



2018/0218(COD)

18.12.2018

AMENDMENTS

14 - 32

Draft opinion

Younous Omarjee

(PE630.370v01-00)

Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Regulations (EU) No 1308/2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products, (EU) No 1151/2012 on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs, (EU) No 251/2014 on the definition, description, presentation, labelling and the protection of geographical indications of aromatised wine products, (EU) No 228/2013 laying down specific measures for agriculture in the outermost regions of the Union and (EU) No 229/2013 laying down specific measures for agriculture in favour of the smaller Aegean islands

Proposal for a regulation

(COM(2018)0394 – C8-0246/2018 – 2018/0218(COD))

Amendment 14
Terry Reintke

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions entitled ‘The Future of Food and Farming’ of 29 November 2017 sets out the challenges, objectives and orientations for the future Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) after 2020. These objectives include, inter alia, the need for the CAP to be more result-driven, to boost modernisation and sustainability, including the economic, social, environmental and climate sustainability of the agricultural, forestry and rural areas, and to help reducing the Union legislation-related administrative burden for beneficiaries.

Amendment

(1) The Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions entitled ‘The Future of Food and Farming’ of 29 November 2017 sets out the challenges, objectives and orientations for the future Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) after 2020. These objectives include, inter alia, the need for the CAP to be more result-driven, to boost modernisation and sustainability, including the economic, social, environmental and climate sustainability of the agricultural, forestry and rural areas ***in line with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the Paris Climate Agreement***, and to help reducing the Union legislation-related administrative burden for beneficiaries.

Or. en

Amendment 15
Martina Anderson

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions entitled ‘The Future of Food and Farming’ of 29 November 2017 sets out the challenges, objectives and

Amendment

(1) The Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions entitled ‘The Future of Food and Farming’ of 29 November 2017 sets out the challenges, objectives and

orientations for the future Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) after 2020. These objectives include, inter alia, the need for the CAP to be more **result-driven**, to boost modernisation and sustainability, including the economic, social, environmental and climate sustainability of the agricultural, forestry and rural areas, and to help reducing the Union legislation-related administrative burden for beneficiaries.

orientations for the future Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) after 2020. These objectives include, inter alia, the need for the CAP to be more ***focused on viable income for farmer***, to boost modernisation and sustainability, including the economic, social, environmental and climate sustainability of the agricultural, forestry and rural areas, and to help reducing the Union legislation-related administrative burden for beneficiaries.

Or. en

Amendment 16 **Terry Reintke**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Since the CAP needs to sharpen its responses to the challenges and opportunities as they manifest themselves at Union, international, national, regional, local and farm levels, it is necessary to streamline the governance of the CAP and improve its delivery on the Union objectives and to significantly decrease the administrative burden. In the CAP based on delivery of performance ('delivery model'), the Union should set the basic policy parameters, such as objectives of the CAP and basic requirements, while Member States should bear greater responsibility as to how they meet the objectives and achieve targets. Enhanced subsidiarity makes it possible to better take into account local conditions and needs, tailoring the support to maximise the contribution to Union objectives.

Amendment

(2) Since the CAP needs to sharpen its responses to the challenges and opportunities as they manifest themselves at Union, international, national, regional, local and farm levels, it is necessary to streamline the ***multi-level*** governance of the CAP, ***involve regional and local authorities and stakeholder*** and improve its delivery on the Union objectives and to significantly decrease the administrative burden. In the CAP based on delivery of performance ('delivery model'), the Union should set the basic policy parameters, such as objectives of the CAP and basic requirements, while Member States should bear greater responsibility as to how they meet the objectives and achieve targets. Enhanced subsidiarity makes it possible to better take into account local conditions and needs, tailoring the support to maximise the contribution to Union objectives.

Or. en

Amendment 17

Terry Reintke

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2a) In accordance with Article 208 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), the implementation of the CAP shall be in coherence with the objectives of development cooperation.

Or. en

Amendment 18

Terry Reintke

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) To ensure coherence of the CAP, all interventions of the future CAP should be part of a strategic support plan which would include certain sectoral interventions that were laid down in Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰.

(3) To ensure coherence of the CAP, all interventions of the future CAP ***should respect the principles of sustainable development, gender equality and fundamental rights, and*** should be part of a strategic support plan which would include certain sectoral interventions that were laid down in Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁰.

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007 (OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671).

Amendment 19
Martina Anderson

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 24 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(24a) Member States may, on request, decide to grant more than one recognition to a producer organisation operating in several sectors, provided the producer organisations fulfil the conditions referred to in paragraph 1 of Article 154 of this regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 20
Martina Anderson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 3 a (new)
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013
Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In Article 16, the following paragraph is added:

3a. Member States shall publish information on the identify of companies that have used public intervention as well as buyers of public intervention stock;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 81 – paragraph 2 – second paragraph

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States may **classify** wine grape varieties **where**:

Amendment

Only wine grape varieties **meeting the following conditions** may **be classified by Member States**:

Or. es

Amendment 22

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 81 – paragraph 2 – point (a)

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the variety concerned belongs to the species *Vitis vinifera* or *Vitis Labrusca*; **or**

Amendment

(a) the variety concerned belongs to the species *Vitis vinifera* or **comes from a cross between the species** *Vitis vinifera* **and other species of the genus** *Vitis*;

Or. es

Justification

Vitis labrusca is a much lower quality variety than *Vitis vinifera* and produces grapes which have generally not been used to make wine. What is more, the introduction of new plant material may spread diseases than those that are intended to be offset, as was the case with phylloxera, which was introduced in Europe as a specific part of the search for resistance to cryptogamic diseases.

Amendment 23

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 6

Regulation (EU) No 1038/2013

Article 81 – paragraph 2 – point (b)

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the variety **concerned comes from**

Amendment

(b) the variety **is not one of the**

a cross between the species Vitis vinifera, Vitis Labrusca and other species of the genus Vitis.

following: Noah, Othello, Isabelle, Jacquez, Clinton or Herbemont.

Or. es

Justification

Vitis labrusca is a much lower quality variety than Vitis vinifera and produces grapes which have generally not been used to make wine. What is more, the introduction of new plant material may spread diseases which are more serious than those being targeted, as was the case with phylloxera, which was introduced in Europe specifically in order to make vines more resistant to cryptogamic diseases.

Amendment 24
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013
Article 222 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(26a) To Article 222, the following paragraph is added:

1a. The scope of agreements and decisions adopted pursuant to the previous paragraph by recognised producer organisations, their associations and recognised interbranch organisations may be extended in line with the provisions laid down in Article 164, even if those agreements do not have any of the objectives laid down in Article 164(4), and in accordance with the conditions set by the Member State concerned.

Or. es

Justification

It should be possible for producer organisations, producer organisations' associations and interbranch organisations to extend the scope of agreements concluded pursuant to Article 222 to cover serious crisis situations.

Amendment 25
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– in the French overseas departments:
EUR **267 580 000**

– in the French overseas departments:
EUR **278 410 000**

Or. es

Justification

The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.

Amendment 26
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– Azores and Madeira: EUR **102 080 000**

– Azores and Madeira: EUR **106 210 000**

Or. es

Justification

The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.

Amendment 27
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– Canary Islands: EUR **257 970 000**

– Canary Islands: EUR **268 420 000**

Or. es

Justification

The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.

Amendment 28

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– in the French overseas departments:
EUR **25 900 000**

– in the French overseas departments:
EUR **26 900 000**

Or. es

Justification

The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.

Amendment 29

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

– Azores and Madeira: EUR **20 400 000**

– Azores and Madeira: EUR **21 200 000**

Or. es

Justification

The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.

Amendment 30
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

– Canary Islands: EUR **69 900 000**

Amendment

– Canary Islands: EUR **72 700 000**

Or. es

Justification

The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.

Amendment 31
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1

Regulation (EU) No 229/2013

Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Union shall finance the measures provided for in Chapters III and IV up to a maximum amount of EUR **23 000 000**.

Amendment

2. The Union shall finance the measures provided for in Chapters III and IV up to a maximum amount of EUR **23 930 000**.

Or. es

Justification

The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.

Amendment 32

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1

Regulation (EU) No 229/2013

Article 18 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The amount allocated to finance the specific supply arrangements referred to in Chapter III shall not exceed EUR **6 830 000**.

Amendment

3. The amount allocated to finance the specific supply arrangements referred to in Chapter III shall not exceed EUR **7 110 000**.

Or. es

Justification

The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.