

CORRIGENDA

Requested by CONT Committee



The Largest 50 Beneficiaries in each EU Member State of CAP and Cohesion Funds

Corrigenda



Policy Department for Budgetary Affairs
Authors: Willem Pieter DE GROEN, Roberto MUSMECI, Damir GOJSIC,
Jorge NUNEZ and Daina BELICKA
Directorate-General for Internal Policies
PE 679.107 – June 2021

EN

STUDY

Available in electronic format.

https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document.html?reference=IPOL_STU%282021%29679107



ANNEX 7. TOP BENEFICIARIES CAP 2018

Provided in separate annex available in electronic format.

[http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2021/679107/IPOL_STU\(2021\)679107\(ANN01\)_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2021/679107/IPOL_STU(2021)679107(ANN01)_EN.pdf)



ANNEX 8. TOP BENEFICIARIES CAP 2019

Provided in separate annex available in electronic format.

[http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2021/679107/IPOL_STU\(2021\)679107\(ANN02\)_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2021/679107/IPOL_STU(2021)679107(ANN02)_EN.pdf)



ANNEX 9. TOP BENEFICIARIES COHESION POLICY 2014-2020

Provided in separate annex available in electronic format.

[http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2021/679107/IPOL_STU\(2021\)679107\(ANN03\)_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2021/679107/IPOL_STU(2021)679107(ANN03)_EN.pdf)



This document was requested by the European Parliament's Committee on Budgetary Control. It designated Ms Monika Hohlmeier to follow the study.

AUTHORS

Willem Pieter DE GROEN, CEPS

Roberto MUSMECI, CEPS

Damir GOJSIC, CEPS

Jorge NUNEZ, CEPS

Daina BELICKA, CSE COE

The authors would like to thank Daniele Genta, Babak Hakimi, Xinyi Li and Silvia Tadi for their valuable contributions to this report.

ADMINISTRATOR RESPONSIBLE

Kaare BARSLEV

András SCHWARCZ

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT

Fanny BROECKX

Lyna PÄRT

LINGUISTIC VERSIONS

Original: EN

ABOUT THE EDITOR

Policy departments provide in-house and external expertise to support EP committees and other parliamentary bodies in shaping legislation and exercising democratic scrutiny over EU internal policies.

To contact the Policy Department or to subscribe for updates, please write to:

Policy Department for Budgetary Affairs

European Parliament

B-1047 Brussels

Email: Poldep-Budg@ep.europa.eu

Manuscript completed in May 2021

© European Union, 2021

DISCLAIMER AND COPYRIGHT

The opinions expressed in this document are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the European Parliament.

Reproduction and translation for non-commercial purposes are authorised, provided the source is acknowledged and the European Parliament is given prior notice and sent a copy.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Amsterdam Economic Board | 4 |
| AB Private Trust I | 4 |
| UAB Fredus | 4 |

Amsterdam Economic Board

The amount of EU funds allocated to the projects of Amsterdam Economic Board was incorrectly stated in the Dutch reporting system as EUR 30 305 454. After the publication of the study, a change has been requested. The correct sum Amsterdam Economic Board received was EUR 300 054.

Therefore, the Amsterdam Economic Board should not be listed in the following tables:

- Table 4.33 Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries – other legal persons – Cohesion funds 2014-2020 – EU; p. 112
- Annex 9. Top 50 direct beneficiaries Cohesion funds 2014-2020 - NL; p. 66
- Annex 9. Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries - other legal persons Cohesion funds 2014-2020 - NL; p. 204.

The information on the TechGrounds-project, for which Amsterdam Economic Board received financing, is available on the website of the reporting system: <https://www.europaomdehoek.nl/projecten/techgrounds>

AB Private Trust I

As stated in the [Final report on the audit of the functioning of the management and control systems in place to avoid conflict of interest in Czechia](#) (p. 18) carried out by Commission Directorates general Regional and Urban Policy (REGIO) and Employment, Inclusion and Social Affairs (EMPL), the sole beneficiary of AB Private Trust I is Andrej Babiš.

- Table 4.24 Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries – limited liability companies CAP 2018 – EU; p. 85
- Table 4.28 Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries – limited liability companies CAP 2019 – EU; p. 96
- Annex 7. Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2018 - CZ; pp. 19-20
- Annex 7. Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries - limited liability companies CAP 2018 - CZ; p. 139
- Annex 8. Top 50 direct beneficiaries CAP 2019 - CZ; p. 20
- Annex 8. Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries - limited liability companies CAP 2019 - CZ; p. 133
- Annex 8. Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries - limited liability companies CAP 2019 - SK; p. 165
- Annex 9. Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries - limited liability companies Cohesion funds 2014-2020 - CZ; p. 133

UAB Fredus

In the case of Lithuania, around a fifth of the funds and a third of the beneficiary payments included in the dataset of the reporting system on Cohesion Policy are marked as „Nesudaryta sutartis“ (“no contract”). It was not clarified in the database whether or not they are to be contracted later. It looked likely, as the total amount excluding these entries would have been lower than the total amount reported by the European Commission. Therefore, these

beneficiaries were included in the list. However, it is possible that these beneficiaries did not actually receive funds. Beneficiaries in this situation that may need to be disregarded for the Lithuanian Cohesion funds lists are: BRC FINANCE, MB GLOBEX AAA, MB GMT INVESTICIJA, POLYWOOD, SIAULIU ENERGIJA, VERSLININKU KLUBAS GANDRAS, UAB FREDUS, UAB "PADVAISKAS IR KO", and UAB "PLIENO FORTAS".

- Annex 9. Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries - limited liability companies Cohesion funds 2014-2020 - LT; p. 153
- Annex 9. Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries - natural persons Cohesion funds 2014-2020 - LT; p. 111
- Annex 9. Top 25 ultimate beneficiaries - other legal persons Cohesion funds 2014-2020 - LT; p. 199

