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ANNEX: CONTACT LIST 101
WORKSHOP PROGRAMME
Workshop on "How to achieve more reliable and transparent accounting systems: How to design European Public Sector Accounting Standards (EPSAS) for a better implementation of the EU budget in the Member States?"

Rapporteur: Dr Inge Gräßle (EPP)

Organised by the Policy Department D: Budgetary Affairs

Thursday, 1 October 2015, from 9:00 to 12:30
Altiero Spinelli Building, Room ASP 5G3
European Parliament, Brussels

PROGRAMME
9:00 - 09:10: **Welcome and Introduction**

- Welcome and Introduction by MEP Dr Inge Gräßle
  
  *Chair of Committee on Budgetary Control*

09:10 - 10:15: **Presentation of EPSAS and Current State of Play**

**Chair:** Mr Igor Šoltes MEP (GREEN/EFA)

- **Ms Silke Stapel-Weber,** Director of National Accounts, prices and key indicators, DG Eurostat, European Commission accompanied by 
  
  **Mr Alexandre Makaronidis,** Head of Task Force EPSAS – European Public Sector Accounting Standards, European Commission - DG Eurostat

- **Mr Patrice Schumesch,** leading partner on the study to inform the impact assessment of EPSAS implementation, PricewaterhouseCoopers Belgium

- **Ms Julia Catz,** Advisor, Macroeconomic Statistics Division, European Central Bank

**Open Discussion**

10:15 - 12:20: **Public Sector in Member States, Challenges for National Administrations Related to EPSAS Implementation and Added Value for Europe**

**Chair:** Mr Derek Vaughan MEP (S&D)

- **Mr Óscar Figueiredo,** Member of the executive Commission of CNC (Comissão de Normalização Contabilística) - Accounting standard setter, Portugal

- **Mr Manuel D. Ortuño Bernabé,** Senior Adviser, National Accounting Office, Spain

- **Ms Danièle Lajoumard,** General Inspector of Finance, Ministry of Finance, France

- **Mr Kees Vendrik,** Vice President, Court of Audit, Netherlands

- **Dr. Karsten Nowak,** Audit Director, Court of Auditors, State of Hesse, Germany

- **Mr Thomas Müller-Marqués Berger,** Chair of Public Sector Group, Federation of European Accountants, also partner at Ernest&Young Germany

**Open Discussion**

12:20 - 12:30: **Closing**

- Closing remarks by Dr Inge Gräßle MEP (EPP) and Mr Michael Theurer, MEP (ALDE)
Background information on EPSAS developments

Currently, the EU national governments use diverse public sector financial reporting practices. The 2012 study by Ernest & Young\(^1\) reveals that European government accounting arrangements vary widely, ranging from cash-based accounting systems through a combination of cash and accrual, towards modified accrual to fully accrual-based\(^2\) accounting systems, often with a large number of different accounting standards per subsector of government. In addition, there is a great diversity of book-keeping systems and very few of the European countries would claim to have a unique accounting system for all subsectors of government.

The Budgetary Framework Directive n° 2011/85/EU recognises the crucial role of EU budgetary surveillance of complete and reliable fiscal data, comparable across the Member States, in safeguarding Economic and Monetary Union and in helping Member States avoid excessive government deficits.

EU budgetary surveillance is based on ESA 95\(^3\) accruals data which means that data received from Member States that use very diverse accruals or cash-based accounting systems have to be converted into accruals through approximations and adjustments involving macro-based estimates\(^4\).

Accruals accounting is the only generally accepted information system that provides a complete and reliable picture of the financial and economic position and performance of a government by capturing, in full, the assets and liabilities as well as revenue and expenditure of an entity, over the period covered by the accounts and at the moment they are closed.

---

\(^1\) Overview and comparison of public sector accounting and auditing practices in the 27 EU Member States, Ernest & Young, December 2012.

\(^2\) Difference between cash-based and accrual-based accounting systems: under cash-based accounting revenue and expenditure are reported on the income statement when the cash is received or paid. Under the accrual-based accounting revenue and expenditure are reported on the income statement when they are earned and when they arise which often occurs at a different point than when the cash is received or when the payment is made.

\(^3\) The former methodological framework (ESA 95) for producing national accounts data was replaced in September 2014 with the new European system of accounts (ESA 2010).

For these reasons there was a need for the Commission (Eurostat) to promote a system of harmonised accrual-based accounting standards, supporting the quality of ESA data, for all entities in the government sector with the aim of providing transparent, comparable and reliable financial reporting that can be used for budget surveillance, fiscal monitoring and sound decision-making within the EU.

On the basis of the requirements of Directive 2011/85/EU, in 2012 the European Commission launched a public consultation on suitability of IPSAS (International Public Sector Accounting standards) which is currently the only internationally recognised set of public sector accounting standards. The consultation has shown that the national government accounting standards of some Member States are linked to IPSAS, either as a model or as a reference. The result of the consultation shows that IPSAS standards cannot be easily implemented in EU Member States but they represent an indisputable reference for potential EU harmonised public sector accounts.

According to the Commission, EPSAS could initially be based on the adoption of a set of key IPSAS principles and the use of IPSAS standards that were commonly agreed by Member States. Furthermore, the Commission has warned that additional conditions would have to be met, including strong political support and joint ownership of the project, while for those Member States that currently use only cash accounting, the implementation of EPSAS accrual accounting would be a major reform. It is understandable that the development and adoption of EPSAS standards call for strong EU Governance.

In May 2013, the Commission (Eurostat) organised a conference entitled "Towards implementing EPSAS" which was attended by a large number of public sector stakeholders and decision-makers who confirmed a broad support for the project. The conference discussed the need for EPSAS as well as important practical arrangements such as finance, governance and concerns for smaller entities.

In September 2014, Eurostat released a study conducted by PwC on the future implementation of EPSAS by EU Member States. The study analyses the potential costs and benefits of implementing EPSAS in the Member States, the suitability of IPSAS for developing EPSAS, and it provides proposals for implementation. The study confirms the technical suitability of existing IPSAS in general and classifies IPSAS in three categories for the future work on EPSAS. The costs are considered as significant and short term, while the benefits are sustainable and expected in the medium to long term but are difficult to be quantified. Proposals for implementation include a timeline and staged approach, as well as the inherent challenges.

The Commission (Eurostat) is using the PwC study to inform on its staged approach to EPSAS and for planning further steps for EPSAS implementation. The latest, most important actions by the Commission (Eurostat) include:

---

the completion of the first call for proposals as a pilot, from December 2014, worth of EUR 1.2 million, for Member States public sector standards' setters to carry out a preparatory analysis on the modernisation of their accounting systems on an accruals basis3,

- a call for tender for the supply of statistical services in the field of public sector accounting was published on 15 September 2015. The objective of this call for tender is to obtain support in the area of public sector accounting and to collect additional and updated information related to the potential impact of implementing accruals accounting in the public sector,

- the first EPSAS working group was held in Malta on 15-16 September 2015 and gathered EU representatives of all Member States standards' setters. The objective of the Working Group was to provide the Commission (Eurostat) with advice and expertise on the preparation of harmonised European public sector accounting and general purpose financial reporting standards on an accruals basis.

- Eurostat has also set-up a small Cell of experts from 4 Member States to develop guidance for the first time implementation of accrual-based opening balance sheets, primarily for entities which currently only have cash-based accounts.

In summary, EPSAS aims to bring financial transparency and comparability of government financial accounting and reporting across the EU Member States. National reform efforts so far have not delivered these objectives.
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Dr. Silke Stapel-Weber’s main responsibilities as director at Eurostat since 2014 include the quarterly and annual national accounts, prices and purchasing power parities, balance of payments, use and supply and input-output tables and related key indicators. The responsibilities cover methodology development, regular data production at EU and Euro area level, administrative use of certain data, compliance and quality management as well as respective data dissemination and international cooperation.
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Patrice Schumesch is a Brussels-based partner with over 20 years of experience in international accounting. He is a Belgian accredited statutory auditor and chairs the PwC Global IPSAS team. In this capacity, he is driving PwC’s global IPSAS activities and acts as an accredited IPSAS technical expert at an international level within the PwC network.

Within PwC Belgium, Patrice is leading a team of professionals fully dedicated to providing IPSAS and IFRS advice to our clients, and has in this context gained extensive practical experience in helping several governments and international organisations, in Europe, Asia and Africa, with their accrual accounting and IPSAS conversion projects or in providing regular IPSAS advice and trainings to them. Patrice’s experience includes his advisor role to the European Commission’s Advisory Committee on Accounting Standards.

Patrice recently led PwC’s team which assisted the European Commission in conducting a study to assess the costs and benefits of EPSAS (European Public Sector Accounting Standards) implementation in the European Union and the adequacy of the IPSAS standards as a basis for developing EPSAS. The project should contribute to improved fiscal monitoring and management of public finances, and enhanced democratic accountability within the EU.

He has been co-driving the recent PwC global survey on accounting and reporting by governments, and led a similar survey for the Asian Development Bank on governments’ accounting practices in the Asia-Pacific region. Patrice is the co-author of the IPSAS book “IPSAS in a Nutshell - from Principles to Practice” which explains in a clear and user-friendly way the key accounting and operational implications of IPSAS adoption. He has issued various articles and publications and regularly speaks at conferences on IPSAS and public finance and accounting matters.
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Workshop on "How to achieve more reliable and transparent accounting systems: How to design European Public Sector Accounting Standards (EPSAS) for a better implementation of the EU budget in the Member States?"
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PRESENTATIONS
Presentation by Silke Stapel-Weber and Alexandre Makaronidis
Workshop on "How to achieve more reliable and transparent accounting systems: How to design European Public Sector Accounting Standards (EPSAS) for a better implementation of the EU budget in the Member States?"

European Parliament, Brussels, 1 October 2015

EPSAS AND CURRENT STATE OF PLAY

Silke Stapel-Weber, Director of National Accounts, prices and key indicators, Eurostat
Alexandre Makaronidis, Head of Task Force EPSAS, Eurostat

Contents

2. Why accruals? Why harmonised accruals?
3. Key objectives
4. Costs vs Benefits
5. EPSAS Framework and staged implementation
6. Current work
Background: 2012 to spring-2013
Study on the suitability of IPSAS: Retrospective

- Budgetary Frameworks Directive
  - "...the Commission shall assess the suitability of the International Public Sector Accounting Standards for the Member States"
- Public consultation on IPSAS
- Task Force IPSAS
- Study on public sector accounting and auditing practices (E&Y)
- Report on suitability of IPSAS
  - IPSAS cannot easily be implemented in EU Member States as it stands currently
  - IPSAS would be a suitable starting point for the future development of a set of European Public Sector Accounting Standards

Background: spring-2013 – mid-2015
Stakeholder communication: raising awareness

- EPSAS Conference
- TF EPSAS Governance
- TF EPSAS Standards
- Public consultation on EPSAS governance
- PwC study on behalf of Eurostat
Other meetings with EPSAS stakeholders 2014-2015

- Other than those organised by the Task Force EPSAS itself, Eurostat has participated in some 40 events in 2014 and 35 events so far in 2015.
- In addition to "international" meetings, dedicated meetings with national stakeholders in five Member States. Focus on discussion with stakeholders in Germany.
- From outside the EU, meetings/presentations with experts from Norway, US and Japan and several international organisations.
- Eight further events are currently scheduled for fourth quarter 2015/early 2016.
Budgetary Frameworks Directive (2011/85/EU)

- MSs shall have in place public accounting systems comprehensively and consistently covering all sub-sectors of general government,
- containing the information needed to generate accrual data with a view to preparing data based on the ESA 95 standard
- subject to internal control and independent audits.

The Commission shall assess the suitability of the International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) for the Member States.

Commission report on suitability of IPSAS (2013)

Key conclusions:
- Strong need for harmonised, accruals based PSA systems
- IPSASs cannot be implemented as they currently are
  - not in full and not directly
- There are technical, conceptual and in particular governance issues to be resolved – although significant progress by IPSASB since then
- IPSAS would be a suitable reference framework for the development of European Public Sector Accounting Standards (EPSAS)
- Harmonisation on the basis of strong EU governance
Commission report on suitability of IPSAS (2013)

Based on the views of EU Member States authorities and public consultation, the Commission report classified IPSAS in three groups:

- Standards that might be implemented with minor or no adaptation
- Standards that need adaptation, or for which a selective approach would be needed:
- Standards that need reconsideration before implementation:
  - IPSAS 6, 28, 29, 30

Why accruals? – Why harmonised accruals?

No common reference standards exist in the Union defining how the relevant individual transactions and economic events should be:

- Recorded
- Recognised
- Measured, and
- Consolidated at the source, and
- Reported ... to the users.

Unilateral modernisation efforts of MSs have not been effective enablers of fiscal transparency and comparability
EY study (2012) public sector accounting in the EU

Accounting Maturity per MS by level of Government

Proximity to IPSAS

Source: PwC Study on behalf of Eurostat, 2013/14
Why accruals? – Why harmonised accruals?

From an EU perspective the wide range of public sector accounting standards result in a lack of:

- **Fiscal transparency** (= need for accruals), and
- **Comparability** (= need for harmonised accruals)

due to *non-comparable, incomplete and inconsistent* primary accounting data

This impacts on both General Purpose Financial Statements and Government Finance Statistics.
Key objectives

The primary objectives of the proposed initiative are to

- increase **fiscal transparency** and
- achieve **comparability** between and within Member States . . .
- **minimise incoherence** between the micro-level and the ESA macro-
  level accounting and reporting frameworks

The European Union has a strong interest in both

- sound **financial** reporting and
- sound **statistical** reporting

and both sets of rules should be complied with.

Benefits vs Costs

Costs: significant, mostly one-off and for the short term

Benefits: sustainable and for the medium to long term, but difficult to quantify:

- more fiscal **transparency** on a **comparable** basis
- more **efficient** public administration
- more **accountability** of public money managers
- more **stable and sustainable** public finances – inter-generation fairness
- better **access** to capital markets

Net-benefits outweigh the costs
Benefits vs Costs

Extrapolated costs at EU level spread over the reform period

- Scenario 1 – Adaptation of all existing IT systems
  between 1.2 billion and 2.1 billion EUR
- Scenario 2 – New IT systems for all entities with low IT maturity
  between 1.8 billion and 6.9 billion EUR

IPSAS report (2013): costs of 0.02 - 0.1% of GDP
PwC Study (2014): costs of 0.01 - 0.05% of GDP

NB: To interpret with due care, taking into account the inherent limitations of such extrapolations.

The EPSAS framework

The EPSAS framework should comprise:

- **Principles** underlying governance
- Governance **mechanism**
- **Due process**
- Standard-setting **capacity**
- **IPSAS** as first reference base
Towards EPSAS implementation

EPSAS will have to:

- be implemented over a medium-term perspective
- be a gradual, stepwise process – taking into account the existing accounting maturity, of those entities booking on a cash basis only
- have an initial focus on public-sector-accounting-specific issues
- represent no step back for the most advanced accounting systems
- take into account materiality considerations – relief for small and less risky entities, e.g. at local government level

Reworking our approach to staged implementation
Workshop on "How to achieve more reliable and transparent accounting systems: How to design European Public Sector Accounting Standards (EPSAS) for a better implementation of the EU budget in the Member States?"

Reworking our approach to staged implementation (cntd)

- Voluntary implementation of IFRS encouraged by the Commission
- Conceptual framework
- 1st batch EPSAS (states, social benefits, pensions)
- 2nd batch EPSAS
- Consolidation Whole of Government Accounts
- Adoption of EPSAS framework and standards
- Staged implementation

Year: 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

- Development and legal endorsement
- Implementation

Work programme autumn 2015/2016

- First meeting of EPSAS Working Group
- Further support of accruals implementation
- Widening the range of stakeholders
- Drafting the concrete proposal on the EPSAS framework
- Drafting issues papers
- Continuing communication with stakeholders
EPSAS is a major EU initiative
It is an investment in the future

European Commission (Eurostat) Task Force EPSAS:

Scope

1. Costs and benefits of implementing EPSAS
2. Critical analysis of IPSAS as a basis for developing EPSAS
   - Country visits, questionnaire and interviews with the 28 EU Member States
3. Proposals for implementation
**Most cited benefits**

- **Accountability and transparency**
  - Harmonisation, long-term impact of decisions reflected in the financial statements, intergenerational fairness
  - Better data quality for fiscal surveillance

- **Better decision-making**
  - Accrual accounting used as a tool to provide better management information and improve decision-making in the context of wider finance reform

**Additional benefits**

- **Controls and administrative processes**
  - Audit and internal control enhancement
  - Efficiency gains following introduction of modern IT systems

- **Labour market**
  - More professionalised finance function, higher staff qualifications
  - Recruitment and mobility facilitated
Cost assessment - Accounting and IT maturity
EU accounting landscape - central governments

Key learnings from the EPSAS study
PwC

1 October 2015

Cost assessment - Accounting and IT maturity
EU accounting landscape - state governments

Key learnings from the EPSAS study
PwC

1 October 2015
Cost assessment - Accounting and IT maturity
EU accounting landscape - social security funds

Key learnings from the EPSAS study
PwC 1 October 2015

Cost assessment - Accounting and IT maturity
EU accounting landscape - local governments

Key learnings from the EPSAS study
PwC 1 October 2015
Cost assessment

Accounting and IT maturity determined for all governments

Cost to gain 1 point of maturity based on benchmarks

Scaling up at EU level

Cost estimated at EU level

Cost to implement EPSAS at the EU level assessed between 1.2 and 6.9 billion

Decision/need to invest or not in a new IT system has a significant impact

Net cost/benefit assessment needed

0.009% and 0.053% of the GDP
## Suitability of IPSAS standards

| IPSAS already used as a source of inspiration by most governments | Most comments relate to the cost and complexity of implementation |
| Governments with advanced accounting practices do not want a step back | Governments are overall rather positive about IPSAS |

## Categorisation of IPSAS standards

### Topics not yet covered by IPSAS
- Framework, social benefits, non-exchange expenses, first-time adoption... but the situation is evolving

### Topics that may need amendment or implementation guidance
- Limited number of topics (consolidation, employee benefits, revenue, financial instruments, some disclosures)

### All other topics
The way forward

Focus standard-setting on key issues

Diagnostic in each Member State or government, tailored roadmap

Best implementation practices, pragmatic approach

Continuous improvement process

Key learnings from the EPSAS study
PwC

Thank you!

Patrice Schumesch,
Global Public Finance and Accounting partner,
patrice.schumesch@be.pwc.com

EPSAS study
Presentation by Julia Catz
Enhancing public sector accounting systems

Brussels, 1 October 2015, EPSAS Workshop European Parliament
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1. The importance of accrual accounting
2. How to design EPSAS?
3. Conclusion
### 1 The importance of accrual accounting

<p>| | |</p>
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<th></th>
</tr>
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<td>2</td>
<td>How to design EPSAS?</td>
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<td>Conclusion</td>
</tr>
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</table>

---

**Unpaid bills**
The importance of accrual accounting

Cash situation

Sustainability
The importance of accrual accounting

Accrual recording

transactions are recorded
  – when economic value is created, transformed or extinguished
  – or when claims and obligations arise, are transformed or cancelled

• Statistics for the excessive deficit procedure: accrual basis - European System of Accounts (ESA) 2010
• .... but public sector accounts not yet completely on an accrual basis in all Member States

The importance of accrual recording

| Table 2A: Provision of the data which explain the transition between the public accounts budget balance and the central government deficit/surplus |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Year | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Working balance in central government accounts | -1,090 | -1,060 | -1,050 | -1,040 |
| Financial transactions included in the working balance | 1,050 | 1,060 | 1,050 | 1,040 |
| Other financial transactions (1) | 1,050 | 1,060 | 1,050 | 1,040 |
| Net financial transactions not included in the working balance | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Net financial transactions at the start (1) and arrival (1) | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Other accounts realization (2) | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| Other accounts population (2) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Working balance (2) of entities of central government not forming part of the central government budget | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Other adjustments (3) | -1,050 | -1,060 | -1,050 | -1,040 |
| Net working balance (3) of entities of central government not forming part of the central government budget | -60 | -60 | -60 | -60 |
| Other adjustments (4) | -1,050 | -1,060 | -1,050 | -1,040 |
| Net working balance (4) of entities of central government forming part of the central government budget | -60 | -60 | -60 | -60 |

Enhancing public sector accounting systems

www.ecb.europa.eu
The importance of accrual accounting

Tension between cash and accrual recording

- Targets for programme countries → conditionality based on tight quarterly monitoring
- Quarterly accrual data, “only” after t+3 months
- Quickest information → cash basis
- How to assess?

- Needed → public sector accounts on accrual basis

2011 ECB Opinion on the six-pack

- in favour of more timely and reliable government finance statistics
- implementation of public accounting systems → accrual basis → interconnected with the ESA → based on internationally accepted public sector accounting standards → harmonised recognition and measurement of government transactions
The importance of accrual accounting

**Appealing features of EPSAS for fiscal data users and compilers**

- Accrual basis
- Interconnected with the ESA
- Harmonised for all government entities in all countries

→ easier to translate public sector accounts into accrual fiscal statistics
→ increase timeliness of fiscal data
→ increases reliability of fiscal data (especially local and regional governments)
→ easier for Eurostat to check fiscal data

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>The importance of accrual accounting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>How to design EPSAS?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Conclusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How to design EPSAS?

How to enhance GFS quality?

- Improve interconnectedness between public sector accounts and statistical recording rules (ESA)
  - by basing EPSAS as much as possible on the ESA
  - by changing the ESA where needed

- Two examples
  - Public Private Partnerships (PPPs)
  - Recording of taxes and social contributions

PPP contracts
Workshop on "How to achieve more reliable and transparent accounting systems: How to design European Public Sector Accounting Standards (EPSAS) for a better implementation of the EU budget in the Member States?"

How to design EPSAS?

PPPs: ESA could align to public sector accounting rules

Recording of taxes and social contributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cash</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accrual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- time adjusted cash-method
- avoid amounts unlikely to be collected
- prudent
How to design EPSAS?

Recording of taxes and social contributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>assessments</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>likely to be collected</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>likely to be collected</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- assessment method

- percentage of amounts unlikely to be collected changes over time.

- easier to overestimate taxes/social contributions

1. The importance of accrual accounting
2. How to design EPSAS?
3. Conclusion
Conclusion

- EPSAS
  → harmonised
  → interconnected with the ESA
  → on accrual basis

- improve
  → timeliness and reliability of fiscal statistics
  → cooperation

- How to best progress with EPSAS is in the end not up to the ECB, but up to Eurostat, the EU Council and the EP.
Presentation by Óscar Figueiredo
THE PORTUGUESE ACCOUNTING STANDARD SETTER

PROJECT FOR THE PUBLIC SECTOR ACCOUNTING REFORM

- Why change? What is expected to be achieved
- The new budget framework law (LEO) and the new public sector accounting framework law (SNC-AP)
- The implementation issues/challenges
- Work ahead
Why change? – What is expected to be achieved

- Deficiencies on accounting practices
- Inappropriate financial information leading to potential wrong decisions
- Transparency and comparability
- Comprehensive state of affairs for all government levels (including state-owned business enterprises)
- Government will and support

The new budget framework law (LEO) and the new public sector accounting framework law (SNC-AP)

- Approved by government in July 2015 and published September 2015
- Foundations for ongoing accounting reform
- Phased implementation
The new budget framework law (LEO) and the new public sector accounting framework law (SNC-AP)

- State as an accounting and reporting entity
- Budgeting, financial and management accounting
- True and fair view
- Audit

The new public sector accounting framework (SNC-AP)

- International and national references
  - IPSAS and other IPSASB pronouncements and projects
  - Current national public sector accounting plan and private sector accounting framework (IFRS based)
  - EU accounting rules
- Stakeholders involvement
  - Budget Department
  - Statistics Institute and Central Bank
  - Local governments
  - Academia
The new public sector accounting framework (SNC-AP)

Main elements
- Accounting basis
- Conceptual framework
- Set of accounting standards (NCP)
- Multidimensional chart of accounts
- Financial statements and budgeting report models
- General and simplified regimes

The standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>With no or little changes and implementation issues</td>
<td>IPSAS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8      | With changes and/or implementation issues         | IPSAS
          |                                                 | Private Sector Acc. Framework SNC (Financial Instruments)               |
| 2      | Developed locally                                | Budget Reporting DC0 Study 12 FAC: Current Public Sector Accounting Framework POCAL |
The new public sector accounting framework (SNC-AP)

The implementation issues/challenges

- Tangible assets
- Intangible assets
- Investment properties
- Impairment of assets

- Recognition and measurement of heritage assets
- Recognition and measurement of public domain assets
- Fair value and revalued amounts
- Impairment

The new public sector accounting framework (SNC-AP)

The implementation issues/challenges

- Separate FS
- Consolidated FS
- Associates and joint ventures
- Joint agreements

- Determination of consolidation perimeter or perimeters
- Determination of investments based on control and significant influence
- Fair value measurement
- Impairment
The new public sector accounting framework (SNC-AP)

The implementation issues/challenges

- First year adoption – Recognition and measurement of items
  - Non-exchange transactions
  - Contingent/non-contingent assets and liabilities
- Substance over form

Work ahead

- Conclude the implementation manual
- Prepare the accounting rules for SME
- Change management (awareness raising & training)
- IT adjustments
- Run the pilot entities 2016
Workshop on “How to achieve more reliable and transparent accounting systems: How to design European Public Sector Accounting Standards (EPSAS) for a better implementation of the EU budget in the Member States?”

The new public sector accounting framework (SNC-AP)

- Implementation phases

1. Preparation of Strategic Guidelines
2. Preparation of Public Sector Accounting System (SNC-AP); approval by CNC Council
3. Public Consultation of the proposed SNC-AP; Submission to Government for approval
5. Awareness raising & training activities (conferences, seminars, etc.)
6. Implementation of SNC-AP (pilots)
7. Implementation of SNC-AP (all entities)

CNC authority and governance

Represented by:
- The President
- 14 from preparers of financial information
- 2 from the Statutory Auditors Institute
- 2 from academia
- 12 from users of financial information
- 5 from recognized accounting experts

Represented by:
- 1 from the Inspectorate General of Finance
- 2 from preparers of financial information
- 1 from Accountants Institute
- 1 from the Tax Authority
- 1 from the CNMV (Stock Market Regulator)
- 1 from recognized accounting experts

Appointed by the Government under proposal of the Minister for Finance
THANK YOU
Presentation by Manuel D. Ortuño Bernabé
EPSAS, CHALLENGES AND ASSESMENT OF SPANISH IMPLEMENTATION

Manuel D. Ortuño Bernabé
Senior Advisor of National Accounting Bureau
Intervención General de la Administración del Estado (IGAE)
Ministerio de Hacienda y Administraciones Públicas

Index:
1. Reform of Public accounting systems.
2. Different accountancy systems and their connections.
3. Assessment of the EPSAS implementation: challenges and opportunities.
THE PRIORITY OF THE PRIVATE ACCOUNTANCY STANDARD

Private Accountancy Standard
- Adapted to Financial International Information Regulation

Public Accountancy Standard
- Adapted to International Public Sector Standards, by International Federation of Accountants (IFAC)

Legislative procedure

Private Accountancy Standards
- Accountant Law 16/2007
- Business Accountancy Standards: Royal Decree 2007
- Consolidated Accounts: Decree September 2010

Public Accountancy Standards
- Budget Law 47/2003: public accountability principles
- Public Accountancy Standards: Ministry of Finance Regulation EHA/1037/2010
- Consolidated Public Accounts: Ministry of Finance Regulation HAP/189/2013
CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK (IMPLEMENTATION OF International public sector standard n° 1: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PRESENTATION):

- OBJECTIVE: Fair Image of situation, financial position and economic results of the public entity, to supply useful information for managers and facilitate the accountability.
  - Prevalence of the economic reality over the legal form of the operations.
- Features of accountancy data: clarity/relevance/reliability/comparability

• PUBLIC ACCOUNTANCY PRINCIPLES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Public Entity</th>
<th>Accounting System</th>
<th>Accounting Principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binding Budget</td>
<td>Budget Accounting</td>
<td>• Formal Recognition of rights and liabilities: cash basis recording.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Disaffectation of revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Budget</td>
<td>Accrual accounting</td>
<td>• Ongoing management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Accrual: transactions are recorded when the services are rendered, instead of the financial flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Caution: revenues recorded when collected, expenses when are assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Non compensation revenues/expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Materiality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OTHER IMPLEMENTATION OF IPSAS STANDARDS:

- ASSETS WITH A POTENTIAL SERVICE to register Public Works.
- Assessment criteria:
  - Fair value for assets which can be traded in an active and confiability market.
  - Value in use.
  - Amortized cost for financial assets and liabilities.
- Financial Statements:
  - Balance Sheet
  - Economic-equity result account
  - Cash-flow account, breakdown by kind of activity
  - Budget settlement
- Comments, including new Budgetary, financial and management indicators for measuring of public activity

CONSOLIDATED ACCOUNTANCY STANDARDS

- Adapted to International Sector Public Standard-6.
- Definition of controlling entity: power of management of financial decisions and over the activity of other entity, for obtaining economic returns or some potential of service.
- Exemption units: subgroups, materiality and low size (local minor entities).
- Scope of consolidations: controlling entity and its controlled units.
- Associates: up to 20% participation.
ACCOUNTANCY SYSTEMS AND THEIR CONNECTIONS

BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING

ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING

ECONOMIC ACCOUNTS (ESA)

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN BUDGETARY AND ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING IN BUDGETARY BINDING UNITS

Budgetary Accounting
- Cash basis for recognition of expenses
- Financial operations are considered revenues/expenses
- Amortizations non registered

Accrual Accounting
- Accrual recognition of expenses: creditors for matured expenses non recorded in budget; provisions
- Financial operations are recorded in the balance sheet, not included in the economic-equity result
- Amortizations recorded as an expense
Differences between Accrual Accounting System and European System of Accounts (ESA)

**ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING**
- Accounting depreciation over the purchase price
- Assets, revaluations are included in economic-equity account
- Guarantee recognized as expenses when they are appreciated
- Annuity of information, being comparable with the latest year
- The objective of accountability is the fair image of the entity, its financial situation, the economic-equity result and the budgetary execution (main perspective)

**NATIONAL ACCOUNTS**
- Accounting depreciation over the current value of assets
- Assets, revaluations are not included in net lending/borrowing
- Guarantee recognized as expenses when they are defined
- Information is presented as time series, with quarterly and annual data
- The objective of accountability is compilation of statistical data with a focus on supporting economic and fiscal policy decisions (in the background of the European Monetary Union: EMU)

Main adjustments from the budgetary accountancy to the national accounts system in the scope of consolidation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non financial budgetary outcome</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+/- timely adjustments (accrual principle)</td>
<td>Debt maturity interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Works financed by total price payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investment on account of the Public Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creditors for matured expenses non still recorded in the Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/- Adjustments in qualifications</td>
<td>Capital injections in Public Corporations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endorsements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Debt assumptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/- Adjustments of units with estimated units, considered non-market ones in ESA</td>
<td>Non financial Result (including adjustments in qualifications)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

= Net lending/borrowing (European System of Accounts)
ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EPSAS: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Directive 2011/85/EU over the features of Budgetary Frameworks in Member States dictates:

ACCOUNTING AND STATISTICS

Article 3
1. As concerns national systems of public accounting, Member States shall have in place public accounting systems comprehensively and consistently covering all sub-sectors of general government and containing the information needed to generate accrual data with a view to preparing data based on the ESA 95 standard. These public accounting systems shall be subject to internal control and independent audits.

- Nowadays, budgetary binding entities don’t accomplish the accrual principle in their accounts, so adjustments are necessary at the end of the year for registration of overdue expenses non recorded in the budget.
- The public units which applied the private Accountancy Standards (public enterprises) accomplish with accrual principle.
- It is annexed to the budget law, an statement with the equivalence between the non-financial budgetary result and the net lending/borrowing of ESA.

ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EPSAS: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

- The implementation of accrual principle to budget binding entities suppose adapting IT systems in order to register all overdue expenses regardless of the budgetary recording.
- Recently a law is passed with the setting-up of the Public Invoice Register, which collects all the invoices of suppliers to the General Government, in order to validate the documents and register the expenses in the accountability system. The registration of the invoices is necessary for their payment.
- The fair value in assets is limited for those which dispose of active trading markets.
ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EPSAS: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

- A new standard implementing the accrual principle into the budgetary accountancy system is suitable to promote clarity, comparability and confiability to public accounts.

- Spanish harmonization process has implemented some of the IPSAS (International Public Sector Accounting Standards). The next stage will be the Consolidated Public Sector Account for the General Government Sector of 2014.

- The adoption of the European public sector accounting standards (EPSAS) could take account of the specific situation of public sectors in Member States, offering alternatives for the registration of operations, implementing the accrual principle in the budgetary accountancy systems, and offering data comparable among the Member States which can be used for fiscal and economic decisions (in the background of EMU).

Thank you very much for your attention
Presentation by Danièle Lajoumard
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I. The French Public Sector Accounting System

The French public accounting system: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT (1)

A main reform in 2001

- **Previous system: cash basis/modified cash basis**
  - accounts of budgetary receipts and expenditures on a cash basis
  - General purpose accounts on a modified cash basis

- **New system: establishment of a true and deep accrual accounting**

  Under the Constitutional Bylaw of 1.8.2001, there are two main accounting systems:
  - **Budgetary accounting system with two components**:
    - Budgetary receipts and expenditures are recognised on a cash basis at their date of payment or receipt (article 28)
    - There is also a system of recording and monitoring of budget commitments
  - **General purpose accounts for all transactions on an accrual basis**

  « General purpose accounting system is based on the accrual basis principle. Transactions are entered for the financial year to which they apply, regardless of their date of payment or receipt » (article 30)
The French public accounting system:
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT (2)

- For the Central Government, the two accounting systems have a specific purpose and are complementary
  - **Budgetary cash basis accounting is the base of the democratic decision and control (by Parliament and citizens):**
    - concepts quite simple (receipts and expenditures)
    - and **easier view** of budgetary balance
  - **Accrual accounting complements and enriches the budgetary cash basis accounting with the presentation of the assets and liabilities:**
    - It allows some **more information** to the Parliament, citizens...
    - It provides **national accounts (ESA95)** with **directly relevant data**
    - The identification and valuation of these assets, liabilities and commitments lead to a more **active management** of these elements and to more informed choices
      - Examples: the identification of non tangible assets (radio frequencies, water power, prestigious names, internet domain name...) lead to a valuation of these assets (through auctions, concessions...)
    - Accrual accounting provides data to determine full cost (with amortization, provisions...), to identify the real cost of public policies, to make comparison and to allocate the fair amount to each of them

The French public accounting system:
OTHER PUBLIC ENTITIES (1)

- **A long tradition of accrual basis accounting:**
  - The Regulation of December 29, 1962 (article 52) stated that the accounting of French public Bodies and Local Authorities was based on the French private sector accounting GAAP (so called “PCG”)

- This rule was applied to the budgetary and general accounting statements with different degrees in the use of accrual principles
  - French public bodies (Public agencies): a single system based on accrual principles
    - budgeted statements and general purpose accounting on an accrual basis
  - **Social Security**: budget and accounting on an accrual basis

- Local Authorities: a mix system with a reform in 1992 to improve transparency
  - **Before 1992**: budget on modified cash basis and general purpose accounting on a modified accrual basis
  - **After the Outline Act of 6 February 1992 (M14)**: budget and general purpose accounting on an accrual basis
    - a simplified system for municipalities with less than 3,500 inhabitants
The French public accounting system:
OTHER PUBLIC ENTITIES (2)

• An ongoing reform regarding the French Public Bodies (Public Agencies) to strengthen and expand fiscal discipline:
  – According to the Decree of November 7, 2012, the budgeting and accounting system of the Public Bodies must be organized as that of the Central Government
    ▪ Budgetary accounting system with two components:
      ▪ Budgetary receipts and expenditures are recognized on a cash basis
      ▪ Recording and monitoring of budget commitments
    ▪ General purpose accounts on an accrual basis
  – Effective date of the reform: 1.1.2016
  – Target of the reform: to improve the monitoring system of Public Finances
    ▪ These Public Bodies are mainly financed by the Central Government Budget, so it seems more effective to align the budgetary concepts
    ▪ They can apply to General Purpose Accounts highest and “purest” standards without the link with budgetary concerns

The French public accounting system:
The quality of the Accrual Accounts

• The reality of the expected benefits of accrual accounts requires a high level quality of the accounting system and of the financial statements

• In line with this concern, two types of approaches are developed:
  – The General purpose financial statements of number of Public Entities are submitted to auditors’ opinion:
    ▪ Mandatory for Central Government: According to article 56 of the Constitutional Bylaw of 1.8.2001, the legal auditor for this purpose is the Court of Accounts’ Auditors
    ▪ Mandatory for Social Security (Court of Accounts’ Auditors) and Hospitals (Court of Accounts or private auditors)
    ▪ Mandatory for a large part of Public Bodies (Universities...): private auditors
    ▪ On a voluntary basis for Local Authorities and ongoing experiment to extend this procedure to the largest of them
  – The management of these Public Entities develops Internal Control and Internal Audit to improve the quality of their accounting system
    ▪ Regarding the Central Government: each Minister is in charge of organizing Internal Control and Internal Audit (Decree of June 29, 2011)
    ▪ Regarding the Public Bodies: Decree of November 7, 2012
    ▪ Social Security: special Regulation
    ▪ Local Authorities: On a voluntary basis (The main municipalities, the departments and Regions have developed Internal Control and Internal Audit)
II) The Public Sector Accounting Standards in France

---

Legal Framework for the definition of the Accounting Standards

- The French Constitution enshrines the principle of an accrual basis accounting for the Public Sector
  - Under article 47.2:
    - “The accounts of public entities are regular et sincere. They give a true and fair view of the results of their management, their net assets and their financial situation”.

- The Constitutional Bylaw of 1.8.2001 (L.O.L.F) contains some requirements about Central Government Accounting Standards:
  - According to the Article 30: « The rules applicable to general public accounting only differ from those applicable to companies in terms of the specific nature of government action ».
  - So, the standards MUST
    - be based on private accounting principles
    - and in the same time take into account specificities of the Central Government
The French Public Accounting Standards Setter: The CNOCP

- The Public Sector Accounting Standards Council (CNOCP) is in charge of setting the accounting standards for all entities with a non-market activity and primarily funded by public funding, including contributions.

- The CNOCP has a Board, a steering group and three standing commissions for:
  - The Central Government and Government Controlled Organisations
  - The Local Authorities and Local Public Agencies
  - The Social security Organisations

- The Members of the Board and Commissions are:
  - Public sector experts: members of the National Court of Audit, representatives of the General Directorate of Public Finances, the Budget Directorate, the General Inspectorate of Finances, the main ministries and Government Offices, members of public committees.
  - Private experts: legal auditors...

- The advices of the CNOCP are put in force by Orders of the Minister of Finances.

The approach of the French Public Accounting Standards Setter

- The CNOCP elaborates standards with reference to three sets of standards that are converging:
  - The French Business Accounting Standards (French GAAP) and the Accounting Regulation Committee Rules, in force in France
  - The IASB standards (IAS/IFRS)
  - The standards being developed by the IFAC Public Sector Committee (IPSAS)

- For each standard, the CNOCP must determine:
  - If any accounting rules are directly applicable to Central Government.
  - If some rules can be adapted to specific nature of the central government's activity.
  - If specific rules must be defined.

- Generally, for each Central Government Accounting Standard designed by the Committee, there is a mix of different references. Some Standards are entirely specific.

- The CNOCP must explain its choices in the introduction of each standard.
A Design by the CNOCP of the Public Accounting Standards as a consistent system

- The CNOCP has developed for the Central Government and Public Bodies two collections of standards that constitute a complete and consistent system
  - The Central Government Accounting Standards Compendium
  - The Public Bodies Accounting Standards Compendium
- The CNOCP is working on the convergence of the Central Government Standards with other Public Entities Standards
  - Local Authorities
  - Social Security
- The CNOCP bases this convergence on a Conceptual Framework available for all the Public Sector
  - The main point is the distinction between two level:
    - The Sovereign that is not a reporting entity
    - The reporting entities: Central Government, Public Bodies, Local Authorities, Social Security
- The target of this distinction is to clarify some concepts
  - For the Sovereign: The main concept that applies is not accounting but sustainability
  - Main impact: the clarification between general commitments (Sovereign) and obligations (reporting entities)

For more details...

- Central Government Accounting Standards
- Public Bodies Accounting Standards
- Advises of the CNOCP
- Conceptual Framework
- Comments to IPSAS Board consultations
- Comments to other international consultations

are directly available in French and in English on CNOCP website:

[www.economie.gouv.fr/cnocp](http://www.economie.gouv.fr/cnocp)
Thank you for your attention
Presentation by Kees Vendrik
Chances for EPSAS
In Europe and the EU member states

Kees Vendrik
Vice-president of the Netherlands Court of Audit
European Parliament, 1 October 2015

Experience of the NCA

- NCA, as a SAI, is positioned as an observer to the process that, in time, should lead to EPSAS

- **NO** accrual accounting in central government in the Netherlands


- Implementation of EPSAS would entail introduction of an accrual accounting system in central government
Experience of the NCA

- International perspective outweighs domestic, national perspective in considering implementation of EPSAS

- In recent years risk sharing has increased substantially within the EU and the Eurozone; how to gain better insight into these risks?

- Enhanced fiscal surveillance within EU and Eurozone calls for proper accounting mechanisms

- Statistics are used for accounting purposes; strong efforts headed by EUROSTAT to better secure quality

- Relevance of EPSAS for execution of the EU budget

---

View of the NCA;
Financial risks to the Netherlands of international guarantees, 2013

- Since the outbreak of the credit crisis in 2008 and the debt crisis in 2012 the Netherlands and other countries have taken a number of measures to alleviate the financial and economic difficulties in the EU.

- The size of Dutch international guarantees has increased more than tenfold since 2008.

- Effective arrangements for external public audit and accountability must be in place as risks can ultimately be passed on to the countries that have given guarantees.
View of the NCA; European Economic Governance, 2014

- The rules applicable to the EU member states’ budgetary and macroeconomic policies have been tightened up and extended since the financial and economic crisis.

- Transparent, reliable and comparable figures are needed to determine the financial situation in each member state. The EU public sector reporting rules must therefore be uniform.

- High priority is being given to strengthening the quality of statistical data used in the EMU accounts.

Chances for EPSAG in Europe, Seminar EP 1 October 2015

View of the NCA; Emergency assistance for eurozone countries during the crisis, 2015

- From 2010 to 2015, more than €440 billion was lent in emergency assistance to euro countries. The loans were granted from the European emergency funds and the IMF.

- It is impossible to know in detail how this money has been spent. After a programme has been completed, post-programme surveillance begins.

- It is important that the European Commission is better able to monitor the quality of the financial data that serve as the basis for an assistance program.

Chances for EPSAG in Europe, Seminar EP 1 October 2015

- 'As concerns national systems of public accounting, Member States shall have in place public accounting systems comprehensively and consistently covering all sub-sectors of general government and containing the information needed to generate accrual data with a view to preparing data based on the ESA 95 standard. Those public accounting systems shall be subject to internal control and independent audits.'

How can EPSAS help?

- European and financial crisis led to legislation for stronger budgetary control

- Now it’s time for the next step: to improve the quality of the underlying data as well as the transparency and comparability in and between member states

- Accrual accounting leads to more transparency about long-term obligations and connected risks

- Harmonization leads to comparability and possibilities for benchmarking

  -> EPSAS: uniform standards based on accrual accounting
What EPSAS might bring the Netherlands...

- More uniformity in a so far miscellaneous mix of budgeting and accounting systems on central, regional and local level

- Possible mitigation of problems that are at least partly related to absence of accrual accounting, like:
  
  - Maintenance costs for main roads once again budgeted too low; shortfall of €0.7 billion until 2020;
  - Weak control of expenditure at Ministry of Defence; difficulties in accurately estimating defense-expenditures on, inter alia, replacement of the F-16;
  - Only limited insight into volume and value of state property which hampers accurate management

What’s next?

- European Parliament can invite the EC to act and follow-up on the promising council directive of 2011 and the report of 2013 by producing a solid plan for the development and implementation of EPSAS

- SAI’s for their part discuss the matter in the Contact Committee Task Force and can influence the agenda in the respective member states

- The EC, members of the EP, statisticians and auditors and other officials from member states should join forces and work together

- Table the issue again at the ECOFIN
Presentation by Karsten Nowak
The debate on EPSAS from the perspective of the Courts of Auditors on the federal and state level

1. The question of “if”
   - Are EPSAS able to improve the quality of financial and statistical data?
   - Will the adoption of EPSAS lead to defined objectives?
   - Could the improvement of the quality of data also be achieved through less intense measures?

2. The question of “how”
   - Taking into consideration the prospective implementation of EPSAS, the presidential conference of the courts of auditors on the federal and state level gives general organizational recommendations:
     - “Accounting rules must ensure reliable and comparable numbers. Therefore, they have to apply to the principle of Objectification.”
     - Accounting rules must incorporate the “principle of prudence, which supports the objective of intergenerational justice in the public budgetary system.”
EPSAS as the milestone for the harmonization of public sector accounting in Europe?

„Eurostat Report“ 6.3.2013
European Commission, Report COM (2013) 114 final

✓ The harmonization of European public sector accounting is necessary.
✓ Accrual accounting is the appropriate system.

؟ Do IPSAS serve as a suitable reference point for the development of EPSAS?

How should EPSAS be developed?

Accounting purposes: EPSAS in the conflict between intergenerational equity and capital markets information

Balance sheet and income statement
Accounting Principles

Notes and Management Report
Principles of Information
Requirements for the development of EPSAS - Framework and Standards -

Framework

- Accounting Purposes: Accountability, Intergenerational Justice, Sustainability, Comparability
- Accounting Principles: Objectification, Reliability

Standards

- Standards Interpretations

Purpose-consistent Framework-consistent

Requirements for the EPSAS Framework

- The Framework should be ranked higher than the Standards.
- This ensures that the EPSAS framework can serve as a basis of deduction for any existing regulatory gaps in standards.
- The Standards must be consistently and reliably based on the Framework.
- Contradictions between the Standards and the Framework must not exist.
- The EPSAS framework should address standard setters and users and be binding on them.
- For the transition from an IPSAS to an EPSAS standard, the IPSAS standard has to meet the requirements of the EPSAS framework to be developed.
- The IPSAS compatibility per se cannot be the primary goal in the development of EPSAS Standards.
- At first the EPSAS Framework, then the EPSAS Standards.
Thank you.
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Workshop on "How to achieve more reliable and transparent accounting systems: How to design European Public Sector Accounting Standards (EPSAS) for a better implementation of the EU budget in the Member States?"
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Implementation Experiences
Public sector in Member States, challenges for national administrations related to EPSAS implementation and added value for Europe

Thomas Müller-Marquès Berger
October 1, 2015, European Parliament, Brussels

Agenda

- Challenges for national administrations regarding EPSAS implementation
- Added value for Europe
- Lessons learnt
Experiences and challenges of implementing EPSAS depend significantly on the starting position

Challenges for national administrations regarding EPSAS implementation (1) – Main Challenges

Change Management
- Political/Executive commitment
- Mind change
- Avoid “reform fatigue”
- Large project scale – lack of experience

Resources
- Capacity building
- Staff capacities

IT and Enablers
- IT/ERP system implementation
- Preparation of opening balance sheet
- Enablers and guidance missing
Challenges for national administrations regarding EPSAS implementation (2)

- Completeness of assets and liabilities
- Measurement of assets and liabilities
- Restrictions (resources and time)
- Transparency and comparability

Completeness regarding **significant** assets and liabilities and **practical approaches** for measurement

Challenges for national administrations regarding EPSAS implementation (3)

1. EPSAS conversion will impact processes, technology and organization
2. EPSAS conversions will impact several areas of the entity outside of the accounting function
3. Accounting reform is only a starting point
Workshop on "How to achieve more reliable and transparent accounting systems: How to design European Public Sector Accounting Standards (EPSAS) for a better implementation of the EU budget in the Member States?"

Added value for Europe – At what level?

- Global Level
- European Level
- National Level
- Sub-national Level

Added value for Europe – To whom?

- Transparency, improved service delivery
- Enables execution of information and control rights (e.g., EU-funding), accountability and decision-making
- Improved ability to recognize the effects of policy changes and achievements of public management
- Better insights/possibilities for analysis
- Supports decision-making and better management of EU funds
- Increases coherence and quality of data, facilitates comparability
Lessons learnt – What would be helpful for an EPSAS implementation?

- Flexibility of reform paths
- Early involvement of politicians
- “European Project Management Capacity”
- Accounting principles important, but insufficient to achieve comparability
- Even standards (legally binding requirements) need to be operationalized in order to ensure consistency
- Tested enablers and guidelines are needed
- Capacity building and communication to stakeholders as essential elements of the reform
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FEE website
Monthly e-newsletter

@FEE_Brussels
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