



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

---

*Committee on Budgetary Control*

---

**2014/2077(DEC)**

29.1.2015

## DRAFT REPORT

on discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013  
(2014/2077(DEC))

Committee on Budgetary Control

Rapporteur: Martina Dlabajová

## **CONTENTS**

1. PROPOSAL FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT DECISION .....	3
2. PROPOSAL FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT DECISION .....	6
3. MOTION FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT RESOLUTION .....	9

## 1. PROPOSAL FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT DECISION

**on discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013  
(2014/2077(DEC))**

*The European Parliament,*

- having regard to the financial statements and revenue and expenditure accounts for the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013 (COM(2014)0487 – C8-0146/2014),
- having regard to the Commission's annual report of 14 April 2014 on the financial management of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013,
- having regard to the financial information on the European Development Fund (COM(2014)0350),
- having regard to the Court of Auditors' annual report on the activities funded by the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013, together with the Commission's replies<sup>1</sup>,
- having regard to the statement of assurance<sup>2</sup> as to the reliability of the accounts and the legality and regularity of the underlying transactions provided by the Court of Auditors for the financial year 2013, pursuant to Article 287 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
- having regard to the Council's recommendations of ... on discharge to be given to the Commission in respect of the implementation of the operations of the European Development Funds for the financial year 2013 (00000/2015 – C8-0000/2015),
- having regard to the Commission's report on the follow-up to the discharge for the 2012 financial year (COM(2014)0607), and to the accompanying Commission staff working document (SWD(2014)0285 and SWD(2014)0286),
- having regard to the Partnership Agreement between the members of the African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States, of the one part, and the European Community and its Member States, of the other part, signed in Cotonou on 23 June 2000<sup>3</sup> and amended in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, on 22 June 2010<sup>4</sup>,
- having regard to Council Decision 2001/822/EC of 27 November 2001 on the association of the overseas countries and territories with the European Community

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 398, 12.11.2014, p. 1.

<sup>2</sup> OJ C 401, 13.11.2014, p. 264.

<sup>3</sup> OJ L 317, 15.12.2000, p. 3.

<sup>4</sup> OJ L 287, 4.11.2010, p. 3.

(‘Overseas Association Decision’)<sup>1</sup>,

- having regard to Article 33 of the Internal Agreement of 20 December 1995 between the representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, on the financing and administration of the Community aid under the Second Financial Protocol to the fourth ACP-EC Convention<sup>2</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 32 of the Internal Agreement of 18 September 2000 between Representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, on the Financing and Administration of Community Aid under the Financial Protocol to the Partnership Agreement between the African, Caribbean and Pacific States and the European Community and its Member States signed in Cotonou (Benin) on 23 June 2000 and the allocation of financial assistance for the Overseas Countries and Territories to which Part Four of the EC Treaty applies<sup>3</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 11 of the Internal Agreement of 17 July 2006 between the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, on the financing of Community aid under the multiannual financial framework for the period 2008 to 2013 in accordance with the ACP-EC Partnership Agreement and on the allocation of financial assistance for the Overseas Countries and Territories to which Part Four of the EC Treaty applies<sup>4</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 319 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
  - having regard to Article 74 of the Financial Regulation of 16 June 1998 applicable to development finance cooperation under the fourth ACP-EC Convention<sup>5</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 119 of the Financial Regulation of 27 March 2003 applicable to the 9th European Development Fund<sup>6</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 142 of Council Regulation (EC) No 215/2008 of 18 February 2008 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the 10th European Development Fund<sup>7</sup>,
  - having regard to Rule 93 and the third indent of Rule 94 of, and Annex V to, its Rules of Procedure,
  - having regard to the report of the Committee on Budgetary Control and the opinion of the Committee on Development (A8-0000/2015),
1. Grants the Commission discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013;

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 314, 30.11.2001, p. 1, and OJ L 324, 7.12.2001, p. 1.

<sup>2</sup> OJ L 156, 29.5.1998, p. 108.

<sup>3</sup> OJ L 317, 15.12.2000, p. 355.

<sup>4</sup> OJ L 247, 9.9.2006, p. 32.

<sup>5</sup> OJ L 191, 7.7.1998, p. 53.

<sup>6</sup> OJ L 83, 1.4.2003, p. 1.

<sup>7</sup> OJ L 78, 19.3.2008, p. 1.

2. Sets out its observations in the resolution below;
3. Instructs its President to forward this decision and the resolution forming an integral part of it to the Council, the Commission, the Court of Justice of the European Union, the Court of Auditors and the European Investment Bank, and to arrange for their publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union* (L series).

## 2. PROPOSAL FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT DECISION

### **on the closure of the accounts of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013 (2014/2077(DEC))**

*The European Parliament,*

- having regard to the financial statements and revenue and expenditure accounts for the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013 (COM(2014)0487 – C8-0146/2014),
- having regard to the Commission's annual report of 14 April 2014 on the financial management of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013,
- having regard to the financial information on the European Development Fund (COM(2014)0350),
- having regard to the Court of Auditors' annual report on the activities funded by the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013, together with the Commission's replies<sup>1</sup>,
- having regard to the statement of assurance<sup>2</sup> as to the reliability of the accounts and the legality and regularity of the underlying transactions provided by the Court of Auditors for the financial year 2013, pursuant to Article 287 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
- having regard to the Council's recommendations of ... on discharge to be given to the Commission in respect of the implementation of the operations of the European Development Funds for the financial year 2013 (00000/2015 – C8-0000/2015),
- having regard to the Commission's report on the follow-up to the discharge for the 2012 financial year (COM(2014)0607), and to the accompanying Commission staff working document (SWD(2014)0285 and SWD(2014)0286),
- having regard to the Partnership Agreement between the members of the African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States, of the one part, and the European Community and its Member States, of the other part, signed in Cotonou on 23 June 2000<sup>3</sup> and amended in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, on 22 June 2010<sup>4</sup>,
- having regard to Council Decision 2001/822/EC of 27 November 2001 on the association of the overseas countries and territories with the European Community

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 398, 12.11.2014, p. 1.

<sup>2</sup> OJ C 401, 13.11.2014, p. 264.

<sup>3</sup> OJ L 317, 15.12.2000, p. 3.

<sup>4</sup> OJ L 287, 4.11.2010, p. 3.

(‘Overseas Association Decision’)<sup>1</sup>,

- having regard to Article 33 of the Internal Agreement of 20 December 1995 between the representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, on the financing and administration of the Community aid under the Second Financial Protocol to the fourth ACP-EC Convention<sup>2</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 32 of the Internal Agreement of 18 September 2000 between Representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, on the Financing and Administration of Community Aid under the Financial Protocol to the Partnership Agreement between the African, Caribbean and Pacific States and the European Community and its Member States signed in Cotonou (Benin) on 23 June 2000 and the allocation of financial assistance for the Overseas Countries and Territories to which Part Four of the EC Treaty applies<sup>3</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 11 of the Internal Agreement of 17 July 2006 between the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, on the financing of Community aid under the multiannual financial framework for the period 2008 to 2013 in accordance with the ACP-EC Partnership Agreement and on the allocation of financial assistance for the Overseas Countries and Territories to which Part Four of the EC Treaty applies<sup>4</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 319 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
  - having regard to Article 74 of the Financial Regulation of 16 June 1998 applicable to development finance cooperation under the fourth ACP-EC Convention<sup>5</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 119 of the Financial Regulation of 27 March 2003 applicable to the 9th European Development Fund<sup>6</sup>,
  - having regard to Article 142 of Council Regulation (EC) No 215/2008 of 18 February 2008 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the 10th European Development Fund<sup>7</sup>,
  - having regard to Rule 93 and the third indent of Rule 94 of, and Annex V to, its Rules of Procedure,
  - having regard to the report of the Committee on Budgetary Control and the opinion of the Committee on Development (A8-0000/2015),
1. Notes that the final annual accounts of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds are shown in Table 2 of the Court of Auditors’ annual report;

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 314, 30.11.2001, p. 1, and OJ L 324, 7.12.2001, p. 1.

<sup>2</sup> OJ L 156, 29.5.1998, p. 108.

<sup>3</sup> OJ L 317, 15.12.2000, p. 355.

<sup>4</sup> OJ L 247, 9.9.2006, p. 32.

<sup>5</sup> OJ L 191, 7.7.1998, p. 53.

<sup>6</sup> OJ L 83, 1.4.2003, p. 1.

<sup>7</sup> OJ L 78, 19.3.2008, p. 1.

2. Approves the closure of the accounts of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013;
3. Instructs its President to forward this decision to the Council, the Commission, the Court of Justice of the European Union, the Court of Auditors and the European Investment Bank, and to arrange for its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union* (L series).



### 3. MOTION FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT RESOLUTION

**with observations forming an integral part of the decision on discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013  
(2014/2077(DEC))**

*The European Parliament,*

- having regard to its decision on discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the eighth, ninth and tenth European Development Funds for the financial year 2013,
  - having regard to Rule 93 and the third indent of Rule 94 of, and Annex V to, its Rules of Procedure,
  - having regard to the report of the Committee on Budgetary Control and the opinion of the Committee on Development (A8-0000/2015),
- A. whereas the main goal of the Cotonou Agreement as the framework of the Union's relations with African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) States and overseas countries and territories (OCTs) is to reduce and eventually eradicate poverty, consistent with the objectives of sustainable development and the gradual integration of the ACP States and OCTs into the world economy;
- B. whereas the European Development Funds (EDFs) are the Union's main financial instrument for providing development cooperation to the ACP States for which the Commission is accountable within the discharge procedure;
- C. whereas the EDF has defined its strategy and priority areas based on political preferences as well as economic and financial criteria connected with general efficiency criteria, and works with adequate financial instruments to fulfil these priorities defined on a sustainable and long-term horizon;
- D. whereas there is an inherent high-level risk exposure in the developing country context due to geopolitical, institutional and administrative environment frequently associated with instability and fragile context;
- E. whereas the level and nature of the Union's engagement must be differentiated and conditional, depending on measurable progress in various fields such as democratisation, human rights, good governance, sustainable socio-economic development, the rule of law and the fight against corruption, offering its assistance where needed to help foster this progress;
- F. whereas the use of innovative financial instruments like blending mechanisms is seen as one way of extending the scope of existing tools such as grants and loans and also includes challenges in terms of oversight and governance;
- G. whereas it is fundamental to ensure Union visibility and promote Union values in all

Union interventions;

- H. whereas the 'budgetisation' of the EDF, consisting of its incorporation and integration into the Union budget structure, remains a priority for Parliament in the long-term;
- I. whereas direct budget support remains highly risky as money introduced into the general budget of the state/country cannot be sufficiently monitored.

### ***Statement of Assurance***

#### ***Reliability of the accounts***

1. Welcomes the Court of Auditors' opinion that the final annual accounts of the Eighth, Ninth and Tenth European Development Funds for the year 2013 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the EDFs as of 31 December 2013, and that the results of their operations, their cash flows and the changes in net assets for the year-end, are in accordance with the provisions of the EDF financial regulation and with internationally accepted accounting standards for the public sector;
2. Notes with satisfaction the improvement achieved as regards the number and value of recoveries increased compared to 2012, with 24 recoveries totalling EUR 4.7 million in 2013 compared to 13 recoveries amounting EUR 1.3 million in 2012;
3. Is deeply concerned however that authorising officers in sub-delegations still do not systematically comply with the rule that for pre-financing payments over EUR 750 000 the Commission is required to recover interest on an annual basis and that the amount of interest revenue disclosed in the accounts is partly based on estimates;
4. Regrets in addition that the interest earned on pre-financing between EUR 250 000 and 750 000 was still not recognised as a financial revenue in the financial statements due to the fact that the development of the Common Relex Information System (CRIS) () was not yet completed;

#### ***Legality and regularity of the transactions underlying the accounts***

5. Welcomes the Court's opinion, according to which revenue and commitments underlying the accounts for the year 2013 are legal and regular in all material aspects;
6. Expresses concern, however, about the Court of Auditors' assessment related to the legality and regularity of payments underlying the accounts whereby the supervisory and control systems at EuropAid's Headquarters and Union delegations are partially effective in ensuring the legality and regularity of payments;
7. Regrets that the Court of Auditors' estimate that the most likely error rate for expenditure transactions from the Eighth, Ninth and Tenth EDFs is 3,4 %, which indicates a slight increase compared to 2012 (3 %) but still remains below the peak from 2011 (5,1 %);
8. Take notes and regrets that the payments underlying the accounts are due to the shortcomings of the oversight system and that the payments were materially affected by

error; takes note that 27 % of the payments were affected by error, namely 45 out of the 165 payments transactions tested;

9. Take notes of the results of the sampling with regard to projects whereby 42 among 130 payments (32 %) were affected by error, and in particular the fact that 30 payments out of this 42 were qualified as quantifiable errors, with 17 final transactions authorised after all ex ante checks had been performed;
10. Regrets the fact that despite the corrective action plan which was set up in May 2013, the typology of errors identified is, to a large extent, similar to previous years, namely a lack of supporting documents, non-compliance by beneficiaries with procurement provisions and ineligible expenditure; observes that these errors were also related to transactions linked to the following activities (i) programme estimates, (ii) grants and (iii) contribution agreements between the Commission and international organisations;
11. Calls on the Commission to intensify its efforts in these specific areas of cooperation by refining the existing corrective action plan which was set up, especially when quantifiable errors point to shortcomings in the checks by international organisations on compliance with contractual provisions as a part of the general effort to improve the risk management methods and overall controlling and management systems;

***Risks related to regularity and effectiveness of the control mechanisms***

12. Acknowledges that the implementation of the EDFs by using numerous *modus operandi* and diverse delivery methods (centralised direct management and indirect management) with complex rules and procedures, such as the tendering and the award of contracts and with a wide geographical coverage, present a high degree of inherent risk making it difficult to optimise the controlling system and strengthen the transparency of the EDFs financing;
13. Notes with concern that ex ante checks carried out before the project payments transactions are carried on still present weaknesses according to the Court's evaluation;
14. Calls on the Commission to pay regular attention to the quality and adequacy of the ex ante controls performed by all the actors (Commission staff and external auditors) before projects payments are made and especially given the high political and operational risk environment;
15. Takes note that for both budget support (with EUR 718 million of payments made from the EDF in 2013) and Union contributions to multi-donor projects carried out by international organisations such as the United Nations (payments from the EDFs amounted to EUR 458 million in 2013), the nature of the instrument and the payment conditions limit the extent to which transactions are prone to errors;
16. Is worried by the recurring issue that some errors concerning final claims have been found, despite external audits and expenditure verifications;

### ***Strategy and priorities***

17. Emphasises that all EDFs activities have to accurately follow the general strategy and priority areas based on political preferences, as well as economic and financial efficiency criteria, consequently reflected in management performance, including risk-management and controlling activities and the concrete form of financial instruments for this purpose;
18. Draws attention, in light of the increased focus on the performance of Union aid, to the fact that Sub-Saharan Africa is the region which is lagging behind the most with regard to the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and is the only region worldwide where, according to projections, poverty will most probably not be halved by 2015; is concerned that declining levels of Official Development Assistance (ODA) for social services over the past few years, particularly in the education and reproductive health sectors, threaten to reverse progress on human capital development;
19. Concludes that an intensification of efforts is needed in order to accelerate the achievement of the MDGs in Africa by the target date of 2015; welcomes the fact that the EDFs, which are the main instrument for providing Union aid for development cooperation to the ACP States, accounted for as much as 45 % of the total value of new contracts concluded by the Commission's Directorate-General for Development and Cooperation (DG DEVCO) in 2013;
20. Notes that agreement was found in 2013 on the establishment of the 11th EDF with a total value of close to EUR 27 billion (in 2011 prices), thus effectively freezing funds at the level of the 10th EDF, rather than increasing them by 13 % as the Commission had proposed, despite the Union's standing commitment to increase development financing in the coming years;

### ***Monitoring and supervision***

21. Is seriously concerned about the remaining weaknesses in the management information system on the results and the follow-up of external audits, expenditure verifications and monitoring visits despite the Commission's commitment to improve the quality of CRIS data in recent years;
22. Recalls that the reliability and accuracy of the management information system plays a pivotal role which require persistent vigilance; urges the Commission to pursue its efforts to develop and set up new functions in the audit module of its CRIS management system, and in particular, the follow-up of all audit reports and all types of evaluation; considers it fundamental to have consistent results-oriented monitoring systems to provide adequate and reliable information on results achieved in order to adjust strategic priorities;
23. Considering that most of the EDFs are implemented in a devolved way by Union delegations; invites DEVCO's Headquarters to consistently support them in the management of their portfolio according to respective risk components through CRIS; reiterates and encourages the better use of the possibilities provided by risk assessment in the framework of the follow-up of the Union delegation's operations;

24. Considers the introduction of the measurement study on the residual error rate on closed transactions a good practice example of the DG DEVCO management environment;
25. Notes that on the basis of the second study in 2013, the error rate was estimated by DEVCO at 3,35 % (equal to about EUR 228.55 million) compared to the 3,4 % estimated by the Court of Auditors; notes that the main causes identified are the absence of satisfactory documentation provided by beneficiary organisations, errors due to the insufficient evidence available to check the regulatory of transactions, non-compliance with public procurement procedures and unrecovered and uncorrected amounts;
26. Considers that prioritisation might be integrated into the action plan developed by DEVCO for the implementation of mitigating actions by putting the focus on the specific and most critical areas of concern and the opportunities for cost-efficiency; calls on DG DEVCO to indicate in the annual activity report progress achieved or specific difficulties encountered in the implementation of the action plan;
27. Believes that it will be useful to clearly identify which activity based budgeting activities present the most weaknesses, errors and the highest level of vulnerability; is of the opinion that in order to maintain the cost of controls at a reasonable level, the treatment and analysis of these specific areas could be done on a multiannual rotation;
28. Acknowledges with regard to the cost-efficiency of controls mechanisms that the issue is not to add other control layers but to work on the effectiveness of the framework of control activities and their complementarity under good governance principles;
29. Welcomes the inclusion of the overview of all costs in the annual activity report, including control costs;
30. Welcomes the review of the External Assistance Management Reports , which now includes a summary of corrective measures to any error identified and the introduction of the assurance by Heads of Delegations that they will increase their level of accountability in the global assurance chain and the exhaustiveness of the Union delegations' reporting;

### ***Budget support***

31. Follows with interest the implementation of the Commission's new budget support approach; notes that in 2013 a total of EUR 660 million was allocated to new budget support operations in Africa, used in part to implement the 'MDG initiative' to support those countries that were lagging behind in important sectors such as health, water, sanitation, food security and nutrition;
32. Considering the rationale and aim of budget support, with contributions directly transferred to a recipient countries' general budget or to a budget devoted to a specific general policy or objective, insists that the budget support respects and strictly links the general eligibility conditions with effective progress achieved by partner countries, in particular in public finance management in order to reduce the risk related to regularity and fiduciary risk;

33. Recalls that robust and objectively verifiable conditions for any continuing budget support programmes have to be applied with a steadily attention to be given, both on the use of the differentiation principle to avoid relaxed interpretation of budget support disbursement conditionality and on the support to anti-corruption mechanisms as corruption seems to be the key issue, which may affect the Commission's reputation and reduce the effectiveness of the support programmes;
34. Invites the Court, in this context, to progressively elaborate more on the issue of corruption and to try to quantify and indicate the degree of corruption in its special reports;
35. Demands as regards sectorial budget support, that the sectorial conditionality matrix to be systematically used or reinforced to define adequate benchmarks for the Union intervention;
36. Emphasises the importance of ensuring an appropriate policy dialogue based on an incentive-based approach and a continuous monitoring of sector reforms and programmes measuring performance and the sustainability of the results via the Commission's IT-based financial management systems for implementing budget support in partner countries;
37. Is of the opinion that the Commission has to strongly support the development of parliamentary control and oversight bodies and capabilities in beneficiary countries;
38. Welcomes the Commission's ability to stop transfers of funds in recipient countries when macro-economic conditions are not met;

#### **Cooperation with international organisations**

39. Believes that during the new parliamentary term, a further step forwards defining an ever better way of exchanging information with the World Bank and United Nations (UN) institutions has to be taken in order to deepen the reciprocal trust;
40. Reiterates Parliament's support for the definition and exchange of good practices in order to set up similar and sustainable core principles of assurance and compliance with Union financial regulations;
41. Supports the enhanced disclosure of the audit reports of UN institutions to get a better stewardship of Union funding;
42. Believes that the continuous approximation of respective governance systems and of internal and external audits to compare their data, methodologies and outcomes should be pursued;
43. Calls for Union visibility to be respected in multi-donor initiatives when Union funding is at stake;

44. Welcomes the deepening of the relationship of UNICEF and the World Bank with the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) through the adoption of OLAF's guidelines relating to the exchange of information and strategies;
45. Reiterates its concern with regard to the implementation of the 11th EFD that entrusted entities can further entrust budget implementation tasks to other organisations governed by private law with a service contract, thus creating a chain of trust relationships; calls on the Commission to apply strict subcontracting conditions and recalls that those entrusted entities shall guarantee a strong level of protection of the financial interests of the Union for this mode of implementation;
46. Requests that further clarification be swiftly provided on the use of funds pooling (including trust funds) and related risks in terms of regularity of transactions, namely when the Commission's financial contributions to multi-donor projects are pooled with funds originating from other international donors, without being earmarked for a specific identifiable items of eligible expenditure;
47. Requests to be informed about the preliminary survey of controls and management systems performed by the Commission on other associated international organisations and on the level of comparability and consistency of their existing systems in this particular situation;
48. Asks to be informed about the preventive, mitigating or other measures that could be activated in case of a divergent view on the level of assurance to be attained and the correlative risk involved for the entire spending;

#### ***EIB Investment facility***

49. Believes that the investment facility of the European Investment Bank (EIB) should be subject to Parliament's discharge as the investment facility is contributed to by Union tax payers and its operations are managed by the EIB on behalf of the Union; ;
50. Notes that the Tripartite Agreement mentioned in Article 287 (3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union governing cooperation between the EIB, the Commission and the Court of Auditors with respect to the modes for controls exercised by the Court on the EIB's activity in managing Union funds and Member States' funds is up for renewal in 2015; calls upon the EIB to update the remit of the European Court of Auditors in this respect by including any new EIB facilities involving public funds from the Union or the EDF;
51. Welcomes the inclusion in the Court of Auditors' work plan of an audit on the EDF investment facility, following Parliament's request in the 2012 discharge, and awaits the outcome of this special report in 2015;
52. Acknowledges that the EIB supports projects in highly risky environments, notably with political risk, linked to the instability of the recipient countries;
53. Welcomes the EIB's approach on expected results, notably with the introduction of a Result Measurement Framework which allows for the soundness of projects, their

financial and economic sustainability and the EIB's own added value to be assessed; asks that a permanent dialogue on the measurement indicators and on the convergence of results achieved with the associated partners be ensured;

54. Encourages the EIB to fund productive investments and to combine lending with technical assistance when required in order to increase the final effectiveness of projects and to ensure real Union added value in terms of additionality in the execution of projects and to target and reach a higher developmental impact;
55. Recalls that consistency with Union objectives is key and that due care should be given to the ACP States' absorption capacity;
56. Calls for a thorough scrutiny of potential local actors and intermediaries during the identification and selection of such actors and intermediaries;

### ***Blended finance***

57. Acknowledges that the increased interest in blending and the increased opportunities offered by the use of new financial investment facilities is mainly motivated by the combination of important developmental challenges with heavily constrained public's funds, therefore leading to the development of new financial resources combining Union grant aid and non-grant resources; encourages the Court to provide a regular comprehensive assessment on the activities covered by the blended finance issue;
58. Acknowledges that by blending grants with additional public and private resources (such as loans and equity), the substantial leverage effect of grants and the development and impact of stronger Union policies can be achieved in order to unlock additional financing;
59. Stresses that any new financial instruments and blending have to remain in line with Union development policy objectives based on ODA criteria and set out in the Agenda for Change; believes that those instruments must focus on Union priorities where added value and strategic impact are the highest;
60. Demands that the new financial instruments are implemented only in reasonable areas for their application;
61. Take notes of the results of the review of the EU Platform for blending in external cooperation, with the original aim to increase the effectiveness, efficiency and quality of existing blending mechanisms and facilities;
62. Demands the introduction of common standards of governance for such financial activities, as well as the definition of best practices and well-defined eligibility and evaluation criteria for the use of those financial tools; believes that coherent rules of management such as structured reporting, clear monitoring frameworks and oversight conditions will strive to reduce transaction costs or the possible duplication of costs under the conditions of the highest level of transparency and accountability;



63. Calls for regular reporting to Parliament on the use of these financial instruments and results to allow Parliament to have the power of scrutiny and consent, namely on the assessment of the financial and non-financial leveraging and additionality;

### *EU support in Haiti*

64. Reiterates the overall satisfaction with the work and efforts carried out by the Commission's services in response to the earthquake in Haiti in 2010, in an extremely critical situation for the Union delegation and its staff; welcomes the Commission's ability to withhold payments and disbursements as a consequence of unsatisfactory progress in the Haitian Government's financial management and deficiencies in national public procurement procedures;
65. Regrets the weaknesses identified in the coordination between donors and within the Commission's services; calls for a better articulation of humanitarian aid and development aid, with a stronger link between relief, rehabilitation and development by means of a permanent LRRD<sup>1</sup> interservices platform; considers that integrated approaches with clearly stated coordination objectives and a coherent country strategy between ECHO and EuropeAid alongside with best practices sharing have to be set up wherever possible; invites the Commission to enter into a dialogue with Parliament; believes also that the involvement of local civil society non-governmental organisations can strengthen the use of the local knowledge base;
66. Recalls the recommendations in the aftermath of the CONT delegation visit to Haiti in February 2012 and insists, as a constant principle, on the salient issue of the traceability and accountability of Union development funds, in particular by linking budget support to a targeted performance; invites the Commission and the European External Action Service (EEAS) to emphasise the conditionality matrix for sectorial budget support;
67. Recalls that the 'State building' is to be at the centre of Union's development strategy and the cornerstone of any crisis situation; calls for the definition of good policy mix in the logic of the Union intervention;
68. Considers that those situations of crisis and fragility require the development of policies which call for new approaches, particularly concerning activities such as (i) identifying risks at different operational levels, (ii) making scenarios and projections of likely consequences and (iii) designing instruments to reduce and prepare for risks and potential disaster which allow for a sufficient level of flexibility and ability to mobilise experts in various fields of competence;
69. Encourages the Commission and the EEAS to work systematically on the four phases of the disaster management cycle; calls on the Commission and the EEAS to inform Parliament about the developments, in particular with regard to risk management and preparations to implement and achieve programme objectives in a post-disaster context;

---

<sup>1</sup> LRRD = Linking Relief, Rehabilitation and Development.

70. Recalls that in any such crisis, due care has to be attributed to the soundness and operational effectiveness of the national governance framework for managing disaster risk reduction as a pre-condition for the success of the Union's intervention;

### ***Future of the EDF***

71. Recommends that the strategies and priorities of future EDF activities be precisely defined and that a system of financial instruments be built on that basis, respecting the principles of efficiency and transparency;
72. Regrets that the EDFs have not been included in the general budget in the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the European Union (Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012);
73. Recalls that Parliament, the Council and the Commission agreed that the financial rules would be revised in order to include amendments made necessary by the outcome of the negotiations on the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014 to 2020, including the issue of the possible inclusion of the EDFs in the Union budget; repeats its call on the Council and Member States to agree to the full incorporation of the EDFs in the Union's budget;
74. Considers that the new parliamentary term is a new political window of opportunity to encourage the Union institutions to initiate soon a reflection and an evaluation on the post 2020 scenario for possible replacement of the current Cotonou Agreement; recalls that it is the view of Parliament that the inclusion should happen as soon as possible;
75. Is of the opinion that the integration of the EDFs in the general budget will strengthen democratic scrutiny by involving Parliament in the setting of strategic priorities in the allocation of funds and will also provide more effective implementation, with better coordination mechanisms both at Commission Headquarters and field levels; asks the Commission to duly consider the financial impact of the inclusion of the EDFs for Member States in the coming assessment and the possible basis and key of repartition to be used to calculate their respective contributions;
76. Believes that streamlining and approximating the EDFs rules will likely lead to a reduction of the risk of errors and inefficiencies and will increase the level of transparency and legal certainty; encourages the Commission to propose a single financial regulation for all EDFs; regrets that, in the context of the discussion of the future agreement for the 11th EDF, the Commission has not made proposal for a single financial regulation in order to simplify the EDFs management;

### ***Follow-up of Parliament resolutions***

77. Calls upon the Court of Auditors to include in its next annual report a review of the follow-up to the Parliament's recommendations in Parliament's annual discharge report.