

How European development aid makes a difference

- In 2015, Europe provided **€68 billion in development aid**, which is **over 50 %** of all global aid efforts, with the main aim of eradicating poverty.
- EU imports from developing countries are worth **€860 billion a year**.
- Better EU aid coordination could free **€800 million** in additional development funding.

The EU: the world's largest development aid donor based on values

Together the European Union and its Member States provide over 50 % of all global development aid, making them the world's largest donors of official development assistance (ODA). In 2015, the EU's collective ODA amounted to **€68 billion**.¹ As one of the oldest external activities of the European Communities, development policy was originally focused on former colonies of Member States; but it now covers some 160 countries around the world – whilst also putting strong emphasis on support to the poorest countries. Today's EU development policy is guided by the [17 Sustainable Development Goals](#) (SDGs, see following graphic) agreed at United Nations (UN) level in 2015.



Source: United Nations in collaboration with Project Everyone.

The SDG agenda aims at economic, societal and environmental transformation, building on the successes of the [United Nations Millennium Development Goals](#) (MDGs), a series of time-bound targets for 2000-2015, for instance the eradication of extreme poverty and hunger. The EU played a major role in setting up the SDGs, notably by advocating the inclusion of EU values such as human rights, democracy, rule of law and social justice. On the [implementation of the SDG agenda](#), the **European Parliament demands** that EU funding mechanisms and budget lines must reflect the EU's SDG agenda

commitments. It also calls on the European Commission to propose a sustainable development strategy that encompasses other policy areas which have a bearing on sustainable development, for example foreign affairs and environment.

EU key contributions in moving towards sustainable development

Some key contributions of the EU and its Member States for financing global sustainable development by 2020 include:²

- **€14 billion** is to be spent by the EU on human development and social inclusion, with almost half allocated to **improving health provision**, and a quarter to the development of **strong education systems**.
- The EU will invest **€8.8 billion** in sustainable agriculture and food security, of which €3.5 billion is earmarked to help developing countries **lift 7 million children out of malnutrition** before 2025.
- At least **€14 billion** of EU public grants will support activities in the area of **environment and climate protection** in developing countries. €1 billion is planned to support biodiversity and ecosystems, including wildlife conservation.
- The energy sector will be endowed with **€3.5 billion** by the EU, leading to leverage of around €30 billion in energy investment; this will contribute to **freeing 500 million people from energy poverty** by 2030.
- The EU is the world's largest aid provider in terms of **trade**, and the world's most open market. It imports goods valued at **€860 billion** annually from developing countries, which helps their economies. Offering generous tariff reductions to developing countries, the EU's trade policy is based on the [Generalised Scheme of Preferences](#) (GSP) and the more far reaching [GSP+](#), with an overall monetary value of €6 billion annually. The conditions put on developing countries for obtaining these tariff reductions are to ratify and implement core international conventions related to human and labour rights, environment and good governance. [Least-developed countries](#) are given duty-free and quota-free access to the EU market for all their products, with the exception of arms and ammunition.

Moreover, the EU will seek further partnership with the **private sector**, and help developing countries mobilise more **domestic resources**. It plans to leverage more development funding through '[blending](#)', a financing instrument that combines EU grants with loans or equity from public and private financiers. EU investments of up to **€8 billion** should thus generate five times as much (over **€40 billion**) from public finance institutions.³ This, in turn, should help to mobilise over **€100 billion** in investment by 2020. Pioneering this approach is the new [EU External Investment Plan](#), where €3.35 billion from the EU and the European Development Fund should trigger €44 billion in total from public and private sources. In addition, the EU will invest **€42 million** in a flagship [Domestic Resource Mobilisation \(DRM\) programme](#) to boost developing countries' generation of own revenue, and to help them tackle tax evasion, tax avoidance and illicit financial flows.

7% of EU budget for the developing world

Development cooperation is a 'shared competence' between the EU and its Member States. In 2014, around €9.9 billion of the €59 billion in collective EU ODA came directly from the EU general budget, representing around **7%** of the EU budget.

The [Multiannual Financial Framework](#) (MMF), which determines the EU's maximum annual amounts to spend in different policy fields from 2014 to 2020, includes several financing instruments for development policy. The most relevant for developing countries are shown in the table below. These instruments reflect the EU's [Agenda for Change](#), adopted in 2011 as the EU's basis for development

policy. The agenda's central aim is to increase the impact and effectiveness of development cooperation. Thus, the EU redirected its resources to where they are most needed and where they can have the greatest impact on human rights, democracy, good governance, sustainable growth for development, and in particular, reducing poverty. Another important strategic document containing principles of EU development policy, the [European Consensus on Development](#) from 2005, will be revised to incorporate the SDGs.

Development policy financing instruments	Budget in 2014-2020 MFF	Example of a previous project of the financing instruments and its results
Instrument for Development Cooperation (DCI)	€19.7 billion	Social Water and Integrated Management (SWIM II) : Around €1 million over the period from 2010 to 2014 has helped to set up 14 water-user associations in Afghanistan in order to improve water management, and canal maintenance, leading to more food security.
Instrument Contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP)	€2.3 billion	Support to the Malian authorities and essential social services in post-conflict zones : After the 2012 coup, the EU deployed €5 million in EU funds from 2013 to 2015 to repair 142 public buildings, provide mobile kits for 115 health centres, and 9 760 locally made bench-tables have contributed to pupils returning to school.
European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights (EIDHR)	€1.3 billion	Torture prevention in Peru, Ecuador and Guatemala : Ca €700 000 was spent in engaging 5 500 persons from local authorities, military, policemen and social leaders in the eradication of torture practices from 2007 to 2009.

Besides supporting projects, the European Commission is aiming to use [budget support](#) more and more. This means channeling funds directly into the treasury of the recipient country in order to achieve mutually agreed goals. Currently, one quarter of EU development aid is disbursed in this manner.

Outside the EU's budget, the [European Development Fund](#) (EDF), established in 1957, is the EU's largest geographic development cooperation instrument. The present, 11th EDF covering the period 2014 to 2020 has a financial volume of **€30.5 billion**, and started operating in March 2015 after ratification by all EU Member States. Projects financed through the EDF are similar to DCI projects but partner countries include only African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) states and EU overseas countries and territories. Most of the EDF's resources are managed by the European Commission. The European Parliament has a more limited role in the functioning of the EDF and has repeatedly demanded its inclusion in the EU budget.

The EU's most comprehensive framework for development, political, economic and trade cooperation with 79 ACP countries is the [Cotonou Agreement](#), concluded for the period from 2000 to 2020. Building on generations of cooperation agreements between the EU and the ACP states dating back to 1964, the agreement's main priority is to eradicate poverty, contribute to sustainable development and integrate the ACP countries into the world economy. [Revised in 2010](#), the agreement has been adapted to new challenges such as climate change, food security, and state fragility, and puts high emphasis on aid effectiveness. For the period after its [expiry in 2020](#), the EP would like to retain an umbrella agreement for ACP countries setting high governance, human rights and sustainable development standards for the future regional trade agreements, to replace Cotonou.⁴

Better coordination of EU development aid would save €800 million

As a proportion of the EU's wealth, EU development aid still falls short of its [own targets](#) and UN goals. In [2015](#), it reached **0.47 % of combined EU gross national income (GNI)**, far below the target of ODA flows of at least 0.7 % of GNI. Member States and the EU will have to increase their ODA quota in order to come near its global poverty eradication goal quicker.

Crucially, it is also possible to achieve more with existing spending: EU Member States and the EU need to collaborate and coordinate their development policies better. This could include, for example, exchanging information, interaction aimed at harmonising strategies and approaches, or the development of a fully integrated approach similar to the EDF. Such forms of EU coordination would increase efficiency and effectiveness of EU development aid.⁵ For the moment, however, the efficiency and effectiveness of EU aid is hindered by excessive fragmentation and duplication across EU Member States.

In fact, according to an [EPRS report](#), a more coordinated EU-wide approach would reduce administrative burdens and related costs, saving financial transaction costs alone of up to **€800 million** annually. EU donors would have to provide the same amount of aid efforts and resources, but concentrate them on fewer activities and countries with the greatest need. The EU would coordinate activities and thus demonstrate its **added value** in development policy. In **Rwanda**, for instance, ten EU Member States and the European Commission have reduced duplication in the formerly overcrowded health sector, and some donors report less time spent on administration.⁶ Funds saved in such a coordinated way could be reinvested in development aid and related relevant policies. For example, **€800 million** nearly exactly corresponds to the amount that would be needed for humanitarian aid for displaced people and minorities in Iraq in 2016.⁷

¹ European Commission, [Press release](#): EU Official Development Assistance reaches highest-ever share of Gross National Income, April 2016.

² [Financing Global Sustainable Development: Illustration of EU contributions to the 2030 Agenda](#), European Union 2016.

³ [Financing Global Sustainable Development after 2015: Illustrations of Key EU Contributions](#), European Union 2015.

⁴ [European Parliament resolution of 4 October 2016 on the future of ACP-EU relations beyond 2020](#)

⁵ [Costs, Benefits and the Political Economy of Aid Coordination](#): The Case of the European Union, Stephan Klingebiel, Mario Negre, M. & Pedro Morazán, in: European Journal of Development Research, 2016.

⁶ [The Cost of Non-Europe in Development Policy](#): Increasing coordination between EU donors, Monika Nogaj, EPRS, 2013.

⁷ [Verzweifelte Situation für Vertriebene und Minderheiten im Irak](#), Florian Rötzer, Telepolis, 2016.

To contact the European Added Value Unit, please e-mail: EPRS-EuropeanAddedValue@ep.europa.eu

Manuscript completed in November 2016. Brussels © European Union, 2016.

The content of this document is the sole responsibility of the author and any opinions expressed therein do not necessarily represent the official position of the European Parliament. It is addressed to the Members and staff of the EP for their parliamentary work. Reproduction and translation for non-commercial purposes are authorised, provided the source is acknowledged and the European Parliament is given prior notice and sent a copy.

www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank (Internet) – www.eptthinktank.eu (blog) – www.eprs.sso.ep.parl.union.eu (Intranet)