Amendment 29 (Charles Goerens, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz) with oral amendment:

15. Recalls that both the Commission and the Council secretariats have an important role to play in ensuring that the non-participating Members of enhanced cooperation are not left behind in a way that makes their participation at a later stage difficult burdensome or deterrent;

Compromise Amendment 1: Based on AM 33 (Durand) and AM 34 (Jauregui and Bresso)

18. Recalls that the European Parliament is in charge of the parliamentary control of enhanced cooperation; Calls for the stronger involvement of national parliaments, and in those member states where it is relevant, of regional parliaments (AM 34), alongside the European Parliament in the democratic scrutiny of enhanced cooperation if it concerns policy areas of shared competence; underlines the possibility of establishing an interparliamentary forum similar, for instance, to the Interparliamentary conference under Article 13 of the TSCG and the Interparliamentary conference for the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP), where necessary and without prejudice to the powers of the European Parliament;

Amendment 37 (Goerens and Pagazaurtundua) with oral amendment:

20. Finds it necessary to improve Parliament’s internal organisation in relation to enhanced cooperation; believes that, to this end, each case of enhanced cooperation should be followed by the relevant standing committee and recommends that the rules of procedure of the European Parliament should authorize, to this end, the set-up of ad-hoc sub-committees in which full membership is primarily given to those MEPs elected in Member States participating in the enhanced cooperation;

Compromise Amendment 2: based on AM 39 (Goerens, Pagazaurtundua) and 40 (Durand)

21. Takes the view that operating expenditure linked to enhanced cooperation should be borne by the participating Member States, and if this cost is borne by the EU budget the non-participating Member States should be reimbursed, unless the Council, after consulting the Parliament, in accordance with Article 332 (TFEU) (AM 40) opts for the funding by EU budget thereby making such expenditure part of the EU budget and therefore subject to the annual budgetary procedure;

Compromise Amendment 3: based on AM 41 (Goerens, Pagazaurtundua) and 42 (Bresso, Leinen)
23. Believes that enhanced cooperation should be under the direct jurisdiction of the European Court of Justice without prejudice to the possibility for the establishment of an arbitration procedure or a dispute settlement court of first instance that could be required for the functioning of a particular case of enhanced cooperation, unless the Treaty provides otherwise, and which should be specified in the legal act establishing the enhanced cooperation;