

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

on

# Enforcement of Regulation 01/2005, by the Member States and the Commission Thursday 10 December 2020

Panel II "Infringements observed on the ground"

## --- Animals' Angels speech ---

### Introduction

Scarce enforcement of Reg. 1/2005 is due to:

- A. difficulty to interpret and apply the regulation for unclear or contradictory provisions
- B. scarce control and detection of infringements

#### Content

Here we talk about main infringements observed on the ground by Animals´ Angels, quite systematically, and reported to the competent authorities, at EU and national level:

## 1) Deficient journey logs

Our experience:

- information left blank vs plausibility checks
- Incorrect information vs plausibility checks + cross-checks

### Examples:

- weight and loading surface not indicated how can density be checked?
   (ex. route of cattle from FR to IT, of lambs from RO to GR)
- blank planning how can stops and rests be calculated in journey time?
   (ex. route of lambs and cattle from RO to GR or from BG to AL)
- Wrong loading surface indicated
- Wrong/too general destinations (ex. TR)
- Non existing staging points outside EU (ex. EU to Central Asia)

## 2) Journey time/ rest

Our experience:

- Exceeded journey time
   (ex. from EU to TR, from HU to IT, due to unscheduled/unreported delays and waiting times at ports, at borders)
- Mandatory 24 h rest violated (ex. from EU to TR, from PL to IT, from EU to Central Asia)
- Assembly center hopping vs 24 h rest
   (ex. widespread malpractice: from ES to central IT, real destination south IT, from AT to IT real
   destination ES, from LV to LT real destination ES, from DE to Kapikule TR real destination
   Anatolia TR)
- Incompatibility or Reg. EC 1/2005 with Reg. EC 561/2006
   (either one or the other is violated on LDT, ex. from EU to TR, from EU to Central Asia)
- Controversial time calculation during Ro-Ro transports
- Manipulation of tachographs (tip of whistle-blowers)

## 3) Space (controversial)

## Our experience:

- Routinely kept to the minimum (for RO lambs to IT, BG and GR, for FR cattle to IT)
- Minimum space allowances violated (ex. in horse transports >48 hours from PL to IT)
- Second part of legal provision not taken into consideration (they may vary depending on...)
- Controversial calculation (average vs per compartment), interpretation (min and max for cattle) and application (minimum allowances often equal to overcrowding, official inspectors fear legal recourses imposing lower densities or issuing fines)
- Space above the animals for ventilation routinely not considered (ex. lambs loaded on 4
  decks and touching the ceiling with their heads, Holstein heifers loaded in 2 decks and touching
  the ceiling with their backs and heads despite clarifications and guidelines)

### 4) Vehicles

## Our experience:

- Routine use of vehicles without air condition during temperatures >30°C or below 0°C (ex. from ES to IT, from EU to GR in summer with temp. even >35°C, from EU to Central Asia)
- Lack of bedding where animals + truck get filthy/slippery (ex. from EU to AL, from RO to GR + short transports)
- Dangerous partitions (with gaps)
- Lack of access doors for emergency

## 5) Watering / feeding

## Our experience:

- Routine insufficient watering due to many reasons (inadequate drinkers: lack of standard construction criteria; overcrowding; broken devices; lack of pressure at higher decks; unfamiliarity)
- Unrealistic feeding of un-weaned animals (inappropriate drinking devices for milk supply)

### 6) Controls

## Our experience:

- Insufficient number (of road checks and retrospective checks) and scarce quality (plausibility checks, checks at loading, retrospective checks) (ex. from annual reports, IT: 2% of SDT, 10% of LDT, 5% at control posts; repeated infringements despite complaints, ex. in RO)
- Insufficient action shortage of staff? Lack of priority? (ex. in FR, RO, BG, GR inspectors don't come out for emergency road checks)
- Impossible controls outside EU borders
- Scarce risk-based planning

## 7) Sanction systems (basic issue: not harmonised)

### Our experience:

- Scarce application of penalties (ex. in GR)
- Ineffective, not proportioned and not dissuasive sanctions in some MS (ex. in ES, FR)
- Scarce monitoring of repeated offenders

## 8) Training

## Our experience:

• Lack of basic knowledge/detection of infringements (in RO, IT, GR, BG)

## 9) Unfit animals

### Our experience:

• Lack of emergency measures/inexistent care (ex. lambs RO-IT/GR)