



# Opinion on the report Franchising

Ms Patricia Hoogstraaten, RAE General manager Vakcentrum, the Netherlands











### **Need of protecting the franchisee**

Joining a franchise formula is a good option but:

- SME businesses survive if they can be entrepreneurial
- Lack of (pre)contractual protection
- Terms becoming one-sided
- Prohibition multi-franchising, the non-competition clause and purchase obligations
- Franchising and the digital economy: not regulated

### Position SME franchisees is being threatened





### 1. Removing stumbling blocks in Regulation 330/2010

• Question 1: "Does the model of franchising reflect the needs of the nowadays franchise market?"

NO: Current digital developments, e-commerce and data are not regulated

• Question 2: "Is there any proportionality between the implementation of the rules set in Regulation and the effect on the economic position of franchisees?" NO: Ban on multifranchising, non-competition clause and purchasing obligations

#### **Solutions**

 Forbid the non-competition clause after termination, unless the franchisor can prove there will be abuse of his knowhow
Intermediate adjustment of the Guidelines





### 2. Set up a low threshold review as requested by the EP in 2013

### The European Commission is requested to:

"Examine price-fixing mechanisms in franchise systems and the effects of long-term competition clauses, purchase options and the prohibition of multi-franchising, and to reconsider in this respect the current exemption from competition rules for contracting parties having a market share of less than 30%."

### Unfortunately, nothing has happened yet





### 3. Introducing new rules related to franchising & digital economy

- Digitalisation is ignored in EU Regulation 330/2010
- E-commerce & consumer data
  - Prevent unfair competitive advantages
  - Both parties generate consumer data, use and valuation should be divided proportionally
  - Transparency is essential

Regulation of the digital components of the franchise relationship is necessary



## 4. EP should request the Commission to promote good cooperation between DG Competition and DG Internal Market

### DG Competition, Barnier 2011:

"It is not a competition problem as such – for example the Regulation does not allow post contractual non-competition clauses, unless severe conditions are met - but franchisors abusing the lack of level playing field. This should be addressed as an internal market issue."

Bienkowska 2015: "I support franchise"





### 5. Is Selfregulation the Solution?

Dutch Franchise Code (NFC): a healthier and more balanced playing field is achieved

Dutch Franchisors organisation:

"We do not support the NFC as presented to the minister"

Franchisees need legislative support This need is also proved in the study



THE DUTCH

FRANCHISE CODE



### 5. Is Selfregulation the Solution? (2)

- The European code of Ethics on Franchising (1972) has not fully contributed to a decrease of UTP's
- EFC 2016 is again a one sided document set up by franchisors without consulting the counterpart
- Franchise shall not embrace the EFC 2016, it is even more focused on a unbalanced position
  - 'Franchisors obligations' are altered into 'Franchisors commitments'
  - The condition in writing has been skipped (is considered as unfair practices!)

Even balanced selfregulation needs a legal guarantee





# 6. Introduce legal protection of the franchisee's position in the pre-contractual phase & contractual phase

### A priority!

#### •Areas for attention:

- ✓ Content of contract, side letters etc.
- ✓ Supply of correct data
- ✓ Exclusivity, e-commerce and the use of consumer data
- ✓ Purchasing demands
- √ Adjustment of the contract
- ✓ Position of the representative bodies of franchisees

**Establishing relevant obligations is necessary!** 





### 7. Competition law versus private law

Evaluate competition law not only in light of the market integration aims

but build blocks of private law relations

### **Conclusion**

Balanced franchise regulation is:

- ✓ Good for the entrepreneurs
- ✓ Good for the consumers
- ✓ Good for local suppliers
- ✓ Good for innovation
- ✓ Good for employment
- √ Good for local loyalty/sponsorship

Let us not waste time!



