
REGI News

Newsletter from the European Parliament Committee on Regional Development

VII Legislature N. 16 - 3 December 2010



Meeting on
Thursday 9 December 2010
9.00 - 12.30
ASP 5 G 3

Legal disclaimer

The items contained herein are drafted by the REGI Secretariat and are provided for general information purposes only. In particular, the content of Part I is merely indicative and subject to changes. The Newsletter may contain links to websites that are created and maintained by other organizations. The REGI Secretariat does not necessarily endorse the views expressed on these websites.



In Focus

- ❖ [Exchange of views with Mr Johannes Hahn, Commissioner on Regional Policy](#)
- ❖ [Presentation of an external study on "The Inter-relationship between the Structural Funds and the Provision of Services of General Interest and Services of General Economic Interest, and the Potential for Cross-Border Service Delivery"](#)

INDEX

PART I **9 December Meeting**

1. [Exchange of views with Mr Johannes Hahn, Commissioner on Regional Policy, on latest developments in Cohesion Policy](#)
2. [Presentation of an external study on "The Inter-relationship between the Structural Funds and the Provision of Services of General Interest and Services of General Economic Interest, and the Potential for Cross-Border Service Delivery"](#)
3. [Policy challenges and budgetary resources for a sustainable European Union after 2013](#)

Date of next meeting:

***Wednesday 26 January 2011
15.00 - 18.30***

and

***Thursday 27 January 2011
9.00 - 12.30***

Brussels

PART II **30 November-1st December Meeting**

1. [EU strategy on Roma inclusion](#)
2. [Contribution of Cohesion Policy on Smart Growth](#)
3. [European Year for Active Ageing \(2012\)](#)
4. [Peace Programme for Ireland](#)
5. [Objective 3: a challenge for territorial cooperation](#)
6. [Votes](#)
7. [Follow-up to the visit of a REGI delegation to France](#)
8. [State of play and future synergies for increased effectiveness between ERDF and other Structural Funds](#)
9. [The place of Europe's towns and cities in cohesion policy](#)
10. [EU cohesion Policy response to the economic crisis](#)

PART III **Other news**

[Chair's participation on events](#)
[ESPON news](#)
[Info from the Library](#)

Useful Internet links

[EP Library - Info on items related to regional development](#)
[OEIL - The Legislative Observatory](#)
[Regional Policy Info regio](#)
[EUR-Lex](#)
[Committee of the Regions](#)
[EP studies Website](#)
[REGI Website](#)

Comments and subscriptions at
IP-REGI@europarl.europa.eu



PART I

Here you will find the topics which will be discussed at the next REGI Committee meeting on 9 December 2010

The following items will be discussed as foreseen in the [draft agenda](#). Please note that most committee documents (working documents, draft reports, etc. are available at our website [REGI](#) .

1. Exchange of views with Mr Johannes Hahn, Commissioner on Regional Policy, on latest developments in Cohesion Policy (Point 3 of the draft agenda)

Following the adoption by the College of Commissioners on 9 November 2010 of the **5th Report on Economic, Social and Territorial Cohesion**, Commissioner Hahn held a meeting on the afternoon of 10th November, with the Members of the committee in a coordinators meeting open to all Members. The Commissioner will appear before the ordinary meeting of the committee on 9 December in order to hold a more extensive exchange views on this important document, which not only gives an overview of the current state of play of the EU cohesion policy but also advances the Commissions thinking for the post 2013 period. It is of the highest importance that there is an understanding between the Parliament and the Commission on which cohesion policy needs the Union in the coming years, as the Treaty of Lisbon grants for the first time full legislative responsibility to the Parliament. Not only the ERDF or the CF are to be adopted by the ordinary legislative procedure but also the Strategic Guidelines or the General Regulation.

In this meeting, the Commissioner will also present to the committee the **Strategy for the Danube Region** which is going to be adopted by the EC on the 8 the of December.

2. Presentation of an external study on "The Inter-relationship between the Structural Funds and the Provision of Services of General Interest and Services of General Economic Interest, and the Potential for Cross-Border Service Delivery" (Point 4 of the draft agenda)

This study aims to provide a detailed and critical analysis of Services of General (Economic) Interest in the 27 Member States and their regions and the scope of EU regional policy in

their financing. In particular, the study discusses the different definitions and traditions in place, the main issues at stake in the policy debate, the levels of provision in the different countries and regions and the scope and use of Structural Funds to support the provision of those services.

Mr Massimo Florio will present the study, and will also discuss how this issue is placed within the framework of the reformed Cohesion Policy post 2013 as presented in the 5th Cohesion Report.

3. Policy challenges and budgetary resources for a sustainable European Union after 2013 (Point 5 of the draft agenda)

The SURE special committee was established by resolution of the European Parliament of 16 June 2010, with a view to:

- defining Parliament's political priorities for the post-2013 multi-annual financial framework (MFF), both in legislative terms and budgetary terms;
- estimating the financial resources necessary for the Union to attain its objectives and carry out its policies for the period starting 1 January 2014;
- defining the duration of the next MFF;
- proposing, in accordance with those priorities and objectives, a structure for the future MFF, indicating the main areas of Union activity;
- submitting guidelines for an indicative allocation of resources between and within the different headings of expenditure of the MFF in line with the priorities and proposed structure;
- specifying the link between a reform of the financing system of the EU budget and a review of expenditure to provide the Committee on Budgets with a sound basis for negotiations on the new MFF.

For this purpose, and especially in order to establish a mandate for the Committee on Budgets for its negotiations with the Council aiming to adopt a regulation containing the future MFF, and possibly, to define supporting measures to in an interinstitutional agreement, the SURE Committee is gathering in a coordinated manner the opinions of the various committees concerned.

As called for in the European Parliament Resolution of 7 October 2010 on EU cohesion and regional policy after 2013, it is necessary that the regional dimension is fully considered in the review of the MFF and that a strong and well-financed EU regional policy, as a *conditio sine qua non* for achieving social, economic and territorial cohesion, is provided for.

In this context, the REGI Committee held a first exchange of views on the subject on its meeting of 27-28 October 2010. The Rapporteur has further organised a meeting with the Shadow rapporteurs on 24 November 2010, in order to draw as much as possible a common understanding on the text to table.

The text of the opinion was drafted accordingly and contains 19 paragraphs, insisting on the fundamental place that Cohesion policy must be given in the future financial framework and on its key role in the context of the EU2020 Strategy.

The Rapporteur also sets some crucial priorities, namely research and innovation, training, urban development, the social dimension and SMEs, while pointing out that any sectorialisation of policies will weaken the regions.

Moreover, the draft opinion demands further transparency and efficiency in spending, while insisting on the high European added value of solidarity in the context of Cohesion policy.

As far as the duration of the programming period is concerned, the Rapporteur insists on the merits of the 7 years period, as compared to the alternatives on the table.

The overarching nature of Cohesion policy is emphasised, considering it as the only EU policy that can be at the same time "smart", "green" and "inclusive" in the sense of the EU2020 Strategy.

PROCEDURE	TIMETABLE
Rapporteur: Constanze Angela Krehl	Exchange of views: 28/10/2010
Responsible administrator: Carla Carvalho	Consideration of draft opinion: 9 December 2010
Procedure: 2010/2211(INI)	Planned deadline for Ams: 14 December 2010, 18:00h
Lead Committee:SURE	Planned adoption REGI: 26-27 January 2011
	Planned adoption in SURE: TBC
	Planned plenary: TBC



PART II

Here you will find information on the issues discussed in the last REGI meetings held on 30 November and 1st December 2010

1. EU strategy on Roma inclusion (Point 4 of the draft agenda)

The Europe wide problem of integrating marginalised communities is particularly acute when dealing with the Roma. In his opinion Mr Kovatchev calls on Member States to use the possibilities offered by the provisions of Regulation 437/2010 which permit the use of up to 3% of ERDF allocations to specific programmes or 2% of the overall allocated budget for the rehabilitation of housing for the benefit of marginalised communities. He also recommends that the allocation of new housing to these groups be conditional on their undertaking certain social commitments such as ensuring school attendance by their children or again accepting jobs and integrating the work force.

During the discussion Members highlighted the role the EU could play in this matter, and called for a more firm EU position in this area. They agreed on the need to understand why the significant sources of funding available for housing is not fully used by member States. It was added that especially in this context simplification of the implementation system is a must, with a view to ensuring easier access to funding, and more coordination is needed between ESF and ERDF.

A deadline for amendments was set for the 8 December 2010, 18:00, the Committee will vote in January.

2. Exchange of views with Mr Mikel Landabaso, Head of the Unit "Thematic Coordination & Innovation", DG REGIO, European Commission, on the EC Communication on "Contribution of Cohesion Policy to Smart Growth" (Point 5 of the draft agenda)

Mr Landabaso highlighted that innovation and the capacity to innovate has become a key ingredient of growth, and the role of public policy supporting innovation is recognised in combating market failures. This Communication accompanies the communication on the Innovation union EU2020 flagship initiative, and highlights that the regional perspective is essential in pursuing European objectives. The aim of the document is to provide practical guidance to member States and regional authorities on how to use Structural Funds resources in a cost effective fashion to boost innovative capacities and economic growth. He added that innovation is important in all regions, and policy should not focus only on high-

tech firms but also on smaller SMEs in lagging areas. Further topics addressed were, territorial cooperation, clusters and financial engineering

During the discussion Members wanted to be sure, that this approach is indeed a bottom-up guidance, and the Commission does not intend to impose one-size-fits-all measures and methodologies on Member States. Furthermore, they highlighted the danger in fragmented goal setting through isolating "smart", "sustainable" and "green" approaches. They also stressed the need of guidance on what to do with the result of research and innovation, on how to market these, and called on the Commission to take more account of the views expressed by this Parliament, and also to inform the Committee on further developments in aligning Cohesion policy with EU2020 objectives.

3. European Year for Active Ageing (2012) (Point 6 of the draft agenda)

The Commission's proposal on the European Year for Active Ageing (2012) falls under the ordinary legislative procedure and REGI will draft an opinion to the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs.

The Commission's proposal highlights the importance of promoting a healthy and active ageing population to help, among other things, achieve high-employment, invest in skills and reduce poverty. The proposed European Year for Active Ageing would encourage and support the efforts of Member States, their regional and local authorities, social partners and civil society to promote active ageing and do more to mobilise the potential of the baby boom cohorts. No additional funding is sought for the European Year.

The Draftsperson (Mr Lambert van Nistelrooij) will present his draft opinion in which he has prepared 14 amendments, aiming at the following:

- Ensuring that active ageing is firmly placed on the policy agenda, and highlighting the issue of intergenerational solidarity.
- Stressing the key role the European Year can play in supporting and leveraging local and regional projects and initiatives and ensuring that general awareness is raised in cities and regions of the Member States, as well as ensuring that initiatives will have a decentralised approach.
- Providing for accurate coordination, coherency and effectiveness through the setup of a strategic guidance on the implementation of the projects that are initiated in the light of the European Year.
- Raising awareness of the importance of ICT technologies development, which can effectively respond to the challenges of an ageing society.

During the discussion Members stated that the role of national and regional policies with regard to looking at the needs of the elderly should be better defined. They welcomed the addition of intergenerational solidarity in the title, and highlighted the importance of this issue in the context of social cohesion.. They were of the opinion, that in general there should be more funding for this area. The role, a European Year can play was also stressed, mentioning that innovative events and approaches can give impetus to policy development in the future. The role of volunteering activities was also raised. A deadline for amendments was set for the 8 December 2010, 18:00, the Committee will vote in January.

4. Follow-up of the Peace programme for Ireland (Point 7 of the draft agenda)

Ms Lindemans presented the achievements of the PEACE Programme and also the

International Fund for Ireland, highlighting that the EU also supported the peace process in Northern Ireland through non financial means, such as political support. The IFI has been in place since 1989 and will come to an end this year, the PEACE programme which is under the Structural Funds is in its third cycle at the moment. The impact of these Funds has been overall positive, engaging local communities in development, and developing a culture of cross-border-cooperation. Evaluations highlighted the role of education in the process, which has also been supported by interventions co-financed by the European Union.

Members stressed the importance of these funds and the need for their continuation; they also highlighted the need to involve MEPs closer in the processes and to carry out thorough mid-term evaluation on the achievements and results, with a view to improving implementation and tackling challenges faced by beneficiaries.

5. Objective 3: A challenge for territorial cooperation - Future agenda for cross-border, interregional and neighbourhood cooperation (Point 10 of the draft agenda)

During 26-27 October Committee meeting the Rapporteur, Ms Sanchez-Schmid presented the Working Document in which she raised important questions about its definition and scope, the architecture of the objectives of cohesion policy as well as the design within the objective, about the funding of the objectives, the need for new forms of cooperation and partnerships across regional and national borders such as macro-regional cooperation, the alignment with the EU2020 Strategy, the specific questions concerning the European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC) regulation, the implementation of the territorial cooperation objective. In order to bring in some theoretical and practical insights into the discussion on the future design of Objective 3, the Rapporteur has invited experts for the second exchange of views with the REGI Members the 30 November. The main question for the discussion was **what should be our future ambitions for the territorial cooperation?**

The following experts presented their views on the subject of this report:

- **Martin GUILLERMO-RAMIREZ**, the Secretary General of the Association of European Border Regions. The AEBR is the oldest regional Association in Europe (founded in 1971), with more than one hundred member regions (border and cross-border regions) in Europe. The speaker highlighted the specificities of border regions, that when drawing up strategies or development plans, they also need to take into account regions in other member States. The experience gained through the INTERREG initiative was highlighted. The speaker had some reservations about the macroregional approach, stating that the content, the needs of the given area should come first, and whether the right approach is a macroregion, or EGTC should be decided afterwards. Even with minor investments, problems can be solved in border areas. Finally, the speaker mentioned that borders along regions outside of the EU should not be forgotten either.
- **Prof. Charles RICQ-CHAPPUIS**, Scientific Director of COEUR (Observation Centre of the European Regions at Geneva University). The speaker stated that transborder regions play an important role in the EU, therefore the political will to keep a cross-border approach toward their development should remain stable. He also highlighted the positive experience of INTERREG, and added that special indicators for border initiatives should be developed.

During the debate Members stated that different national provisions make cooperation difficult in some cases, and that local and regional authorities need institutional support to be able to participate in territorial cooperation, commitment on national level is also

necessary. The synergies with Neighborhood Policy were discussed. The need for rules simplified and tailored to the specific nature of territorial cooperation was stressed, including financial engineering instruments, eligibility rules. It was also suggested, that the budget allocated to the 3rd objective could be raised by 50%.

6. The following votes took place:

- **The implementation of the Services Directive 2006/123/EC**
Draftsperson: Filiz Hakaeva Hyusmenova (ALDE)
The opinion was adopted by 41 votes in favour, 2 against and 1 abstention.
- **An Industrial Policy for the Globalised Era**
Draftsperson: Francesco De Angelis (S&D)
The opinion was adopted by 40 votes in favour, 1 against and 2 abstentions.
- **An EU Strategy for the Black Sea**
Draftsperson: Victor Boștinaru (S&D)
The opinion was adopted by 39 votes in favour, 1 against and 1 abstention.
- **GDP and beyond - Measuring progress in a changing world**
Draftsperson: Jean-Paul Besset (Greens/ALE)
The opinion was adopted by 37 votes in favour and 4 against.

7. Follow-up of the visit of a REGI delegation to France in April 2010: Exchange of views with representatives of the Association of Clichy-Sous-Bois on urban and housing issues (Point 15 of the draft agenda)

The guests highlighted several issues of importance, including the need to improve living conditions through also bringing down housing costs. The role of education in human and economic development and social integration was highlighted.

Members reassured participants that the issues raised, including the problematic of deprived neighbourhoods are of great concern to REGI committee, and they are subject to discussions on how cohesion policy can be reformed to provide place-based answers to these challenges. The focus on integrated urban development and simplification of procedures was acknowledged as well. The crisis related amendment of the ERDF regulation was also highlighted. It was suggested that local actors liaise more with their MEPs and formulate their concerns and also questions with regard to available EU programmes and funding for issues such as volunteering, youth, culture, etc.

8. State of play and future synergies for increased effectiveness between ERDF and other Structural Funds (Point 17 of the draft agenda)

In order to open the discussion on the Report's subject within the Committee, the Rapporteur presented a working document that intends to expose the main elements of the debate at stake. The working document, while setting the legal and institutional framework of the subject, presents the Committee the main lines along which the Rapporteur considers that further coordination and consistency between the action of the several cohesion instruments and policies is needed, namely in view of an efficient implementation of the Europe 2020 Strategy. The Rapporteur wants special attention to be given to the separation

of the EAFRD from the general framework of the Structural Funds, as there is conflicting evidence on the ground concerning the efficiency and assessment of this separation.

Three speakers were invited by the Rapporteur to participate in this first exchange of views:

- **Jan Maarten de Vet:** Director of ECORYS Brussels Office. The speaker focused on the URBAN II initiative as an example of integrated policies. Synergies in allocation of resources should be possible on both project and programme level, as well s between projects.
- **Norbert Heller:** head of Managing Authority (MA) of Saxony-Anhalt. The speaker also mentioned URBAN as a good example of the integrated approach to development, and stressed that unified system is needed for the different funds, with ideally one managing authority, same rules on eligibility, reporting, evaluation, indicators, etc. and overlapping programming periods should be avoided.
- **Serafin Pazos-Vidal:** Head of COSLA (Convention of Scottish Local Authorities) Brussels Office. The speaker talked about local development, and the diverse instruments in different policies and funds that can address local development. He called for a single fund for this purpose, a single strategic framework, nevertheless keeping the bottom-up approach to integrated local development.

During the debate Members said that synergies and coordination should not remain a theoretical discussion, but the most effective way of coordination between funds must be found and added that the interventions of EIB should also be considered in this context. Some added that the EARDF, ESF should be reintegrated under regional policy. The asked for reviewing the rules of cross-financing, and stressed that a broader, integrated development approach requires synergies between funds.

9. Public hearing on "The place of Europe's towns and cities in Cohesion Policy" (Point 18 of the draft agenda)

The European Parliament and the European Commission share the view that, given the facts that 80% of Europeans live in cities and that towns are the seat of most centres of research, Universities and industrial and commercial companies, it is towns wand cities which will be the motors of European economic recovery and reconstruction. Whilst facing the challenges of the global financial and economic downturn, Europe must re-orient its economic activity away from a carbon based power source and towards a sustainable, clean, revitalised knowledge based economy which will maintain a preeminent place on the world stage. In this context the committee invited representatives of the European Commission, the Committee of European Regions and two eminent professors from Budapest and Prague Universities to discuss innovative ideas in regional development terms, for assuming these revolutionary tasks under the current rigorous budgetary constraints.

DG REGIO experts highlighted the specific features of the URBAN community initiatives, including the high aid intensity and the possibility to use ERDF on also "ESF-type" measures. The key finding of the URBAN ex-post evaluation was that the success factor for projects was local partnership, and not a specific project profile. The urban dimension in the present programming period, including the weak and strong elements of mainstreaming were presented, together with urban related activities of the Commission that go beyond Cohesion policy, such as the sustainable reference framework for cities.

The importance of an integrated approach to urban development, the involvement of local

authorities in programme design and implementation, ring fencing resources for urban areas, and the linkages between urban centres and surrounding areas, as well as the concept of local development, and the need to also have an innovative approach in defining functional areas was repeatedly highlighted. Experts called for strong leadership from the side of the EU in promoting these ideas.

Members agreed to the need to involve local and regional authorities, and urged for answers to the question of how to ensure that the URBAN-methodology does not get lost via mainstreaming. The problems with limitations of cross-financing vs. integrated approach were raised, together with the fragmented approach of separating smart/inclusive/green growth. In this context the need to reach the local and regional actors, who will eventually need to implement the measures contributing to the EU2020 goals was stressed.

10. Exchange of views with Mr Rudolf Niessler, Director, European Commission, DG REGIO, Policy Coordination Director, on the EU Cohesion Policy response to the economic crisis (Point 19 of the draft agenda)

After wrapping up the crisis measures that were introduced in the form of amendments to the Structural Funds Regulations in 2009 and 2010, Mr Niessler stressed that these changes proved that cohesion policy was flexible enough to respond to an unprecedented challenge, and as such plays an important role in the European Economic Recovery Plan.

The good inter-institutional cooperation contributed to the relatively fast adoption of these measures. The report drafted by the Commission was requested by REGI during the negotiations, and represents a first feedback on how Member States used the proposed changes. The measures were not obligatory, and the fact that each country could tailor them to their needs and possibilities was highly appreciated. The report shows clearly, the diverse approach, although the front loading of advance payments was appreciated by all Member States.

Members wanted to know why the reporting of member States was fragmented, and asked the Commission when it will be able to give a more complete picture. The issue of transparency of measures, and delays in implementing them was also raised, along with the need of using the funds to boost energy efficiency in housing.

PART III - Other News

Here you will find the latest news related to Committee activities and cohesion policy issues



Chair's participation on events on behalf of the Committee

Ms Hübner has participated as a chairperson of the Committee in the following events since the last meeting:

26 November	Paris, FR	Polish Embassy in France	Debate "L'Europe après 2013, à la veille des décisions budgétaires"
29-30 November	Brussels, BE	European Commission - DG Regional Policy DO "Policy Coordination"	JEREMIE & JESSICA Conference: Towards successful implementation

More information is available at the Chair's website <http://danuta-huebner.pl/> or with the secretariat.

Other news



ESPON has recently published its First Synthesis Report which aims at communicating major ESPON results on numerous ongoing research themes relevant for integrated, place-based policy considerations across 31 countries.

ESPON sets the scene for a discussion by providing evidence on how place based actions can contribute to Europe's recovery from the financial and economic crisis that struck in 2008 and what concrete measures by regions and cities can support the Europe 2020 Strategy and a smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

At the launch event of the Synthesis Report in Brussels, in the presence of representatives of the Permanent Representations and Missions of Member and Partner States to the EU, Dirk Ahner Director General European Commission - DG Regio,

emphasized the importance of ESPON for the Commission. *“This report is a milestone in the contribution of ESPON to the development of Cohesion Policy”,* he said and added: *“The evidence presented clearly underlines the importance of a territorial and placed based approach to policy making”*.

Peter Mehlbye, Director of the ESPON Coordination Unit, said *“The First ESPON 2013 Synthesis Report underlines the need for integrated action for territorial development at every scale from local to European. All regions, cities and larger territories have potential for contributing positively to economic recovery and the Europe 2020 strategy as well as to Territorial Cohesion and a balanced and polycentric Europe.”*

Some of the headline findings from the report are:

- Europe’s position in the world is changing;
- Europe’s competitiveness depends greatly on its global cities and metropolitan regions;
- Connectivity is important;
- Europe has many smart rural regions that are well connected to the global economy;
- Accessibility of regions and cities is increasing;
- Vulnerability to climate change impacts is a concern;
- Good governance and territorial co-operation are vital at every geographical scale.

ESPON Synthesis Report and its collection of 26 new European Maps are available at the [ESPON Website](#).

More information

The ESPON 2013 Programme is part-financed by the European Regional Development Fund, the EU Member States and the Partner States Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway and Switzerland.

It shall support policy development in relation to the aim of territorial cohesion and a harmonious development of the European territory. ESPON shall support Cohesion Policy development with European wide, comparable information, evidence, analyses and scenarios on framework conditions for the development of regions, cities and larger territories. In doing so, it shall facilitate the mobilisation of territorial capital and development opportunities, contributing to improving European competitiveness, to the widening and deepening of European territorial cooperation and to a sustainable and balanced development.

The Managing Authority responsible for the ESPON 2013 Programme is the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Infrastructures of Luxembourg. More information: www.espon.eu

Library News

Publications



Regional development in central and eastern Europe: development processes and policy challenges / Grzegorz Gorzelak, John Bachtler, Maciej Smętkowski. London: Routledge, 2010, 338 p.

This book provides an up-to-date assessment of the main processes and dilemmas of regional development and regional policy in the newer European Union Member States in Central and Eastern Europe and neighbouring countries. It highlights the difficulties of balancing the demands within the new Member States for rapid regional growth and development with, firstly, the demands of the European Union overall that restructuring and development should conform to the aims and principles of EU common policies; and, secondly, with budgetary constraints. The book covers a wide range of issues, including global and national challenges to regional convergence and cohesion; regional dynamics, city networks and border issues; the effectiveness of policy responses at national and European levels, including an assessment of policy experiences from outside the new Member States; and likely future developments. (Available in the Library - make a reservation [here](#))



The rise of regional authority: a comparative study of 42 democracies / Liesbet Hooghe, Gary Marks and Arjan H. Schakel. London: Routledge, 2010, 224 p.

This book measures and explains the formal authority of intermediate or regional government in 42 advanced democracies, including the 27 EU member states. It tracks regional authority on an annual basis from 1950 to 2006. The measure reveals wide variation both cross-sectional and over time. The authors examine four influences - functional pressures, democratization, European integration, and identity - to explain regionalization over the past half-century. (Available in the Library - make a reservation [here](#))



European Planning Studies, monthly

European Planning Studies focus upon specific spatial development problems, as well as emerging explanations of new urban, regional, national or supranational developmental tendencies.

Selected articles from vol. 18, issue 12, December 2010:

Planning Small Regions in a Larger Europe: Spatial Planning as a Learning Process for Sustainable Local Development / Bruno Zanon, pp 2049 – 2072 ([abstract](#); for the full text please contact the [Library](#))

Lynch's The Image of the City after 50 Years: City Marketing Lessons from an Urban Planning Classic / Gert-Jan Hospersab, pp. 2073-2081 ([abstract](#); for the full text please contact the [Library](#))

Persistence and Change of Regional Industrial Activities: The Impact of Diversification in the German Machine Tool Industry / Dirk Fornahl; Christina Guenther, pp 1911 – 1936 ([abstract](#); for the full text please contact the [Library](#))

Different Modes of Innovation and the Challenge of Connecting Universities and Industry: Case Studies of Two Regional Industries in Norway / Arne Isaksenab and James Karlsen pp. 1993-2008 ([abstract](#); for the full text please contact the [Library](#))



Innovations. Cahiers d'économie de l'innovation, 2 numéros par an

Innovations se veut un lieu de débat sur les évolutions et les transformations de l'économie et de la société permettant de confronter les réflexions théoriques et les expériences concrètes. Innovations est associée à la revue académique de langue anglaise Journal of Innovation Economics. ([consultation](#) possible via la base de données CAIRN).

Ci-dessous une sélection des articles du numéro 3, 2010:

Le classement européen des villes entrepreneuriales. Méthode, résultats et portée / par Nadine Levratto et Olivier Torrès, p. 13 à 36 (pour accéder au texte cliquez sur le [lien](#), déroulez l'écran en bas et cliquez sur la version désirée)

Politiques d'innovation, espace régional et dynamique des territoires: un essai de caractérisation dans le contexte français / Marc-Hubert Depret et al., p. 85 à 104 (pour accéder au texte cliquez sur le [lien](#), déroulez l'écran en bas et cliquez sur la version désirée)

Latest analysis



[European Territorial Cooperation beyond 2013: Position Paper](#) / Interact, July 2010

In this position paper are summarised the outcomes of the consultation on the future of ETC, organised by INTERACT during the first semester 2010.