Article 17 TFEU: Dialogue with churches, and religious and philosophical organisations

SUMMARY

The EU institutions engage in regular structured dialogue with representatives of churches, and religious, non-confessional and philosophical organisations, on the basis of Article 17 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU).

This dialogue, which takes the form of high-level meetings or working-level discussions, is focused on policy issues on the European agenda. It traces its origins to earlier initiatives, such as that launched in 1994 by Jacques Delors – 'A soul for Europe' – which aimed to find ways to build an ethical, moral and spiritual dimension into European integration and policy-shaping. The draft Constitutional Treaty of 2004 included provisions on regular, open and transparent dialogue between EU institutions, and representatives of churches and religious communities, and of non-confessional or philosophical communities. Although the Constitutional Treaty was rejected in referendums in France and the Netherlands, its successor, the Lisbon Treaty, adopted in 2007 and in force since December 2009, preserved the same provisions in its Article 17 TFEU.

The European Parliament has long stressed the importance of constant dialogue among, and with, religious and non-confessional and philosophical communities. Following the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it sought to give substance to the provisions of Article 17 TFEU, primarily by organising dialogue on subjects of interest for the EU and its citizens. The European Commission and the Council are also bound by Article 17 TFEU provisions.

This is a further updated version of a briefing first published in 2018. The previous edition was issued in April 2022.
Background: From 'A Soul for Europe' to Article 17 TFEU

In 1994, with his 'Une âme pour l’Europe' (a soul for Europe) initiative, Jacques Delors, European Commission President at the time, established the first formal links between the EU institutions and religious and non-confessional organisations. His aim was to move beyond a purely economic and legal understanding of European integration, to reflect its spiritual and ethical perspectives, and to promote the participation of all strands of civil society in the European integration process – including religious and philosophical organisations. Declaration 11 of the Treaty of Amsterdam (1997), set out the EU’s respect for the status of churches and non-confessional organisations under national law, and gave formal EU-level recognition to these concerns for the first time.

Relations between church and state fall within the domestic competence of EU Member States. While, on the one hand, this means Member States are free to develop their own models in accordance with their history and traditions, on the other, it means that the EU institutions are not defined by a particular national model of secularism or church–state relations. Member States are, however, obliged to respect the fundamental rights guaranteed in the European Convention on Human Rights, including freedom of thought, conscience and religion (Article 9).

Convention on the Future of Europe and Constitutional Treaty

Starting in 2002, the Convention on the Future of Europe was tasked with drawing up a draft treaty, which would eventually become the draft constitution for Europe. The role of Christianity and religion in shaping European culture and identity, the place of churches in contemporary society; a reference to God or to Europe’s Christian heritage in the treaty’s preamble – as is the case in a number of Member State constitutions – and the inclusion of provisions from Declaration 11 were among the subjects discussed. Various cultural and philosophical traditions were also debated. A reflection group on the spiritual and cultural dimension of Europe presented reflection papers on the public role of religions and different models of state and church relations. Some non-confessional and secular organisations opposed any explicit reference to a particular religion or God, or even the incorporation of the Declaration 11 provisions. They also opposed establishing any formal dialogue mechanism between the EU institutions and religious or non-confessional organisations, arguing that the provision for dialogue with civil society was sufficient.

The subsequent intergovernmental conference in 2003-2004 established the final draft of the Constitutional Treaty. France, with long-standing secular traditions, supported by Belgium, strongly opposed any reference to God or Christianity in the treaty’s preamble, promoted by countries with strong Catholic traditions. Representatives of religious bodies pushed for provisions on the status of churches and dialogue with the EU institutions. The final preamble contained a general reference to religious heritage. The provisions of Declaration 11 were incorporated in the Treaty as Article 51, setting out provisions on dialogue with churches, confessional and non-confessional organisations.

After referendums in France and the Netherlands rejected the Constitutional Treaty, the Lisbon Treaty was adopted in 2007 (in force since December 2009). It integrated the provisions of Article 51 unchanged, as Article 17 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU).

The European Commission opened informal dialogue channels with churches and religious organisations in the 1990s. In 2005, the then President of the Commission, José Manuel Barroso, took the initiative to host an annual high-level meeting with European religious leaders. The Presidents of the European Parliament and the European Council were invited from 2007. According to the Commission, the meetings provide for open exchange between EU institutions and representatives of religious communities on EU policies. In 2009, the Commission set up an annual high-level meeting between the three EU institutions and philosophical and non-confessional organisations.

Article 17 TFEU dialogue: Partners and guidelines

Barroso continued to organise separate annual high-level meetings with both sets of partners but, for the first time, the EU had a legal basis for regular, open and transparent dialogue between its
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Institutions and churches, religious, philosophical and non-confessional organisations, and an obligation to respect the status of these organisations under national law.

The EU institutions also organise regular Article 17 TFEU dialogue working-level sessions, primarily with the EU representation offices of religious organisations, such as COMECE (the Commission of the [Roman Catholic] Bishops’ Conferences of the European Union), CEC (the Conference of European Churches including Protestant, Anglican and Orthodox churches), representatives of churches at national level, representatives of the Conference of European Rabbis, and representatives of Muslim, Hindu, Buddhist, Baha’i and other communities. The institutions also meet with visiting delegations of religious leaders from the Member States and non-EU countries.

Participating philosophical and non-confessional organisations include humanist and freemasonry organisations (such as the European Masonic Alliance), and free thought, ethical, non-dogmatic and secular organisations (such as EGALE). Humanist organisations, and the European Humanist Federation (EHF) in particular, have argued that there is an imbalance between non-confessional organisations and churches in terms of the set-up at EU level, financial means, and political impact. EHF dissolved at the end of 2022; its tasks were transferred to Humanists International. The latter and its member organisations advocate the secular neutrality of the EU public sphere, with the EU institutions maintaining a neutral stance towards all convictions, religious or not.

In 2013, the European Commission published dialogue implementation guidelines stipulating that the topics are to relate to the EU agenda and to be agreed upon by both parties, and that participating organisations must be recognised or registered at national level and adhere to European values. Participating churches or associations are also encouraged to register with the European Transparency Register, which includes almost 50 religious organisations and a couple of philosophical and humanist organisations, difficult to identify in the register. The guidelines followed a decision of the European Ombudsman on the European Humanist Federation’s 2011 complaint against the Commission, when it had refused to hold a dialogue on human rights-related exemptions for religious organisations in the Employment Equality Directive.

The EU institutions and Article 17 TFEU

European Parliament

The implementation of Article 17 TFEU in Parliament, by means of regular dialogue sessions, seminars, and events with partner organisations, is now the responsibility of First Vice-President Othmar Karas (EPP, Austria). He took over this function from the former First Vice-President Roberta Metsola (EPP, Malta), who was elected Parliament President in January 2022.

Article 17 TFEU dialogue seminars focus on EU policies and reflect participants’ interest in topical issues, such as the ethical aspects of artificial intelligence (AI) and the European Green Deal. They are held in public, web-streamed and recorded, and participants’ contributions feature on the European Parliament’s Article 17 TFEU webpage. A July 2022 seminar was devoted to the humanitarian response to the war in Ukraine. Various other events held in the framework of Article 17 TFEU have covered broad issues such as religious freedom and secularism, the persecution of non-believers and Christians in the world, the role of churches and religions in social issues and the humanist contribution to society.

European Commission

In the Commission, the Article 17 TFEU dialogue currently falls under the responsibility of the Vice-President for Promoting our European Way of Life, Margaritis Schinas. Since 2019, the Commission has held separate high-level meetings with religious and non-confessional organisations on a variety of current topics, such as the COVID-19 pandemic and the situation of migrants in the EU. In January 2020, Vice-President Schinas took part in the dialogue session at the European Parliament devoted to the European Green Deal, while Vice-President Frans Timmermans discussed this theme in a dialogue session with church and philosophical organisation representatives in June 2021. In January 2022, the high-level Article 17 meeting focused on the Conference on the Future of Europe.

The Commission usually invites the European Parliament Vice-President to participate in high-level Article 17 TFEU meetings. This was the case of the high-level meeting with religious leaders in January 2023 and the one with representatives of philosophical and humanist organisations in December 2022, both devoted to 'The impact of the war in Ukraine on the European way of life'.

In December 2022, Frans van Daele was appointed Special Envoy for the promotion and protection of freedom of religion or belief outside the EU, after his predecessor, Christos Stylianides, resigned in September 2021 to join the Greek government. Together with Commission Vice-President Margaritis Schinas, he took part in the dialogue session on liberal democracy held in the European Parliament with both confessional and non-confessional organisations in January 2023.

Council of the EU

Ecumenical delegations from the CEC and the COMECE meet the rotating EU Council presidencies in the framework of Article 17 TFEU to discuss their 6-month programmes. For instance, in May 2023, both organisations commented on the Swedish presidency priorities, and stressed the need to counter the instrumentalisation of religion in the war in Ukraine, and the need for political unity, social cohesion, and solidarity in areas such as climate change, energy, migration and asylum policy.

In 2013, the Foreign Affairs Council adopted guidelines on the promotion and protection of freedom of religion or belief in EU external relations. Representatives of philosophical organisations welcomed the inclusion in the text of the freedom of religion and freedom to change religion, important in the context of the persecution of atheists and agnostics.
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