

Consequences of Brexit in the area of consumer protection

Dr. Malte Kramme



Schedule

- 1. EEA Agreement
- 2. WTO rules
- 3. Conclusion



EEA-Model

- Consumer protection under the EEA Agreement is comparable to the EU consumer protection level
- EEA Agreement incorporates the four fundamental freedoms (goods, services, persons, capital)
- At the time of signing, the EC acquis was implemented in the EEA Agreement and its Annexes
- Annex XIX covers consumer protection



EEA Model Procedure of adoption

- Mechanism for dynamic adoption of new EU secondary law (Article 98 EEA Agreement):
 - Commission informs EFTA on planned legislation in fields governed by EEA Agreement and seeks advise from EEA experts (Article 99 EEA Agreement)
 - After adoption of the new act by the EU, EEA Joint Committee "shall take a decision" to adopt that act "with a view to permitting a simultaneous application" of the respective act (Article 102 EEA Agreement)

→ EFTA states have no direct influence on the legislative process, but are obliged to adopt EU legislation



EEA-Model Coverage (1)

- Wide coverage of EU consumer protection acquis
 - Consumer contract law: Consumer Rights Directive (2011/83/EU),
 Unfair Terms Directive (1993/13/EEC), Consumer Sales Directive (1999/44/EC)
 - Redress: Injunctions Directive (2009/22/EC), Regulation on Consumer Protection Cooperation (EC) No 2006/2004, ADR Directive (2013/11/EU) (under scrutiny by EEA/EFTA), ODR Regulation (EU) No 2015/524 (under scrutiny by EEA/EFTA)
 - Commercial practices with consumer relevance: Unfair B2C
 Commercial Practices Directive (2005/29/EC), Misleading Comparative Advertisement Directive (2006/114/EC), Price Indication Directive (98/6/EC)
 - Product safety: General Product Safety Directive (2001/95/EC),
 Regulation (EC) on requirements on accreditation and surveillance for the marketing of products No 765/2008, numerous sector specific product safety legislation

EEA-Model Coverage (2)

- Travel and Transport: Package Travel Directive (1990/314/EEC);
 Package Travel Directive (2015/2302/EU) (currently under scrutiny by EEA/EFTA), Timeshare Directive (2008/122/EC), Air Transport Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008, Air Passenger Rights Regulation (EC) 261/2004, Rail Passenger Rights Regulation (EU) No 1371/2007, Bus Passenger Rights Regulation (EU) No 181/2011
- Financial Services: Distance Marketing Directive (2002/65/EU),
 Banking Directive (2013/36/EU) (under scrutiny by EEA/EFTA),
 Payment Services Directive (2007/64/EC), Consumer Credit Directive (2008/48/EC), Mortgage Credit Directive (2014/17/EU) (under scrutiny by EEA/EFTA), E-money-Directive (2009/110/EC), Payment Services Directive (2007/64/EC)

EEA-Model Coverage (3)

Regulations in the field of **Judicial Cooperation in Civil Matters** are **not covered**:

- Brussels I bis Regulation
- Uncontested Claims Regulation No (EC) 805/2004
- Order for Payment Procedure Regulation No (EC) 1896/2006/EU
- Small Claims Regulation No (EC) 861/2007
- Legal Aid for Cross-Border Disputes Directive (2003/8/EC)
- Rome I, Rome II

However: **Lugano Convention** (on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters) is applicable (overrules Brussels I bis for courts in EFTA and EU member states, if disputes concern **EFTA** member states)

WTO Model

- Irrespective of possible EU-UK relation agreement, the EU
 Treaties cease to apply two years after the notification
- Consequence: UK has same relations to EU as other third countries not associated by an agreement
- UK would neither be bound nor entitled by EU law
- EU and UK are WTO members



WTO Model:

Consumer Protection as a Question of Applicability of EU Law

Impact on consumer protection level

- If EU law will still be applicable after Brexit, depends, inter alia, on the UK legislation:
 - Primary UK legislation implementing EU Directives are part of UK legal order → not directly affected by Brexit
 - Applicability of UK secondary legislation implementing UK Directives and applicability of EU Regulations depend on continuous validity/amendment of the UK European Communities Act 1972
- At least at long term, the consumer protection legislation of EU and UK are likely to drift apart (due to changes of EU or UK law or due to different interpretations)
- → From perspective of EU27 consumers, applicability of EU law (conflict of laws rules) will gain in importance



WTO-Model Example: Online Shopping

Example: UK based operator of an online-shop delivers a defective good to a consumer situated in the EU.

Which legal basis governs the jurisdiction?

- Before Brexit: Brussels I bis Regulation
- After Brexit:
 - Unclear and disputed, if Brussels Convention 1968 overrules
 Brussels I bis Regulation in member states that are contracting parties of Brussels Convention 1968
 - Courts in other member states (non-contracting parties of Brussels Convention): Brussels I bis Regulation (as far as it is applicable with regard to third countries); as far as it is not applicable, autonomous national rules apply



WTO Model: Example: Online Shopping

Does the consumer have the rights granted by the Consumer Sales Directive (1999/44/EC) if the good is defective?

 At least, if a member state law (implementing 1999/44/EC) is applicable

Determination of applicable conflict of law rules (from the perspective of EU member state courts):

- Applicable law for contractual obligations is governed by Rome I Regulation (593/2008/EC); also applicable with respect to third countries (universal application)
- However it is debatable, if, after Brexit, Rome Convention 1980 takes precedence over Rome I Regulation



WTO Model: Example: Online Shopping

Applicability of EU consumer law

- Art. 6 lit. c Rome I Regulation: Consumer contracts shall be governed by the law of the country of the consumer if that professional: (a) pursues his activities in the country of the consumer, or (b) directs such activities to that country (...).
 - What means "directs"? (criteria: online-shop in English?; price indications in EUR; deliveries to EU member states, etc)
- Art. 5 (3) Rome Convention: Consumer contract is governed by the law of the country of the consumer if in that country the conclusion of the contract was preceded by a specific invitation or by advertising, and he had taken in that country all the steps necessary on his part for the conclusion of the contract.
 - Comparable, but not identical scope and interpretation problems

Conclusion

EEA-Model

- provides for a comparable consumer protection level;
- however: reduced harmonisation in field of Judicial Cooperation in Civil Matters

WTO-Model

- UK will not be bound to EU consumer protection law
- To what extend UK sets forth comparable consumer protection standards (by means of autonomous adaption) is in the discretion of the UK legislator
- Consequence: Protection of EU27 consumers by EU depends on jurisdiction, applicable law and cross-border enforcement
- Uncertainty with regard to applicable legal framework and its interpretation → topic for negotiations (role model: Denmark?)
- Other models: Level of consumer protection depends on outcome of negotiations



Thank you for your attention!

