# **EU FOREST STRATEGY**

Joint AGRI-ENVI-ITRE public hearing European Parliament, Brussels, 4 December 2017 Heikki Granholm







### **KEY MESSAGES**

#### What:

- EU Forest Strategy is a very good document
- Key challenges remains: How to enhance coherence and coordination?
- Forests and SFM are bridging elements between rural, urban and industrial, as well as local, national and global environments

#### How:

There is a possibility and a need to improve its implementation; policy preparation – policy implementation – review/assessment

#### Who:

Together; Commission DG's, MS, Parliament, Standing Forestry Committee and other groups and actors

#### Way forward:

- Forests is part of a solution, continue delivering

 Keep forest issues high on the agenda also beyond 2019 (New Commission and Parliament)



## **EU FOREST STRATEGY; STRENGHTS**

- SFM and multifunctionality
- Covers forests, forestry and whole forest-based sector; balance with pillars of sustainable development
- Coherence with other policies and instruments (e.g. Rural Development, Biodiversity, Bioeconomy, FLEGT; etc.)
- Logical implementation framework:
  - Strategy -> Multi Year Action Plan -> yearly work programmes and reports to the Parliament -> mid-term review
  - Role of Standing Forestry Committee and other groups
- A number of useful actions (e.g. studies) carried out but the question remains: what has been the effectiveness of EU Forest Strategy?







### **EXPERIENCES FROM IMPLEMENTATION**

#### Working together – positive examples:

- a) The preparation of Strategy was done jointly by MS and Commission; joint working group before the Commission published the Communication
- b) Working group (MS and Commission) to develop SFM criteria that can be applied in different policy context regardless of the end use of biomass -> risk based approach
- c) Cooperation between SFC, Civil Dialogue Group on Forestry and Cork, Expert Group on Forest-based Industries
- d) Reporting to the Parliament, e.g. public hearing to assess the progress







## **EXPERIENCES FROM IMPLEMENTATION**

- Room for improvement, examples:
  - a) The Commission proposal on LULUCF regulation (7/2016):
    - Major policy area, was not much reflected in the EU Forest Strategy
    - The information base (incl. Impact Assessment) was uncertain and incomplete socio-economic analysis
    - Was it coherent with different policies: climate, renewable energy, growth, jobs, rural development, bio-economy?
  - b) An analytical study on impacts (costs, benefits, coherence) of policies and legislation affecting forest-based industry value chain implemented, but no visible follow-up

Is our information base good enough for efficient/effective policies?

🛕 mmm.Fi

food and natural resources

## WHAT TO EMPHASISE MORE IN FUTURE?

- <u>Update links and coherence</u> with the forthcoming policies and evolving instruments and <u>continue having forest a prominent</u> <u>role</u>; bioeconomy strategy, rural development, investments, innovations, etc.
- Strengthen information base;
  - modular approach to forest information interesting (e.g. FISE, EFFIS) but work has not advanced much lately
  - more weight and better tools to address economic and social impacts of policies
  - science policy practice interphase
  - application of new technologies
- Focus on SFM and whole value chain at all levels (international
  - EU MS local); E.g. consideration of international "action plan on deforestation" -> why not "Action Plan on SFM"?







#### **HOW TO IMPLEMENT BETTER IN FUTURE?**

- SFC: more meetings, more intensive work, not only implementation but also policy preparation (working groups and task forces) -> additional resources needed to deliver better
- Enhance coherence and cooperation
  - Between different groups and actors
  - Engagement of all DGs, enhanced cooperation and coherence
  - MS need to do their homework
- EU/CION does not need to do everything by itself; make better use of international processes and organizations (e.g. on forest information)
- Look beyond traditional/conventional forest spheres; think outside box
- Communication







#### **WAY FORWARD**

- 1) Continue joint implementation of EU Forest Strategy
- 2) Proper review of EU Forest Strategy
- 3) Ensure that forests and Forest Strategy will be top priorities in the new Parliament and the Commission beyond 2019/2020
- 4) Work actively with and within other related EU processes; Rural Development, bio-economy, biodiversity, climate and energy, etc.
- 5) Continue working actively with and within regional and international organizations





