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The power of the European Parliament: Examples of EP impact during the 2014-19 legislative term

Riassunto
As the only European Union institution elected directly, the European Parliament is at the heart of representative democracy, the foundation upon which the EU is built. Since its creation, the Parliament’s powers have evolved significantly, transforming it into a full-fledged legislative body and forum of discussion and engagement, whose influence is felt in virtually all areas of EU activity. This paper provides an overview of the European Parliament's main powers, demonstrating how they interact, and illustrating through practical examples from the most recent parliamentary term (2014-2019) the various ways in which the Parliament uses those powers in its daily work.

Mapping the 'Future of the EU' debate

Riassunto
Although calls for reform of the EU have increased in recent years, in particular as a consequence of the various challenges the EU has faced, the UK's vote in June 2016 on its EU membership has accelerated this process. In this context, the main EU institutions have all contributed to the debate, while individual Member States or groups of Member States have also brought forward initiatives. The main positions are outlined in this 'at a glance' note.

Public expectations and EU policies - Identifying the gaps

Riassunto
Citizens' expectations of the European Union vary widely across policy areas. A Eurobarometer survey – Europeans in 2016: Perceptions and expectations, fight against terrorism and radicalisation – seeks to identify those areas in which EU citizens want to see the Union doing more. Having identified areas in which there is a gap between the EU's current action and citizens' expectations of the Union, the next step is to look at the potential – within the constraints of the EU legal foundations – for the EU to do more to meet citizens' expectations.

United Kingdom’s Renegotiation of Its Constitutional Relationship with the EU: Agenda, Priorities and Risks

Riassunto
This paper contains three contributions which are intended to feed into the discussion in the AFCO committee about the UK government’s renegotiation of its constitutional relationships with the EU. First paper by Charles Grant looks at the UK agenda in the renegotiation process and analyses its historical and political context, the focal points of the UK government’s demands and possible pitfalls in the negotiation process. Jean-Claude Piris explores the variety of legal solutions to accommodate such demands both inside and outside current EU treaty framework. In the last contribution, Bruno de Witte discusses the options of new form of constitutional association laying down a legal framework for a "partial membership" for the UK.
Looking Ahead: Pathways of Future Constitutional Evolution of the EU
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Riassunto: The effects of the economic and fiscal crisis and the institutional instruments created to deal with it have led several actors (from governments and EU bodies to scholars) to propose different EU reforms. Several options exist to accommodate future constitutional development which, in some cases, may require Treaty revision. In this case, future constitutional evolution faces the challenge that the very stringent EU revision requirement (i.e. unanimity) poses. Other available options do not seem totally satisfactory.
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Trends in Differentiation of EU Law and Lessons for the Future
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Riassunto: This analysis examines the development of differentiated integration connected to the EU legal order, and raises questions for the future.
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National Constitutional Avenues for Further EU Integration
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Riassunto: This study investigates national constitutional limits to further EU integration and explores ways to overcome them. It includes an in-depth examination of the constitutional systems of 12 Member States (Croatia, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, and the United Kingdom) and a bird's eye view of all Member States. EU integration can be advanced by avoiding substantive constitutional obstacles in various ways. Overcoming the substantive obstacles requires managing national procedural constitutional hurdles. This is possible to the extent that the required broad political consensus exists.
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Riassunto: Part One of the study is a history of the Euratom Treaty, which covers the period from the early 1950s to the late 1960s. Part Two of the study considers and analyses the most important provisions of the Euratom Treaty, chapter by chapter, and then Part Three offers some thoughts on possible strategies which the Parliament might use so as to reduce the ‘democratic deficit’ which is generally thought to characterise the Euratom Treaty.
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