

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2004



2009

Session document

FINAL
A6-0111/2005

26.4.2005

REPORT

on the implementation of the European Union's information and
communication strategy
(2004/2238(INI))

Committee on Culture and Education

Rapporteur: Luis Francisco Herrero-Tejedor

CONTENTS

	Page
MOTION FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT RESOLUTION.....	3
EXPLANATORY STATEMENT	10
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS.....	15
PROCEDURE.....	19

MOTION FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT RESOLUTION

on the implementation of the European Union's information and communication strategy (2004/2238(INI))

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on implementing the information and communication strategy for the European Union (COM(2004)0196),
 - having regard to its resolution of 13 March 2002 on the Commission communication on a new framework for cooperation on activities concerning the information and communication policy of the European Union¹,
 - having regard to its resolution of 10 April 2003 on an information and communication strategy for the European Union²,
 - having regard to Rule 45 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Culture and Education and to the opinion of the Committee on Constitutional Affairs (A6-0111/2005),
- A. whereas the turnout at the last European elections fell in nine of the pre-enlargement EU Member States,
- B. whereas in the context of the European democratic process, an essential aim of any information and communication policy must be to promote the value of active participation in politics and civil society for example the importance of voting in elections to the European Parliament, and to inspire a sense of pride in being citizens of Europe,
- C. whereas access to information about the European Union is crucial if people are to exercise true European citizenship; as the beneficiaries of European Union policy and as active participants in European democracy, citizens have the right to full and impartial information about the Union in their own language, in plain language and through easily accessible channels,
- D. whereas the information and communication strategy should be based on the fundamental values of the European Union – democracy, pluralism, security, solidarity, equal opportunities, cohesion and respect for cultural diversity and fundamental human rights,

¹ OJ C 47 E, 27.2.2003, p. 400-406.

² OJ C 64 E, 12.3.2004, p. 591-599.

- E. whereas the information and communication strategy must also actively demonstrate to citizens how belonging to the European Union brings positive benefits to them in their daily lives,

Messages and resources

1. Welcomes the fact that for the first time Parliament has been called upon to discuss the information and communication strategy for the Union before its presentation by the Commission and thus has a real possibility of influencing the final content of this strategy, instead of merely reacting to it; considers this a very positive step in the deepening of its responsibilities as regards democratic control of the activities of the Commission;
2. Stresses that the object of the information and communication strategy should primarily be to keep the Union's citizens continually and properly informed about the functioning of the Union's Institutions in order to develop their knowledge, concern and participation in the Union's affairs and bring them closer to the Union;
3. Considers it necessary to pay greater attention to the content of the messages put out, so as to stimulate the interest of citizens by tackling their concerns;
4. Stresses the need to establish a decentralised information system to make it easier to reach specific groups which should be targeted with individually tailored messages in all cases;
5. Is convinced that information and communication policy will not be effective until knowledge of the EU and its institutions is included as a subject in the Member States' school curricula; universities should also be called upon to be proactive in spreading and fostering common European values;
6. Stresses the importance of making greater use of communications media with the technological capacity to reach the homes of all European citizens, such as television, radio and the Internet;
7. Believes that there is further scope for the use of both webstreaming and radio and that these should be explored in the context of the information and communication strategy;
8. Believes that serious consideration should be given to the possibility of holding debates on European policy in the national parliaments with legislative responsibility, with the active participation of MEPs, so as to give communications media the opportunity to follow more closely debates which are usually held in the European Parliament;
9. Considers that the Institutions should, in accordance with the rules laid down by the Financial Regulation, reach agreements with companies producing audiovisual programmes that are able to devise drama series, competitions, films, news reports and in general all kinds of high-quality and accessible programmes which appeal to popular taste and serve to promote the idea and values of Europe;

10. Welcomes the recent conclusion of a new contract with Euronews and believes that Euronews represents a quality service providing value for money;
11. Believes that consideration should be given to using certain Community programmes in connection with the Information and Communication Strategy, although this should not cause the objectives or the funding thereof to be scaled down; for example, the Media programme could be used to finance films in order to encourage the development of the European audiovisual industry and at the same time promote the 'image' and 'spirit' of the Union;
12. Calls for greater pooling of existing audiovisual infrastructures currently dispersed between the different institutions; also believes that these infrastructures should be catalogued and their individual efficiency assessed;
13. Calls on the Institutions to examine the possibility of designing modern and accessible virtual visits and making available to the public recordings of all important events involving the institutions through a high-quality archive with search engine;
14. Welcomes the development of Europe Direct and urges the Commission to further develop this initiative on an interinstitutional basis to serve the EU's information and communication strategy;
15. Calls upon the Commission to act in accordance with the European Parliament's resolution, to declare 2006 the European Year of Action to Combat Violence against Women and to include this in its information strategy, especially in view of the fact that the Council of Europe is planning a similar campaign and advantage can be taken of synergy effects;
16. Stresses the need to find a formula that will make it possible to involve regional and local media more closely in information and communication policy;
17. Stresses the need for the European Union to create a centre of excellence for Communication within which there would be structured cooperation amongst all the EU institutions and which would provide scope for working with professionals and experts in the communication sector;
18. Invites the EU institutions to improve conditions for and working relations with accredited journalists and, more generally, to create the widest possible access to sources for all citizens seeking information on the European Union's policies and activities;
19. Stresses the need for the institutions to improve their press releases and the quality of all information intended for the press, in order to facilitate the work of all professional journalists closely following events in Brussels; calls also for the institutions' press releases to be prepared as far as possible by professional communications experts;
20. Calls on the Commission to hold an 'ideas competition' which would enable original proposals to be brought together on the best ways of putting the European message across;

21. Urges the Commission to commission an independent outside agency to carry out a thoroughgoing assessment designed to analyse the cost and benefits of what it really spends on information and communication;
22. Calls on the Commission to introduce a system that will make it possible to continue funding the Infopoints network in accordance with the rules laid down by the new Financial Regulation;
23. Believes that greater financial resources should be allocated to Eurobarometer, to enable it to compile much fuller and more rigorous reports;
24. Urges the Commission to improve the Europa website, making its content available in all the European Union official languages and those languages that have an official nature in the Member States, provided that these so decide and taking on the budgetary cost that this involves, to avoid discrimination favouring some languages over others, and also makes it possible for the vast majority of citizens to gain access to the information provided;
25. Believes that it would be very useful to seek in an intelligent and methodical manner to 'place' links to the Europa website on the most frequently visited web pages, working on the general principle that it is not citizens who should have to go looking for information, but information that should come looking for the citizen;
26. Welcomes proposals to explore the provision of a second satellite transponder for Europe by Satellite;

Interinstitutional cooperation

27. Considers it vital, in the interests of improved cooperation and efficiency, for the Commission regularly to submit the European Union's information and communication policy to parliamentary scrutiny in the form of an annual debate devoted to that sole topic;
28. Calls for a major joint debate in plenary on the strategy presented by the Commission and on the report of the Committee on Culture and Education, in which the Council should also participate;
29. Considers that this debate could lead to a joint declaration on the guidelines for joint information activities by the three institutions, while respecting the specific competencies of each institution and their right to develop their own information activities;
30. Calls on the Interinstitutional Group on Information to draw up a proposal on an annual basis to ensure that budgetary provision is available to celebrate all important cultural events adequately;
31. Believes that the Interinstitutional Group on Information should be predominantly political in character and act as a guarantor, and that the number of its members should be reduced to enable it to operate more effectively;

32. Notes that Prince has traditionally been based on partnership between the Commission and the Member States; and stresses the need for parliamentary involvement in the determination of Prince priorities and believes that MEPs should be fully involved in events organised under the aegis of the Prince Programme; welcomes the Commission's suggestion that the programming and monitoring of Prince be adapted to more accurately reflect Parliament's term;
33. Invites all EU institutions concerned to improve internal coordination with a view to enhancing the efficiency of the agreed communication and information strategy, thereby achieving better results;
34. Calls on the institutions to examine the possibility of setting up a lower level coordination group in which representatives of the relevant directorates-general in the different institutions and representatives of the relevant European Parliament committees would be represented and whose main task would be to coordinate the specific measures designed to implement the guidelines laid down by the Interinstitutional Group on Information;
35. Believes it would be highly effective to establish a consultative body consisting of representatives from the European institutions and Member States and communications experts to provide guidance for the proper application of information and communication policy;
36. Insists upon participation by all the Member States, in particular those which have not hitherto been involved;
37. Believes that some of the information resources should be devoted to promoting the Europe 'brand' and believes that in the next few years pilot schemes should be introduced with a view to making certain programmes such as 'Media', 'Youth', 'Culture' or 'Education' more appealing to the general public and to promoting the positive 'image' of the Union; welcomes, therefore, the organisation of a European Youth Week with activity days under the banner 'Young People in Parliament', which will strengthen young people's links with Europe;
38. Is looking forward to receiving the Commission's communication on a renewed information and communication strategy, announced for May 2005; intends to engage in an enhanced dialogue with the Commission and the Council on its implementation; reiterates its willingness to cooperate fully with a view to achieving the objectives that will be agreed upon;

European Constitution

39. Stresses that the campaign of information and communication on the Constitution should become the main priority in the Union's information and communication strategy over the near future;
40. Considers that this priority should be approached from a dual perspective:
 - the Union's institutions have a duty to inform citizens clearly and objectively about

- the content of the Constitution and the meaning of the changes it introduces as compared to the current Treaties,
- in addition, the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission have the political responsibility to support the ratification of the Constitution, always acting in agreement with Member States and taking into account the relevant national legislation;
41. Stresses, as regards information on the content of the Constitution, the particular importance of:
- the organisation of specific seminars for journalists on the Constitution - in the Member States and also in Brussels - aimed at transmitting clearly and objectively the content of the Constitution, as well as correcting any misinformation as to the content of the Constitution,
 - the need to develop specific activities for the academic world, namely by focusing the Jean Monnet action programme on European constitutional questions, organising academic seminars on the Constitution, helping to shape the content of academic programs and sponsoring studies or publications on European constitutional questions,
 - better promotion of the use of modern technologies, in particular, internet access to the text of the Constitution and explanations as to its content, as well as internet and telephone services to provide answers to questions on the content of the Constitution;
42. Stresses that the resources available under the Prince Programme should be concentrated on fostering public debate on the Constitution in view of the ratification campaigns in all Member States, and particularly in those Member States where a referendum will take place;
43. Therefore considers it desirable that the Parliament and the Commission work together as closely as possible, namely by the signing of Memoranda of Understanding with all those Member States volunteering to do so; believes that the Parliament should participate in all the initiatives undertaken by the Commission at national level in co-operation with the respective Member State governments;
44. Stresses the importance of also involving national parliaments in these initiatives, whenever possible;
45. Recalls the important role of civil society organisations within the ratification debates and the need to make available sufficient support to enable such organisations to engage their constituencies in these debates across the EU in order to promote the active involvement of citizens in the discussions on ratification.

The European Parliament's information policy

46. Proposes to conduct an objective study on the efficiency of its information policy; in this context, welcomes the Bureau request, dated 10 January 2005, for a review of the role of the information offices in the Member States;

47. Takes note of the discussions currently being held within Parliament's Bureau concerning the possibility of creating a publicly owned parliamentary channel; welcomes the decision of the Parliament's Bureau to commission a feasibility study on the possible establishment of a parliamentary information channel or genuine European Parliament television channel; recognises that there are a range of different options for such a project and believes that any channel should be independent; believes that such a channel could make a significant contribution to the development of a European public space; notes that, on earlier occasions, it has requested the Commission to launch an in-house impact study on such a European channel; stresses the need for there to be greater synergy between the activities of Parliament's external offices and those of the Commission's representations;
48. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the European Council, the Commission, the Council of Ministers, the Court of Justice, the Court of Auditors, the Committee of the Regions, the Economic and Social Committee, the Member States and the International Federation of Journalists.

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

The aim of this report is to review the implementation of the EU's information and communication strategy. Your rapporteur has re-read all relevant documents with the aim of putting forward constructive ideas that will serve to promote a more effective information strategy in future. The main conclusions he has reached are as follows:

1. The information and communication strategy has fallen far short of achieving its objectives.

The information and communication strategy is required to bring Europe closer to its citizens. However, the latest Eurobarometer data show that the level of public knowledge of basic EU matters is lamentable. In the old Member States, only 25% of people are aware of the existence of the Union's anthem and almost half believe that the main seat of the institutions is in Strasbourg. In addition, turnout in European Parliament elections has continued to decline, falling to its lowest ever level in 2004 (45.7%).

2. The information and communication strategy will not be effective unless the institutions act on the recommendations they receive.

From 1993 to the present day, all Parliament reports have called for an annual debate to be held on information and communication policy. No such debate has ever been held. Other examples will emerge in the course of the report, but for the moment this fact alone is sufficient justification for the requirement that the Commission should regularly submit its information and communication strategy to parliamentary scrutiny.

3. The information and communication strategy will not be effective unless it is possible to convey to the general public what the 'spirit' of the European Union really means.

In 1993, a European Parliament resolution acknowledged that reducing European integration to little more than an economic community had undermined public interest and trust.

The legitimate expectations of citizens to play an active part in developing the Union will only be realised if we are able to touch people's feelings. The future constitution is an example of the fact that Europe is first and foremost a common project whose goal is to make Europe a better human society. Article I.1 of the Constitution speaks of 'the will of the citizens and States of Europe to build a common future', based on common values. These values define Europe's identity. The EU is not an ordinary international organisation with economic goals, but a community of values.

In the eyes of your rapporteur, there is no better definition of the 'spirit' of the new Europe. The question now is how to make it visible. A Commission communication has already suggested that consideration should be given to the possibility of working with genuine opinion leaders, a series of personalities who would lend their face to the Union.

It would also be useful to hold an 'ideas competition' that would enable the leading specialised agencies to give shape to their best ideas, but it should not be forgotten that often the figures which best incarnate the true identity of Europe are indelibly etched in the history of our common culture. For example, this year marks the fourth centenary of Don Quixote, although sadly the institutions have not seen fit to honour the memory of Miguel de Cervantes. Nor will they do so for Hans Christian Andersen. America may be able to sell the Statue of Liberty as a brand image or the marketing concept of the 'land of opportunity', yet it will never be able to lay claim to such a star-studded galaxy of human genius as Europe can.

Parliament must draw up a five-year proposal to ensure that budgetary funding is available to celebrate all-important cultural events properly

4. The information and communication strategy will not be effective if it continues to be based mainly on the instruments used hitherto.

A system of networks and links has been set up to provide information to citizens who have already decided to seek out such information. However, a change in approach is needed: it is not citizens who should go looking for information, but information which should go looking for citizens. If this is to work, a sound decentralised information system is needed that will provide access for the specific groups which should be targeted with individually tailored messages.

Decentralisation of information, based on the system of networks and links, is a useful way of improving the flow of information, but is neither the most effective nor the most cost-efficient. Nor does it resolve the work coordination problems derived from the coexistence under the same roof of officials from different European institutions, Parliament and the Commission. They may share the general expenses, but they do not share the most important thing, which is their work. Citizens see the EU as a single entity and have no way of knowing whether requests for information should be addressed to the Commission or the Parliament. If the two institutions pooled their staff this would improve professional standards and work to the benefit of all concerned, while reducing costs.

5. The information and communication strategy will not be effective unless cooperation between Parliament and the Commission is improved.

The Commission's former DG II, which previously brought together all departments dealing with information and communication under its control, has now been divided up into four separate DGs.

In Parliament there is a single DG dealing with information. Nevertheless, the way it spends its budget makes little sense: 43% of Parliament's budget is allocated to the financing of visitors' groups (half a million per year), 30% to the production of printed material, while 18% is spent on infrastructures. This leaves only 10% (2.5 million euro) for other measures. Is it worth spending so much money for so little return?

The only forum in which the Commission and Parliament share their views is the so-

called IGI. Its task is to set the priorities for the PRINCE programme. All measures not covered by this programme are deemed to be specific actions by individual institutions.

The PRINCE programme should include more priority action lines and specific measures by individual institutions should be confined to what is strictly necessary.

The IGI should be predominantly political in character and its composition should be reduced to make it more efficient. A lower level coordination group should also be set up, with representatives from the relevant DGs from the various institutions, to coordinate specific measures to put into practice the guidelines set by the IGI. It would also be useful to revive the Commission's old idea of setting up a consultative body consisting of representatives of the institutions, and Member States and communications experts to provide guidance on the proper application of the information and communication strategy.

Under Annex VI of the Rules of Procedure, responsibility for information and communication policy within the European Parliament rests with the Committee on Culture. However, major discussions, decisions and actions within its sphere of competence take place behind its back. For example, the Committee on Culture is not represented on the working group on information and communication policy, where topics of great importance are currently being discussed. Steps should be taken as a matter of urgency to ensure that our committee is not excluded from any debates concerning these matters.

6. The information and communication policy will not be effective until Member States and national parliaments decide to work actively together with the European institutions.

Member States have shown their willingness to take part in the information and communication policy through the signing of memorandums of understanding. Eleven such memorandums have so far been signed, while a further 11 are under negotiation.

Furthermore, previous rapporteurs such as Herzog and Andreaesen put forward the idea of trying to secure the cooperation of national parliaments.

The rapporteur believes that consideration should be given to more persuasive measures to gain the cooperation of the Member States.

The holding of debates on European policy in national parliaments, with the active participation of MEPs from the country concerned, would attract greater attention and give communications media and the public the opportunity of following more closely debates which usually take place in Brussels and Strasbourg.

7. The information and communication policy will not be effective unless the groups to be targeted by each specific action are carefully selected.

One of the main problems facing Europe is its lack of legitimacy. Citizens feel that

Community law does not concern them and that they have no part in creating it.

The Andriessen report pointed out that the Union's lack of credibility derived from its metaphysical inability to reach each and every one of its citizens. It is vital that one of the main objectives of the new strategy should be to identify the correct audience. There is a need to ensure that messages stimulate interest on the part of the public by responding to its concerns.

We must also find a formula which makes it possible to involve regional and local media more closely in information and communication policy. One way of doing so would be to award cash prizes to those media which have most successfully put across the ideas and the values of Europe or to those which have devoted most space to broadcasting Union-related news.

8. The information and communication policy will not be effective until it openly puts its faith in the use of new tools.

As mentioned above, one of the most serious mistakes the future strategy could make would be to think that it must be the citizen who comes looking for information, rather than the information going in search of the citizen. The best way of avoiding this mistake is to make greater use of communications media which give access to all European homes, such as television, radio and the Internet.

The most recent Eurobarometer statistics show that television is the preferred source of information for European citizens (76%), followed by the written press (52%) and the radio - so often overlooked - (43%). Indeed, the European Parliament has frequently stressed the need to give a more prominent role to audio-visual media.

In addition, Parliament's Bureau is known to be examining the possibility of setting up a publicly-owned parliamentary television channel. Your rapporteur urges members of this committee to demand the right to take part in a debate of such importance, as they are entitled to do. In any case, without wishing to prejudice the final outcome of the debate in progress, it is worth pointing out that three basic principles, at least, are not negotiable:

- (a) independent management, not owing political obedience to the majority of the day.
- (b) the quality of information
- (c) variety and accessibility of content.

Information from the European Parliament should not pertain solely to the institution itself. There is a need to ensure that the various political points of view also reach the general public. According to Eurobarometer, only 36% of citizens from the new Member States believe that the coverage of Europe in their national media during 2004 was objective.

Television is first and foremost content based. Any strategy based on television must ensure that the programmes made available to the public are accessible, varied and of high quality. By means of a tendering process, the institutions should reach agreement with independent television producers capable of devising drama series, competitions,

films and other kinds of programmes appealing to popular taste, which are of high quality and accessible and can promote the idea and values of Europe.

Support for the broadcasting of such programmes, complementing conventional information programmes and the broadcasting of institutional events (part-sessions, committee debates, etc.) need not necessarily be linked to the introduction of European public service television or a parliamentary channel. It would also be possible to explore the idea of reaching agreement with Euronews (without rejecting out of hand the option of signing a programme contract or even purchasing the channel) or with television stations already operating in the Member States either at national, regional or local level.

Another possibility worthy of consideration is making joint use of existing audio-visual infrastructures which are scattered between the institutions. These need to be catalogued and their efficiency assessed.

Nor should we forget the extensive resources offered by the Internet. The Europa website needs to be improved. Many of its pages are available only in English or French, which not only represents discrimination in favour of some languages over others, but also makes it impossible for the vast majority of citizens to have access to the information provided.

The Internet is also an excellent vehicle for transmitting information targeted at a specific public. The intelligent and methodical placing of links on most frequently visited web pages is all that is needed to reach audiences fitting a homogenous profile. Consideration should also be given to the possibility of devising modern and accessible virtual visits to the institutions and making available to the public recordings of all important institutional events through a high-quality archive with search engine.

9. The information and communication policy will not be effective until it is allowed to make use of other Community programmes, either fully or in part.

The European Union has a multitude of programmes which in themselves represent a way of bringing Europe to its citizens. Consideration should be given to devoting part of the resources from some of these Community programmes to promoting the Europe 'brand'. By way of example, the Media programme could devote part of its budget allocation to funding the best projects by European producers, directors and distributors for spreading the idea of Europe, to be identified via a public tender.

10. The information and communication policy will not be effective until knowledge of the EU and its institutions is included as a subject in the Member States' school curricula.

20.4.2005

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS

for the Committee on Culture and Education

on the implementation of the European Union's information and communication strategy
(2004/2238(INI))

Draftswoman: Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann

SUGGESTIONS

The Committee on Constitutional Affairs calls on the Committee on Culture and Education, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following suggestions in its motion for a resolution:

On the strategy as a whole

1. Welcomes the fact that for the first time Parliament has been called upon to discuss the information and communication strategy for the Union before its presentation by the Commission and thus has a real possibility of influencing the final content of this strategy, instead of merely reacting to it; considers this a very positive step in the deepening of its responsibilities as regards democratic control of the activities of the Commission;
2. Stresses that the object of the information and communication strategy should primarily be to keep the Union's citizens continually and properly informed about the functioning of the Union's Institutions in order to develop their knowledge, concern and participation in the Union's affairs and bring them closer to the Union;
3. Calls for a major joint debate in plenary on the strategy presented by the Commission and on the report of the Committee on Culture and Education, in which the Council should also participate;
4. Considers that this debate could lead to a joint declaration on the guidelines for joint information activities by the three institutions, while respecting the specific competencies of each institution and their right to develop their own information activities;

5. Considers that an annual debate on the implementation of the strategy and of the joint declaration should take place in order to evaluate the impact of the activities developed by the institutions and refocus these activities if need be;

On the Constitution

6. Stresses that the campaign of information and communication on the Constitution should become the main priority in the Union's information and communication strategy over the near future;
7. Considers that this priority should be approached from a dual perspective:
 - the Union's institutions have a duty to inform citizens clearly and objectively about the content of the Constitution and the meaning of the changes it introduces as compared to the current Treaties,
 - in addition, the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission have the political responsibility to support the ratification of the Constitution, always acting in agreement with Member States and taking into account the relevant national legislation;
8. Stresses, as regards information on the content of the Constitution, the particular importance of:
 - the organisation of specific seminars for journalists on the Constitution - in the Member States and also in Brussels - aimed at transmitting clearly and objectively the content of the Constitution, as well as correcting any misinformation as to the content of the Constitution,
 - the need to develop specific activities for the academic world, namely by focusing the Jean Monnet action programme on European constitutional questions, organising academic seminars on the Constitution, helping to shape the content of academic programs and sponsoring studies or publications on European constitutional questions,
 - better promotion of the use of modern technologies, in particular, internet access to the text of the Constitution and explanations as to its content, as well as internet and telephone services to provide answers to questions on the content of the Constitution;
9. Stresses that the resources available under the PRINCE program should be concentrated on fostering public debate on the Constitution in view of the ratification campaigns in all Member States, and particularly in those Member States where a referendum will take place;
10. Therefore, considers it desirable that the Parliament and the Commission work together as closely as possible, namely by the signing of Memoranda of Understanding with all

those Member States volunteering to do so; believes that the Parliament should participate in all the initiatives undertaken by the Commission at national level in co-operation with the respective Member State governments;

11. Stresses the importance of also involving national parliaments in these initiatives, whenever possible;
12. Recalls the important role of civil society organisations within the ratification debates and the need to make available sufficient support to enable such organisations to engage their constituencies in these debates across the EU in order to promote the active involvement of citizens in the discussions on ratification.

PROCEDURE

Title	Implementation of the European Union's information and communication strategy
Procedure number	2004/2238(INI)
Committee responsible	CULT
Committee asked for its opinion Date announced in plenary	AFCO 16.12.2004
Enhanced cooperation	
Drafts(wo)man Date appointed	Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann 20.1.2005
Discussed in committee	14.3.2005 20.4.2005
Date suggestions adopted	20.4.2005
Result of final vote	for: 14 against: 1 abstentions: 0
Members present for the final vote	Richard Corbett, Panayiotis Demetriou, Andrew Duff, Maria da Assunção Esteves, Jo Leinen, Rihards Pīks, Marie-Line Reynaud, Alexander Stubb, Johannes Voggenhuber
Substitutes present for the final vote	Pervenche Berès, Antoine Duquesne, Ashley Mote, Georgios Papastamkos, Reinhard Rack, György Schöpflin, Jacques Toubon
Substitutes under Rule 178(2) present for the final vote	

PROCEDURE

Title	Information and communication strategy		
Procedure number	2004/2238(INI)		
Basis in Rules of Procedure	Rule 45		
Committee responsible Date authorisation announced in plenary	CULT 16.12.2004		
Committees asked for opinions Date announced in plenary	AFCO 16.12.2004	LIBE 16.12.2004	
Not delivering opinion Date of decision	LIBE 21.02.2005		
Enhanced cooperation Date announced in plenary			
Motion(s) for resolution(s) included in report			
Rapporteur Date appointed	Luis Francisco Herrero-Tejedor 05.10.2004		
Previous rapporteur(s)			
Discussed in committee	17.01.2005	14.03.2005	21.04.2005
Date adopted	21.04.2005		
Result of final vote	for:	30	
	against:	0	
	abstentions:	2	
Members present for the final vote	María Badía i Cutchet, Christopher Beazley, Giovanni Berlinguer, Guy Bono, Marie-Hélène Descamps, Jolanta Dičkutė, Věra Flasarová, Milan Gaľa, Claire Gibault, Vasco Graça Moura, Lissy Gröner, Luis Francisco Herrero-Tejedor, Ruth Hieronymi, Manolis Mavrommatis, Marianne Mikko, Zdzisław Zbigniew Podkański, Miguel Portas, Christa Prets, Karin Resetarits, Nikolaos Sifunakis, Helga Trüpel, Henri Weber, Thomas Wise, Tomáš Zatloukal		
Substitutes present for the final vote	Ivo Belet, Michael Cramer, Ignasi Guardans Cambó, András Gyürk, Małgorzata Handzlik, Gyula Hegyi, Nina Škottová, Witold Tomczak		
Substitutes under Rule 178(2) present for the final vote			
Date tabled – A6	26.04.2005	A6-0111/2005	