheme should be allocated for scientific evaluation of its effectiveness, as currently there is no other mechanism put in place in the new delivery model for checking whether interventions funded under each objective are effective.

Amendment 1278

Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific

Amendment

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific

environmental- *and climate-related* objectives set out in points (d), (e) *and (f)* of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

environmental, *climate- and animal welfare- related* objectives set out in points (d), (e), *(f)and (i)* of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66. *The first subparagraph does not apply to outermost regions.*

Or. en

Amendment 1279
Rory Palmer, Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in *Annex IX* shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

At least **50%** of the total *EAGF and* EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in *Annexes VII and IX* shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) *and the food and health-related objective set out in point (I)* of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Or. en

Justification

Earmarking at least half of the total CAP budget for measures to achieve specific objectives d, e, f and i under Article 6(1) is needed to ensure the CAP will effectively promote key public goods, such as the mitigation of climate change, the sustainable management of natural resources, enhancing biodiversity, and addressing societal challenges related to food system sustainability and health.

Amendment 1280
Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) **and** (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, **excluding** interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

At least **50%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e), (f), **(h) and (i)** of Article 6(1) **or points (a)(vi) and (d) of Article 60(1)** of this Regulation, **including** interventions based on Article 66.

Or. de

Justification

There ought to be a mandatory percentage for agri-environmental measures, animal welfare and disadvantaged areas for every Member State.

Amendment 1281
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

30 % of the total EAGF and at least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Or. en

Justification

The current CAP allocates 30% of the EAGF to the very bureaucratic greening of direct payments and 30% of the EAFRD to environmental and climate management commitments. Article 87 presumes that 40% of direct payments and 100% of environmental and climate

management commitments count as support to the climate objectives of the Paris agreement, which cannot be met without a target for the EAGF too. However, my amendment should be understood and can only apply with my other AMs and positive rewarding of farmers, without the excessive conditionality in the original EC proposal.

Amendment 1282

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total EAFRD **contribution** to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

At least **40%** of the total **EAGF and EAFRD contributions** to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Or. en

Amendment 1283

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

At least **50 %** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Or. en

Justification

It is important that efforts are increased with regard to environmental measures.

Amendment 1284

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At least **30%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Amendment

At least **50%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Or. en

Amendment 1285

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Every Member State shall set a minimum amount reserved for contributing to the specific objective named in point (f) of Article 6(1). It must be calculated based on the SWOT analysis and the identification of needs relating to priority species and natural habitats as part of the prioritised action framework as set out in Directive 92/43/EEC and Directive 2009/147/EC. This amount shall be used for the measures described in Articles 65 and 67 and point (a) of Article 68(4) of this Regulation and to utilise

support for Strategic Nature Projects as defined under the [LIFE Regulation] in accordance with paragraph 7 of this Article.

Or. de

Justification

Earmarking funds for the environment, nature, climate

Amendment 1286

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

At least 5% of the total EAGF contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex VII shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific food safety and animal welfare-related objective set out in point (i) of Article 6(1).

Or. en

Amendment 1287

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The first subparagraph does not apply to the outermost regions.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1288
Susanne Melior

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The first subparagraph does not apply to the outermost regions. *deleted*

Or. de

Justification

Earmarking funds for the environment, nature, climate

Amendment 1289
Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. At least 30 % of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (b), (d), (e), (f), (h) and (j) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66;

Or. fr

Amendment 1290
Tilly Metz, Sirpa Pietikäinen, Petras Auštrevičius, Jytte Guteland, John Flack, Eleonora Evi, Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. At least 30% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific environmental-, climate- and animal welfare-related objectives set out in points (d), (e), (f), and (ia) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Or. en

Justification

In the formulation and implementation of the CAP, the EU and the Member States must pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals, given that they are sentient beings (Article 13 TFEU). This should include the ring-fencing of spending aimed at contributing to animal welfare-related objectives.

Amendment 1291
Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. At least 30 % of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific objectives relating to investments and rural businesses set out in points (b), (d), (e), (f), (h) and (j) of Article 6(1) of this Regulation, excluding interventions based on Article 66;

Or. fr

Amendment 1292
Tilly Metz, Sirpa Pietikäinen, Petras Auštrevičius, Jytte Guteland, John Flack, Eleonora

Evi, Anja Hazekamp

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. At least 5% of the total EAFRD contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan as set out in Annex IX shall be reserved for interventions addressing the specific animal welfare-related objective set out in point (ia) of Article 6(1), excluding interventions based on Article 66.

Or. en

Amendment 1293

Bas Eickhout

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. At least 30% of the total EAGF contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan shall be reserved for complementary redistributive support for first hectares as described in Article 26.

Or. en

Justification

In order to target support towards small and medium size farms, 30% of the EAGF contribution should be set aside for redistribution.

Amendment 1294

Karl-Heinz Florenz

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 4 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. The indicative financial allocations for the Schemes for the Climate and the Environment referred to in Subsection 4 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III, will be at least 30% of the amounts set out in Annex VII.

Member States may choose to transfer the financial allocation referred to in the first sub-paragraph to the Member State's allocation for EAFRD, in accordance with Article 90, provided that it is used in EAFRD interventions addressing the specific environmental-, climate- and societal demands-related objectives referred to in points (d), (e), (f) and (i) of Article 6(1).

Or. en

Justification

There is a need to set a minimum percentage of expenditure on eco-schemes to ensure a level playing field and joint action by all Member States.

**Amendment 1295
Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 4 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. At least 65% of the amounts indicated in Annex VII, before the transfer provided for in Articles 15 and 90, shall be allocated to support the basic income assistance for sustainability provided for in subsection 1 of section 2 Chapter II of Title III.

Or. en

Amendment 1296

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. At least 50% of the total EAGF contribution to the CAP Strategic Plan shall be reserved for schemes for the climate and the environment as described in Article 28.

Or. en

Justification

In order to help farmers transition to more climate & environmentally friendly production methods, and in order to achieve the Union's climate & environmental commitments, at least 50% of the EAGF contribution should be set aside for eco-schemes.

Amendment 1297

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. The minimum of 20% of the amount set out in Annex VII shall be reserved for schemes referred to in Article 28.

Or. en

Justification

The proposed amount is a minimum that should be considered, together with other proposals which prevent direct payments to be directly environmentally and climate harmful and perverse subsidies and those that aim to improve the delivery of the proposal in terms of climate, environment and animal welfare. Otherwise no objection to a proposal of 50% from the S&D shadow as such.

Amendment 1298

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4b. A maximum of 30 % of the amounts laid down in Annex VII shall be earmarked for basic income support for viability as referred to in Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III. This maximum shall decrease to 0% by 2027, to allow for funds to be redirected towards more targeted measures.

Or. en

Justification

Basic income support should be phased out, to enable better targeting of public money via results-based payment schemes.

Amendment 1299

Kateřina Konečná, Jiří Maštálka

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The indicative financial allocations for the coupled income support interventions referred to in Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III, shall be limited to a maximum of **10%** of the amounts set out in Annex VII.

The indicative financial allocations for the coupled income support interventions referred to in Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III, shall be limited to a maximum of **25%** of the amounts set out in Annex VII.

Or. en

Amendment 1300

Francesc Gambús

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The indicative financial allocations for the coupled income support interventions referred to in Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III, shall be limited to a maximum of **10%** of the amounts set out in Annex VII.

Amendment

The indicative financial allocations for the coupled income support interventions referred to in Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III, shall be limited to a maximum of **13.5%** of the amounts set out in Annex VII.

Or. es

Amendment 1301
Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Jahr, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The indicative financial allocations for the coupled income support interventions referred to in Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III, shall be limited to a maximum of **10%** of the amounts set out in Annex VII.

Amendment

The indicative financial allocations for the coupled income support interventions referred to in Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III, shall be limited to a maximum of **5%** of the amounts set out in Annex VII.

Or. en

Justification

Coupled income support has led to an unlevelled playing field in some sectors in the past. The Union should further encourage a market-oriented approach of the CAP and thus reduce the maximum amount for coupled income support.

Amendment 1302
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By way of derogation from the first subparagraph, Member States that in accordance with Article 53(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013 used for the purpose of voluntary coupled support more than 13% of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II to that Regulation, may decide to use for the purpose of coupled income support more than 10% of the amount set out in Annex VII. The resulting percentage shall not exceed the percentage approved by the Commission for voluntary coupled support in respect of claim year 2018.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Coupled payments should not exceed 10% of the amounts set out in Annex VII.

Amendment 1303

Kateřina Konečn, Jiř Mařtlka

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By way of derogation from the first subparagraph, Member States that in accordance with Article 53(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013 used for the purpose of voluntary coupled support more than 13% of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II to that Regulation, may decide to use for the purpose of coupled income support more than 10% of the amount set out in Annex VII. The resulting percentage shall not exceed the percentage approved by the Commission for voluntary coupled support in respect of claim year 2018.

deleted

Amendment 1304
Francesc Gambús

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

By way of derogation from the first subparagraph, Member States that in accordance with Article 53(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013 used for the purpose of voluntary coupled support more than **13%** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II to that Regulation, may decide to use for the purpose of coupled income support more than **10%** of the amount set out in Annex VII. The resulting percentage shall not exceed the percentage approved by the Commission for voluntary coupled support in respect of claim year 2018.

Amendment

By way of derogation from the first subparagraph, Member States that in accordance with Article 53(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013 used for the purpose of voluntary coupled support more than **15%** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II to that Regulation, may decide to use for the purpose of coupled income support more than **15%** of the amount set out in Annex VII. The resulting percentage shall not exceed the percentage approved by the Commission for voluntary coupled support in respect of claim year 2018.

Or. es

Amendment 1305
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The percentage referred to in the first subparagraph, may be increased by a maximum of 2%, provided that the amount corresponding to the percentage exceeding the 10% is allocated to the support for protein crops under Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III.

Amendment

The percentage referred to in the first subparagraph, may be increased by a maximum of 2%, provided that the amount corresponding to the percentage exceeding the 10% is allocated to the support for protein crops under Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III ***or support for silvoarable or silvopastoral agroforestry systems, also as certified systems of trees outside forests.***

Justification

Linked to the amendment on Art. 30.

Amendment 1306
Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The percentage referred to in the first subparagraph, may be increased by a maximum of 2%, provided that the amount corresponding to the percentage exceeding the 10% is allocated to the support for *protein* crops under Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III.

Amendment

The percentage referred to in the first subparagraph, may be increased by a maximum of 2%, provided that the amount corresponding to the percentage exceeding the 10% is allocated to the support for *oil- and protein-rich* crops under Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III.

Or. fr

Amendment 1307
Francesc Gambús

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The percentage referred to in the first subparagraph, may be increased by a maximum of 2%, provided that the amount corresponding to the percentage exceeding the 10% is allocated to the support for protein crops under Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III.

Amendment

The percentage referred to in the first subparagraph, may be increased by a maximum of 2.1%, provided that the amount corresponding to the percentage exceeding the 13.5% is allocated to the support for protein crops under Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III.

Or. es

Amendment 1308
Kateřina Konečná, Jiří Maštálka

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The percentage referred to in the first subparagraph, may be increased by a maximum of **2%**, provided that the amount corresponding to the percentage exceeding the **10%** is allocated to the support for protein crops under Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III.

Amendment

The percentage referred to in the first subparagraph, may be increased by a maximum of **5%**, provided that the amount corresponding to the percentage exceeding the **25%** is allocated to the support for protein crops under Subsection 1 of Section 2 of Chapter II of Title III. .

Or. en

Justification

The coupled income support interventions contribute to stabilize vulnerable sectors, helps farmers to cope with adverse effects such as market volatility, climate change or employment requirements. Some of the sectors do not reach, despite the current level of the support, a satisfactory profitability. Therefore, the coupled income support interventions in a sufficient extent are essential to maintain competitiveness and sustainability of those sectors.

Amendment 1309
Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Support for oil- and protein-rich crops meets the objectives set in the Renewable Energy Directive relating to biofuel enhancement for by-products of these crops.

Or. fr

Amendment 1310
Francesc Gambús

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. Member States shall use 15% of the amount set out in Annex VII to finance the support referred to in Subsection 1a of Section 2, Chapter II, Title III.

Or. es

Amendment 1311
Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Jahr

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. Payments from the first pillar, including coupled support, shall be limited per hectare and beneficiary to the equivalent of twice the average of EU direct payments per hectare.

Or. en

Amendment 1312
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. The indicative financial allocations for the eco-schemes referred to in Article 28 shall be at least 30% in 2021 and 2022, 40% in 2023 and 2024, 50% in 2025 and 2026 and 60% in 2027.

Or. en

Amendment 1313

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 5 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5b. CAP funds shall not be used to finance or support concentrated animal feeding operations.

Or. en

Amendment 1314

Jytte Guteland, Marita Ulvskog, Olle Ludvigsson, Anna Hedh, Aleksander Gabelic

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Member States may decide in their CAP Strategic Plan to use a certain share of the EAFRD allocation to leverage support and upscale integrated Strategic Nature Projects as defined under the [LIFE Regulation] and to finance actions in respect of transnational learning mobility of people in the field agricultural and rural development with a focus on young farmers, in accordance with the [Erasmus Regulation].

7. Member States may decide in their CAP Strategic Plan to use a certain share of the EAFRD allocation to leverage support and upscale integrated Strategic Nature Projects as defined under the [LIFE Regulation] and to finance actions in respect of transnational learning mobility of people in the field agricultural and rural development with a focus on young farmers, in accordance with the [Erasmus Regulation], **and women in rural areas.**

Or. sv

Amendment 1315

Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7a. At least 10% of the amounts set out in Annex VII shall be reserved to support voluntary schemes for the climate and the environment (eco-schemes) under Article 28.

Or. en

Amendment 1316
Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 87

deleted

Tracking climate expenditure

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the climate change objectives using a simple and common methodology.

2. The contribution to the expenditure target shall be estimated through the application of specific weightings differentiated on the basis whether the support makes a significant or a moderate contribution towards climate change objectives. These weighting shall be as follows:

a) 40 % for the expenditure under the Basic Income Support for Sustainability and the Complementary Income Support referred to in Title III, Chapter II, section II, subsections 2 and 3;

b) 100 % for expenditure under the schemes for the climate and the environment referred to in Title III, Chapter II, section II, subsection 4;

- c) **100 % for expenditure for the interventions referred to in the first subparagraph of Article 86(2);**
- d) **40 % for expenditure for natural or other area-specific constraints referred to in Article 66.**

Or. pl

Justification

Taking into account the assumption regarding the simplification of the future CAP, the introduction of regulations related to the reporting of expenditure on climate seems to be unjustified. In particular, considering that (analogically to the current programming period), the draft regulation establishes provisions for monitoring minimum levels of expenditure for the environment and climate (at least 30% of funds from Pillar 2). Therefore, the introduction of additional tracking of climate expenditure seems unjustified.

Amendment 1317
Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Tracking climate expenditure

Amendment

Tracking climate **and biodiversity** expenditure **and for combating diffuse pollution**

Or. fr

Justification

The greening of the European budget should not only relate to the climate, but also to biodiversity and combating diffuse pollution, so it is equally important to monitor these areas in terms of their contribution to the CAP to achieve these objectives, as in all European policies.

Amendment 1318
Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Tracking climate expenditure

Amendment

Tracking **environmental and** climate expenditure

Or. en

Amendment 1319

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries, Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the climate change objectives using a simple and common methodology.

Amendment

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the climate change objectives using a simple and common methodology. ***The Commission shall regularly report on the progress towards mainstreaming climate action, including the amount of expenditure. The findings shall be presented in the annual year review as set out in Article 122.***

Or. en

Amendment 1320

Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the climate change objectives using a simple and common

Amendment

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the climate change ***and biodiversity objectives and for combating***

methodology.

diffuse pollution using a simple and common methodology.

Or. fr

Amendment 1321

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the climate change objectives using a simple and common methodology.

Amendment

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the *environmental and climate change* objectives using a simple, *appropriate* and common methodology.

Or. en

Justification

An assessment should be performed by the Commission, using an appropriate methodology, which relates to climate and general environmental expenditure.

Amendment 1322

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the climate change objectives using a simple *and* common methodology.

Amendment

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the climate change objectives using a simple, *accurate, evidence-based*, common methodology.

Or. en

Justification

This should be done as accurately as possible to give assurance of sound spending of EU funds. CAP should pay for results, not hot air.

Amendment 1323

Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The methodology for expenditure relating to the climate should take into account a reduction in emissions and an increase in carbon sequestration, as expected from the measures included in Member States' Strategic Plans, and should be developed in consultation with the European Environment Agency and the Member States.

Or. es

Amendment 1324

Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. The methodology for expenditure relating to the environment should take into account an improvement in the impact of agriculture on the environment, as expected from the measures included in Member States' Strategic Plans, and should be developed in consultation with the European Environment Agency and the Member States.

Or. es

Amendment 1325
Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. *The contribution to the expenditure target shall be estimated through the application of specific weightings differentiated on the basis whether the support makes a significant or a moderate contribution towards climate change objectives. These weighting shall be as follows:*
- deleted*
- a) *40% for the expenditure under the Basic Income Support for Sustainability and the Complementary Income Support referred to in Title III, Chapter II, section II, subsections 2 and 3;*
 - b) *100% for expenditure under the schemes for the climate and the environment referred to in Title III, Chapter II, section II, subsection 4;*
 - c) *100% for expenditure for the interventions referred to in the first subparagraph of Article 86(2);*
 - d) *40% for expenditure for natural or other area-specific constraints referred to in Article 66.*

Or. es

Amendment 1326
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The contribution to *the* expenditure
2. *Independent scientific studies shall*

target shall be estimated through the application of specific weightings differentiated on the basis whether the support makes a significant or a moderate contribution towards climate change objectives. These weighting shall be as follows:

be carried out to determine the contribution to GHG emissions reduction or GHG sequestration of the different activities implemented by Member States.

Based on these studies, the Commission shall propose a tracking methodology, making sure that:

(a) only expenditures allocated to activities that contribute significantly to emission reduction and sequestration, are counted as climate expenditure;

(b) the percentage of each expenditure that is considered as climate expenditure is proportional to the actual positive impact of the activity on GHG emissions or sequestration;

(c) the expenditures allocated to activities that have a negative impact on GHG emissions and sequestration are deducted from the total climate expenditure, using a similar methodology.

Or. en

Justification

In its report concerning Commission proposals on CAP, the European Court of Auditors has called the estimated CAP contribution towards climate change objectives “unrealistic”. This contribution must be calculated intervention by intervention, based on the actual impact of the activities, measured by peer-reviewed scientific studies. Weighted estimates as proposed by the Commission risk lowering the Union’s overall climate ambition, since it cannot be verified whether the different expenditures actually yield any climate impact.

Amendment 1327
Françoise Grossetête

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The contribution to the expenditure

2. The contribution to the expenditure

PE632.145v01-00

96/150

AM\1172552EN.docx

target shall be estimated through the application of specific weightings differentiated on the basis whether the support makes a significant or a moderate contribution towards climate change objectives. These weighting shall be as follows:

target shall be estimated through the application of specific weightings differentiated on the basis whether the support makes a significant or a moderate contribution towards climate change **and biodiversity objectives and for combating diffuse pollution**. These weighting shall be as follows:

Or. fr

Amendment 1328
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The contribution to the expenditure target shall be estimated through the application of specific weightings differentiated on the basis whether the support makes a significant or a moderate contribution towards climate change objectives. These weighting shall be as follows:

Amendment

2. The contribution to the expenditure target shall be estimated through the application of specific weightings differentiated on the basis whether the support makes a significant or a moderate contribution towards climate change objectives **and be complemented by the Union climate marker system**. These weighting shall be as follows:

Or. en

Amendment 1329
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **40% for the expenditure under the Basic Income Support for Sustainability and the Complementary Income Support referred to in Title III, Chapter II, section II, subsections 2 and 3;**

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1330

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 100% for expenditure under the schemes for the climate and the environment referred to in Title III, Chapter II, section II, subsection 4;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1331

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 100% for expenditure for the interventions referred to in the first subparagraph of Article 86(2);

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1332

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*(c) 100% for expenditure for the interventions referred to in **the first subparagraph of Article 86(2)**;*

*(c) 100% for expenditure for the interventions referred to in **articles 65 and 67**;*

Or. en

Amendment 1333

Michel Dantin, Annie Schreijer-Pierik, Angélique Delahaye

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) 100% for expenditure on support for protein crops in accordance with Title III, Chapter II, Section 2, Subsection 1;

Or. en

Amendment 1334

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 40% for expenditure for natural or other area-specific constraints referred to in Article 66.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1335

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 40% for expenditure for natural or other area-specific constraints referred to in Article 66.

(d) 60% for expenditure for natural or other area-specific constraints referred to in Article 66.

Or. en

Amendment 1336
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 87 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 87a

Tracking animal welfare expenditure

1. On the basis of the information provided by Member States, the Commission shall evaluate the contribution of the policy to the animal welfare objective using a simple and common methodology.

2. The contribution to the expenditure target shall be estimated through the application of a specific weighting differentiated on the basis whether the support makes a significant or a moderate contribution towards the animal welfare objective.

The weighting shall be as follows: (a) 100% for expenditure for the interventions referred to in Article 86(3) (b) 30% for expenditure under the schemes for the climate and the environment referred to in Title III, Chapter II, section II, subsection 4.

Or. en

Amendment 1337
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 88 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Member States shall set out, in their CAP Strategic Plan, an indicative financial allocation for each intervention. For each intervention, the multiplication of the

1. Member States shall set out, in their CAP Strategic Plan, an indicative financial allocation for each intervention, ***in coherence with Articles 28(1) and 86(2).***

planned unit amount, without the application of the percentage of variation referred to in Article 89, and the planned outputs, shall equal this indicative financial allocation.

For each intervention, the multiplication of the planned unit amount, without the application of the percentage of variation referred to in Article 89, and the planned outputs, shall equal this indicative financial allocation.

Or. en

Amendment 1338

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 89 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) decoupled direct payments and coupled income support referred to in Chapter II of Title III;

Amendment

(a) decoupled direct payments, ***excluding schemes for the climate and the environment as set out in Article 28***, and coupled income support referred to in Chapter II of Title III;

Or. en

Amendment 1339

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 90 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) up to **15%** of the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV after deduction of the allocations for cotton set in Annex VI for calendar years 2021 to 2026 to the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027; or

Amendment

(a) up to **20%** of the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV after deduction of the allocations for cotton set in Annex VI for calendar years 2021 to 2026 to the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027; or

Or. en

Justification

EAFRD payments are generally more targeted towards the achievement of goals than EAGF payments. In order to ensure the CAP achieves its objectives, allocations should only be allowed to be transferred towards the more result-oriented EAFRD allocations.

Amendment 1340
Karl-Heinz Florenz

Proposal for a regulation
Article 90 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) up to 15% of the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027 to the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV for calendar years 2021 to 2026. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1341
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 90 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) up to 15% of the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027 to the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV for calendar years 2021 to 2026. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

EAFRD payments are generally more targeted towards the achievement of goals than EAGF payments. In order to ensure the CAP achieves its objectives, allocations should only be allowed to be transferred towards the more result-oriented EAFRD allocations.

Amendment 1342

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation

Article 90 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) up to 15% of the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027 to the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV for calendar years 2021 to 2026. **deleted**

Or. de

Justification

Support for the environment and climate in Pillar II

Amendment 1343

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 90 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) up to 15% of the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027 to the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV for calendar years 2021 to 2026.

(b) up to 15% of the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027 to the Member State's allocation *the schemes for the climate and the environment as* set out in Article 28.

Or. en

Amendment 1344

Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 90 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) up to **15%** of the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027 to the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV for calendar years 2021 to 2026.

b) up to **25%** of the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027 to the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV for calendar years 2021 to 2026.

Or. pl

Justification

Targeting the results of the implementation of the new implementation model requires providing Member States with sufficient flexibility.

Amendment 1345
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 90 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) up to **15%** of the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027 to the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV for calendar years 2021 to 2026.

(b) up to **20%** of the Member State's allocation for EAFRD in financial years 2022 – 2027 to the Member State's allocation for direct payments set out in Annex IV for calendar years 2021 to 2026.

Or. en

Amendment 1346
Susanne Melior

Proposal for a regulation
Article 90 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The percentage of transfer from Member State's allocation for direct payments to its allocation for EAFRD referred to in the first subparagraph may be increased

deleted

by:

(a) up to 15 percentage points provided that Member States use the corresponding increase for EAFRD financed interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives referred to in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1);

(b) up to 2 percentage points provided that the Member States use the corresponding increase in accordance with point (b) of Article 86(5).

Or. de

Amendment 1347

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 90 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) up to 15 percentage points provided that Member States use the corresponding increase for EAFRD financed interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives referred to in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1);

Amendment

(a) up to 30 percentage points provided that Member States use the corresponding increase for EAFRD financed interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives referred to in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1);

Or. en

Amendment 1348

Susanne Melior

Proposal for a regulation

Article 90 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The percentage of transfer from Member State's allocation for direct payments to its allocation for EAFRD referred to in the first paragraph may be

increased by:

(a) up to 50 percentage points provided that Member States use the corresponding increase for EAFRD financed interventions addressing the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives referred to in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1);

(a) up to 2 percentage points provided that the Member States use the corresponding increase in accordance with point (b) of Article 86(4).

Or. de

Justification

Support for the environment and climate in Pillar II

Amendment 1349

Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins, Albert Deß, Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall establish CAP Strategic Plans in accordance with this Regulation to implement the Union support financed by the EAGF and the EAFRD for the achievement of the specific objectives set out to in Article 6.

Amendment

Member States shall establish CAP Strategic Plans in accordance with this Regulation **and Article 4 of this Regulation in particular** to implement the Union support financed by the EAGF and the EAFRD for the achievement of the specific objectives set out to in Article 6.

Or. de

Amendment 1350

Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins, Albert Deß, Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall deliver their individual intervention strategies as set out in point (b) of Article 95(1) and Article 97 of this Regulation to the Commission, and the Commission shall convert these intervention strategies into an EU document.

Or. de

Amendment 1351

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries, Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation Article 91 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Based on the SWOT analysis referred to in Article 103(2) and an assessment of needs referred to in Article 96, Member State shall establish in the CAP Strategic Plans an intervention strategy as referred to in Article 97 in which quantitative targets and milestones shall be set to achieve the specific objectives set out to in Article 6. The targets shall be defined using a common set of result indicators set out in Annex I.

Based on the SWOT analysis referred to in Article 103(2) and an assessment of needs referred to in Article 96, Member State shall establish in the CAP Strategic Plans an intervention strategy as referred to in Article 97 in which quantitative targets and milestones shall be set to achieve the specific objectives set out to in Article 6. The targets shall be defined using a common set of result ***and impact*** indicators set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 1352

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation Article 91 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Based on the SWOT analysis referred to in Article 103(2) and an assessment of needs

Based on the SWOT analysis referred to in Article 103(2) and an assessment of needs

referred to in Article 96, Member State shall establish in the CAP Strategic Plans an intervention strategy as referred to in Article 97 in which quantitative targets and milestones shall be set to achieve the specific objectives set out in Article 6. The targets shall be defined using a common set of result indicators set out in Annex I.

referred to in Article 96, Member State shall establish in the CAP Strategic Plans an intervention strategy as referred to in Article 97 in which quantitative targets and milestones shall be set to achieve the specific objectives set out in Article 6. The targets shall be defined using a common set of result ***and impact*** indicators set out in Annex I.

Or. en

Amendment 1353

Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins, Albert Deß, Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation Article 91 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Each CAP Strategic Plan shall ***cover the period from 1 January 2021 to 31 December 2027.***

Amendment

Each CAP Strategic Plan shall ***take effect two years after this reform enters into force.***

Or. de

Amendment 1354

Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins, Albert Deß, Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation Article 91 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall ensure that the intervention strategies compiled by the Member States under Article 95 b of this Regulation are given consideration in the EU document.

Amendment

Or. de

Amendment 1355
Peter Jahr, Norbert Lins, Albert Deß, Jens Gieseke

Proposal for a regulation
Article 91 – paragraph 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall ensure that the EU document is coherent, based on the intervention strategies proposed by the Member States in accordance with Article 95b to achieve the relevant goals of this Regulation.

Or. de

Amendment 1356
Jytte Guteland, Marita Ulvskog, Olle Ludvigsson, Anna Hedh, Aleksander Gabelic

Proposal for a regulation
Article 92 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Increased ambition with regard to environmental- *and climate-related objectives*

Increased ambitions with regard to environmental, *climate and animal welfare-related objectives*

Or. sv

Amendment 1357
Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 92 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. *Member States shall aim to make, through* their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall *contribution to the achievement of* the

1. *In* their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), *the Member States shall allocate* a greater overall *percentage of the budget to achieve* the specific

specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall **contribution made to the achievement of** the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall **percentage of the budget provided to achieve** the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020. **The Member States shall make the following comparisons for the percentages of funds allocated to individual measures:**

(a) measures set out in point Article 28 of this Regulation compared to Article 43 of Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013

(b) interventions in accordance with Articles 65 and 67 and point (a) of Article 68(4) compared to point (d) of Article 17, Articles 21 to 23, 25 and 34 of Regulation (EU) No 1305/2013.

Or. de

Justification

Earmarking for the environment and climate

Amendment 1358

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall aim to make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of

Amendment

1. Member States shall aim to make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of

the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020. ***Payments towards organic conversion and maintenance in CAP Strategic Plans under articles 28 and 65 of this Regulation shall exceed the total payments made before 2021 under Rural Development to organic farmers, calculated as a yearly average using constant prices.***

Or. en

Amendment 1359
Christel Schaldemose

Proposal for a regulation
Article 92 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall aim to make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

Amendment

1. Member States shall aim to make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), ***at Union level*** a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020, ***as well as aiming to maintain a level playing field between farmers from different Member States.***

Or. en

Amendment 1360

Tilly Metz, Sirpa Pietikäinen, Petras Auštrevičius, Jytte Guteland, John Flack, Eleonora Evi, Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall aim to make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- **and climate-related** objectives set out in points (d), (e) **and (f)** of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

Amendment

1. Member States shall aim to make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental-, **climate- and animal welfare-related** objectives set out in points (d), (e), **(f) and (ia)** of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

Or. en

Justification

The latest Special Eurobarometer on Animal Welfare (n°442) revealed that 82% of EU citizens believed that the welfare of farmed animals should be better protected. Increased ambition in this area seems appropriate and necessary.

Amendment 1361

Jytte Guteland, Marita Ulvskog, Olle Ludvigsson, Anna Hedh, Aleksander Gabelic

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall aim to make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a)

Amendment

1. Member States shall aim to make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a)

of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- **and climate-related** objectives set out in points (d), (e) **and (f)** of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental, **climate and animal welfare-related** objectives set out in points (d), (e), **(f) and (ia)** of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

Or. sv

Justification

The latest Eurobarometer survey showed that 82% of EU citizens believe that the welfare of farm animals should be increased. Therefore, it is reasonable to accommodate this with a higher level of ambition in the CAP

Amendment 1362 **Merja Kyllönen**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 92 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall **aim to** make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

Amendment

1. Member States shall make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 1363

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall *aim to* make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

Amendment

1. Member States shall make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

Or. en

Justification

The CAP Strategic Plans should increase ambition, and, since payments are to be results based, the plans should be developed as to ensure real improvement - not merely aim towards improvements.

Amendment 1364

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall *aim to* make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall

Amendment

1. Member States shall make, through their CAP Strategic Plans and in particular through the elements of the intervention strategy referred to in point (a) of Article 97(2), a greater overall contribution to the

contribution to the achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

achievement of the specific environmental- and climate-related objectives set out in points (d), (e) and (f) of Article 6(1) in comparison to the overall contribution made to the achievement of the objective laid down in point (b) of the first subparagraph of Article 110(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 through support under the EAGF and the EAFRD in the period 2014 to 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 1365
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 92 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Payments towards organic conversion and maintenance in CAP Strategic Plans under articles 28 and 65 of this Regulation shall exceed the total payments made before 2021 under Rural Development to organic farmers, calculated as a yearly average using constant prices.

Or. en

Justification

Organic farming is an important way to achieve environmental and societal goals. At the same time, in several countries, support for the sector has been limited and risks being further reduced in the future. Therefore, it is important to include a requirement not to backslide on the ambition to transition towards organic.

Amendment 1366
Christel Schaldemose

Proposal for a regulation
Article 92 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans, on the basis of available information, how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out to in paragraph 1. That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to (f) of Article 95(1) and in point (b) of Article 95(2).

Amendment

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans, on the basis of available information, how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out to in paragraph 1. That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to (f) of Article 95(1) and in point (b) of Article 95(2). ***Where relevant, Member States may take specific measures to achieve the targets set out in Article 91. Such measures are not required to be in direct continuation of existing measures. Minor deviations from one year to another can be tolerated on the condition that progression towards the targets of the strategic planning period from 1 January 2021 to 31 December 2027 can be observed.***

Or. en

Amendment 1367

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans, ***on the basis of available information***, how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out to in paragraph 1. That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to (f) of Article 95(1) and in ***point*** (b) of Article 95(2).

Amendment

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out to in paragraph 1, ***including how they intend to ensure that the objectives set out on the basis of the indicators listed in Article 91(1) will constitute an improvement to the current situation.*** That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to (f) of Article 95(1) and in ***points (a) and*** (b) of Article 95(2).

Or. de

Justification

Earmarking for the environment and climate

Amendment 1368

Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans, on the basis of ***available information***, how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out to in paragraph 1. That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to (f) of Article 95(1) and in point (b) of Article 95(2).

Amendment

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans ***the impact on the environment and the climate they intend to achieve by 2027***, on the basis of ***the set objectives and using the relevant impact indicators laid down in Annex I***. ***Y*** how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out to in paragraph 1. That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to (f) of Article 95(1) and in point (b) of Article 95(2).

Or. es

Amendment 1369

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans, on the basis of ***available information***, how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out to in paragraph 1. That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to (f) of Article 95(1) and in point (b) of Article 95(2).

Amendment

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans ***the environmental and climate objectives they aim to achieve in the period 2021-2027***, on the basis of ***targets for the relevant impact indicators set out in Annex I***, ***and*** how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out to in paragraph 1. That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to

(f) of Article 95(1) and in point (b) of Article 95(2).

Or. en

Justification

For assessing environmental and climate ambition, the Member States should use the impact indicators and the respective targets they have set.

Amendment 1370

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries, Nils Torvalds

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 92 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans, on the basis of *available* information, how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out in paragraph 1. That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to (f) of Article 95(1) and in point (b) of Article 95(2).

Amendment

2. Member States shall explain in their CAP Strategic Plans, on the basis of *the most recent and reliable* information, how they intend to achieve the greater overall contribution set out in paragraph 1. That explanation shall be based on relevant information such as the elements referred to in points (a) to (f) of Article 95(1) and in point (b) of Article 95(2).

Or. en

Amendment 1371

Francesc Gambús

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 92 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 92a

Minimum regional objectives in areas with natural or other specific constraints, investments in farming and young farmers

1. Member States that have chosen regional rural development programmes during the period 2014-2020, in accordance with the stipulations of Chapter I, Title II of Regulation (EU) No 1305/2013, using their CAP Strategic Plans and, more specifically, using the strategic elements for rural development investments set out in Article 66, Article 68 and Article 69, shall have to at least contribute to the same objectives and support amounts at the level of each of their regions concerning regions with natural or other specific constraints, to the investments in farming and to establishing young farmers.

2. Member States' CAP Strategic Plans, to which the above paragraph 1 applies, should implement an indicator system mutatis mutandis and their evaluation as set out in Article 7a at the level of each of their regions, as well as the corresponding part of the content of the CAP Strategic Plan.

Or. es

Amendment 1372
Francesc Gambús

Proposal for a regulation
Article 93 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Where elements of the CAP Strategic Plan are established at regional level, the Member State shall ensure the coherence and the consistency with the elements of the CAP Strategic Plan established at national level.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1373
Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 93 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Where elements of the CAP Strategic Plan are established at regional level, the Member State shall ensure *the coherence and the consistency* with the elements of the CAP Strategic Plan established at national level.

Amendment

Where elements of the CAP Strategic Plan are established at regional level, the Member State shall ensure *that such rural development elements are coherent and consistent* with the elements of the CAP Strategic Plan established at national level.

Or. it

Amendment 1374
Francesc Gambús

Proposal for a regulation
Article 93 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Strategic Plans shall be established at regional level, and each Member State shall ensure that regional strategic plans are coherent with the objectives and indicators of the CAP Strategic Plan established at national level.

Or. en

Amendment 1375
Nicola Caputo, Alojz Peterle, José Inácio Faria, Rory Palmer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Member States shall make CAP Strategic Plans and related annexes public, both at the draft stage and after

their approval, in order to allow an informed public debate to take place. Member States shall consult partners on the arrangements for the publication of CAP Strategic Plans and related documentation.

Or. en

Justification

Farmers, civil society and the media must have access to CAP Strategic Plans, including drafts and final versions, to allow for a democratic debate on issues that are central to people's lives, i.e. how our food is grown. The 'results-based approach' of the New Delivery Model requires greater civic participation to work successfully, since legal compliance has been downgraded and it is 'results on the ground' that count. It is important to establish minimum transparency requirements for the Union as a whole, as these currently vary greatly across the EU.

Amendment 1376

Merja Kyllönen, Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Member States shall make CAP Strategic Plans and related annexes public, both at the draft stage and after their approval, in order to allow an informed public debate to take place. Member States shall consult partners on the arrangements for the publication of CAP Strategic Plans and related documentation.

Or. en

Amendment 1377

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Member States shall make CAP Strategic Plans and related annexes public, both at the draft stage and after their approval, in order to allow an informed public debate to take place. Member States shall consult partners on the arrangements for the publication of CAP Strategic Plans and related documentation.

Or. en

Justification

Farmers, civil society and the media must have access to CAP Strategic Plans, including drafts and final versions, to allow for a democratic debate on issues that are central to people's lives, i.e. how our food is grown. The 'results-based approach' of the New Delivery Model requires greater civic participation to work successfully, since legal compliance has been downgraded and it is 'results on the ground' which count. It is important to establish minimum transparency requirements for the Union as a whole, as these currently vary greatly across the EU.

Amendment 1378

Jytte Guteland, Marita Ulvskog, Olle Ludvigsson, Anna Hedh, Aleksander Gabelic

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The Member States shall publish their CAP strategy plans and related annexes, both as drafts and after approval, in order to ensure the possibility of an informed public debate.

Or. sv

Justification

Farmers, civil society and the media must have access to Member States' CAP strategy plans, including drafts, to enable people to participate in an open debate on issues that are central to people's life. i.e. how our food is produced.

Amendment 1379
Jadwiga Wiśniewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. *The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment and climate are effectively involved in the preparation of the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.*

deleted

Or. pl

Justification

Strategic plans must be widely consulted with relevant partners. Thus, there is no need to create specific provisions regulating the issues of ensuring the effective participation of competent environmental and climate authorities in developing the environmental and climatic aspects of the plan.

Amendment 1380
Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment and climate are *effectively* involved in the preparation of the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment and climate are *fully* involved in the preparation of *every element of the plan, and in particular, that they have joint responsibility* for the environmental and climate aspects of the plan, *including the establishment of environmental objectives on the basis of the result and impact indicators, Articles 28, 28 a (new) and 65-67.*

Justification

Incorporating environmental and climate aspects effectively

Amendment 1381

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment and climate are *effectively* involved in the preparation of the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.

Amendment

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment and climate are *fully* involved in the preparation of *the Plan and are jointly responsible with regard to* the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1382

Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment and climate *are effectively involved in the preparation of* the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.

Amendment

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment and climate *as well as stakeholders are consulted before preparing* the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1383
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment and climate are effectively involved in the preparation of the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.

Amendment

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment and climate are effectively **and inclusively** involved in the preparation of the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1384
Rory Palmer, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment **and** climate are effectively involved in the preparation of the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.

Amendment

2. The body of the Member State responsible for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan shall ensure that the competent authorities for the environment, climate **and health** are effectively involved in the preparation of the environmental and climate aspects of the plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1385
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) economic and social partners;

(b) economic, *environmental* and social partners, *including scientists*;

Or. en

Amendment 1386

Nessa Childers

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) economic and social partners;

(b) *environmental*, economic and social partners, *including scientists*;

Or. en

Amendment 1387

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries, Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) economic and social partners;

(b) *environmental*, economic and social partners;

Or. en

Amendment 1388

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach, Jo Leinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) economic and social partners;

(b) *environmental*, economic and

social partners;

Or. de

Justification

Incorporating environmental and climate aspects effectively

Amendment 1389

Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) economic and social partners;

(b) economic, ***environmental*** and social partners;

Or. en

Justification

Effective participation of environmental stakeholders and partners will be needed to ensure good implementation.

Amendment 1390

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) relevant bodies representing civil society and ***where relevant*** bodies responsible for promoting social inclusion, fundamental rights, gender equality and non-discrimination.

(c) relevant bodies representing ***environmental partners and other parts of civil society, in particular those whose activities are related to the specific objectives laid out in Article 6 of this proposal***, and bodies responsible for promoting social inclusion, fundamental rights, ***rights of persons with disabilities***, gender equality and non-discrimination.

Justification

The civil society groups that have to be consulted are those linked to the nine objectives of Article 6 including farmers' representatives, organizations active in the protection of the environment and of biodiversity, food and health etc.. Making an explicit reference to Article 6 would be useful here.

Amendment 1391**Nessa Childers****Proposal for a regulation****Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point c***Text proposed by the Commission*

(c) relevant bodies representing civil society and **where** relevant bodies responsible for promoting social inclusion, fundamental rights, gender equality and non-discrimination.

Amendment

(c) relevant bodies representing **non-economic interests of** civil society **in particular environmental NGOs**, and relevant bodies responsible for promoting social inclusion, fundamental rights, gender equality and non-discrimination.

Or. en

Amendment 1392**Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach****Proposal for a regulation****Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point c***Text proposed by the Commission*

(c) relevant bodies representing civil society and where relevant bodies responsible for promoting social inclusion, fundamental rights, gender equality and non-discrimination.

Amendment

(c) relevant bodies representing **the non-commercial objectives of** civil society and where relevant bodies responsible for promoting social inclusion, fundamental rights, gender equality and non-discrimination.

Or. de

Amendment 1393
Rory Palmer, Paul Brannen, Nicola Caputo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) relevant bodies representing civil society and where relevant bodies responsible for promoting social inclusion, fundamental rights, gender equality and non-discrimination.

Amendment

(c) relevant bodies representing civil society and where relevant bodies responsible for promoting social inclusion, fundamental rights, **public health**, gender equality and non-discrimination.

Or. en

Amendment 1394
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) Local Action Groups or other sub-regional development agencies that are capable of deploying funds under the LEADER intervention.

Or. en

Amendment 1395
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall involve those partners in the preparation of the CAP Strategic Plans.

Member States shall involve those partners ***on equal footing in the preparation and implementation of the CAP Strategic Plans, notably in defining the modalities for the assessment of needs. Member States shall also involve all partners in***

decisions on the timetable and procedural steps involved in the preparation of the CAP Strategic Plans, ***ensuring that enough time is set aside for coordination and debate among the diverse actors involved. The organisation and implementation of partnership shall be carried out in accordance with Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 240/2014.***

Or. en

Justification

Partners must take collective decisions on timetables and procedures for preparing CAP Strategic Plans, since the way this process is organised is crucial to allow all entities to participate effectively. Rules laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation 240/2014 on the European code of conduct on partnership in the framework of the European Structural and Investment Funds currently provide much greater detail than this regulation, which must be strengthened.

Amendment 1396

Nessa Childers

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall involve those partners *in* the preparation of the CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

Each of the partners from (b) shall be represented in an equal proportion, and a balanced representation between (b) and (c) shall be ensured.

Member States shall involve those partners ***throughout*** the preparation ***and implementation*** of the CAP Strategic Plans, ***including through participation in monitoring committees in accordance with Article 111 and ensure that all documentation is shared in a timely manner and sufficient resources are allocated for coordination and facilitation of debate among stakeholders.***

Or. en

Amendment 1397

Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall involve those partners in the preparation of the CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

All partners listed under point (b) shall have equal representation, and a balanced distribution must be made between (b) and (c). Member States shall involve those partners in the preparation and implementation of the CAP Strategic Plans, including by having them participate in the Monitoring Committee as set out in Article 111.

Or. de

Justification

Incorporating environmental and climate aspects effectively

Amendment 1398

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall involve those partners ***in*** the preparation of the CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

Member States shall involve those partners ***throughout*** the preparation ***and implementation*** of the CAP Strategic Plans, ***including through participation in monitoring committees in accordance with Article 111.***

The organisation and implementation of the partnership shall be carried out in accordance with Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 240/2014.

Or. en

Amendment 1399
Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall involve those partners in the preparation of the CAP Strategic Plans.

Amendment

Member States shall involve those partners in the preparation *and implementation* of the CAP Strategic Plans.

Or. en

Justification

Many issues occur during the implementation phase, so there should be a reference to the partnership principle during this phase too.

Amendment 1400
Susanne Melior, Maria Noichl, Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The organisation and implementation of the partnership shall be carried out in accordance with Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 240/2014.

Or. de

Justification

The text about the partnership referring to the European code of conduct on partnership from Article 6 of the Regulation is introduced to improve participants' access to the CAP program planning process.

Amendment 1401
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall establish a contact point for partners to ensure their direct access to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1402
Stanislav Polčák, Luděk Niedermayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 94 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) Member States shall ensure sound financial management and effective and efficient use of EU resources. They shall prevent any irregularities or the inefficient use of EU resources. Member States and the Commission shall cooperate to protect the financial interests of the Union and to ensure compliance with conflict of interest rules. They shall apply preventive measures against fraud, corruption and any other illegal activities and measure to prevent conflicts of interest from arising.

Or. cs

Amendment 1403
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 95 – paragraph 1 – point g a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ga) a description of the elements that contribute to the environment and mitigation of climate change;

Amendment 1404

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 95 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) Annex III on the consultation of the partners;

Amendment

(c) Annex III on the consultation of the partners ***and a compilation of the comments submitted by partners, and whether and how these comments have been taken into account by the managing authority;***

Or. en

Justification

A compilation of comments would increase transparency and ensure that input of civil society partners is effective.

Amendment 1405

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Frédérique Ries, Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) summary of current and target values for impact indicators;

Or. en

Amendment 1406

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) identification of needs for each specific objective set out in Article 6 based on the evidence from the SWOT analysis. All the needs shall be described, regardless whether they will be addressed through the CAP Strategic Plan or not;

Amendment

(b) identification of needs for each specific objective set out in Article 6 ***and for the contribution of organic farming set out in Article 13a*** based on the evidence from the SWOT analysis. All the needs shall be described, regardless whether they will be addressed through the CAP Strategic Plan or not;

Or. en

Amendment 1407

Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Evi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) for the specific ***objective of supporting viable farm income and resilience set out in point (a)*** of Article 6(1), ***an assessment of needs in relation to risk management;***

Amendment

(c) For the specific ***environmental and climate objectives referred to in points (d), (e), and (f)*** of Article 6(1), ***the assessment shall comply with the national environmental and climate plans emanating from the legislative instruments referred to in Annex XI.***

Or. en

Amendment 1408

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) analysis of trade-offs and conflicts between objectives and instruments, and how Member States intend to reduce or mitigate them to achieve all CAP objectives of Articles 5 and 6.

Justification

When performing a SWOT analysis, Member States will face conflicts e.g. between production/economy and environment. Given that such conflicts are inevitable, it is essential to use the results of the analysis to improve performance.

Amendment 1409

Merja Kyllönen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 1 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) a summary of the areas where baseline information is missing or is insufficient for the purposes of providing a full description of the current situation as regards the specific objectives laid down in Article 6 of this proposal and for the purposes of monitoring those objectives.

Or. en

Amendment 1410

Nicola Caputo, Alojz Peterle, José Inácio Faria, Rory Palmer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 1 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) a summary of the areas where baseline information is missing or is insufficient for the purposes of providing a full description of the current situation as regards the specific objectives laid down in Article 6 of this proposal and for the purposes of monitoring those objectives.

Justification

The needs assessment, carried out at the start of the process for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan, must be used to identify areas where data is missing or insufficient for monitoring the Article 6 specific objectives. This will help the Member States and the Commission to identify areas where results and objectives are the most difficult to monitor and to take the necessary action to ensure effective, consistent monitoring of results.

Amendment 1411**Pavel Poc, Jytte Guteland****Proposal for a regulation****Article 96 – paragraph 1 – point e a (new)***Text proposed by the Commission**Amendment*

(ea) a summary of areas where baseline information is missing or is insufficient for the purposes of providing a full description of current situation as regards the specific objectives laid down in Article 6 of this proposal and for the purposes of monitoring those objectives.

Justification

The assessment, carried out at the start of the process for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan, must be used to identify areas where data is missing or insufficient for monitoring the Article 6: Specific Objectives. This will help the Member States and the Commission to identify areas where results and objectives are the most difficult to monitor and to take the necessary action to ensure effective, consistent monitoring of results.

Amendment 1412**Bas Eickhout****Proposal for a regulation****Article 96 – paragraph 1 – point e a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) a summary of the areas where a knowledge base is missing or is insufficient for the purposes of providing a full description of the current situation as regards the specific objectives laid down in Article 6 of this proposal and for the purposes of monitoring those objectives.

Or. en

Justification

The needs assessment, carried out at the start of the process for drawing up the CAP Strategic Plan, must be used to identify areas where data is missing or insufficient for monitoring the Article 6 specific objectives. This will help the Member States and the Commission to identify areas where results and objectives are the most difficult to monitor and to take the necessary action to ensure effective, consistent monitoring of results.

Amendment 1413

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Where, on the basis of information provided in accordance with points a)-e) of the first paragraph, areas will be identified where baseline information or information on context indicators is missing or is insufficient for the purposes of providing a full description of the current situation in relation to the specific objectives laid down in Article 6 of this proposal, Member States should address such conclusion within the framework of their Strategic Plan, or through other instruments and outline the proposed measures in the Plan.

Or. en

Amendment 1414

Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

For the specific environmental and climate objectives referred to in points (d), (e), and (f) of Article 6(1), the assessment shall take into account the national environmental and climate plans emanating from the legislative instruments referred to in Annex XI.

Amendment

For the specific environmental and climate objectives referred to in points (d), (e), and (f) of Article 6(1), ***and where identified needs under objectives referred to a) b) c) g) h) of Article 6(1) could affect these objectives***, the assessment shall take into account the national environmental and climate plans emanating from the legislative instruments referred to in Annex XI.

Or. en

Amendment 1415

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 96 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For the specific objective referred to in point (i) for Article 6(1), the assessment shall take into account compliance with legislative instruments referred to in Annex XIa.

Or. en

Justification

Article 6(1) point (i) is the objective concerning societal demands, one of which is animal welfare. Annex XIa lists the existent legislation on animal welfare.

Amendment 1416
Paul Brannen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 96 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall use the most recent and most reliable data for this assessment.

Amendment

Member States shall use the most recent and most reliable data for this assessment, ***including up to date information about any stage of infringement procedure, and recently closed cases, related to these environmental and climate plans which effectively transpose the EU legislation. In order to contribute to EU objectives, in case of infringement, the measures proposed by the plan that is subject to infringement should not be considered by the needs assessment and should not be approved for financing.***

Or. en

Amendment 1417
Christophe Hansen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 97 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) targets for each relevant common and, where relevant, CAP Strategic Plan specific result indicators and related milestones. The value of these targets shall be justified in view of the assessment of needs ***referred to in Article 96***. As regards the specific objectives set out in points (d), (e), and (f) of Article 6(1), targets shall be derived from the elements of explanation given in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 2 of this Article;

Amendment

(a) targets for each relevant common and, where relevant, CAP Strategic Plan specific result indicators and related milestones. The value of these targets shall be justified in view of the assessment of needs ***and defined for the years 2024 and 2026***. As regards the specific objectives set out in points (d), (e), and (f) of Article 6(1), targets shall be derived from the elements of explanation given in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 2 of this Article;

Or. en

Justification

An annual performance review would create a high administrative burden.

Amendment 1418

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 97 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) targets for each relevant common and, where relevant, CAP Strategic Plan specific result indicators and related milestones. The value of these targets shall be justified in view of the assessment of needs referred to in Article 96. As regards the specific objectives set out in points (d), (e), and (f) of Article 6(1), targets shall be derived from the elements of explanation given in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 2 of this Article;

Amendment

(a) targets for each relevant common and, where relevant, CAP Strategic Plan specific result **and impact** indicators and related milestones. The value of these targets shall be justified in view of the assessment of needs referred to in Article 96. As regards the specific objectives set out in points (d), (e), and (f) of Article 6(1), targets shall be derived from the elements of explanation given in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 2 of this Article;

Or. en

Justification

Proposed results indicators largely focus on financial output and do not measure the achievement of results on the ground. Targets should be set as ‘impact indicators’ as is done for the ‘results indicators’, enabling gaps to be identified between individual national targets and EU priorities. If both indicators seem to be moving in opposite directions, the proposed monitoring of both targets at the level of impact and result indicators could serve as an early warning system.

Amendment 1419

Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation

Article 97 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) targets for each relevant common and, where relevant, CAP Strategic Plan

Amendment

(a) targets for each relevant common and, where relevant, CAP Strategic Plan

specific result indicators and related milestones. The value of these targets shall be justified in view of the assessment of needs referred to in Article 96. As regards the specific objectives set out in points (d), (e), **and (f)** of Article 6(1), targets shall be derived from the elements of explanation given in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 2 of this Article;

specific result indicators and related milestones. The value of these targets shall be justified in view of the assessment of needs referred to in Article 96. As regards the specific objectives set out in points (d), (e), **(f) and (j)** of Article 6(1), targets shall be derived from the elements of explanation given in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 2 of this Article;

Or. en

Amendment 1420
Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 97 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) interventions, based on the types of intervention set out in Title III, ***except the crop-specific payment for cotton laid down in Subsection 2 of Section 3 of Chapter II of that Title*** shall be designed to address the specific situation in the area concerned, following a sound intervention logic, supported by the ex-ante evaluation referred to in Article 125, the SWOT analysis referred to in Article 103(2) and the assessment of needs referred to in Article 96;

Amendment

b) interventions, based on the types of intervention set out in Title III, shall be designed to address the specific situation in the area concerned, following a sound intervention logic, supported by the ex-ante evaluation referred to in Article 125, the SWOT analysis referred to in Article 103(2) and the assessment of needs referred to in Article 96;

Or. es

Amendment 1421
Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 97 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) a general approach for how the CAP Strategic Plan addresses the needs of

agricultural systems with high ecological value, including aspects relating to their socio-economic viability and improving the distribution of public assets.

Or. es

Amendment 1422
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 97 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) an overview of the measures aimed to enhance animal welfare;

Or. en

Amendment 1423
Tilly Metz, Florent Marcellesi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 97 – paragraph 2 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) an explanation of how the CAP Strategic Plan ensures gender mainstreaming and contributes to the objective to achieve gender equality;

Or. en

Amendment 1424
Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation
Article 98 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) an explanation of how the CAP Strategic Plan is meant to contribute to the specific objective on societal demands on food and health set out in point (i) of Article 6(1), and in particular to reaching the objectives and complying with legislative instruments referred to in Annex XIa.

Or. en

Amendment 1425

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 98 – paragraph 1 – point b b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bb) an explanation of how the Strategic Plan will support organic agriculture, in order to contribute to matching production to the growing demand for organic agricultural products, as set out in Article 13a on Organic Agriculture.

Or. en

Amendment 1426

Estefanía Torres Martínez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 98 – paragraph 1 – point d – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) an overview of the coordination, demarcation and complementarities between the *EAFRD and* other Union funds active in rural areas;

(iii) an overview of the coordination, demarcation and complementarities between the *EAFRD, EAGF and* other Union funds active in rural areas;

Amendment 1427
Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The description of each intervention specified in the strategy referred to in point (d) of Article 95(1) shall include:

Amendment

The description of each intervention specified in the strategy ***or of certain interventions within the framework of rural development managed at regional level*** referred to in point (d) of Article 95(1) shall include:

Or. it

Amendment 1428
Kateřina Konečná, Jiří Maštálka

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) the ***annual*** planned outputs for the intervention, and where relevant, a breakdown per uniform or average unit amount of support;

Amendment

(f) the planned outputs for the intervention, and where relevant, a breakdown per uniform or average unit amount of support;

Or. en

Amendment 1429
Kateřina Konečná, Jiří Maštálka

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 1 – point g – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(g) the ***annual*** planned unit amount of support, its justification and a justified

Amendment

(g) the planned unit amount of support, its justification and a justified maximum

maximum upper variation of that unit amount as referred to in Article 89. Where applicable, the following information shall also be provided:

upper variation of that unit amount as referred to in Article 89. Where applicable, the following information shall also be provided:

Or. en

Amendment 1430
Kateřina Konečná, Jiří Maštálka

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 1 – point h

Text proposed by the Commission

(h) the resulting **annual** financial allocation for the intervention, as referred to in Article 88. Where applicable, a breakdown on amounts planned for grants and amounts planned for financial instruments shall be provided;

Amendment

(h) the resulting financial allocation for the intervention, as referred to in Article 88. Where applicable, a breakdown on amounts planned for grants and amounts planned for financial instruments shall be provided;

Or. en

Amendment 1431
Karl-Heinz Florenz, Peter Liese

Proposal for a regulation
Article 100 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target plan referred to in point (e) of Article 95(1) shall consist of a recapitulative table showing the targets as referred to in point (a) of Article 97(1), indicating the break-down in **annual** milestones.

Amendment

1. The target plan referred to in point (e) of Article 95(1) shall consist of a recapitulative table showing the targets as referred to in point (a) of Article 97(1), indicating the breakdown in **biennial** milestones.

Or. en

Justification

Biennial milestones would be preferable, as it would make it easier for the Member States'

administrations. Some targets need also more time to be reached and progress can only be seen over a longer period of time.

Amendment 1432

Kateřina Konečná, Jiří Maštálka

Proposal for a regulation

Article 100 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target plan referred to in point (e) of Article 95(1) shall consist of a recapitulative table showing the targets as referred to in point (a) of Article 97(1), indicating the break-down in **annual** milestones.

Amendment

1. The target plan referred to in point (e) of Article 95(1) shall consist of a recapitulative table showing the targets as referred to in point (a) of Article 97(1), indicating the breakdown in milestones.

Or. en

Amendment 1433

Damiano Zoffoli

Proposal for a regulation

Article 101 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the identification of all governance bodies referred to in Chapter II of Title II of the Regulation (EU) [HzR];

Amendment

(a) the identification of all **national and regional** governance bodies referred to in Chapter II of Title II of the Regulation (EU) [HzR];

Or. it

Amendment 1434

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The description of the elements that ensure

Amendment

The description of the elements that ensure

modernisation of the CAP referred to in point (g) of Article 95(1) shall highlight the elements of the CAP Strategic Plan that support the modernisation of the agricultural sector and the CAP and shall contain in particular:

modernisation of the CAP referred to in point (g) of Article 95(1) shall highlight the elements of the CAP Strategic Plan that support the modernisation of the agricultural sector and the CAP *to meet new challenges, including transitioning to sustainability*, and shall contain in particular:

Or. en

Justification

Modernisation is not an end in itself: the overall aim, as the Commission communication on the CAP reform stated many times, a paradigm shift to reach sustainability, responding to new challenges, etc.

Amendment 1435

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 1 – point a – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) an overview of how the CAP Strategic Plan will contribute to the ***cross-cutting general*** objective related to fostering and sharing of knowledge, innovation and digitalisation and encouraging their uptake set out in the second subparagraph of Article 5, notably through:

Amendment

(a) an overview of how the CAP Strategic Plan will contribute to the objective related to fostering and sharing of knowledge, innovation and digitalisation, ***as far as it is conducive to achieving the SDGs and goals of the Paris climate agreement***, and encouraging their uptake set out in the second subparagraph of Article 5, notably through:

Or. en

Amendment 1436

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ia) systematic assurance of how the measures taken shall not lead to increases in dependency, but rather to increased farmer autonomy;

Or. en

Justification

There is a risk that the digitalisation agenda takes funds from the Rural Development budget and will only maintain input dependencies, or create new dependencies, e.g. on loans.

Amendment 1437

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 1 – point a – point ii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iia) coherence with the achievement of the sustainable development goals and international agreements on climate

Or. en

Justification

Modernisation is not an end in itself: the overall aim, as the Commission communication on the CAP reform stated many times, a paradigm shift to reach sustainability, responding to new challenges, etc.

Amendment 1438

Bas Eickhout

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) a description of the strategy for the

(b) a description of the strategy for the

development of digital technologies in agriculture and rural areas **and** for the use of these technologies to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the CAP Strategic Plan interventions.

development of **appropriate** digital technologies in agriculture and rural areas, **with due attention to sustainability, scale, necessity and farmer autonomy**, for the use of these technologies to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the CAP Strategic Plan interventions **without engendering new input or financial dependencies among farmers**.

Or. en

Justification

There is a risk that the digitalisation agenda takes funds from the Rural Development budget and will only maintain input dependencies, or create new dependencies, e.g. on loans.