



2015/2353(INI)

26.4.2016

AMENDEMENTS

1 - 35

Draft opinion

Clare Moody

(PE580.476v01-00)

Preparation of the post-electoral revision of the MFF 2014-2020: Parliament's input ahead of the Commission's proposal
(2015/2353(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises that gender equality is ***enshrined in*** the EU ***Treaty*** and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice; stresses that gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages; welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the ‘Budget for Results’ agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable and realistic objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

Amendment

1. Recognises that gender equality is ***a fundamental value of*** the EU ***enshrined in the treaties*** and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice; stresses that gender ***equality must become a policy objective in budget titles and similarly, gender mainstreaming must be recognised as an implementation method in budget titles; stresses therefore that*** gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages, ***and notes that progress on this front has been marginal***; welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the ‘Budget for Results’ agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable and realistic objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice; stresses that gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages;

Amendment

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice; stresses that gender ***equality must become a policy objective in all budget titles and similarly, gender***

welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the 'Budget for Results' agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable *and realistic* objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

mainstreaming must be recognised as an implementation method in all budget titles; stresses that therefore, gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages, and notes that progress on this front has been marginal; welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the 'Budget for Results' agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

Or. en

Amendment 3 Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be *included in all EU policies to deliver equality* in practice; *stresses* that gender budgeting *must* become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages; *welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the 'Budget for Results' agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable and realistic objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period*;

Amendment

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be *delivered* in practice; *criticises the intention* that gender budgeting *should* become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages; *emphasises that while equality between women and men should be an important part of any democracy*, the adoption of *purely ideological measures such as gender mainstreaming* means that *this equality finds expression in a forced and disproportionate manner*;

Or. de

Amendment 4
Angelika Mlinar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice; stresses that gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages; welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the ‘Budget for Results’ agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable and realistic objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

Amendment

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice; stresses that gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages ***and the Commission should design and apply a gender budgeting methodology to the EU Budget***; welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the ‘Budget for Results’ agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable and realistic objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Viorica Dăncilă

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice; stresses that gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages; welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the ‘Budget for Results’ agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable and realistic

Amendment

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice ***and notes that insufficient budgetary resources are allocated to the issue of gender equality***; stresses that gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages; welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the

objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

‘Budget for Results’ agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable and realistic objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

Or. ro

Amendment 6
Barbara Matera

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice; stresses that gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages; welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the ‘Budget for Results’ agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable and realistic objectives in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

Amendment

1. Recognises that gender equality is enshrined in the EU Treaty and should be included in all EU policies to deliver equality in practice; stresses that gender budgeting must become an integral part of the budgetary procedure at all its stages; welcomes the MFF mid-term review as an opportunity to make significant progress, in light of the ‘Budget for Results’ agenda; expects the Commission, therefore, to present further measurable and realistic objectives, ***based not least on analysis of achievements to date***, in order to truly embed gender perspectives in the EU budget for the remainder of this programming period;

Or. it

Amendment 7
Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Welcomes the focus on the 'Budget for

Results' agenda as an opportunity for budget spending to deliver integrated benefit of gender equality with every euro spent; also recognises that simplification measures in the 'Budget for Results' agenda must not be made at the expense of investments that can bring positive change to achieve gender equality;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Welcomes the focus on the 'Budget for Results' agenda but recalls that simplification measures cannot be done at the expense of investments that by its nature should be long-term, such as those that can bring positive change to achieve gender equality;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Draws attention to the existing gender-related targets in the Europe 2020 strategy; stresses that the MFF review must assess progress towards these targets and should, if necessary, revise the measures being taken to achieve these targets;

2. Draws attention to the existing gender-related targets in the Europe 2020 strategy, *namely increasing female employment to 75%, achieving equal pay between women and men, reaching gender-equal members of national parliaments and an equal number of women on large company boards, all of which we are a long way*

from reaching; also draws attention to the related EP priorities under Horizon 2020, which include the promotion of gender equality, especially in research and innovation; stresses that the MFF review must assess progress towards these targets and should, if necessary, revise the measures being taken to achieve these targets;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Draws attention to the existing gender-related targets in the Europe 2020 strategy; stresses that the MFF review must assess progress towards these targets and should, if necessary, *revise* the measures being taken to achieve these targets;

Amendment

2. Draws attention to the existing gender-related targets in the Europe 2020 strategy *and to related EP priorities under Horizon 2020, which include the promotion of gender equality, especially in research and innovation*¹; stresses that the MFF review must assess progress towards these targets and should, if necessary, *reconsider* the measures being taken to achieve these targets;

¹[http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2016/570010/IPOL_IDA\(2016\)570010_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2016/570010/IPOL_IDA(2016)570010_EN.pdf)

Or. en

Amendment 11
Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. *Draws attention to* the existing gender-

Amendment

2. *Criticises* the existing gender-related

related targets in the Europe 2020 strategy; stresses that the MFF review must assess ***progress towards*** these targets and should, if necessary, revise the measures being taken to achieve these targets;

targets in the Europe 2020 strategy; stresses that the MFF review must assess ***the utility and effectiveness of*** these targets and should, if necessary, revise the measures being taken to achieve these targets;

Or. de

Amendment 12
Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Stresses*** that, despite the joint declaration attached to the MFF on gender mainstreaming, no specific measures have so far been taken; ***calls for effective monitoring of this declaration's implementation in the annual budgetary procedures and for more effective integration thereof in the MFF revision;***

Amendment

3. ***Notes*** that, despite the joint declaration attached to the MFF on gender mainstreaming, no specific measures have so far been taken;

Or. de

Amendment 13
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

3a. Reaffirms the recently approved EP report on gender mainstreaming, which recognises that policies have only been implemented to a modest extent and insufficient budgetary resources have been allocated specifically for gender matters; calls for the MFF mid-term review to implement corrective measures throughout EU Institutions that honour

Amendment

*the commitment to gender mainstreaming,
as per the joint declaration to the MFF;*

Or. en

Amendment 14
Barbara Matera

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Considers it important to assess the impact of the Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme, to which EUR 15 686 million has been allocated for the period up to 2020 (MFF Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 1311/2013);

Or. it

Amendment 15
Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers;

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 16
Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools ***aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers;***

Amendment

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; ***as women and girls are disproportionately disadvantaged and at risk in situations of crisis and conflict,*** calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools ***targeted at the specific needs of displaced women and girls, including for sexual and reproductive health services and combatting sexual and gender-based violence, in headings 3 (Security and Citizenship) and 4 (Global Europe);***

Or. en

Amendment 17
Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers;

Amendment

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers ***into their host country such as including flexible language training, education and childcare; also calls on the MFF revision to look at the financial tools available to address the root causes of their original displacement;***

Or. en

Amendment 18
Eleonora Forenza

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers;

Amendment

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; ***stresses that such migration is composed of entire families that need a tailored support***; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers;

Amendment

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers ***and at addressing the root causes of their original displacement***;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Viorica Dăncilă

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55 % of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers;

Amendment

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55% of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers ***and monitoring their situation;***

Or. ro

Amendment 21
Barbara Matera

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55% of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women refugees and asylum-seekers;

Amendment

4. Recalls that, according to UNHCR data, since January 2016, 55% of the refugees and asylum-seekers entering the EU have been women and children; calls for an MFF revision to look at financial tools aimed specifically at integrating women ***and child*** refugees and asylum-seekers;

Or. it

Amendment 22
Angelika Mlinar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Notes that the gender budgeting exercise has revealed that the gender perspective is far from being assumed in all policies, at all levels and at every stage

Amendment 23

Clare Moody

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that investing public funds in quality and affordable childcare and elder care services **will boost employment and** economic growth and **foster** gender equality; calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in social infrastructure in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda;

Amendment

5. Stresses that investing public funds in **in the care sector such as** quality and affordable childcare, **social care services, long term** and elder care services **creates jobs, drives** economic growth and **fosters** gender equality, **allows more women to return or take up fulltime work given that women spend two to ten times more time on unpaid care than men;** calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in **this** social infrastructure in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda **and Europe2020 Strategy; notes that this form of expenditure is rarely considered as a suitable form of investment when policy makers are looking for effective forms of employment generation in recessionary times and in fact we often witness the opposite happening as public expenditure on education, health, childcare and social care services is cut in many countries as part of their deficit reduction strategies; regrets that this neglect of social infrastructure reflects a gender bias in economic thinking and may derive from the gender division of labour and gender employment segregation which in turn contributes to the widening gender pay gap in Europe; regards the review of the MFF as a chance to take action to address this;**

Amendment 24
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that investing public funds in quality and affordable childcare and elder care services will boost employment and economic growth and foster gender equality; calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in social infrastructure in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda;

Amendment

5. Stresses that investing public funds in quality and affordable childcare and elder care services will boost employment and economic growth and foster gender equality ***given that women spend two to ten times more time on unpaid care than men¹***; calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in social infrastructure ***and other public services*** in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda;

¹ *Unpaid Care Work: The missing link in the analysis of gender gaps in labour outcomes OECD Development Centre, December 2014:*
http://www.oecd.org/dev/development-gender/Unpaid_care_work.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 25
Barbara Matera

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that investing public funds in quality and affordable childcare and elder care services will boost employment and economic growth and foster gender equality; calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in social infrastructure in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda;

Amendment

5. Stresses that investing public funds in quality and affordable childcare and elder care services will boost employment and economic growth and foster gender equality; calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in social infrastructure in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda, ***making specific provision for investment in entrepreneurship education for women;***

Or. it

Amendment 26
Eleonora Forenza

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that investing public funds in quality and affordable childcare and elder care services will boost employment and economic growth and foster gender equality; calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in social infrastructure in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda;

Amendment

5. Stresses that investing public funds in quality and affordable **womencare, as well as** childcare and elder care services **and in a cultural campaign supporting parental leave**, will boost employment and economic growth and foster gender equality; calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in social infrastructure in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Harald Vilimsky

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that investing public funds in **quality and affordable** childcare and elder care services will boost employment and economic growth and foster gender equality; calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in social infrastructure in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda;

Amendment

5. Stresses that investing public funds in **genuine freedom of choice in** childcare and elder care services will boost employment and economic growth and foster gender equality; calls for the MFF revision to be used to invest in social infrastructure in Europe as part of the Jobs and Growth Agenda;

Or. de

Amendment 28
Angelika Mlinar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Stresses that the 2008 study to assess the feasibility and options for the introduction of elements of gender budgeting into the EU budgetary process commissioned by the European Commission Directorate-General for Budget confirmed that despite its unique features, the EU budget is suitable for gender budgeting and that gender budgeting can be applied at all steps of the budgeting process from the planning and preparation to the auditing and evaluation of the EU budget;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Angelika Mlinar

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. Stresses that in order to be effective gender mainstreaming needs to be operationalised and supported through predictable funding and allocations;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Clare Moody

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Reiterates that the Daphne programmes

6. Reiterates that the Daphne programmes

played a fundamental role in combating violence against women in the EU; underlines the need for sufficient financial support and for more clarity on how this objective is pursued under the REC programme.

played a fundamental role in combating violence against ***children, young people and*** women in the EU; underlines the need for sufficient financial support and for more clarity on how this objective is pursued under the REC programme; ***urges the importance of ensuring funds reach the grass-roots organisations on the ground to ensure effective implementation.***

Or. en

Amendment 31 **Monika Vana**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

6. Reiterates that the Daphne programmes played a fundamental role in combating violence against women in the EU; underlines the need for sufficient financial support and for more clarity on how this objective is pursued under the REC programme.

Amendment

6. Reiterates that the Daphne programmes played a fundamental role in combating violence against women in the EU; underlines the need for sufficient financial support and for more clarity on how this objective is pursued under the REC programme ***in order to achieve a high level of health protection, well-being and social cohesion.***

Or. en

Amendment 32 **Barbara Matera**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

6. Reiterates that the Daphne programmes played a fundamental role in combating violence against women in the EU;

Amendment

6. Reiterates that the Daphne programmes played a fundamental role in combating violence against women in the EU, ***but***

underlines the need for sufficient financial support and for more clarity on how this objective is pursued under the REC programme.

deplores the fact that Daphne is no longer considered an expenditure area in its own right; underlines the need for sufficient financial support and for more clarity on how this objective is pursued under the REC programme.

Or. it

Amendment 33
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Recalls the Parliament's crucial scrutiny role on gender-responsive budgeting; calls for all committees to take gender equality into consideration in the design and revision of budgets and of the financial framework in order to increase accountability and transparency regarding this Institution's commitment to gender equality; urges in this regard to systematically include specific gender indicators and gender-disaggregated data in the monitoring and evaluation of all actions that are funded by the EU budget.

Or. en

Amendment 34
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Recalls that the EU is one of the global frontrunners in the debate on policy coherence for sustainable development; encourages the inclusion of a comprehensive gender equality

perspective in all the impact assessments to be carried out as per the Better Regulation guidelines, namely with reference to the MFF mid-term review.

Or. en

Amendment 35
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6c. Stresses that the MFF should reflect the Commission's goal to achieve 40% of female senior and middle management in the Commission as set out in Jean-Claude Juncker's mission letter to Commissioner Kristalina Georgieva.

Or. en