

# FREE MOVEMENT OF GOODS: LEGISLATION SINCE 2009

Prof. Stefan Enchelmaier

Dr iur. (Bonn) habil. (Munich) LLM (Edin) MA (Oxon)

stefan.enchelmaier@law.ox.ac.uk



### The Regulatory Scheme of the Free Movement of Goods

	NEGATIVE INTEGRATION (prohibitions addressed to the Member States)	<b>EXCEPTIONS</b> (Member States may invoke to escape the prohibitions)		POSITIVE INTEGRATION (legal bases for legislation by the EU institutions)
Goods	Art. 30: no customs duties or charges having equivalent effect	None (EU is a free trade area + common external tariffs + abolition of non-tariff barriers = internal market)		None (CCT, Art. 31, for external tariffs)
	Art. 110: no discrimi- natory or protective tax- ation	None (strict prohibition of distinctly applicable		Art. 113 (indirect taxation only)
ement of Goods			datory requi- rements/impe- rative reasons in the public	

### Non-Harmonised Areas of the Free Movement of Goods, and the Principle of 'Mutual Recognition'

[8] In the absence of common rules relating to the production and marketing of [a given product], it is for the Member States to regulate all matters relating to the production and marketing of [this product] on their own territory. Obstacles to movement within the Community resulting from disparities between the national laws relating to the marketing of the products in question must be accepted in so far as those provisions may be recognized as being necessary in order to satisfy mandatory requirements relating, in particular, to ... the defence of the consumer.

[14] [Where the national measures] do not serve a purpose which is in the general interest and such as to take precedence over the requirements of the free movement of goods, ... there is no valid reason why products lawfully produced and marketed in one of the Member States should not be introduced into any other Member State.

- Case 120/78 Rewe Zentrale v Bundesmonopolverwaltung für Branntwein ("Cassis de Dijon") ECLI:EU:C:1979:42.

### Mutual Information among the Member States about Draft Technical Regulations: Directive 2015/1535

- Technical regulations, not for blanket prohibitions: fine distinction, not convincing in substance
- Member States must notify Commission, who notifies other MSs
- Standstill obligation
- CJEU: Technical regulations adopted in breach are unenforceable

#### The Internal Market Problem Solving Network (SOLVIT)

- Fast and informal means of resolving problems that individuals and businesses encounter when exercising their rights in the internal market
- Involvement of 2 SOLVIT centres, Home and Lead
- Short time periods for reaction and resolution
- No private enforcement, recourse to litigation not redundant
- Commission intervenes under Article 258 TFEU if necessary

#### **Standardising European Standardisation: Regulation 1025/2012**

- Exchange of information between the national standardisation bodies, the European standardisation organisations, and the Commission, including on adopted standards (on drafts, voluntarily).
- Procedure for objections to standards that do not fulfil protection requirements in EU legislation
- EP may now also object to standards

#### **Internal Market Information System: Regulation 1024/2012**

- Software application on the internet
- Assists Member States with the practical implementation of information exchange requirements laid down in Union acts
- Each Member State appoints one national IMI coordinator to act, inter alia, as the main contact point for IMI actors of the other Member States and the Commission
- So far, IMI applies only to SOLVIT, but Commission may extend

### Transparency in Mutual Recognition-Procedures in the Member States: Regulation 764/2008

- Rules and procedures to be followed when a national authority intends on the basis of a non-harmonised technical rule to prohibit the future or continued marketing of a product lawfully marketed in another Member State
- Not applicable to mere authorisation requirements
- Member States must establish one or several Product Contact Points (PCPs); those of other Member States may intervene in the procedure
- -Commission may establish a 'telematic network' for the exchange of information among and between PCPs and national authorities

### The Proposed Reform of the Mutual Recognition-Regulation, COM(2012) 292 final

- Commission concluded that mutual recognition was not functioning as it should, and that the principle and the Regulation had had limited effects
- Introduction of a 'mutual recognition declaration' by producers to demonstrate lawful marketing in another Member State
- Information exchange between PCPs inter se, and with Commission
- Economic operators to use SOLVIT first, before triggering the problem-solving mechanism under the Regulation
- "Union information and communication support system" to be established by Commission

#### Remaining problems

- Multitude of bodies and communication channels
- Some lack of conceptual coherence between acts: which national rules are covered, when?
- Several problem solution mechanisms, partly overlapping
- Legal certainty and enforceability only through litigation: costly & confrontational

- 1. Motor vehicles and craft
- 2. Fuels and fuel-burning appliances
- 3. Measuring equipment
- 4. Electricity and electrical equipment
- 5. Explosives
- 6. Food
- 7. Other products: toys, cosmetics, seal products, personal protective equipment ...

#### **Remaining Problems**

- Proliferation of procedures, bodies, and information exchanges
- Ever-increasing demands on Member States' resources
- Enforcement difficult: decentralisation at national level, timeconsuming and selective under Article 258 TFEU

### CONCLUSION

- Much achieved, now mostly fine-tuning
- Multiplication of acts, general and specific, of bodies, procedures, and communication channels
- Do we still see the wood for the trees?