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## Minimum Harmonisation in Practice

Comparison of National Withdrawal Rules for Off-Premises Contracts

## Off-Premises: Example of National Withdrawal Rules

Cross-Border Potential

- Short distances
- Same Language
- Similar Culture
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## Off-Premises: Withdrawal for 'Solicited Visits'



Exemption if 'solicited' visit + 'expected' productExemption if 'solicited' visit + 'solicited' productExemption if 'solicited' visit + mortgage / cons. creditWithdrawal right for 'solicited' visits


## Off-Premises: Withdrawal for Small Amounts (<60€)



## Full Harmonisation \& Consumer Protection

Impact of Proposal on Consumer Protection Off-Premises

## Off-Premises: Actual Length of Withdrawal Period

More Protection with ProposalStatus Quo with ProposalLess Protection Proposal

## Practical Case

- Contract concluded on 3 October 09
- Withdrawal notice before conclusion
- Product delivery on 6 October 09 With Proposal, end of withdrawal period on 19 October 09 (midnight)



## Off-Premises: Withdrawal for "Solicited Visits"



More Protection with Proposal
Status Quo with Proposal
$\square$ Less Protection with Proposal


## Off-Premises: Withdrawal for Small Amounts (< 60 €)



More Protection with Proposal
$\square$ Status Quo with Proposal
$\square$ Less Protection with Proposal


## Full Harmonisation \& Consumer Protection

Impact of Proposal on Consumer Protection in the Field of Guarantees

## Guarantees: Liability Period for Trader (2 Years)

More Protection with ProposalStatus Quo with ProposalLess Protection with Proposal

## Practical Case

- Delivery of small household appliance \& passing of risk on 6 Oct 09 With Proposal, liability period of the trader for lack of conformity ends on 7 October 2011 (midnight)



## Guarantees: Reversal of Burden of Proof (6 Months)

More Protection with ProposalStatus Quo with ProposalLess Protection with Proposal

## Practical Case

- Product delivery \& passing of risk on 6 October 09
- Repair I replacement requested due to lack of conformity on 12 April 2010 With Proposal, burden of proof on consumer (notification after 6 months)
 liability period (2 years)


## Guarantees: No Immediate Rescission of Contract



Practical Case
If lack of conformity is minor, no immediate rescission of contract

More Protection with ProposalStatus Quo with Proposal
Less Protection Proposal

## Practical Case

- Small household appliance delivered on 6 October 09
- Lack of conformity \& consumer asks for contract rescission on 12 Oct 09

With Proposal, immediate contract rescission (return/refund) not possible.


Right to reject (burden of proof on consumer !) *


## Conclusions

- Full harmonisation at a high level of consumer protection is the best way forward for business \& consumers

- Proposal fully harmonises off-premises withdrawal rules at the highest level of consumer protection

- Proposal fully harmonises guarantees rules \& preserves consumer protection status quo in most Member States



# Thank you for your attention! 
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