

Alternative dispute resolution: providing consumers real effective redress

EP IMCO hearing on ADRs

Pedro Oliveira

Legal Adviser

29 February 2012, Brussels



Importance of ADRs

- BUSINESSEUROPE strongly supports ADRs
- It is about:
 - Giving consumers and businesses an effective way of solving their disputes avoiding confrontation
 - Costs-efficiency
 - Avoiding unnecessary litigation
- Litigation is lengthy, complex and expensive



Room for improvement

- Huge variety of ADRs exist
- No single model
- Preserving diversity, quality and efficiency
- Filling the gaps, in particular in the online environment
- Raising awareness





Proposed Directive on ADRs

Step in the right direction **BUT** fine tuning is needed with regard:

- Funding: a balance solution must be found on Article 2(2)(a) ensuring
 - ✓ No overburdening of Member States budgets
 - ✓ Make use of existing best practices fulfilling quality criteria.
- Traders information obligations must be adequate and proportional (Art. 10)

Examples: metro ticket, purchase receipt, supermarket products



Proposed Directive on ADRs (2)

It is key to **ensure**:

- NO one-size-fits-all
- Voluntary nature of ADRs: building block of these systems
- > Use of existing European tools like ECC-net





Pragmatic approach towards redress

- Hierarchy of remedies:
 - First mean of redress must be <u>customer service</u> of companies
 - If the problem is not solved: <u>ADRs</u> → this should be the focus of EU action
 - Then, only if it fails: court route
- Compensation is key but what matters most is to find rapid/concrete positive solutions that will improve consumers confidence
- Focus should be in <u>producing maximum benefit</u> for consumers and companies at <u>minimum cost</u>





Conclusion

- BUSINESSEUROPE is willing to work with EP and European Commission to make a success of this initiative
- More important than changing texts is changing behaviours of both consumers and companies

