



EUROPSKI PARLAMENT

2009 - 2014

Dokument s plenarne sjednice

A7-0268/2013

17.7.2013

*****I**

IZVJEŠĆE

o prijedlogu Uredbe Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća o posebnim odredbama o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj i cilju „Ulaganje u rast i radna mjesta” kojom se stavlja izvan snage Uredba (EZ) br. 1080/2006 (COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD))

Odbor za regionalni razvoj

Izvjestitelj: Jan Olbrycht

Oznake postupaka

- * Postupak savjetovanja
- *** Postupak suglasnosti
- ***I Redovni zakonodavni postupak (prvo čitanje)
- ***II Redovni zakonodavni postupak (drugo čitanje)
- ***III Redovni zakonodavni postupak (treće čitanje)

(Navedeni se postupak temelji na pravnoj osnovi predloženoj u nacrtu akta.)

Izmjene nacrtu akta

U amandmanima Parlamenta izmjene nacrtu akta označene su podebljanim kurzivom. *Obični kurziv* naznaka je tehničkim službama da se radi o dijelovima nacrtu akta za koje se predlaže ispravak prilikom izrade konačnog teksta (na primjer o očitim pogreškama ili izostavcima u danoj jezičnoj verziji). Za predložene ispravke potrebna je suglasnost dotičnih tehničkih službi.

Zaglavljuje svakog amandmana na postojeći akt koji se želi izmijeniti nacrtom akta sadrži i treći redak u kojem se navodi postojeći akt te četvrti redak u kojem se navodi odredba akta na koju se izmjena odnosi. Dijelovi teksta odredbe postojećeg akta koju Parlament želi izmijeniti, a koja je u nacrtu akta ostala nepromijenjena, označeni su **podebljanim slovima**. Za moguća brisanja u tim dijelovima teksta koristi se oznaka: [...].

SADRŽAJ

Stranica

NACRT ZAKONODAVNE REZOLUCIJE EUROPSKOG PARLAMENTA	5
EXPLANATORY STATEMENT.....	51
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON BUDGETS.....	56
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE ENVIRONMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH AND FOOD SAFETY	67
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON INDUSTRY, RESEARCH AND ENERGY	84
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORT AND TOURISM	116
POSTUPAK	150

NACRT ZAKONODAVNE REZOLUCIJE EUROPSKOG PARLAMENTA

o prijedlogu Uredbe Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća o posebnim odredbama o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj i cilju „Ulaganje u rast i radna mjesta” kojom se stavlja izvan snage Uredba (EZ) br. 1080/2006 (COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD))

(Redovni zakonodavni postupak: prvo čitanje)

Europski parlament,

- uzimajući u obzir prijedlog Komisije upućen Europskom parlamentu i Vijeću (COM(2011)0614),
 - uzimajući u obzir članak 294. stavak 2. i članke 178. i 349. Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije, u skladu s kojima je Komisija podnijela prijedlog Parlamentu (C7-0328/2011),
 - uzimajući u obzir članak 294. stavak 3. Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije,
 - uzimajući u obzir mišljenje Europskog gospodarskog i socijalnog odbora od 25. travnja 2012.¹,
 - uzimajući u obzir mišljenje Odbora regija od 3. svibnja 2012.²,
 - uzimajući u obzir članak 55. Poslovnika,
 - uzimajući u obzir izvješće Odbora za regionalni razvoj i mišljenja Odbora za proračunski nadzor, Odbora za okoliš, javno zdravlje i sigurnost hrane, Odbora za industriju, istraživanje i energetiku te Odbora za promet i turizam (A7-0268/2013),
1. usvaja sljedeće stajalište u prvom čitanju;
 2. poziva Komisiju da predmet ponovno uputi Parlamentu ako namjerava bitno izmijeniti svoj prijedlog ili ga zamijeniti drugim tekstom;
 3. nalaže svojem predsjedniku da stajalište Parlamenta proslijedi Vijeću, Komisiji i nacionalnim parlamentima.

¹ SL C 191, 29.6.2012., str. 44.

² SL C 225, 27.7.12., str. 114.

Amandman 1

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(1) Člankom 176. Ugovora propisuje se da je namjena Europskog fonda za regionalni razvoj (EFRR) pomoć u ispravljanju glavnih regionalnih nejednakosti u Uniji. EFRR *stoga doprinosi* smanjivanju **razlike** između razina razvijenosti različitih regija i smanjivanju *mjere* u *kojoj zaostaju regije* u najnepovoljnijem položaju, **uključujući ruralna i urbana područja, industrijska područja u nazadovanju, područja s teškim i stalnim prirodnim i demografskim ograničenjima**, kao što su *otoci, planinska područja, rijetko naseljena područja i granična područja*.

Amandman 2

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(2) Zajedničke odredbe za EFRR, Europski socijalni fond (ESF) *(u daljnjem tekstu „strukturni fondovi”)* i Kohezijski fond određene su Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2012 od [...] kojom se utvrđuju zajedničke odredbe o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj, Europskom socijalnom fondu, Kohezijskom fondu, Europskom poljoprivrednom fondu za ruralni razvoj i Europskom fondu za pomorstvo i ribarstvo, obuhvaćenima Zajedničkim strateškim okvirom te kojom se utvrđuju opće odredbe o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj, Europskom socijalnom fondu i Kohezijskom fondu i kojom se stavlja

Amandman

(1) Člankom 176. Ugovora **o funkcioniranju Europske unije (UFEU)** propisuje se da je namjena Europskog fonda za regionalni razvoj (EFRR) pomoć u ispravljanju glavnih regionalnih nejednakosti u Uniji. **Stoga, u skladu s tim člankom i člankom 174. drugim i trećim stavkom UFEU-a**, EFRR **treba pridonijeti** smanjivanju **razlika** između razina razvijenosti različitih regija i smanjivanju **zaostalosti regija** u najnepovoljnijem položaju, **među kojima posebnu pozornost treba posvetiti područjima koja su izložena** teškim i stalnim prirodnim ili demografskim ograničenjima, kao što su **najsjevernija područja s vrlo niskom gustoćom naseljenosti te otočne, pogranične i planinske regije**.

Amandman

(2) Zajedničke odredbe za EFRR, Europski socijalni fond (ESF) i Kohezijski fond određene su Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2013 od [...] kojom se utvrđuju zajedničke odredbe o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj, Europskom socijalnom fondu, Kohezijskom fondu, Europskom poljoprivrednom fondu za ruralni razvoj i Europskom fondu za pomorstvo i ribarstvo, obuhvaćenima Zajedničkim strateškim okvirom te kojom se utvrđuju opće odredbe o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj, Europskom socijalnom fondu i Kohezijskom fondu i kojom se stavlja izvan snage Uredba Vijeća (EZ) br.

izvan snage Uredba Vijeća (EZ) br. 1083/2006 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

1083/2006 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Amandman 3

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(3) Potrebno je utvrditi posebne odredbe u vezi s vrstama aktivnosti za koje se može dobiti potpora iz EFRR-a, **u okviru** tematskih ciljeva iz **Uredbe** (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]. Istovremeno, izdatke koji nisu obuhvaćeni EFRR-om trebalo bi odrediti i pojasniti, uključujući **one u vezi sa smanjenjem** emisija stakleničkih plinova u **postrojenjima koja potpadaju pod Direktivu** 2003/87/EZ Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od 13. listopada 2003. kojom se utvrđuje shema za trgovanje kvotama emisije stakleničkih plinova unutar Zajednice i kojom se izmjenjuje Direktiva Vijeća 96/61/EZ.

Amandman

(3) Potrebno je utvrditi posebne odredbe u vezi s vrstama aktivnosti za koje se može dobiti potpora iz EFRR-a **radi doprinosa prioritetima ulaganja unutar** tematskih ciljeva iz **Uredbe** (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]. Istovremeno, izdatke koji nisu obuhvaćeni EFRR-om trebalo bi odrediti i pojasniti, uključujući **ulaganja za smanjenje** emisija stakleničkih plinova u **aktivnostima navedenima u Prilogu I. Direktivi** 2003/87/EZ Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od 13. listopada 2003. kojom se utvrđuje shema za trgovanje kvotama emisije stakleničkih plinova unutar Zajednice i kojom se izmjenjuje Direktiva Vijeća 96/61/EZ.

Amandman 4

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 3.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(3a) Kako bi se izbjeglo prekomjerno financiranje, ova ulaganja ne bi trebala imati pravo na potporu EFRR-a jer već imaju financijsku korist od primjene Direktive 2003/87/EZ. Ovo isključenje ne bi trebalo ograničiti mogućnost korištenja EFRR-om radi pružanja potpore aktivnostima koje nisu obuhvaćene Prilogom I. Direktive 2003/87/EZ, čak i ako ih provode isti gospodarski subjekti, kao što su ulaganja u energetske

učinkovitost područnih toplinskih mreža, pametnu distribuciju energije, sustave za pohranu i prijenos, mjere za smanjenje onečišćenja zraka, čak i ako je jedan od njihovih neizravnih učinaka smanjenje emisija stakleničkih plinova ili ako su navedeni u nacionalnom planu iz članka 10.c stavka 1. Direktive 2003/87/EZ.

Amandman 5

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 3.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(3b) Potpora u okviru prioriteta ulaganja „lokalni razvoj kojim upravlja lokalna zajednica” može pridonijeti svim tematskim ciljevima utvrđenima ovom Uredbom.

Amandman 6

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 3.c (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(3c) Važno je osigurati da se pri promicanju ulaganja u upravljanje rizicima uzmu u obzir specifični rizici na regionalnoj, prekograničnoj i transnacionalnoj razini.

Amandman 7

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(4) Kako bi se uzele u obzir posebne potrebe EFRR-a, te u skladu sa strategijom Europa 2020. prema kojoj bi se kohezijskom politikom trebala podržavati

(4) Kako bi se uzele u obzir posebne potrebe EFRR-a, te u skladu sa strategijom Europa 2020. prema kojoj bi se kohezijskom politikom trebala podržavati

potreba za ostvarenjem pametnog, održivog i uključivog rasta, potrebno je kao „prioritete ulaganja” u okviru svakog tematskog cilja iz članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] odrediti aktivnosti specifične za EFRR.

potreba za ostvarenjem pametnog, održivog i uključivog rasta, potrebno je kao „prioritete ulaganja” u okviru svakog tematskog cilja iz članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] odrediti aktivnosti specifične za EFRR *koje će uspostaviti detaljne, uzajamno neisključive ciljeve kojima će EFRR pridonijeti. Ti prioriteti ulaganja trebaju činiti temelj za definiciju posebnih ciljeva unutar programa koji uzimaju u obzir potrebe i karakteristike programskog područja.*

Amandman 8

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 5.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(5) EFRR bi trebao doprinijeti strategiji Europa 2020. i na taj način osigurati veću koncentraciju potpore EFRR-a na prioritete Unije. U vezi s kategorijom regija kojima se daje potpora, potpora iz EFRR-a trebala bi se usredotočiti na istraživanje i inovaciju, mala i srednja poduzeća te **smanjenje posljedica klimatskih promjena**. Za razinu te usredotočenosti trebala bi se uzeti u obzir razina razvoja regije, a i posebne potrebe regija čiji je BDP po glavi stanovnika za razdoblje od 2007. do 2013. bio manji od 75 % prosječnog BDP-a EU-25 za referentno razdoblje.

Amandman

(5) EFRR bi trebao doprinijeti strategiji Europa 2020. **za pametan, održiv i uključiv rast i** na taj način osigurati veću koncentraciju potpore EFRR-a na prioritete Unije. U vezi s kategorijom regija kojima se daje potpora, potpora iz EFRR-a **u okviru cilja „Ulaganje u rast i radna mjesta”** trebala bi se usredotočiti na istraživanje i inovaciju, **informacijske i komunikacijske tehnologije**, mala i srednja poduzeća (**MSP-ove**) te **na promicanje ekonomije s niskom razinom emisije CO2. Ovu koncentraciju treba postići na nacionalnoj razini, omogućujući fleksibilnost na razini operativnih programa i u različitim kategorijama regija te je po potrebi treba prilagoditi kako bi se uzela u obzir sredstva Kohezijskog fonda dodijeljena za podupiranje prioriteta ulaganja navedenih u članku 3. točki (a) Uredbe (EU) br.[...]/2013 [KF].** Za razinu te usredotočenosti trebala bi se uzeti u obzir razina razvoja regije, **doprinos sredstava Kohezijskog fonda ako je primjenjivo**, a i posebne potrebe regija čiji je BDP po glavi stanovnika za razdoblje od 2007. do 2013.

bio manji od 75 % prosječnog BDP-a EU-25 za referentno razdoblje, *regija u izlaznoj fazi u razdoblju od 2007. do 2013. te regija razine NUTS 2 koje se sastoje samo od otočnih država članica ili otoka.*

Amandman 9

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5a) Nužno je promicati inovaciju i razvoj malih i srednjih poduzeća u novim područjima povezanim s europskim i regionalnim izazovima kao što su kreativne i kulturne industrije te inovativne usluge koje odražavaju nove društvene potrebe ili proizvode i usluge povezane sa stanovništvom starije dobi, skrbi i zdravljem, ekološkom inovacijom, ekonomijom s niskom razinom emisija CO₂ te učinkovitošću resursa.

Amandman 10

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5b) U skladu s Uredbom (EU) br. [...]/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama], a radi optimizacije dodane vrijednosti iz ulaganja koja su u cijelosti ili djelomično financirana iz proračuna Unije na području istraživanja i inovacije, težit će se sinergijama osobito između upravljanja fondovima ZSO-a i Obzorom 2020., poštujući pritom njihove zasebne ciljeve.

Amandman 11

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.c (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5c) Kako bi se maksimalno povećao njihov doprinos cilju podupiranja zapošljavanja, aktivnosti koje podupiru održivi turizam, kulturu i prirodnu baštinu trebale bi biti dio teritorijalne strategije za posebna područja, uključujući konverziju industrijskih regija u nazadovanje. Potpora takvim aktivnostima trebala bi pridonijeti i jačanju inovacije i uporabe informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija, malih i srednjih poduzeća, ekološke učinkovitosti i učinkovitosti resursa ili promociji socijalne uključenosti.

Amandman 12

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.d (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5d) Radi promicanja održive regionalne ili lokalne mobilnosti ili radi smanjenja onečišćenja zraka i onečišćenja bukom nužno je promicati zdrave, održive i sigurne načine prijevoza. Ulaganja u aerodromsku infrastrukturu koja podupire EFRR trebala bi promicati ekološki održiv zračni prijevoz, između ostaloga prilikom poboljšanja regionalne mobilnosti povezivanjem sekundarnih i tercijarnih čvorova s infrastrukturom Transeuropske prometne mreže, uključujući multimodalne čvorove.

Amandman 13

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.e (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5e) Radi promicanja ostvarenja energetske i klimatske ciljeve koje je Unija odredila kao dio Unijine strategije za pametan, održiv i uključujući rast, EFRR trebao bi poduprijeti ulaganja za promicanje energetske učinkovitosti i sigurnosti opskrbe u državama članicama između ostalog razvojem pametne distribucije energije, sustavima za pohranu i prijenos, a također integracijom distribuirane proizvodnje iz obnovljivih izvora. Države članice trebale bi moći ulagati u energetske infrastrukture koje su u skladu s energetskom kombinacijom koju su odabrale radi ispunjavanja svojih potreba u vezi sa sigurnošću opskrbe te u skladu s njihovim ciljevima u okviru strategije Unije za pametan, održiv i uključiv rast.

Amandman 14

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.f (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5f) Kako bi se osigurala usklađenost s Uredbom (EU) br. [...]/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama], mala i srednja poduzeća (MSP), koja mogu uključivati poduzeća socijalne ekonomije, treba shvaćati kao da obuhvaćaju mikro, mala i srednja poduzeća u smislu Priloga Preporuci Komisije 2003/361/EZ od 6. svibnja 2003. o definiciji mikro, malih i srednjih poduzetnika (SL L 124, 20.5.2003, str.36.).

Amandman 15

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.g (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5g) Radi promicanja socijalne uključenosti i borbe protiv siromaštva, osobito među marginaliziranim zajednicama, nužno je poboljšati pristup društvenim, kulturnim i rekreacijskim uslugama osiguravanjem infrastrukture malog kapaciteta, uzimajući u obzir posebne potrebe osoba s invaliditetom i osoba starije dobi.

Amandman 16

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.h (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5h) Usluge koje se pružaju u zajednici obuhvaćaju sve oblike usluga pomoći u kući, obitelji, domovima i drugih društvenih usluga u zajednici koje podupiru pravo svih osoba na život u toj zajednici s jednakošću izbora te koje nastoje spriječiti izolaciju ili segregaciju od zajednice.

Amandman 17

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.i (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5i) Nužno je navesti za što još se može dobiti potpora iz EFRR-a u okviru cilja „Europska teritorijalna suradnja.”

Amandman 18

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.j (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(5j) Kako bi se povećala fleksibilnost i umanjilo administrativno opterećenje dopuštanjem zajedničke primjene, trebalo bi uskladiti prioritete ulaganja EFRR-a i Kohezijskog fonda u skladu s odgovarajućim tematskim ciljevima.

Amandman 19

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 6.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(6) Zajednički niz pokazatelja za ocjenjivanje napretka provedbe programa trebalo bi odrediti ***prije nego što države članice izrade svoje operativne programe.*** Te pokazatelje trebali bi nadopuniti pokazatelji specifični za određeni program.

(6) Zajednički niz pokazatelja ***ostvarenja*** za ocjenjivanje ***ukupnog*** napretka provedbe programa ***na razini Unije*** trebalo bi odrediti ***u Prilogu ovoj Uredbi. Oni bi trebali odgovarati prioritetu ulaganja i vrsti aktivnosti koje se podupiru u skladu s ovom Uredbom i relevantnim odredbama Uredbe (EU) br. [.....]/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].*** Te pokazatelje trebali bi nadopuniti pokazatelji ***rezultata*** specifični za određeni program ***te po potrebi pokazatelji ostvarenja specifični za određeni program.***

Amandman 20

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 7.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(7) U okviru održivog urbanog razvoja potrebno je podupirati integrirane mjere za suočavanje s ekonomskim, ekološkim, klimatskim i socijalnim izazovima u

(7) U okviru održivog urbanog razvoja potrebno je podupirati integrirane mjere za suočavanje s ekonomskim, ekološkim, klimatskim, ***demografskim*** i socijalnim

urbanim područjima te **odrediti postupak za sastavljanje popisa gradova na koje bi se te mjere odnosile i financijska sredstva koja su za to predviđena.**

izazovima u urbanim područjima, **uključujući funkcionalna urbana područja, te promicati urbano-ruralne veze.**

Amandman 21

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 7.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(7a) Kriteriji za odabir urbanih područja u kojima se trebaju primijeniti integrirane mjere za održivi urbani razvoj te okvirni iznosi za te mjere trebaju se odrediti u ugovoru o partnerstvu uz dodjelu najmanje 5 % sredstava EFRR-a u tu svrhu na nacionalnoj razini. O opsegu eventualnog delegiranja ovlasti na gradska upravna tijela treba odlučiti upravljačko tijelo u savjetovanju s gradskim upravnim tijelom.

Amandman 22

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 8.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(8) Polazeći od iskustva i prednosti uključivanja mjera s područja održivog urbanog razvoja u operativne programe koji dobivaju potporu iz EFRR-a tijekom razdoblja od 2007. do 2013., na razini Unije trebalo bi poduzeti dodatni korak uspostavom platforme za urbani razvoj.

(9) Kako bi se pojačala izgradnja kapaciteta, umrežavanje i razmjena iskustava između programa i tijela odgovornih za provođenje strategija održivog urbanog razvoja i inovativnih aktivnosti na području održivog urbanog razvoja, potrebno je uspostaviti mrežu urbanog razvoja na razini Unije kao nadopunu postojećim programima i tijelima.

Amandman 23

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 9.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(9) Kako bi se odredila ili ispitala nova rješenja za pitanja povezana s održivim urbanim razvojem koja su značajna na razini Unije, EFRR bi trebao podupirati inovativne aktivnosti na području održivog urbanog razvoja.

Amandman

(8) Kako bi se odredila ili ispitala nova rješenja za pitanja povezana s održivim urbanim razvojem koja su značajna na razini Unije, EFRR bi trebao podupirati inovativne aktivnosti na području održivog urbanog razvoja.

Amandman 24

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 11.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(11) Posebnu pozornost treba obratiti na najudaljenije regije proširujući, u iznimnim situacijama, područje djelovanja EFRR-a na financiranje pomoći za tekuće poslovanje povezano s kompenzacijom dodatnih troškova do kojih dolazi zbog specifičnog društvenog i gospodarskog stanja tih regija, koje je pogoršano ograničenjima do kojih dolazi zbog čimbenika iz članka 349. Ugovora, odnosno zbog njihove udaljenosti, izoliranosti, male površine, nepovoljne topografije i klime, gospodarske ovisnosti o malom broju proizvoda te postojanosti i međudjelovanja tih uvjeta koji u velikog mjeri ograničavaju njihov razvoj. ***Kako bi se podupro razvoj postojećih i novih ekonomskih aktivnosti, barem 50 % posebnih dodatno dodijeljenih sredstava trebalo bi dodijeliti za aktivnosti koje doprinose diversifikaciji i modernizaciji ekonomija najudaljenijih regija.***

Amandman

(11) Posebnu pozornost treba obratiti na najudaljenije regije ***usvajanjem mjera u skladu s člankom 349. Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije,*** proširujući, u iznimnim situacijama, područje djelovanja EFRR-a na financiranje pomoći za tekuće poslovanje povezano s kompenzacijom dodatnih troškova do kojih dolazi zbog specifičnog društvenog i gospodarskog stanja tih regija, koje je pogoršano ograničenjima do kojih dolazi zbog čimbenika iz članka 349. ***Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije,*** odnosno zbog njihove udaljenosti, izoliranosti, male površine, nepovoljne topografije i klime, gospodarske ovisnosti o malom broju proizvoda te postojanosti i međudjelovanja tih uvjeta koji u velikog mjeri ograničavaju njihov razvoj. ***Pomoć za tekuće poslovanje koju odobravaju države članice u ovom se kontekstu izuzima od obveze obavješćavanja propisane člankom 108. stavkom 3. UFEU-a ako, u vrijeme kada je odobrena, ispunjava uvjete propisane uredbom kojom su određene kategorije pomoći proglašene kompatibilnima s unutarnjim***

tržištem primjenom članaka 107. i 108. UFEU-a, usvojenih na temelju članka 1. Uredbe (EZ) br. 994/98.

Amandman 25

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 11.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(11a) Slijedeći točku 51. zaključaka Europskog vijeća od 7. – 8. veljače 2013. i uzimajući u obzir posebne ciljeve Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije u vezi s teritorijima iz članka 349. UFEU-a, Odlukom Europskog vijeća br. 2012/419/EU od 11. srpnja 2012. promijenjen je status regije Mayotte koja od 1. siječnja 2014. postaje nova najudaljenija regija. Radi olakšavanja i promicanja usmjerenog i brzog infrastrukturnog razvoja regije Mayotte trebalo bi odobriti odstupanje od općih pravila o tematskim ciljevima EFRR-a kako bi se iznimno omogućilo regiji Mayotte da se najmanje 50 % komponente EFRR-a u njezinim ukupnim sredstvima iz financijske omotnice strukturnih fondova raspodijeli na pet tematskih ciljeva Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Amandman 26

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 12.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

*(12) Kako bi se **odredili postupci za odabir i provedbu inovativnih aktivnosti**, ovlast donošenja akata u skladu s člankom 290. Ugovora trebalo bi povjeriti Komisiji u skladu **sa sadržajem i područjem primjene navedenima u članku 9.** Posebno je važno da Komisija tijekom pripremnih aktivnosti*

*(12) Kako bi se **ovu Uredbu upotpunilo određenim elementima koji nisu ključni**, ovlast donošenja akata u skladu s člankom 290. Ugovora trebalo bi povjeriti Komisiji u skladu **s detaljnim pravilima za kriterije za odabir i upravljanje inovativnim aktivnostima.** Komisiju bi također trebalo*

izvrši odgovarajuće konzultacije, uključujući konzultacije na stručnoj razini. Prilikom pripreme i sastavljanja delegiranih akata Komisija bi trebala osigurati da se relevantni dokumenti istovremeno, pravovremeno i prikladno dostave Europskom parlamentu i Vijeću.

ovlastiti da unosi izmjene u Prilog ovoj Uredbi kako bi, kada je to opravdano, provela prilagodbe radi osiguravanja učinkovite procjene napretka provedbe programa. Posebno je važno da Komisija tijekom pripremnih aktivnosti izvrši odgovarajuće konzultacije, uključujući konzultacije na stručnoj razini. Prilikom pripreme i sastavljanja delegiranih akata Komisija bi trebala osigurati da se relevantni dokumenti istovremeno, pravovremeno i prikladno dostave Europskom parlamentu i Vijeću.

Amandman 27

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 13.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(13) Kako bi se osigurali jedinstveni uvjeti za provedbu ove Uredbe, provedbene ovlasti u vezi s popisom gradova koji sudjeluju u platformi za urbani razvoj trebaju biti dodijeljene Komisiji. Te bi ovlasti trebalo izvršavati u skladu s Uredbom (EU) br. 182/2011 Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od 16. veljače 2011. o utvrđivanju pravila i općih načela koja se odnose na mehanizme kojima države članice kontroliraju izvršavanje provedbenih ovlasti Komisije.

Amandman

Briše se.

Amandman 28

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 14.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(14a) Ipak, ova Uredba ne bi smjela utjecati ni na nastavak ni na izmjenu pomoći koju je Komisija odobrila na temelju Uredbe (EZ) br. 1080/2006 ili bilo kojeg drugog zakonodavstva koje se primjenjuje na tu pomoć na dan

31. prosinca 2013. i koje bi se nakon toga trebalo dosljedno primjenjivati na tu pomoć ili dotične projekte do njihova zaključenja. Zahtjevi za pomoć podneseni u skladu s Uredbom (EZ) br. 1080/2006 trebaju stoga ostati važeći.

Amandman 29

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 14.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

(14b) Budući da države članice ne mogu ostvariti ciljeve gospodarske, socijalne i teritorijalne kohezije u dovoljnoj mjeri zbog opsega razlika između razina razvijenosti različitih regija i zaostalosti regija u najnepovoljnijem položaju, te ograničenosti financijskih sredstava država članica i regija, koji se stoga mogu bolje postići na razini Unije, Unija može poduzeti mjere u skladu s načelom supsidijarnosti kako je određeno člankom 5. Ugovora o Europskoj uniji. U skladu s načelom proporcionalnosti određenim u tom članku, ova Uredba ne izlazi izvan okvira onoga što je potrebno za ostvarenje tih ciljeva.

Amandman 30

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

EFRR doprinosi financiranju potpore čiji je cilj jačanje ekonomske, socijalne i teritorijalne kohezije otklanjajući glavne regionalne neravnoteže podupirući razvoj i strukturnu prilagodbu regionalnih gospodarstava, uključujući konverziju industrijskih regija u nazadovanje i regija koje zaostaju.

EFRR doprinosi financiranju potpore čiji je cilj jačanje ekonomske, socijalne i teritorijalne kohezije otklanjajući glavne regionalne neravnoteže podupirući **održivi** razvoj i strukturnu prilagodbu regionalnih gospodarstava, uključujući konverziju industrijskih regija u nazadovanje i regija koje zaostaju.

Amandman 31

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – zaglavlje i naslov – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Članak 3.

Područje potpore **EFRR-a**

1. EFRR podupire:

(a) proizvodno ulaganje koje doprinosi stvaranju i očuvanju održivih radnih mjesta, izravnom pomoći ulaganjima u mala i srednja poduzeća;

(b) ulaganja u infrastrukturu koja građanima pruža osnovne usluge na području energije, okoliša, prometa te informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija;

(c) ulaganja u socijalnu, zdravstvenu i obrazovnu infrastrukturu;

(d) razvoj endogenog potencijala **podupirući regionalni i lokalni razvoj te istraživanje i inovaciju. Te mjere obuhvaćaju:**

(i) fiksno ulaganje u opremu i infrastrukturu malog kapaciteta;

Amandman

Članak 3.

Područje potpore

1. EFRR podupire ***sljedeće aktivnosti radi doprinosa prioritetima ulaganja navedenima u članku 5.:***

(a) proizvodno ulaganje koje doprinosi stvaranju i očuvanju održivih radnih mjesta, izravnom pomoći ulaganjima u mala i srednja poduzeća;

(aa) proizvodno ulaganje, neovisno o veličini poduzeća, koje pridonosi prioritetima ulaganja navedenima u člancima 5.1. i 5.4. te, ako uključuje suradnju između velikih poduzeća i MSP-a, u članku 5.2.;

(b) ulaganja u infrastrukturu koja građanima pruža osnovne usluge na području energije, okoliša, prometa te informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija;

(c) ulaganja u ***poslovnu***, socijalnu, zdravstvenu, ***istraživačku, inovacijsku*** i obrazovnu infrastrukturu;

(d) ***ulaganje u*** razvoj endogenog potencijala ***putem fiksnog ulaganja u opremu i infrastrukturu malog kapaciteta; uključujući kulturnu infrastrukturu malog kapaciteta i infrastrukturu održivog turizma, pružanje usluga poduzećima, potporu tijelima za istraživanje i inovaciju te ulaganje u tehnologiju i primijenjeno istraživanje u poduzećima;***

(ii) potporu poduzećima i pružanje usluga, posebno malim i srednjim poduzećima;

(iii) potporu javnim tijelima za istraživanje i inovaciju te ulaganje u tehnologiju i primijenjeno istraživanje u poduzećima;

*(iv) umrežavanje, suradnju i razmjenu iskustava između **regija, gradova i relevantnih društvenih, ekonomskih i ekoloških čimbenika;***

*(e) umrežavanje, suradnju i razmjenu iskustava između **nadležnih regionalnih, lokalnih, gradskih i drugih javnih tijela, gospodarskih i socijalnih partnera i tijela koja predstavljaju civilno društvo iz članka 5. Uredbe o zajedničkim odredbama, studije, pripreme aktivnosti te izgradnju kapaciteta.***

(e) tehničku pomoć.

U razvijenijim regijama EFRR ne podupire ulaganja u infrastrukturu kojom se pružaju osnovne usluge građanima na području okoliša, prometa i informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija.

Amandman 32

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 3. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

2. EFRR ne podupire:

(a) stavljanje nuklearnih elektrana izvan pogona;

(b) **smanjenje** emisija stakleničkih plinova **u postrojenjima koja** potpadaju pod **Direktivu** 2003/87/EZ;

(c) proizvodnju, preradu i plasman na tržište duhana i duhanskih proizvoda;

(d) poduzeća s poteškoćama kao što je određeno pravilima Unije o državnoj potpori.

2. EFRR ne podupire:

(a) stavljanje nuklearnih elektrana izvan pogona **i njihovu izgradnju;**

(b) **ulaganje radi smanjenja** emisija stakleničkih plinova **iz aktivnosti koje** potpadaju pod **Prilog I. Direktivi** 2003/87/EZ;

(c) proizvodnju, preradu i plasman na tržište duhana i duhanskih proizvoda;

(d) poduzeća s poteškoćama kao što je određeno pravilima Unije o državnoj potpori;

(da) ulaganje u aerodromsku infrastrukturu osim ako nije povezano sa zaštitom okoliša ili nije popraćeno ulaganjima potrebnima za ublažavanje ili smanjenje njezina negativna utjecaja na okoliš.

Amandman 33

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 2.a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

2a. U okviru cilja „Europska teritorijalna suradnja” EFRR također može podupirati dijeljenje ljudskih potencijala, objekata i svih vrsta infrastruktura preko granica u svim regijama.

Amandman 34

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 4. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

Tematski ciljevi utvrđeni člankom 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] i odgovarajući prioriteti ulaganja utvrđeni člankom 5. ove Uredbe, kojima EFRR može doprinijeti, na sljedeći su način koncentrirani:

(a) u razvijenim ***i tranzicijskim*** regijama:

(i) barem 80 % ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se za ***tematske ciljeve utvrđene*** točkama 1., 3. i 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]; te da

(ii) barem 20 % ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se tematskom cilju utvrđenom točkom 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012

1. Tematski ciljevi utvrđeni člankom 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] i odgovarajući prioriteti ulaganja utvrđeni člankom 5. ove Uredbe, kojima EFRR može doprinijeti ***u okviru cilja „Ulaganje u rast i radna mjesta”*** na sljedeći su način koncentrirani:

(a) u razvijenim regijama:

(i) barem 80 % ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se za ***dva ili više tematskih ciljeva utvrđenih*** točkama 1., ***2.***, 3. i 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]; te da

(ii) barem 20 % ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se tematskom cilju utvrđenom točkom 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012

[Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama];

(b) u manje razvijenim regijama:

(i) barem **50 %** ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se **tematskim ciljevima utvrđenima** točkama 1., 3. i 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama];

(ii) barem **6 %** ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se tematskom cilju utvrđenom točkom 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Odstupajući od točke (a) **podtočke i. u onim regijama** čiji je BDP po glavi stanovnika za razdoblje od 2007. do 2013. bio manji od **75 % od** prosječnog BDP-a EU-25 za referentno razdoblje, ali koje ispunjavaju uvjete **tranzicijske** kategorije **ili** razvijenijih regija kao što je određeno člankom 82. stavkom 2. **točkama (b) i (c) Uredbe (EU) br. []/2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]** u razdoblju od 2014. do 2020., **barem 60 % ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se za svaki tematski cilj utvrđen točkama 1., 3. i 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].**

[Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama];

(aa) u tranzicijskim regijama:

(i) barem 60 % ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se za dva ili više tematskih ciljeva utvrđenih točkama 1., 2., 3. i 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]; te da

(ii) barem 15 % ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se tematskom cilju utvrđenom točkom 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama];

(b) u manje razvijenim regijama:

(i) barem **50 %** ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se **za dva ili više tematskih ciljeva utvrđenih** točkama 1., 2., 3. i 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama];

(ii) barem **12 %** ukupnih sredstava iz EFRR-a na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se tematskom cilju utvrđenom točkom 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Odstupajući od točke (a) **one regije** čiji je BDP po glavi stanovnika za razdoblje od 2007. do 2013. bio manji od **75 %** prosječnog BDP-a EU-25 za referentno razdoblje **i regije u izlaznoj fazi u razdoblju od 2007. do 2013.**, ali koje ispunjavaju uvjete kategorije razvijenijih regija kao što je određeno člankom 82. stavkom 2. **točkom (c) Uredbe (EU) br. []/2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]** u razdoblju od 2014. do 2020., **smatraju se za potrebe ovog članka tranzicijskim regijama.**

Odstupajući od točke (a) i (aa), sve regije na razini NUTS 2 koje se sastoje samo od otočnih država članica ili otoka koji se nalaze u državama članicama koje

primaju potporu iz Kohezijskog fonda ili su najudaljenije regije, smatraju se za potrebe ovog članka manje razvijenim regijama.

Amandman 35

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 4. – stavak 1.a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

1a. Odstupajući od stavka 1. najmanji udio iz EFRR-a koji se dodjeljuje kategoriji regije može biti niži od onoga određenog stavkom 1. pod uvjetom da je to smanjenje nadoknađeno povećanjem u drugim kategorijama regija. Ukupni zbroj iznosa za sve kategorije regija na nacionalnoj razini za:

(a) tematske ciljeve utvrđene točkama 1., 2., 3. i 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] i;

(b) tematske ciljeve utvrđene točkom 4. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama];

prema tomu ne smije biti manji od zbroja na nacionalnoj razini koji je rezultat primjene najmanjih udjela iz EFRR-a utvrđenih stavkom 1.

Amandman 36

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 4. – stavak 1.b (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

1b. Odstupajući od stavka 1. sredstva Kohezijskog fonda dodijeljena za podupiranje prioriteta ulaganja navedenih u članku 3. točki (a) Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2012 [Uredba o KF-u] mogu se ubrojiti radi postizanja minimalnih

udjela iz stavka 1. točke (a) podtočke ii., točke (aa) podtočke ii. i točke (b) podtočke ii. ovog članka. U tom slučaju udio iz stavka 1. točke (b) podtočke ii. ovog članka povećava se na 15 %. Ako je primjenjivo, ta sredstva dodjeljuju se različitim kategorijama regije proporcionalno njihovim relativnim udjelima u cjelokupnom stanovništvu dotične države članice.

Amandman 37

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

EFRR podupire sljedeće prioritete ulaganja u okviru tematskih ciljeva utvrđenih člankom 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]:

Amandman

EFRR podupire sljedeće prioritete ulaganja u okviru tematskih ciljeva utvrđenih člankom 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] **u skladu s potrebama i potencijalima opisanima u sporazumu o partnerstvu iz članka 14. stavka 1. točke (a) podtočke i. te Uredbe:**

Amandman 38

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – točka 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(1) jačanje istraživanja, tehnološkog razvoja i inovacije:

(a) unapređenjem infrastrukture i kapaciteta za istraživanja i inovacije kako bi se razvila izvrsnost u istraživanju i inovacijama te promicali centri za usavršavanje vještina, posebno oni od europskog interesa;

(b) promicanjem poslovnog ulaganja u istraživanje i **inovacije**, razvoja proizvoda i usluga, prijenosa tehnologije, društvene inovacije i aplikacija javne službe,

Amandman

(1) jačanje istraživanja, tehnološkog razvoja i inovacije:

(a) unapređenjem infrastrukture i kapaciteta za istraživanja i inovacije kako bi se razvila izvrsnost u istraživanju i inovacijama te promicali centri za usavršavanje vještina, posebno oni od europskog interesa;

(b) promicanjem poslovnog ulaganja u **inovacije i istraživanje te razvijanjem poveznica i sinergija među poduzećima, centrima za istraživanje i razvoj i visokog**

poticanja potražnje, umrežavanja, klastera i otvorenih inovacija mudrom specijalizacijom;

obrazovanja, osobito razvoja proizvoda i usluga, prijenosa tehnologije, društvene inovacije, ***ekološke inovacije***, aplikacija javne službe, poticanja potražnje, umrežavanja, klastera i otvorenih inovacija mudrom specijalizacijom ***te podupiranjem tehnološkog i primijenjenog istraživanja, probnih linija, radnji za rano odobravanje proizvoda, naprednih mogućnosti proizvodnje i prvih serija, osobito u glavnim tehnologijama koje pružaju mogućnosti te širenja tehnologija u opće svrhe;***

(c) podupiranjem tehnološkog i primijenjenog istraživanja, probnih linija, radnji za rano odobravanje proizvoda, naprednih mogućnosti proizvodnje i prvih serija u glavnim tehnologijama koje pružaju mogućnosti te širenja tehnologija u opće svrhe;

Amandman 39

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5. – točka 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(2) povećanje pristupa informacijskim i komunikacijskim tehnologijama te njihove uporabe i kakvoće:

(a) proširivanjem dostupnosti širokopojasne veze i uvođenja mreža visokih brzina;

(b) razvijanjem proizvoda i usluga informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija, internetske trgovine i povećane potražnje za informacijskim i komunikacijskim tehnologijama;

(c) jačanjem aplikacija informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija za e-vladu, e-učenje, e-uključenost i e-zdravlje;

Amandman

(2) povećanje pristupa informacijskim i komunikacijskim tehnologijama te njihove uporabe i kakvoće:

(a) proširivanjem dostupnosti širokopojasne veze i uvođenja mreža visokih brzina ***te podupiranjem usvajanja novih tehnologija i mreža za digitalno gospodarstvo;***

(b) razvijanjem proizvoda i usluga informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija, internetske trgovine i povećane potražnje za informacijskim i komunikacijskim tehnologijama;

(c) jačanjem aplikacija informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija za e-vladu, e-učenje, e-uključenost, ***e-kulturu*** i e-zdravlje;

Amandman 40

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5. – točka 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

- (3) povećanje konkurentnosti malih i srednjih poduzetnika;
- (a) promicanjem poduzetništva, posebno olakšavajući ekonomsko iskorištavanje novih ideja i poticanje stvaranja novih tvrtki;
- (b) razvijanjem novih poslovnih modela za male i srednje poduzetnike, posebno za internacionalizaciju;

Amandman

- (3) povećanje konkurentnosti malih i srednjih poduzetnika;
- (a) promicanjem poduzetništva, posebno olakšavajući ekonomsko iskorištavanje novih ideja i poticanje stvaranja novih tvrtki, ***uključujući putem poslovnih inkubatora***;
- (b) razvijanjem ***i provedbom*** novih poslovnih modela za male i srednje poduzetnike, posebno za internacionalizaciju;
- (ba) podupiranjem stvaranja i proširenja naprednih kapaciteta za razvoj proizvoda i usluga;***
- (bb) podupiranjem kapaciteta malih i srednjih poduzeća za rast na regionalnim, nacionalnim i međunarodnim tržištima te sudjelovanje u inovacijskim procesima;***

Amandman 41

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5. – točka 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

- (4) podržavanje prelaska na ekonomiju s niskom razinom emisije CO₂ u svim sektorima;
- (a) promicanjem proizvodnje i distribucije obnovljivih izvora ***energije***;
- (b) podupiranjem energetske učinkovitosti i korištenja obnovljive energije u ***malim i srednjim*** poduzećima;
- (c) podupiranjem energetske učinkovitosti i korištenja obnovljive energije u javnoj infrastrukturi i stambenom sektoru;

Amandman

- (4) podržavanje prelaska na ekonomiju s niskom razinom emisije CO₂ u svim sektorima;
- (a) promicanjem proizvodnje i distribucije ***energije dobivene iz*** obnovljivih izvora;
- (b) podupiranjem energetske učinkovitosti i korištenja obnovljive energije u poduzećima;
- (c) podupiranjem energetske učinkovitosti, ***pametnog upravljanja energijom*** i korištenja obnovljive energije u javnoj infrastrukturi, ***uključujući u javnim***

(d) razvijanjem pametnih sustava distribucije pri niskim razinama napona;

(e) promicanjem strategija za niske razine emisija CO₂ u urbanim područjima;

zgradama, i stambenom sektoru;

(d) razvijanjem *i provedbom* pametnih sustava distribucije pri niskim *i srednjim* razinama napona;

(e) promicanjem strategija za niske razine emisija CO₂ *na svim vrstama teritorija, osobito* u urbanim područjima, *uključujući promicanje održive multimodalne urbane mobilnosti i adaptacijske mjere za ublažavanje promjena*;

(ea) promicanjem istraživanja tehnologija s niskom razinom emisija CO₂, inovacija u njima i njihova usvajanja;

(eb) promicanjem visokoučinkovite kogeneracije toplinske i električne energije na temelju potražnje korisne topline;

Amandman 42

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5. – točka 5.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(5) promicanje prilagodbe na klimatske promjene, sprečavanje rizika i upravljanje njime;

(a) podupiranjem *namjenskog* ulaganja za prilagodbu na klimatske promjene;

(b) promicanjem ulaganja radi suočavanja sa specifičnim rizicima, osiguravanjem spremnosti na katastrofe i razvijanjem sustava za upravljanje katastrofama;

Amandman

(5) promicanje prilagodbe na klimatske promjene, sprečavanje rizika i upravljanje njime;

(a) podupiranjem ulaganja za prilagodbu na klimatske promjene, *uključujući pristupe temeljene na ekološkom sustavu*;

(b) promicanjem ulaganja radi suočavanja sa specifičnim rizicima, osiguravanjem spremnosti na katastrofe i razvijanjem sustava za upravljanje katastrofama;

Amandman 43

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5. – točka 6.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(6) zaštitu okoliša i promicanje

Amandman

(6) *očuvanje i* zaštitu okoliša i promicanje

učinkovitosti resursa;

(a) *suočavanjem sa značajnim potrebama za ulaganje* u sektor otpada kako bi se ispunili zahtjevi pravne stečevine u vezi s okolišem;

(b) *suočavanjem sa značajnim potrebama za ulaganje* u vodni sektor kako bi se ispunili zahtjevi pravne stečevine u vezi s okolišem;

(c) zaštitom, promicanjem i razvijanjem kulturne baštine;

(d) zaštitom biološke raznolikosti i tla te promicanjem usluga ekološkog sustava, uključujući mrežu NATURA 2000 i zelene infrastrukture;

(e) poduzimanjem aktivnosti za poboljšanje urbane okoline, *uključujući* obnovu postojećih kapaciteta i smanjenje onečišćenja zraka;

učinkovitosti resursa:

(a) *ulaganjem* u sektor otpada kako bi se ispunili zahtjevi pravne stečevine *Unije* u vezi s okolišem *i zadovoljile potrebe koje su utvrdile države članice za ulaganjem koje nadilazi te zahtjeve*;

(b) *ulaganjem* u vodni sektor kako bi se ispunili zahtjevi pravne stečevine *Unije* u vezi s okolišem *i počele rješavati potrebe koje su utvrdile države članice za ulaganjem koje nadilazi te zahtjeve*;

(c) *očuvanjem*, zaštitom, promicanjem i razvijanjem *prirodne i* kulturne baštine;

(d) zaštitom *i obnavljanjem* biološke raznolikosti i tla te *ponovnim uspostavljanjem i* promicanjem usluga ekološkog sustava, uključujući mrežu NATURA 2000 i zelene infrastrukture;

(e) poduzimanjem aktivnosti za poboljšanje urbane okoline, *revitalizaciju gradova*, obnovu *i dekontaminaciju* postojećih kapaciteta (*uključujući područja u konverziji*), smanjenje onečišćenja zraka *te promicanjem mjera za smanjenje buke*;

(ea) *promicanjem inovativnih tehnologija za unapređenje zaštite okoliša i učinkovitosti resursa u sektoru otpada, vodnom sektoru, zaštiti tla ili za smanjenje onečišćenja zraka*;

(eb) *podupiranjem industrijske tranzicije u smjeru gospodarstva koje učinkovito iskorištava resurse, promicanja zelenog rasta, ekološke inovacije i upravljanja ekološkim učincima u javnom i privatnom sektoru*;

Amandman 44

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5. – točka 7.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(7) promicanje održivog prometa i

Amandman

(7) promicanje održivog prometa i

otklanjanje uskih grla u infrastruktura
glavnih mreža:

(a) podupiranjem multimodalnog
jedinственог европског прометног
простора ulažući u Transeuropsku prometnu
mrežu (TEN-T);

(b) poboljšavanjem regionalne mobilnosti
povezujući sekundarne i tercijarne čvorove
s infrastrukturom Transeuropske prometne
mreže;

(c) razvijanjem prometnih sustava
prihvatljivih za okoliš i s niskim emisijama
CO₂ *te promicanjem održive urbane*
mobilnosti;

(d) razvijanjem sveobuhvatnog,
visokokvalitetnog i interoperativnog
željezničkog sustava;

otklanjanje uskih grla u infrastruktura
glavnih mreža:

(a) podupiranjem multimodalnog
jedinственог европског прометног
простора ulažući u Transeuropsku prometnu
mrežu (TEN-T);

(b) poboljšavanjem regionalne mobilnosti
povezujući sekundarne i tercijarne čvorove
s infrastrukturom Transeuropske prometne
mreže, *uključujući multimodalne čvorove*;

(c) razvijanjem *i unapređenjem* prometnih
sustava prihvatljivih za okoliš (*uključujući*
nisku razinu buke) i s niskim emisijama
CO₂, *uključujući unutarnje plovne putove*
i pomorski prijevoz, luke, multimodalne
veze i aerodromsku infrastrukturu, radi
promicanja održive regionalne i lokalne
mobilnosti;

(d) razvijanjem *i obnovom* sveobuhvatnog,
visokokvalitetnog i interoperativnog
željezničkog sustava *te promicanjem*
mjera za smanjenje buke;

(da) unapređenjem energetske
učinkovitosti i sigurnosti opskrbe
razvijanjem pametne distribucije energije,
sustava za pohranu i prijenos te
integracijom distribuirane proizvodnje iz
obnovljivih izvora;

Amandman 45

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5. – točka 8.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(8) promicanje zapošljavanja i podršku
mobilnosti radne snage:

(a) *razvojem* poslovnih inkubatora i
investicijskom potporom za
samozapošljavanje i utemeljenje poduzeća;

Amandman

(8) promicanje *održivog i kvalitetnog*
zapošljavanja i podršku mobilnosti radne
snage:

(a) *podupiranjem razvoja* poslovnih
inkubatora i investicijskom potporom za
samozapošljavanje i utemeljenje *mikro*
poduzeća *i poduzeća*;

(aa) podupiranjem rasta i zapošljavanja
kroz razvoj endogenog potencijala kao

(b) **lokalnim razvojnim inicijativama** i pružanjem pomoći strukturama za usluge na lokalnoj razini s ciljem stvaranja novih radnih mjesta kad takve aktivnosti nisu obuhvaćene Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2012 [ESF];

(c) ulaganjem u infrastrukturu **javnih** službi za zapošljavanje;

Amandman 46

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5. – točka 9.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(9) promicanje socijalne uključenosti i borbe protiv siromaštva:

(a) ulaganjem u zdravstvenu i socijalnu infrastrukturu **koje doprinose** nacionalnom, regionalnom i lokalnom razvoju smanjujući nejednakosti u pogledu zdravstvenog statusa te u prijelaz s usluga javnih ustanova na one koje se pružaju u zajednici;

(b) podupiranjem fizičke i **ekonomske** obnove zapuštenih **urbanih i ruralnih zajednica**;

(c) podupiranjem socijalnih poduzeća;

dijela teritorijalne strategije za posebna područja, uključujući konverziju industrijskih regija u nazadovanje i poboljšanje dostupnosti i razvoja posebnih prirodnih i kulturnih resursa;

(b) **podupiranjem lokalnih razvojnih inicijativa** i pružanjem pomoći strukturama za usluge na lokalnoj razini s ciljem stvaranja novih radnih mjesta kad takve aktivnosti nisu obuhvaćene Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2012 [ESF];

(c) ulaganjem u infrastrukturu službi za zapošljavanje;

Amandman

(9) promicanje socijalne uključenosti, borbe protiv siromaštva **i svake diskriminacije**:

(a) ulaganjem u zdravstvenu i socijalnu infrastrukturu **koja doprinosi** nacionalnom, regionalnom i lokalnom razvoju smanjujući nejednakosti u pogledu zdravstvenog statusa, **promicanje socijalne uključenosti putem poboljšanog pristupa društvenim, kulturnim i rekreacijskim uslugama** te u prijelaz s usluga javnih ustanova na one koje se pružaju u zajednici;;

(b) podupiranjem fizičke, **ekonomske i društvene** obnove zapuštenih **zajednica u urbanim i ruralnim područjima**;

(c) podupiranjem socijalnih poduzeća;

(ca) ulaganjima poduzetima u kontekstu strategija lokalnog razvoja kojim upravlja lokalna zajednica;

Amandman 47

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – točka 10.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(10) ulaganje u obrazovanje, vještine i cjeloživotno učenje razvijajući infrastrukturu za obrazovanje i usavršavanje;

Amandman

(10) ulaganje u obrazovanje, **usavršavanje i strukovno osposobljavanje za** vještine **te** cjeloživotno učenje razvijajući infrastrukturu za obrazovanje i usavršavanje;

Amandman 48

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 5. – točka 11.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(11) poboljšavanje institucijskog kapaciteta i učinkovite javne uprave jačanjem institucijskog kapaciteta i učinkovitosti javnih uprava i službi povezanih s primjenom EFRR-a i kao potpora aktivnostima u vezi s institucijskim kapacitetom i učinkovitosti javne uprave koje se podupiru iz ESF-a.

Amandman

(11) poboljšavanje institucijskog kapaciteta **javnih tijela i dionika te** učinkovite javne uprave jačanjem institucijskog kapaciteta i učinkovitosti javnih uprava i službi povezanih s primjenom EFRR-a i kao potpora aktivnostima u vezi s institucijskim kapacitetom i učinkovitosti javne uprave koje se podupiru iz ESF-a.

Amandman 49

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 6.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Zajednički pokazatelji, kao što su navedeni u Prilogu ovoj Uredbi, koriste se **po potrebi i** u skladu s člankom 24. stavkom 3. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]. **Za zajedničke pokazatelje osnovne vrijednosti postavljaju se na nulu, a kumulativne ciljne vrijednosti utvrđuju se za 2022.**

Za pokazatelje ostvarenja pojedinih programa osnovne vrijednosti postavljaju

Amandman

Zajednički pokazatelji **ostvarenja**, kao što su navedeni u Prilogu ovoj Uredbi, **pokazatelji rezultata pojedinih programa i, po potrebi, pokazatelji ostvarenja pojedinih programa** koriste se u skladu s člankom 24. stavkom 3. **i člankom 87. stavkom 2. točkom (b) podtočkama ii. i iv.** Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Za **zajedničke** pokazatelje **i pokazatelje** ostvarenja pojedinih programa osnovne

se na nulu, **a** kumulativne ciljne vrijednosti utvrđuju se za 2022.

Za pokazatelje rezultata pojedinih programa za osnovne vrijednosti koriste se zadnji dostupni podaci, a ciljne se vrijednosti utvrđuju za 2022., **ali** mogu se izraziti u smislu količine ili kvalitete.

vrijednosti postavljaju se na nulu. Kumulativne **kvantificirane** ciljne vrijednosti **za te pokazatelje** utvrđuju se za 2022.

Za pokazatelje rezultata pojedinih programa **koji se odnose na prioritete ulaganja** za osnovne vrijednosti koriste se zadnji dostupni podaci, a ciljne se vrijednosti utvrđuju za 2022. **Ciljne se vrijednosti** mogu izraziti u smislu količine ili kvalitete.

Komisija je ovlaštena donositi delegirane akte u skladu s člankom 13. radi izmjene popisa zajedničkih pokazatelja ostvarenja u Prilogu ovoj Uredbi kako bi provela prilagodbe, gdje je to opravdano, i tako osigurala učinkovitu procjenu napretka provedbe programa.

Amandman 50

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 7. – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. EFRR podržava, u okviru operativnih programa, održivi urbani razvoj strategijama koje predviđaju integrirane mjere za suočavanje s ekonomskim, ekološkim, klimatskim i socijalnim izazovima koji pogađaju urbana područja.

Amandman

1. EFRR podržava, u okviru operativnih programa, održivi urbani razvoj strategijama koje predviđaju integrirane mjere za suočavanje s ekonomskim, ekološkim, klimatskim, **demografskim** i socijalnim izazovima koji pogađaju urbana područja, **uzimajući u obzir potrebu promicanja urbano-ruralnih veza.**

1a. Održivi urbani razvoj poduzima se putem integriranih teritorijalnih ulaganja iz bivšeg članka 99. Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] ili putem posebnog operativnog programa, ili posebne prioritetne osi u skladu s člankom 87. stavkom 1. točkom (c) Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Amandman 51

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 7. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Svaka država članica sastavlja u svojem **ugovoru** o partnerstvu **popis gradova** u kojima se trebaju primijeniti integrirane mjere za održivi urbani razvoj te određuje okvirne godišnje iznose za te mjere na nacionalnoj razini.

Barem 5 % sredstava iz EFRR-a dodijeljenih na nacionalnoj razini dodjeljuje se za integrirane aktivnosti za održivi urbani razvoj **gradovima kako bi njima upravljali integriranim teritorijalnim ulaganjima koja se navode u članku 99. Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2012** [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Amandman

2. **Uzimajući u obzir svoju specifičnu teritorijalnu situaciju**, svaka država članica sastavlja u svojem **sporazumu** o partnerstvu **načela za odabir urbanih područja** u kojima se trebaju primijeniti integrirane mjere za održivi urbani razvoj te određuje okvirne godišnje iznose za te mjere na nacionalnoj razini.

2a. Barem 5 % sredstava iz EFRR-a dodijeljenih na nacionalnoj razini **u okviru cilja „Ulaganje u rast i radna mjesta”** dodjeljuje se za integrirane aktivnosti za održivi urbani razvoj **u kojima su gradovi, subregionalna ili lokalna tijela nadležna za provedbu održivih urbanih strategija (dalje u tekstu „gradska upravna tijela”)** odgovorni za zadaće koje se u najmanju ruku odnose na odabir operacija u skladu s člankom 113. stavkom 6. Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama], **ili, ako je primjenjivo, u skladu s člankom 113. stavkom 7. te Uredbe. Okvirni iznos namijenjen potrebama iz stavka 1.a određuje se u dotičnom operativnom programu ili operativnim programima.**

2b. **Upravljačko tijelo odlučuje, uz savjetovanje s gradskim upravnim tijelom, o opsegu zadaća koje se odnose na upravljanje integriranim mjerama za održiv urbani razvoj koje će gradska upravna tijela poduzeti. Odluka upravljačkog tijela službeno se evidentira u pisanom obliku. Upravljačko tijelo može zadržati pravo provođenja završne provjere prihvatljivosti operacija prije odobrenja.**

Amandman 52

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 8. – zaglavlje i naslov – stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Članak 8.

Platforma za urbani razvoj

1. Komisija uspostavlja, u skladu s člankom 51. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama], **platformu** za urbani razvoj kako bi promicala izgradnju kapaciteta i umrežavanje **gradova** te razmjenu iskustava **o urbanoj politici** na razini Unije **na područjima povezanim s prioritetima ulaganja EFRR-a i s održivim urbanim razvojem**.

Amandman

Članak 9.

Mreža za urbani razvoj

1. Komisija uspostavlja, u skladu s člankom 51. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama], **mrežu** za urbani razvoj kako bi promicala izgradnju kapaciteta, umrežavanje te razmjenu iskustava na razini Unije **između gradskih upravnih tijela nadležnih za provedbu strategija održivog urbanog razvoja u skladu s člankom 7. stavcima 2.a i 2.b ove Uredbe i inovativnim aktivnostima u području održivog urbanog razvoja u skladu s člankom 8. (bivšim člankom 9.) ove Uredbe**.

Amandman 53

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 8. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. **Komisija provedbenim aktima usvaja popis gradova koji će sudjelovati u platformi na temelju popisa koji su sastavljeni u ugovorima o partnerstvu. Ti se provedbeni akti usvajaju u skladu sa savjetodavnim postupkom iz članka 14. stavka 2.**

Na popisu može biti najviše 300 gradova te najviše 20 po državi članici. Gradovi se biraju na temelju sljedećih kriterija:

(a) broja stanovnika, uzimajući u obzir specifičnosti nacionalnih urbanih sustava;

(b) postojanja strategije za integrirane mjere za suočavanje s ekonomskim, ekološkim, klimatskim i društvenim

Amandman

2. **Aktivnosti mreže za urbani razvoj nadopunjuju one koje se poduzimaju u okviru međuregionalne suradnje u skladu s člankom 2. stavkom 3. točkom (b) Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [ETC].**

izazovima koji pogađaju urbana područja.

Amandman 54

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 8. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Platformom se također potiče umrežavanje svih gradova koji poduzimaju inovativne aktivnosti na inicijativu Komisije.

Amandman

Briše se.

Amandman 55

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 9.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Članak 9.

Inovativne aktivnosti na području održivog urbanog razvoja

1. Na inicijativu Komisije EFRR može podupirati inovativne aktivnosti na području održivog urbanog razvoja, **podložno plafonu od 0,2 % cjelogodišnjih sredstava dodijeljenih EFRR-u.** One uključuju studije i ogledne projekte za identificiranje ili testiranje novih rješenja za pitanja povezana s održivim urbanim razvojem koja su od važnosti na razini Unije.

2. Odstupajući od **gore navedenog** članka 4., inovativnim se aktivnostima mogu podupirati sve aktivnosti koje su potrebne za postizanje tematskih ciljeva iz članka 9.

Amandman

Članak 8.

Inovativne aktivnosti na području održivog urbanog razvoja

1. Na inicijativu Komisije EFRR može podupirati inovativne aktivnosti na području održivog urbanog razvoja **u skladu s člankom 84. stavkom 7. Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].** One uključuju studije i ogledne projekte za identificiranje ili testiranje novih rješenja za pitanja povezana s održivim urbanim razvojem koja su od važnosti na razini Unije. **Komisija potiče uključivanje relevantnih partnera iz kategorija navedenih u članku 5. stavku 1. Uredbe (EU) br. [...]/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] u pripremu i provedbu inovacijskih aktivnosti.**

2. Odstupajući od članka 4. **ove Uredbe**, inovativnim se aktivnostima mogu podupirati sve aktivnosti koje su potrebne za postizanje tematskih ciljeva iz članka 9.

Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] i odgovarajućih investicijskih prioriteta.

3. Komisija *donosi* delegirane akte u skladu s člankom 13. o *postupcima* za odabir i *provedbu inovativnih aktivnosti*.

Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] i odgovarajućih investicijskih prioriteta.

3. Komisija *je ovlaštena donositi* delegirane akte u skladu s člankom 13. *utvrđujući detaljna pravila o načelima* za odabir i *upravljanje inovativnim aktivnostima koje će se podupirati*.

Amandman 56

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 11.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Posebna dodatno dodijeljena sredstva za najudaljenije regije upotrebljavaju se kao kompenzacija za dodatne troškove povezane s ograničenjima koja se navode u članku 349. Ugovora koja imaju najudaljenije regije zbog podupiranja:

(a) tematskih ciljeva utvrđenih člankom 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama];

(b) usluga teretnog prometa i početne pomoći za usluge prijevoza;

(c) postupaka povezanih s ograničenjima skladištenja, prevelikim proizvodnim alatima i njihovim održavanjem te s manjkom ljudskog kapitala na lokalnom tržištu.

Barem 50 % posebnih dodatno dodijeljenih sredstava dodjeljuje se za aktivnosti koje doprinose diversifikaciji i modernizaciji gospodarstava najudaljenijih regija, posebno se usredotočivši na tematske ciljeve navedene pod točkama 1., 2. i 3. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

2. Posebna dodatno dodijeljena sredstva

Amandman

1. Posebna dodatno dodijeljena sredstva za najudaljenije regije ***ne podliježu članku 4. i*** upotrebljavaju se kao kompenzacija za dodatne troškove povezane s ***karakteristikama i*** ograničenjima koja se navode u članku 349. Ugovora ***o funkcioniranju Europske unije***, koja imaju najudaljenije regije zbog podupiranja:

(a) tematskih ciljeva utvrđenih člankom 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama];

(b) usluga teretnog prometa i početne pomoći za usluge prijevoza;

(c) postupaka povezanih s ograničenjima skladištenja, prevelikim proizvodnim alatima i njihovim održavanjem te s manjkom ljudskog kapitala na lokalnom tržištu.

2. Posebna dodatno dodijeljena sredstva

moгу se koristiti i za pomoć pri financiranju pomoći za tekuće poslovanje i troškova koji pokrivaju obveze javnih službi i ugovora u najudaljenijim regijama.

3. Samo u slučaju pomoći za tekuće poslovanje i troškove koji pokrivaju obveze javnih službi i ugovora je iznos na koji se primjenjuje stopa sufinanciranja proporcionalan s dodatnim troškovima iz stavka 1. koje je imao korisnik; u slučaju troškova ulaganja može pokrivati ukupne priznate troškove.

4. Financiranje iz ovog članka ne smije se koristiti za potporu:

(a) operacija koje uključuju proizvode uvrštene u Prilog I. Ugovoru;

(b) pomoći za prijevoz putnika odobrene u skladu s člankom 107. stavkom 2. **točkom** a) Ugovora;

(c) oslobođenja od poreza i izuzeća od socijalnih davanja.

moгу se koristiti i za pomoć pri financiranju pomoći za tekuće poslovanje i troškova koji pokrivaju obveze javnih službi i ugovora u najudaljenijim regijama.

Samo u slučaju pomoći za tekuće poslovanje i troškove koji pokrivaju obveze javnih službi i ugovora je iznos na koji se primjenjuje stopa sufinanciranja proporcionalan s dodatnim troškovima iz stavka 1. koje je imao korisnik; u slučaju troškova ulaganja može pokrivati ukupne priznate troškove.

4. Financiranje iz ovog članka ne smije se koristiti za potporu:

(a) operacija koje uključuju proizvode uvrštene u Prilog I. Ugovoru **o funkcioniranju Europske unije**;

(b) pomoći za prijevoz putnika odobrene u skladu s člankom 107. stavkom 2. **točkom** (a) Ugovora **o funkcioniranju Europske unije**;

(c) oslobođenja od poreza i izuzeća od socijalnih davanja.

4a. Odstupajući od članka 3. stavka 1. točaka (a), (aa) i (b), EFRR može podupirati proizvodna ulaganja u poduzeća u najudaljenijim regijama, neovisno o njihovoj veličini.

4b. Udio EFRR-a u posebnoj dodjeli sredstava najudaljenijoj regiji Mayotte ne podliježe članku 4. ove Uredbe, a barem 50 % dodjeljuje se za tematske ciljeve utvrđene točkama 1., 2., 3., 4. i 6. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Amandman 57

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 11.a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Amandman

Članak 11.a

Rijetko naseljene sjeverne regije

***Posebna dodatno dodijeljena sredstva
rijetko naseljenim sjevernim regijama
nisu podložna članku 4., a dodjeljuju se
tematskim ciljevima iz točaka 1., 2., 3., 4.,
i 7. članka 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013
[Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].***

Amandman 58

**Prijedlog Uredbe
Članak 12.**

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Ova Uredba ne utječe na nastavak niti na izmjenu pomoći, uključujući potpuno ili djelomično ukidanje, koju je Komisija odobrila na temelju **Uredbe** (EZ) br. 1080/2006 ili bilo kojeg drugog zakonodavstva, koji se primjenjuju na tu pomoć na dan 31. prosinca 2013. i koji se dosljedno primjenjuju nakon toga na tu pomoć ili dotične **projekte** do njihova zaključenja.

2. Zahtjevi za pomoć podneseni u skladu s Uredbom (EZ) br. 1080/2006 ostaju važeći.

Amandman

1. Ova Uredba ne utječe na nastavak niti na izmjenu pomoći, uključujući potpuno ili djelomično ukidanje, koju je Komisija odobrila na temelju **Uredbe** (EZ) br. 1080/2006 ili bilo kojeg drugog zakonodavstva, koji se primjenjuju na tu pomoć na dan 31. prosinca 2013. i koji se dosljedno primjenjuju nakon toga na tu pomoć ili dotične **operacije** do njihova zaključenja.

2. Zahtjevi za pomoć podneseni **ili odobreni** u skladu s Uredbom (EZ) br. 1080/2006 ostaju važeći.

Amandman 59

**Prijedlog Uredbe
Članak 13. – stavak 2.**

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Ovlašt za donošenje delegiranih akata iz **članka 9. stavka 3.** prenosi se na Komisiju na neodređeno razdoblje od 1. **siječnja 2014.**

Amandman

2. Ovlašt za donošenje delegiranih akata iz prenosi se na Komisiju na neodređeno **članka 6. i članka 8. stavka 3.** razdoblje od **1. siječnja 2014.**

Amandman 60

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

3. Europski parlament ili Vijeće mogu u bilo kojem trenutku opozvati delegiranje ovlasti iz članka 9. stavka 3. Odlukom o opozivu povlače se dodijeljene ovlasti navedene u toj odluci. Ona počinje proizvoditi učinke jedan dan nakon dana objave u Službenom listu Europske unije ili na kasniji datum koji je u njoj naveden. Ona ne utječe na pravovaljanost bilo kojih delegiranih akata koji su već stupili na snagu.

Amandman

3. Europski parlament ili Vijeće mogu u bilo kojem trenutku opozvati delegiranje ovlasti iz članka 6. **i članka 8.** stavka 3. Odlukom o opozivu povlače se dodijeljene ovlasti navedene u toj odluci. Ona počinje proizvoditi učinke jedan dan nakon dana objave u Službenom listu Europske unije ili na kasniji datum koji je u njoj naveden. Ona ne utječe na pravovaljanost bilo kojih delegiranih akata koji su već stupili na snagu.

Amandman 61

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 13. – stavak 5.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

5. Delegirani akt donesen u skladu s člankom 9. stavkom 3. stupa na snagu samo ako se tomu ne usprotive ni Europski parlament ni Vijeće u roku od dva mjeseca **po obavještanju** Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća o tom aktu ili ako su prije isteka tog roka i Europski parlament i Vijeće izvijestili Komisiju da se ne protive. To se razdoblje produžuje za dva mjeseca na inicijativu Europskog parlamenta ili Vijeća.

Amandman

5. Delegirani akt donesen u skladu s člankom 6. **i člankom 8.** stavkom 3. stupa na snagu samo ako se tomu ne usprotive ni Europski parlament ni Vijeće u roku od dva mjeseca **nakon obavještanja** Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća o tom aktu ili ako su prije isteka tog roka i Europski parlament i Vijeće izvijestili Komisiju da se ne protive. To se razdoblje produžuje za dva mjeseca na inicijativu Europskog parlamenta ili Vijeća.

Amandman 62

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 14.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Članak 14.

Amandman

Briše se.

Postupak u odboru

1. Komisiji pomaže Odbor za koordinaciju fondova. Navedeni odbor je odbor u smislu Uredbe (EU) br. 182/2011.

2. Kod upućivanja na ovaj stavak, primjenjuje se članak 4. Uredbe (EU) br. 182/2011.

Amandman 63

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 15.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Uredba (EZ) br. 1080/2006 ovime se stavlja izvan snage.

Upućivanja na Uredbu stavljenju izvan snage *smatraju se upućivanjima* na ovu Uredbu.

Amandman

Ne dovodeći u pitanje odredbe utvrđene u članku 12., Uredba (EZ) br. 1080/2006 ovime se stavlja izvan snage s učinkom od 1. siječnja 2014.

Upućivanja na Uredbu stavljenju izvan snage ***tumače se kao upućivanja*** na ovu Uredbu ***i čitaju se u skladu s korelacijskom tablicom navedenom u Prilogu X.***

Amandman 64

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 16.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Europski parlament i Vijeće preispitat će ovu Uredbu do 31. prosinca **2022.** u skladu s člankom 177. Ugovora.

Amandman

Europski parlament i Vijeće preispitat će ovu Uredbu do 31. prosinca **2020.** u skladu s člankom 177. Ugovora ***o funkcioniranju Europske unije.***

Amandman 65

Prijedlog Uredbe Prilog – Proizvodno ulaganje

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Proizvodno ulaganje	poduzeća	broj poduzeća koja primaju nepovratna sredstva
	poduzeća	broj poduzeća koja primaju financijsku potporu koja nisu nepovratna sredstva
	poduzeća	broj poduzeća koja primaju nefinancijsku potporu
	poduzeća	broj novih poduzeća koja primaju potporu
	EUR	privatna ulaganja koja odgovaraju javnoj potpori <i>malim i srednjim poduzećima</i> (nepovratna sredstva)
	EUR	privatna ulaganja koja odgovaraju javnoj potpori <i>malim i srednjim poduzećima</i> (sredstva koja nisu nepovratna)
	ekvivalent punom radnom vremenu	<i>broj radnih mjesta stvorenih u malim i srednjim poduzećima koja primaju pomoć</i>
Turizam	posjeti	<i>broj posjeta znamenitostima koje primaju potporu</i>

Amandman

	JEDINICA	IME
Proizvodno ulaganje	<i>poduzeća</i>	<i>broj poduzeća koja primaju potporu</i>
	poduzeća	broj poduzeća koja primaju nepovratna sredstva

	poduzeća	broj poduzeća koja primaju financijsku potporu koja nisu nepovratna sredstva
	poduzeća	broj poduzeća koja primaju nefinancijsku potporu
	poduzeća	broj novih poduzeća koja primaju potporu
	EUR	privatna ulaganja koja odgovaraju javnoj potpori poduzećima (nepovratna sredstva)
	EUR	privatna ulaganja koja odgovaraju javnoj potpori poduzećima (sredstva koja nisu nepovratna)
	ekvivalent punom radnom vremenu	porast zapošljavanja u poduzećima koja primaju potporu
Održivi turizam	posjeti/ godina	porast očekivanog broja posjeta mjestima kulturne i prirodne baštine i znamenitostima koje primaju potporu

Amandman 66

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog – Infrastruktura informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Infrastruktura informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija	osobe	broj stanovnika koji imaju širokopojasni pristup od najmanje 30 Mbps

Amandman

	JEDINICA	IME
Infrastruktura informacijskih i komunikacijskih tehnologija	kućanstva	povećanje u broju kućanstava sa širokopojasnim pristupom od najmanje 30 Mbps

Amandman 67

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog – Promet

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Promet		
Željeznička infrastruktura	km	ukupna dužina novih željezničkih linija od toga: TRANSEUROPSKA PROMETNA MREŽA (TEN-T)
	km	ukupna dužina obnovljenih ili nadograđenih željezničkih linija od toga: TRANSEUROPSKA PROMETNA MREŽA (TEN-T)
Cestovna infrastruktura	km	ukupna dužina novoizgrađenih cesta od toga: TRANSEUROPSKA PROMETNA MREŽA (TEN-T)
	km	ukupna dužina obnovljenih ili nadograđenih cesta od toga: TRANSEUROPSKA PROMETNA MREŽA (TEN-T)
Gradski prijevoz	<i>putnički promet</i>	<i>povećanje putničkog prometa korištenjem usluge gradskog prijevoza koji prima potporu</i>
Unutarnji plovni putovi	<i>tona/km</i>	<i>povećanje količine prevezenog tereta na poboljšanim unutarnjim plovnim putovima</i>

Amandman

	JEDINICA	IME
Promet		
Željeznička infrastruktura	km	ukupna dužina novih željezničkih linija od toga: TRANSEUROPSKA PROMETNA MREŽA (TEN-T)
	km	ukupna dužina obnovljenih ili nadograđenih željezničkih linija od toga: TRANSEUROPSKA PROMETNA MREŽA (TEN-T)
Cestovna infrastruktura	km	ukupna dužina novoizgrađenih cesta od toga: TRANSEUROPSKA PROMETNA MREŽA (TEN-T)
	km	ukupna dužina obnovljenih ili nadograđenih cesta od toga: TRANSEUROPSKA PROMETNA MREŽA (TEN-T)
Gradski prijevoz	<i>km</i>	<i>ukupna dužina novih ili poboljšanih tramvajskih linija i linija gradske podzemne željeznice</i>
Unutarnji plovni putovi	<i>km</i>	<i>ukupna dužina poboljšanih ili realiziranih unutarnjih plovnih putova</i>

Amandman 68

Prijedlog Uredbe Prilog – Okoliš

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
RR\944236HR.doc	45/150	PE487.951v03-00

Okoliš		
Kruti otpad	tone	dodatni kapacitet recikliranja otpada
Opskrba vodom	osobe	povećanje broja stanovnika koji imaju pristup poboljšanoj opskrbi vodom
	<i>m³</i>	<i>procjena smanjenja istjecanja u mreži distribucije vode</i>
Pročišćavanje otpadnih voda	ekvivalent u broju stanovnika	povećanje broja stanovnika koji koriste poboljšani sustav pročišćavanja otpadnih voda
Sprečavanje rizika i upravljanje rizikom	osobe	broj stanovnika koji imaju korist od mjera zaštite od poplava
	osobe	broj stanovnika koji imaju korist od zaštite od šumskih požara <i>te drugih zaštitnih</i> mjera
Regeneracija zemljišta	hektari	ukupna površina regeneriranog zemljišta
<i>Prekrivanje zemljišta</i>	<i>hektari</i>	<i>promjene u prekrivenom zemljištu zbog razvoja</i>
Priroda i biološka raznolikost	hektari	površina staništa <i>u</i> boljem stupnju očuvanosti

Amandman

	JEDINICA	IME
Okoliš		
Kruti otpad	tone/ <i>godina</i>	dodatni kapacitet recikliranja otpada
Opskrba vodom	osobe	povećanje broja stanovnika koji imaju pristup poboljšanoj opskrbi vodom
	<i>Briše se.</i>	
Pročišćavanje otpadnih voda	ekvivalent u broju stanovnika	povećanje broja stanovnika koji koriste poboljšani sustav pročišćavanja otpadnih voda
Sprečavanje rizika i upravljanje rizikom	osobe	broj stanovnika koji imaju korist od mjera zaštite od

		poplava
	osobe	broj stanovnika koji imaju korist od mjera zaštite od šumskih požara
Regeneracija zemljišta	hektari	ukupna površina regeneriranog zemljišta
Briše se.		
Priroda i biološka raznolikost	hektari	površina staništa koja primaju potporu kako bi zadržala bolji stupanj očuvanosti

Amandman 69

Prijedlog Uredbe Prilog – Istraživanje, razvoj

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Istraživanje, razvoj		
	<i>osobe</i>	<i>broj osoblja/istraživača na području istraživanja i razvoja u novoizgrađenim ili opremljenim istraživačkim infrastrukturama</i>
	<i>poduzeća</i>	<i>broj poduzeća koja surađuju s istraživačkim ustanovama koje primaju pomoć</i>
	ekvivalent punom radnom vremenu	broj radnih mjesta za osoblje/istraživače na području istraživanja i razvoja stvorenih u subjektima koji primaju pomoć
	EUR	privatna ulaganja koja odgovaraju javnoj potpori u projektima inovacije ili istraživanja i razvoja
	poduzeća	broj poduzeća <i>koja su uvela nove ili znatno</i>

Amandman

Istraživanje, razvoj

poduzeća

poboljšane proizvode, čija je novost na tržištu **rezultat projekata inovacije ili istraživanja i razvoja**
broj poduzeća **koja su uvela nove ili znatno poboljšane proizvode** čija je novost u ponudi poduzeća **rezultat projekata inovacije ili istraživanja i razvoja**

JEDINICA

IME

Briše se.

Briše se.

ekvivalent punom radnom vremenu

broj novih istraživača u subjektima koji primaju potporu

ekvivalent punom radnom vremenu

broj istraživača u poboljšanim objektima istraživačke infrastrukture

poduzeća

broj poduzeća koja surađuju s istraživačkim ustanovama

EUR

privatna ulaganja koja odgovaraju javnoj potpori u projektima inovacije ili istraživanja i razvoja

poduzeća

broj poduzeća **koja primaju pomoć kako bi uvela proizvode** koji su novost na tržištu

poduzeća

broj poduzeća **koja primaju pomoć kako bi uvela proizvode** koji su novost u ponudi poduzeća

Amandman 70

Prijedlog Uredbe

Prilog – Energija i klimatske promjene

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Energija i klimatske promjene		
Obnovljiva energija	MW	dodatni kapacitet proizvodnje obnovljive energije
Energetska učinkovitost	kućanstva	broj kućanstava s poboljšanom klasifikacijom potrošnje energije
	kWh/godina	smanjenje potrošnje primarne energije u javnim zgradama
	korisnici	broj dodatnih korisnika energije spojenih na pametne mreže
Smanjenje stakleničkih plinova	ekvivalent u tonama CO ₂	procjena smanjenja stakleničkih plinova <i>prikazana kao ekvivalent CO₂</i>

Amandman

	JEDINICA	IME
Energija i klimatske promjene		
Obnovljiva energija	MW	dodatni kapacitet proizvodnje obnovljive energije
Energetska učinkovitost	kućanstva	broj kućanstava s poboljšanom klasifikacijom potrošnje energije
	kWh/godina	smanjenje <i>godišnje</i> potrošnje primarne energije u javnim zgradama
	korisnici	broj dodatnih korisnika energije spojenih na pametne mreže
Smanjenje stakleničkih plinova	ekvivalent u tonama CO ₂	procjena <i>godišnjeg</i> smanjenja stakleničkih

Amandman 71**Prijedlog Uredbe
Prilog – Socijalna infrastruktura***Tekst koji je predložila Komisija*

	JEDINICA	IME
Socijalna infrastruktura		
Skrb o djeci i obrazovanje	osobe	<i>uslužni</i> kapacitet infrastrukture za skrb o djeci ili obrazovanje koja prima potporu
Zdravlje	osobe	<i>kapacitet</i> zdravstvenih službi <i>koje primaju potporu</i>
<i>Stanovanje</i>	<i>kućanstva</i>	<i>broj kućanstava koji imaju korist od poboljšanih uvjeta stanovanja</i>
<i>Kulturna baština</i>	<i>posjeti</i>	<i>broj posjeta lokacijama koje primaju potporu</i>

Amandman

	JEDINICA	IME
Socijalna infrastruktura		
Skrb o djeci i obrazovanje	osobe	kapacitet infrastrukture za skrb o djeci ili obrazovanje koja prima potporu
Zdravlje	osobe	<i>stanovništvo pokriveno poboljšanim</i> zdravstvenim službama

Briše se.***Briše se.*****Amandman 72****Prijedlog Uredbe**

Prilog – Urbani razvoj

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Urbani razvoj	osobe	broj stanovnika koji žive u područjima koja imaju integriranu strategiju urbanog razvoja m2
	čtvorni metri	novi otvoreni prostori u urbanim područjima
	čtvorni metri	nove javne i komercijalne zgrade u urbanim područjima
	čtvorni metri	nove stambene jedinice u urbanim područjima

Amandman

	JEDINICA	IME
Specifični pokazatelji urbanog razvoja	osobe	broj stanovnika koji žive u područjima koja imaju integriranu strategiju urbanog razvoja m2
	čtvorni metri	stvoreni ili regenerirani otvoreni prostori u urbanim područjima
	čtvorni metri	izgrađene ili renovirane javne i komercijalne zgrade u urbanim područjima
	stambene jedinice	regenerirane stambene jedinice u urbanim područjima

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

After 13 trilogue meetings, 2 technical meetings and few written procedures which followed, the co-legislators have finalised the negotiations on ERDF and reached an agreement

concerning all the articles of the regulation¹. Four parliamentary committees have contributed with opinions to the work of the Regional Development Committee (REGI): the Committee on Budgets (BUDG), the Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety (ENVI), the Committee on Industry, Research and Energy (ITRE) and the Committee on Transport and Tourism (TRAN). Moreover, both the European Economic and Social Committee (Rapporteur: Etele Baráth) and the Committee of the Regions (Rapporteur: Michael **Schneider**) have issued opinions.

The conclusions of the Inter-institutional negotiations were included in the draft report consisting of 74 amendments. Further 21 amendments and 6 compromise amendments² were tabled by the Rapporteur in line with the latest outcome of Inter-institutional negotiations. All these amendments were adopted in REGI on the 10th of July and the final Committee report consists of 72 amendments to the Commission's proposal.

This has paved the way for the first reading agreement, as on the 26th of June the Permanent Representatives Committee (Coreper) of the Council adopted the Council's final position and the outgoing Irish Presidency informed the European Parliament that the latest text outlined in the fourth column table document could constitute a basis for a compromise between the two institutions.

The Commission proposal for the 2014-2020 ERDF regulation brought a novelty by introducing a thematic concentration and by strengthening the urban dimension. The proposed thematic concentration meant focusing on a limited number of thematic objectives, further detailed in investment priorities. The mechanism set up obligatory quotas for investment under pre-defined thematic objectives that vary depending on the category of region. This mechanism aimed at contributing to achievement of the Europe 2020 Strategy objectives. The strengthened urban dimension included three elements: an obligatory delegation of 5% of the ERDF resources for management to cities, an Urban Development Platform and support for innovative actions in the field of sustainable urban development. Most of these provisions were maintained by the co-legislators, however, they were slightly modified during the negotiations. This improvement shall provide for flexibility and allow for better adaptation of the ERDF support to various needs of regions across the whole EU.

The EP Negotiating Team defended its mandate during the negotiations and as a result, the vast majority of amendments improving the distribution of ERDF support in the next programming period are included in the Inter-institutional agreement. As far as the scope of support is concerned, the EP managed to broaden it by introducing the eligibility of small scale cultural and sustainable tourism infrastructure and by allowing for support for productive investment also in large enterprises (in the areas of research and innovation, shift towards low carbon economy and ICT provided that there is cooperation with SMEs). Moreover, the Parliament removed the ban for investments in infrastructure providing basic services in the areas of environment, transport and ICT in more developed regions. Finally, it narrowed the scope of support for the airport infrastructure by reducing it only to investments related to environmental protection.

¹ Subject to the principle that "nothing is agreed until everything is agreed" and that it is subject to legal scrutiny and finalisation by the jurist-linguists

² These Compromise amendments include the final tuning of the indicators proposed after the consultations between the Commission and Member States' experts and introduction of a standard Recital on alignment between the ERDF and CF regulations

The agreed compromise package concerning the thematic concentration includes several provisions from the EP mandate which called for more flexibility in this respect. The most important is the inclusion of an additional thematic objective in the obligatory ones and the introduction of a separate mechanism for the concentration for the category of transition regions. Also, a set of Parliament's derogations was included in the package, in particular concerning specific needs of regions designated with the phasing-out status in the 2007-2013 period and NUTS 2 level regions consisting solely of islands, so as the derogation for the northern sparsely populated regions. An important provision stemming from the EP mandate about the derogation for productive investments in enterprises in outermost regions, irrespectively of their size, is also included in the agreed compromise.

The Parliament's negotiators introduced several new elements to the list of investment priorities from its mandate that enlarged the scope of future investments. The addition of "eco-innovation" to the thematic objective on strengthening research, technological development and innovation and the addition of "promoting social inclusion through improved access to social, cultural and recreational services" in order to contribute to social inclusion and combating poverty and any discrimination, occurred to be the most controversial during the negotiations. High-efficiency co-generation of heat and power, e-culture, energy efficiency measures in large enterprises, rehabilitation of railway systems and broad eligibility for improvement of urban environment and revitalisation of cities are some of the further additional investment priorities added by the Parliament. Moreover, the investment priority in relation to urban mobility was moved to the thematic objective 4: shift towards low-carbon economy included in the obligatory ring-fencing.

A common approach with mainstream programmes concerning indicators was agreed in order to better capture the outputs of and increase in the overall orientation on results. The Parliament agreed to follow the Council Partial General Approach concerning the list of indicators figuring in the Annex to the regulation, as this list of indicators results from extensive consultations between the Member States' experts and the Commission. Nevertheless, the provision of a delegated act to amend the list of indicators in order to ensure effective assessment of progress in programme implementation has been introduced.

The outcome of the negotiations on the provisions related to the urban dimension can be considered as the biggest success for the EP NT. The compromise text on integrated sustainable urban development is based to a large extend on the EP mandate including the most controversial provision about the delegation of powers to Urban Authorities and the focus on functional areas.

From the very beginning the European Parliament welcomed the Commission proposal to strengthen the urban dimension of cohesion policy. The EP supported the "ring-fencing" of 5% of the national ERDF allocation for cities where integrated actions for sustainable urban development are implemented, thus the main element of the new policy. However, from the very beginning the house questioned the proposed "list of cities" to be drawn by each Member State for this purpose, and this provision was deleted by the European Parliament quite early in the parliamentary process, which was confirmed in the trilogues.

The negotiations resulted in a change of provisions concerning the possible methods for the implementation of the integrated actions for sustainable urban development along the EP

mandate. The co-legislators agreed that they can be undertaken not only through Integrated Territorial Investments but also through a specific operational programme or a specific priority axis. The provision concerning the level of delegation assured needed flexibility by defining a whole spectrum of possible delegation which needs to be decided between the managing authorities and the urban authorities: from a simple selection of operations to a full delegation in the form of a global grant.

Furthermore, from the beginning, the Parliament opposed the Urban Development Platform and its original mandate included a deletion of this provision. However, after long discussions, the EP agreed to create an Urban Development Network instead, with a limited scope of actions, which will aim only at exchange of experience related to the implementation of 5% of the ERDF resources for integrated actions for sustainable urban development and the innovative actions. This will allow for the evaluation of the new elements by the Commission but will assure the complementary to existing programmes and bodies at the same time.

The text as agreed provides a clearer and simplified framework for investment, as it ensures a better coordination with other fund specific regulations, as well as with the CPR, through the linkages with and through the thematic objectives and/or the investment priorities. This is a major breakthrough in terms of improved coordination and stronger synergies of and among the funds and their implementation in view of delivering smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the Europe 2020 Strategy. The new element of thematic concentration provides for a greater concentration of resources on a limited number of objectives that should result in a better added value of the interventions. Finally, the new ERDF provides for the first time for an obligatory urban dimension and assures for a stronger focus on urban development at a strategic level.

Declarations agreed during Interinstitutional negotiations

Apart from the legal provisions finalised during Interinstitutional negotiations, two of the most difficult agreements in the ERDF Regulation were adopted together with accompanying declarations.

Agreement on the text on indicators for the Investment Growth and Jobs Goal was accompanied by the following "Joint declaration of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the application of Article 6 of the ERDF Regulation, Article 15 of the ETC Regulation and Article 4 of the Cohesion Fund Regulation":

"The European Parliament and the Council note the assurance provided by the Commission to the EU legislature that the common output indicators for the ERDF Regulation, the ETC Regulation and the Cohesion Fund Regulation to be included in an annex to, respectively, each regulation, are the outcome of a lengthy preparatory process involving the evaluation experts of both the Commission and the Member States and, in principle, are expected to remain stable."

The Parliament's amendment to Article 11(2) concerning **exemption of** the operating aid for outermost regions from the notification obligation for the state aid has been dealt with in Recital 11 and in the following "Declaration of the Commission":

"The Commission shares the objective expressed by the European Parliament of simplifying

state aid procedures as regards operating aid granted to undertakings established in the outermost regions which are linked to the offsetting of the additional costs incurred in such regions as a result of their specific economic and social situation.

According to the proposal for the future General Block Exemption Regulation (GBER) as recently published by the Commission services¹, operating aid intended to compensate certain additional costs incurred by beneficiaries established in these regions² would be considered compatible with the internal market, under the conditions stipulated therein, and would thus be exempted from notification under Article 108(3) TFEU. The Commission considers that this will provide a sound basis for achieving the simplification which is sought, and will take full account of all observations received from Member States in the on-going consultation process in view of the adoption of the Regulation in 2014."

¹ http://ec.europa.eu/competition/consultations/2013_gber/index_en.html

² Transport costs of goods produced in the outermost regions, additional production and operating costs other than transport costs.

21.6.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON BUDGETS

for the Committee on Regional Development

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on specific provisions concerning the European Regional Development Fund and the Investment for growth and jobs goal and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006
(COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD))

Rapporteur: Andrea Cozzolino

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

The cohesion policy is one of the main tools of investment to support key priorities of the Union laid down in the Europe 2020 strategy. Under Articles 174 and 176 of the TFEU, the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) is intended to reduce the gap between the development levels of regions and the delay suffered by the less-favoured regions, so its actions must be directed in particular to the countries and regions where the needs are greatest.

According to the European Commission's proposal, the 2014-2020 ERDF would support regional and local development by co-financing investments in R&D and innovation; climate change and environment; business support to SMEs; services of common economic interest; telecommunication, energy and transport infrastructures; health, education and social infrastructures; and sustainable urban development. Your Rapporteur believes however that activities linked both to tourism and cultural heritage should be better reflected in the regulation.

To ensure greater concentration of ERDF support on EU priorities, as laid down by the EU 2020 strategy, the European Commission proposes a thematic concentration while taking into account the different level of development. The Rapporteur supports the need to avoid duplication of effort, as well as the effects of dispersion and insufficient prioritization that in the past, have limited the added value of European investment. Especially at a time of scarce resources, thematic concentration and the identification of investment priorities, in line with the objectives of the strategy Europe 2020 is essential.

The proposed Regulation also provides for an increased focus on sustainable urban development notably through the earmarking of a minimum of 5% of ERDF resources for

sustainable urban development. Your Rapporteur welcomes this increased focus.

The financial envelope for the ERDF for 2014-2020 is not mentioned in the ERDF proposed regulation but in the proposed regulation laying down common provisions for all funds [CPR].¹ Article 84(1) of the later foresees 96,52% of the global envelope of structural and cohesion funds, i.e. 324,3 billion (2011 constant prices), for the Investment for growth and jobs goal. Out of these 324,3 billion , 68,7 billion (2011 constant prices) are allocated for the Cohesion Fund. Furthermore, the Commission proposes minimum shares for the European Social Fund (ESF) for each category of regions, implying a minimum overall share for the ESF of 25% of the budget allocated to structural and cohesion funds altogether, i.e. EUR 84 billion. As a consequence, a maximum of EUR 183,3 billion remains available for the ERDF for the period 2014-2020. This represents a decrease compared to the 2007-2013 period. Your Rapporteur regrets that the global envelope for cohesion policy is decreased and that, as a consequence, the ERDF budgetary allocation is decreased.

In EUR billion, constant 2011
prices,

	MFF 2007 - 2013	MFF 2014 - 2020	Change
ERDF	203	183	- 10 %
ESF	78	84	+ 8 %
Cohesion Fund	71	69	- 3 %
Total	354	336	- 5,3 %

Due to rounding, figures may not add-up exactly to the total shown

It will however depend on the final outcome of the negotiations on the whole envelope to be allocated to cohesion policy. It cannot be fixed until agreement is reached on the proposal for a regulation laying down the Multiannual Financial Framework for the years 2014-2020. Furthermore, your Rapporteur wishes to underline the conviction expressed by the European Parliament in its resolution of 8 June 2011 that "at least a 5% increase of resources is needed for the next MFF" compared to the 2013 level and that "the amounts allocated to cohesion policy in the current financial programming period should be at least maintained in the next period" which is apparently not the case.

Concerning other provisions with budgetary implications, your Rapporteur welcomes the Commission's simplification efforts in the Common Provision Regulation². Your Rapporteur further stresses the responsibility of the Member States to support these simplification efforts.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Budgets calls on the Committee on Regional Development, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

¹ COM (2011) 615

² COM (2011) 615

Amendment 1

Draft legislative resolution Paragraph 1a (new)

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

1a. Recalls its resolution of 8 June 2011 on Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe¹; reiterates that sufficient additional resources are needed in the next MFF in order to enable the Union to fulfil its existing policy priorities and the new tasks provided for in the Treaty of Lisbon, as well as to respond to unforeseen events; points out that even with an increase in the level of resources for the next MFF of at least 5% compared to the 2013 level only a limited contribution can be made to the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives and commitments, and to the principle of Union solidarity; challenges the Council, if it does not share this approach, to clearly identify which of its political priorities or projects could be dropped altogether, despite their proven European added value;

¹ Texts adopted, P7_TA(011)0266.

Amendment 2

Draft legislative resolution Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

1b. Recalls, in particular, that in the same resolution the European Parliament stresses that “a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding” and concludes that “the amounts allocated to it in the current financial programming period should be at least maintained in the next period”;

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation

Recital - 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(-1) Whereas in its resolution of 8 June 2011 on Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe, the European Parliament stressed "the European added-value of cohesion policy, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs, a major tool for convergence, sustainable development and solidarity and one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades". The European Parliament pointed out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, in particular in the field of simplification, respond to the main challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground. The European Parliament expressed its conviction that EU cohesion policy should remain an EU wide policy giving access to resources, experiences and assistance to all EU regions.

Justification

Paragraph 64 of the European Parliament's resolution of 8 June 2011 "Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe".

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation Recital - 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(-1a) Whereas in its resolution of 8 June 2011, the European Parliament stressed that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, and that the amounts allocated to it in the current financial programming period should be, at least, maintained in the next period in order to step up its efforts to reduce development disparities between EU regions. The Commission proposes, however, to decrease the overall cohesion policy envelope by 5.3% compared to the 2007-2013 envelope. According to the Commission's proposal, a maximum of EUR 183 billion would be allocated to the ERDF which would represent a decrease of 10% compared to the 2007-2013 period.

Justification

Paragraph 67 of the European Parliament's resolution of 8 June 2011 "Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe", complemented with figures.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) The ERDF should contribute to the Europe 2020 strategy, thus ensuring greater concentration of ERDF support on the priorities of the Union. According to the category of regions supported, the support from the ERDF should be concentrated on research and innovation, small and medium-sized enterprises and climate change mitigation. The degree of concentration should take into account the

(5) The ERDF should contribute to the Europe 2020 strategy, thus ensuring greater concentration of ERDF support on the priorities of the Union. According to the category of regions supported, the support from the ERDF should be concentrated on research and innovation, small and medium-sized enterprises and climate change mitigation. ***Particular attention should be paid to the need to coordinate***

level of development of the region as well as the specific needs of regions whose GDP per capita for the 2007-13 period was less than 75% of the average GDP of the EU-25 for the reference period.

with existing EU instruments and programmes in the above-mentioned fields. The degree of concentration should take into account the level of development of the region as well as the specific needs of regions whose GDP per capita for the 2007-13 period was less than 75% of the average GDP of the EU-25 for the reference period.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) In its resolution of 8 June 2011 on Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe¹, the European Parliament recalled that, according to the Article 195 of the Lisbon Treaty, tourism is a new EU competence under the Lisbon Treaty, and should, therefore, also be reflected in the next MFF. It stressed the important contribution of sustainable tourism to the European economy and believed that the European strategy for tourism should aim at raising the competitiveness of the sector, promoting cooperation between the national, regional and local authorities and be supported with adequate funding for the next period. The ERDF should support activities relevant to the tourism dimension.

¹ *Texts adopted, P7_TA(011)0266.*

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Within the framework of sustainable urban development, it is considered necessary to support integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and social challenges affecting urban areas and to define a procedure to establish the list of cities covered by such actions and the financial allocation set aside for such actions.

Amendment

(7) In its resolution of 8 June 2011, the European Parliament expressed its belief that urban areas - as places with a high concentration of challenges (unemployment, social exclusion, environmental degradation, migration) - can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling economic and social disparities on the ground. Accordingly,, it stressed the necessity for a more visible and focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy, while ensuring balanced conditions for synergic development of urban, suburban and rural areas. Within the framework of sustainable urban development, it is ***thus*** considered necessary to support integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and social challenges affecting urban areas and to define a procedure to establish the list of cities covered by such actions and the financial allocation set aside for such actions.

Justification

Paragraph 70 of the European Parliament's resolution of 8 June 2011 "Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe".

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation Recital 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9a) In its resolution of 8 June 2011, the European Parliament recognised that, according to the Treaty, particular

attention should be paid to rural areas, areas affected by industrial transition, and regions suffering from severe and permanent natural or demographic handicaps such as the northernmost regions with very low population density, islands, cross-border and mountain regions, as well as outermost regions. It expressed its belief that resources and capacities found within these regions can have a significant role in the future competitiveness of the European Union and, accordingly, stressed that the areas facing those challenges should also be recognised in the 2014-2020 MFF. The European Parliament considered that, for regions facing permanent handicaps, a special strategy needs to be elaborated, as set out in the EP resolution of 22 September 2010.

Justification

Paragraph 71 of the European Parliament's resolution of 8 June 2011 "Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe".

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Specific attention should be paid to the outermost regions, namely by extending, on an exceptional basis, the scope of the ERDF to the financing of operating aid linked to the offsetting of the additional costs resulting from their specific economic and social situation, which is compounded by the handicaps resulting from the factors referred to in Article 349 of the Treaty, namely their remoteness, insularity, small size, difficult topography and climate and their economic dependence on a few products, the permanence and combination of which

Amendment

(11) Specific attention should be paid to the outermost regions, namely by extending, on an exceptional basis, the scope of the ERDF to the financing of operating aid linked to the offsetting of the additional costs resulting from their specific economic and social situation, which is compounded by the handicaps resulting from the factors referred to in Article 349 of the Treaty, namely their remoteness, insularity, small size, difficult topography and climate and their economic dependence on a few products, the permanence and combination of which

severely restrain their development. In order to support the development of existing and new economic activities, at least 50% of the specific additional allocation should be allocated to actions contributing to the diversification and modernisation of the economies of the outermost regions.

severely restrain their development. In order to support the development of existing and new economic activities, at least 50% of the specific additional allocation should be allocated to actions contributing to the diversification and modernisation of the economies of the outermost regions. ***In particular, synergies should be facilitated between the ERDF and the Framework Programme for Research and Development, in order to increase the development of the outermost regions and curb the under-utilisation of research funds.***

Justification

Paragraph 5 of the opinion of the Committees on Budget for the Committee on Regional Development on the role of Cohesion Policy in the outermost regions of the European Union in the context of EU2020 (2011/2195(INI)).

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11a) It is important to ensure sound financial management of the programme and its implementation in the most effective and user-friendly manner possible, while also ensuring legal certainty and the accessibility of the instrument for all participants. Since activities under the cohesion fund are carried out under shared management, Member States should refrain from adding additional rules, which would complicate the usage of funds for the beneficiary.

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 - introductory sentence

Text proposed by the Commission

The ERDF shall support the following investment priorities within the thematic objectives set out in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR]:

Amendment

The ERDF shall support the following investment priorities within the thematic objectives set out in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] ***while ensuring synergies and complementarities with relevant Union programmes and instruments:***

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) promoting business R&I investment, product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation and public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation;

Amendment

(b) promoting business R&I investment, product and service development, technology transfer, ***eco-efficient innovation***, social innovation, ***cultural and creative industries and*** public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation;

PROCEDURE

Title	Specific provisions concerning the European Regional Development Fund and the 'Investment for growth and jobs' goal and repeal of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006						
References	COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD)						
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	REGI 25.10.2011						
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	BUDG 25.10.2011						
Rapporteur Date appointed	Andrea Cozzolino 6.2.2012						
Date adopted	20.6.2012						
Result of final vote	<table> <tr> <td>+: </td><td>31</td></tr> <tr> <td>–: </td><td>4</td></tr> <tr> <td>0: </td><td>1</td></tr> </table>	+:	31	–:	4	0:	1
+:	31						
–:	4						
0:	1						
Members present for the final vote	Richard Ashworth, Francesca Balzani, Reimer Böge, Zuzana Brzobohatá, Andrea Cozzolino, James Elles, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Jens Geier, Ivars Godmanis, Ingeborg Gräßle, Lucas Hartong, Jutta Haug, Monika Hohlmeier, Sidonia Elżbieta Jędrzejewska, Anne E. Jensen, Ivailo Kalfin, Sergej Kozlík, Giovanni La Via, Barbara Matera, Claudio Morganti, Juan Andrés Naranjo Escobar, Nadezhda Neynsky, Dominique Riquet, Alda Sousa, László Surján, Helga Trüpel, Angelika Werthmann						
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	François Alfonsi, Alexander Alvaro, Jürgen Klute, Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz, Jan Mulder, María Muñiz De Urquiza, Paul Rübig, Peter Šťastný, Theodor Dumitru Stolojan						

25.6.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE ENVIRONMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH AND FOOD SAFETY

for the Committee on Regional Development

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on specific provisions concerning the European Regional Development Fund and the Investment for growth and jobs goal and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006 (COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD))

Rapporteur: Mario Pirillo

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

Cohesion policy is the main investment instrument for supporting the key priorities of the Union as enshrined in the Europe 2020 strategy. Since environmental protection and sustainable development are central to those priorities, the EU's cohesion policy is also the most suitable way to support the shift towards a competitive and low-carbon economy and the investment in climate change mitigation and adaption measures, as well as environmental and biodiversity protection and sustainable urban development.

The Commission has presented a number of legislative proposals for the new cohesion policy framework. The current proposal is an integral part of that framework, and introduces changes aimed at improving the policy's impact in terms of achieving the EU priorities. The legislative package sets out a list of thematic objectives in line with the Europe 2020 strategy and lays down a series of provisions common to all the Structural Funds and intended to simplify management procedures and enhance efficiency.

The proposal sets out specific provisions for the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), whose purpose is to correct imbalances between EU regions. For each thematic objective, the proposal sets out a list of investment priorities to which the ERDF may contribute, and in particular, establishes the following *thematic concentration*:

- in more developed regions and regions in transition, where GDP is equal to or higher than 75% of the European average, at least 80% of resources are allocated to energy efficiency and renewables, research and innovation and SME support, (with at least 20% being allocated to energy efficiency and renewables);
- in less developed regions, where GDP is less than 75% of the European average, in order to

take the greatest variety of development needs into account, those percentages have been reduced to 50% and 6% respectively. Furthermore, 5% of ERDF resources are allocated to sustainable urban development.

The proposal for a regulation also seeks to place greater emphasis on results by defining common indicators relating to physical outputs and progress towards the objectives to be achieved.

The rapporteur agrees with and supports the Commission proposal, while at the same time tabling a number of amendments seeking to make ERDF investments more effective in achieving EU environmental priorities.

First of all, while the Commission's decision to establish a system of thematic concentration for the ERDF is to be fully supported, allocating 6% of the resources for less developed regions to funding the shift to a low-carbon economy in all sectors is insufficient, given that it is precisely these regions that require a substantial investment in order to reduce emissions. An increase to 15% is therefore being proposed.

At the same time, environmental protection and support for resource efficiency should not be excluded from the thematic concentration system. In particular, protecting and restoring biodiversity and promoting ecosystem services are key challenges that must be addressed in order to safeguard the future of the environment, and, together with measures to tackle climate change, should be the underlying focus of all sustainable development policies. It should be pointed out that, although biodiversity is of fundamental importance to the quality of human life, as well as the survival of species, the 2010 biodiversity protection targets have not been met. For these reasons, a number of amendments have been tabled in order to include the thematic objective of protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency among the ERDF priorities, in accordance with the thematic concentration system (80% of resources for more developed regions and regions in transition and 50% for less developed regions).

Finally, particular attention is paid to common indicators for the ERDF, which need to be clearly defined to enable the results achieved in respect of the environmental priorities to be accurately measured. Firstly, in connection with the prevention and management of climate change-related risks the indicators should not focus solely on civil protection measures; greater attention should be paid to increasing the prevention and resistance capacity of ecosystems. Specific nature and biodiversity indicators should also be introduced, to cover, for example, the conservation of marine and coastal habitats, the development of green infrastructure and the creation of new green urban spaces.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety calls on the Committee on Regional Development, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Within the framework of sustainable urban development, it is considered necessary to support integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and social challenges affecting urban areas and to define a procedure to establish the list of cities covered by such actions and the financial allocation set aside for such actions.

Amendment

(7) Within the framework of ***integral*** sustainable urban development, it is considered necessary to support integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and social challenges affecting urban areas, ***to adapt cities to the challenges of climate change,*** and to define a procedure to establish the list of cities covered by such actions and the financial allocation set aside for such actions.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) In order to identify or test new solutions to issues relating to sustainable urban development which are of relevance at Union level, the ERDF should support innovative actions in the field of sustainable urban development.

Amendment

(9) In order to identify or test new solutions to issues relating to sustainable urban development which are of relevance at Union level, the ERDF should support innovative actions in the field of ***integral*** sustainable urban development.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The ERDF shall contribute to the financing of support which aims to reinforce economic, social and territorial cohesion by redressing the main regional imbalances through support for the development and structural adjustment of regional economies, including the conversion of declining industrial regions and regions

Amendment

The ERDF shall contribute to the financing of support which aims to reinforce economic, social and territorial cohesion by redressing the main regional imbalances through support for the ***sustainable*** development and structural adjustment of regional economies, including the conversion of declining industrial regions

lagging behind.

and regions lagging behind.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) investments in infrastructure providing basic services to citizens in the areas of energy, environment, transport, and information and communication technologies (ICT);

Amendment

(b) investments in infrastructure providing basic services to citizens in the areas of energy, environment, ***sustainable tourism*** ***and*** transport, and information and communication technologies (ICT);

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) investments in social, health and educational infrastructure;

Amendment

(c) investments in social, ***sports***, health and educational infrastructure;

Justification

Sport is a useful means of improving public health in Europe and, with entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, has come under the EU's powers. The scope of ERDF support should thus be extended to encompass this key area.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) support to public research and innovation bodies and investment in technology and applied research in enterprises;

Amendment

(iii) support to public ***or private organisations that perform*** research and innovation bodies and investment in technology and applied research in enterprises;

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d – point iv a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iva) investments to promote sustainable tourism and protect cultural heritage;

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In more developed regions, the ERDF shall not support investments in infrastructure providing basic services to citizens in the areas of environment, transport, and ICT. ***deleted***

Justification

Regardless of the nature of the region, it is for the national and/or regional authorities – not the European authorities – to decide whether basic services for citizens in the areas of environment, transport, and ICT may be subsidised from the ERDF. Certain regions, including some of the most developed regions, have significant needs for investment in water infrastructure.

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) at least 80% of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objectives set out in points 1, 3 and **4** of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] ;

(i) at least 80% of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objectives set out in points 1, 3, **4** and **6** of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] ;

Justification

Environmental protection and energy resource should be included in the thematic objectives on which ERDF funding is focused, both in more developed and transition regions and in less developed regions.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

ii) at least **20%** of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objective set out in point 4 of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Amendment

ii) at least **25%** of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objective set out in point 4 of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Justification

Europe is not on track to meet its energy saving target by 2020 and both Parliament and Council have stated that it must be achieved – thus requiring an additional financial effort. It is a massive win win-win for creating green jobs, maximizing economic opportunities in every single region, reducing consumer bills and improving energy security.

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point b – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) at least 50% of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objectives set in out in points 1, 3 and **4** of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] .

Amendment

(i) at least 50% of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objectives set in out in points 1, 3, **4** and **6** of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] .

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point b – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

ii) at least **6%** of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objective set out in point 4 of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Amendment

ii) at least **15%** of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objective set out in point 4 of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Justification

Europe is not on track to meet its energy saving target by 2020 and both Parliament and Council have stated that it must be achieved – thus requiring an additional financial effort. It is a massive win-win for creating green jobs, maximizing economic opportunities in every single region, reducing consumer bills and improving energy security.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – point 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) promoting business R&I investment, product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation and public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation;

Amendment

(b) promoting business R&I investment, product and service development, ***including in the tourism sector,*** technology transfer, social innovation and public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation;

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – point 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promoting the production and distribution of renewable energy sources;

Amendment

(a) promoting the ***sustainable and cost-efficient*** production and distribution of renewable energy sources;

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – point 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promoting the production and distribution of renewable energy sources;

Amendment

(a) promoting the production and distribution of renewable ***and low-carbon*** energy sources;

Justification

To address the challenge of climate change and environmental protection, the national and regional authorities must be allowed to support the development of supply networks for both renewable and low-carbon energy sources, by, for example, increasing the number of recharging points for electric vehicles, thereby fostering electro-mobility, which has hitherto been held back by the lack of charging options.

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 4 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) promoting low-carbon strategies for urban areas;

Amendment

(e) promoting low-carbon strategies – ***including sustainable mobility and clean public transport*** – for ***all types of region, particularly*** urban areas;

Justification

To address the challenge of climate change and environmental protection, electro-mobility and clean public transport need to be encouraged.

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 4 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) promoting sustainable tourism;

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 5 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems;

Amendment

(b) promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems, ***including the restoration of river, coastal and mountain ecosystems;***

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 6 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency:

Amendment

(6) protecting the environment, **biodiversity** and **ecosystems**, **and** promoting resource efficiency:

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 6 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) addressing the significant needs for investment in the waste sector to **meet the requirements of the environmental acquis**;

Amendment

a) addressing the significant needs for investment in the waste sector **with a view to reducing the disposal of waste**.

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 6 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) addressing the significant needs for investment in the water sector to **meet the requirements of the environmental acquis**;

Amendment

b) addressing the significant needs for investment in the water sector to **reduce leakage and improve the supply and quality of water and to ensure that it is used more efficiently**;

Justification

As water supply infrastructure is very often outdated, an average of one out of every four litres of water is lost in piping it to its destination. The wastage rate is even as high as 40% in some regions. Regardless of questions of water quality, it must be ensured that water is used in a sustainable and responsible manner by reducing leakage.

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – point 6 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protecting, promoting and developing cultural heritage;

Amendment

(c) protecting, promoting and developing ***tangible and intangible natural and*** cultural heritage;

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – point 6 – point (d)

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) protecting biodiversity, soil and promoting ecosystem services including NATURA 2000 and green infrastructures;

Amendment

(d) protecting biodiversity, ***restoring ecosystems and*** soil and promoting ecosystem services including NATURA 2000 and green infrastructures;

Justification

Environmental investment priorities should not be limited to the protection of biodiversity but should also actively seek to restore the ecosystems on which it relies.

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – point 6 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) promoting sustainable tourism;

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – point 7 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) developing ***environment-friendly and low-carbon*** transport systems and promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Amendment

(c) developing ***integrated and intermodal environment-friendly and low-carbon local public*** transport systems and

promoting sustainable *non-motorised* urban mobility;

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – point 9 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) investing in health and social infrastructure which contribute to national, regional and local development, reducing inequalities in terms of health status, and transition from institutional to community-based services;

Amendment

(a) investing in health, *sports* and social infrastructure which contribute to national, regional and local development, reducing inequalities in terms of health status, and transition from institutional to community-based services;

Justification

Sport is a useful means of improving public health in Europe and, with entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, has come under the EU's powers. Sport should therefore be added to the list of ERDF investment priorities.

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – point 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11a) maintaining the economic activity in urban centres.

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

A specific indicator shall be introduced in the education and training field.

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The list shall contain a maximum number of 300 cities, with a maximum number of 20 per Member State. Cities shall be selected based on the following criteria:

Amendment

The list shall contain a maximum number of 300 cities, with a maximum number of 20 per Member State. ***This number of cities shall also include medium and smaller sized cities. Cities shall*** be selected based on the following criteria:

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For the selection, Member States shall demand cities to include an integral strategy in the concrete projects which will take into account the added value of natural and historical resources and its impact on production and on employment.

The rehabilitation of historic cities ensembles for the adaptation to the flagships of Europe 2020, accessibility, ICT, energy and the needs of disabled people shall be taken into account in the selection of the cities.

The recovery of cities and houses after catastrophes shall be also taken into account as selection criteria.

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation

Annex 1 – table title 1 – row 21 – 'Urban transport'

Text proposed by the Commission

<i>Urban</i> transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported <i>urban</i> transport service
-------------------------------	-----------------	---

Amendment

Local public transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported public transport service
	percentage	change in modal share of urban mobility

Justification

As regards transport, the common indicators should take into account all of the improvements made to public transport thanks to the Fund.

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation

Annex - table - row 24 - 'Solid waste' - column 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Additional waste recycling capacity	Additional waste recycling and reuse capacity
-------------------------------------	---

Justification

Directive 2008/98 on waste establishes a five-level waste hierarchy in which reuse takes priority over recycling. The indicator should therefore take account of both waste management options.

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – table – row 24 a (new) – 'Solid waste'

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

	kilograms / capita	Household waste per person
--	---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation

Annex - table - row 28 - 'Risk prevention and management'

Text proposed by the Commission

Risk prevention and management	Persons	Population benefiting from flood protection measures
--------------------------------	---------	--

Amendment

Risk prevention and management	Persons	Population benefiting from flood protection measures
	<i>Hectares</i>	<i>Size of the area with increased flood prevention and mitigation capacity</i>

Justification

The indicators regarding risk prevention and management should not take into account only the population benefiting from flood protection measures, but also include the size of the area in which risk prevention capacity has been increased. This should be achieved using an ecosystemic approach.

Amendment 35

Proposal for a regulation

Annex - table - row 29 - 'Risk prevention and management'

Text proposed by the Commission

	Persons	Population benefiting from forest fire protection and other protection measures
--	---------	---

Amendment

	Persons	Population benefiting from forest fire protection and other protection measures
	<i>Hectares</i>	<i>Size of the area with increased forest fire resistance and prevention capacity</i>

Justification

As is the case with flooding, the risk prevention and management indicators should take into account not only the population benefiting from forest fire protection measures, but also the size of the area in which risk prevention capacity has been increased.

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – table – row 29 a (new) – 'Risk prevention and management'

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

	<i>Persons</i>	<i>Population benefiting from water shortage or drought mitigation measures</i>
--	-----------------------	--

Amendment 37

Proposal for a regulation

Annex - table - row 32 - 'Nature and biodiversity'

Text proposed by the Commission

Nature and biodiversity	Hectares	Surface area of habitats in better conservation status
-------------------------	----------	--

Amendment

Nature and biodiversity	Hectares	Surface area of <i>marine and land</i> habitats in better conservation status
	<i>km</i>	<i>Total length of coastline covered by coastal erosion prevention and reduction measures carried out using an ecosystemic approach</i>
	<i>Hectares</i>	<i>New green infrastructure</i>

Justification

The common indicators on nature and biodiversity should take into account both marine and land habitats, as well as coastal erosion prevention. Green infrastructure can play an important role in nature and biodiversity protection.

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – table – row 44 a (new) – 'Energy efficiency'

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

	<i>kWh/GDP</i>	<i>Energy intensity</i>
--	-----------------------	--------------------------------

Amendment 39

Proposal for a regulation

Annex - table - line 52 a (new) - 'Urban Development'

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

	<i>m²</i>	<i>New green spaces in urban areas</i>
--	----------------------	--

Justification

Sustainable urban development necessarily involves increasing the number and size of green spaces in urban areas, which should therefore be explicitly covered by an indicator.

PROCEDURE

Title	<i>Specific provisions concerning the European Regional Development Fund and the 'Investment for growth and jobs' goal and repeal of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006</i>						
References	<i>COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD)</i>						
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	REGI 25.10.2011						
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	<i>ENVI</i> <i>25.10.2011</i>						
Rapporteur Date appointed	<i>Mario Pirillo</i> <i>8.11.2011</i>						
Discussed in committee	8.5.2012						
Date adopted	20.6.2012						
Result of final vote	<table> <tr> <td>+: </td><td>50</td></tr> <tr> <td>–: </td><td>2</td></tr> <tr> <td>0: </td><td>0</td></tr> </table>	+:	50	–:	2	0:	0
+:	50						
–:	2						
0:	0						
Members present for the final vote	<i>Elena Oana Antonescu, Sophie Auconie, Pilar Ayuso, Paolo Bartolozzi, Lajos Bokros, Martin Callanan, Nessa Childers, Yves Cochet, Chris Davies, Edite Estrela, Jill Evans, Karl-Heinz Florenz, Elisabetta Gardini, Matthias Groote, Françoise Grossetête, Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines, Satu Hassi, Jolanta Emilia Hibner, Dan Jørgensen, Christa Kläß, Eija-Riitta Korhola, Peter Liese, Kartika Tamara Liotard, Zofija Mazej Kukovič, Linda McAvan, Miroslav Ouzký, Andres Perello Rodriguez, Mario Pirillo, Pavel Poc, Anna Rosbach, Oreste Rossi, Dagmar Roth-Behrendt, Carl Schlyter, Richard Seeber, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Anja Weisgerber, Åsa Westlund, Glenis Willmott, Sabine Wils, Marina Yannakoudakis</i>						
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	<i>Margrete Auken, Erik Bánki, Nikos Chrysogelos, Minodora Cliveti, Vittorio Prodi, Birgit Schnieber-Jastram, Rebecca Taylor, Eleni Theocharous, Marita Ulvskog, Anna Záborská, Andrea Zannoni</i>						
Substitute(s) under Rule 187(2) present for the final vote	<i>Véronique Mathieu</i>						

21.6.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON INDUSTRY, RESEARCH AND ENERGY

for the Committee on Regional Development

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on specific provisions concerning the European Regional Development Fund and the Investment for growth and jobs goal and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006 (COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD))

Rapporteur: Patrizia Toia

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

The Structural Funds is one of the essential EU instruments for realising the agreed EU policy objectives in the field of energy, innovation, research, telecom and SME by way of strategic financial investments. Our Committee is therefore deeply interested and involved in the right setting of the objectives and investment priorities of the EU Cohesion Policy 2014–2020. Furthermore we have to guarantee that the investments through the Structural Funds are adequately coordinated with other Community programmes, in particular Horizon 2020, the CEF and COSME and that synergies between the different Funds are exploited at the best.

In order to fine tune the objectives and investment priorities and to improve the coordination and proper implementation of the fund, your rapporteur propose therefore several amendments.

Your rapporteur welcomes the Commission's focus on research and innovation, information technologies, small and medium-sized enterprises and energy, but takes the view that an appropriate degree of flexibility should be used as far as the regions are concerned, in order to promote and strengthen integrated development policies geared towards growth and the achievement of excellence in all sectors. She therefore believes that any changes to the percentages listed in Article 4 call for further debate within the ITRE committee and that the thematic concentration objectives should be examined as part of a full revision of the legislation in question.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Industry, Research and Energy calls on the Committee on Regional Development, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its

report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) The ERDF should contribute to the Europe 2020 strategy, thus ensuring greater concentration of ERDF support on the priorities of the Union. According to the category of regions supported, the support from the ERDF should be concentrated on research and innovation, small and medium-sized enterprises and climate change mitigation. The degree of concentration should take into account the level of development of the region as well as the specific needs of regions whose GDP per capita for the 2007-13 period was less than 75% of the average GDP of the EU-25 for the reference period.

Amendment

(5) The ERDF should contribute to the Europe 2020 strategy, thus ensuring greater concentration of ERDF support on the priorities of the Union. According to the category of regions supported, the support from the ERDF should be concentrated on research and innovation, small and medium-sized enterprises, ***promoting inclusive economic growth*** and climate change mitigation. The degree of concentration should take into account the level of development of the region as well as the specific needs of regions whose GDP per capita for the 2007-13 period was less than 75% of the average GDP of the EU-25 for the reference period.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) A decisive factor for a region's development is its capacity for innovation, which in turn depends heavily on research and development. The lack of outstanding research facilities that might attract both top international scientists and their own next generation of researchers poses a serious barrier to development in many regions. Almost all of Europe's regions have a successful diaspora of scientists working at the best research institutions in the world, including outside Europe. It will benefit

the whole European Innovation Union if these talented people return. The ERDF should therefore support all the efforts made by the regions to set up such research institutions in an innovation-friendly environment. All possible synergies with 'Horizon 2020' should be exploited, in particular where regions apply for support under the 'Horizon 2020' programme by, for example, taking part in a competition for the creation of outstanding research institutions alongside an existing top research body. It is only through such measures that new institutions can become centres of gravity that will attract innovative enterprises and develop into a nucleus for research and innovation clusters.

Justification

Der Brain Drain in einigen MS ist eine Herausforderung für ganz Europa! Um attraktive Forschungsinstitute aufzubauen, brauchen diese MS Unterstützung: für die Infrastruktur aus dem EFRE, für die Sicherung der Exzellenz aus Horizont 2020. Im Matias-Bericht hat das EP einen Wettbewerb vorgeschlagen, bei dem Teams aus jeweils einem bestehenden Spitzeninstitut und einer aufstrebenden Region antreten. Ihre wissenschaftlichen Konzepte werden nach dem Exzellenzprinzip aus H2020 gefördert. Die Region setzt Eigen- und EFRE-Mittel - die nicht dem Wettbewerb unterliegen - für die Infrastruktur ein.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6a) In order to increase the synergies between the ERDF and other Community programmes, the Commission should set up, and regularly update, an on-line inventory of projects proposals for Community programmes, such as Horizon 2020, the CEF or the COSME Programme, that have been positively evaluated but have not or not sufficiently achieved Community funding. Member States should consider whether the project proposals of relevance for them in this

inventory could be included in their operational programmes.

Justification

This new recital mirrors the suggestion to introduce a "seal of excellence" for excellent projects or demonstration / pilot plants, that were not or not sufficiently funded out of European funds and that Member States could finance under the SF. A first step, however, would be to have this information available.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Within the framework of sustainable urban development, it is considered necessary to support integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and social challenges affecting urban areas and to define a procedure to establish the list of cities covered by such actions and the financial allocation set aside for such actions.

Amendment

(7) Within the framework of ***integral*** sustainable ***and inclusive*** urban development, it is considered necessary to support integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and social challenges affecting urban areas, ***to adapt cities to the challenges of climate change***, and to define a procedure to establish the list of cities covered by such actions and the financial allocation set aside for such actions.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) Building on the experience and strengths of the integration of measures in the field of sustainable urban development into operational programmes supported by the ERDF during the 2007-2013 period, a further step should be taken at Union level by establishing an urban development platform.

Amendment

(8) Building on the experience and strengths of the integration of measures in the field of sustainable ***and inclusive*** urban development into operational programmes supported by the ERDF during the 2007-2013 period, a further step should be taken at Union level by establishing an urban development platform.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) In order to identify or test new solutions to issues relating to sustainable urban development which are of relevance at Union level, the ERDF should support innovative actions in the field of sustainable urban development.

Amendment

(9) In order to identify or test new solutions to issues relating to sustainable ***and inclusive*** urban development which are of relevance at Union level, the ERDF should support innovative actions in the field of ***integral*** sustainable urban development.

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9a) Stresses the importance of maintaining the economic activity in urban centres.

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The ERDF shall contribute to the financing of support which aims to reinforce economic, social and territorial cohesion by redressing the main regional imbalances through support for the development and structural adjustment of regional economies, including the conversion of declining industrial regions and regions lagging behind.

Amendment

The ERDF shall contribute to the financing of support which aims to reinforce economic, social and territorial cohesion by redressing the main regional imbalances through support for the development and structural adjustment of regional economies, including the conversion of declining industrial regions, ***regions facing demographic challenges*** and regions lagging behind. ***The ERDF shall be deployed to their full extent to support capacity building in the regions through dedicated activities aimed at founding centres of excellences, modernising universities, purchase of scientific equipment, local technology transfer,***

support to start-ups and spin-offs, and local interaction between industry and academia, thereby establishing a "stairway to excellence" to bridge the divide between more and less developed regions of the Union and to contribute to the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point (a)

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) productive investment, which contributes to creating and safeguarding sustainable jobs, through direct aid to investment in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs);

Amendment

(a) productive investment, which contributes to creating and safeguarding sustainable jobs, ***primarily*** through direct aid to investment in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs);

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point (c)

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) investments in social, health and educational infrastructure;

Amendment

(c) investments in social, health and educational infrastructure, ***with an emphasis on the least-developed regions and the most socio-economically vulnerable regions;***

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point (d) – first subparagraph

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) ***development of*** endogenous potential ***by supporting*** regional and local ***development and research and***

Amendment

(d) ***investments aimed at developing*** endogenous potential ***of research and innovation capacity at*** regional and local ***level also through the creation of clusters***

innovation. These measures shall include:

These measures shall include:

Justification

This is just to make the text more readable and to stir it more clearly towards RDI.

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point (d) – subpoint (i)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) fixed investment in equipment and **small-scale** infrastructure;

(i) fixed investment in equipment and **research** infrastructure **including the necessary enabling infrastructures**;

Justification

The "enabling infrastructure" is included in order to make broadband infrastructure investments possible under this thematic concentration.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point (d) – subpoint (ii)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) support for and services to enterprises, in particular SMEs;

(ii) support for and services to **enhance innovation in** enterprises, in particular SMEs;

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point (d) – subpoint (iii)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) support to **public** research and innovation bodies and investment in technology and applied research in enterprises;

(iii) support to research and innovation bodies (**primarily public**) and investment in technology and applied research in enterprises; **this includes the creation of top research institutions, for example as part of a corresponding competition under**

the Horizon 2020 programme, and support for all appropriate measures that will make these institutions internationally attractive, including internationally competitive salaries;

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d – point iv

Text proposed by the Commission

(iv) networking, cooperation and exchange of experience between regions, towns, and relevant social, economic and environmental actors;

Amendment

(iv) networking, cooperation and exchange of experience between regions, towns, and relevant social, economic and environmental, *scientific and research* actors;

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d – point iv a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iva) To support public and private organisations in the improvement of health systems.

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) technical assistance.

Amendment

(e) Technical assistance *in all levels of administrations and management bodies dealing with innovation.*

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In order to make these measures more effective it is important to introduce public contracts for innovation or pre-commercial public contracts.

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In more developed regions, the ERDF shall not support investments in infrastructure providing basic services to citizens in the areas of environment, transport, and ICT.

In more developed regions, the ERDF shall not ***primarily*** support investments in infrastructure providing basic services to citizens in the areas of environment, transport, and ICT.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) at least 80% of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objectives set in out in point 1, 3 and 4 of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] . and

deleted

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) at least 20% of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objective set out in point 4 of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

deleted

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point b – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) at least **6%** of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objective set out in point 4 of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

(ii) at least **15 %** of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objective set out in point 4 of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By derogation from point (a) (i), in those regions whose GDP per capita for the 2007-13 period was less than 75% of the average GDP of the EU-25 for the reference period but which are eligible under the category of transition or more developed regions as defined in Article 82(2)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] in the 2014-2020 period, at least 60% of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to each of the thematic objectives set in out in points 1, 3 and 4 of Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

deleted

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) strengthening research, technological development and **innovation**:

Amendment

(1) strengthening research, technological development, **innovation** and **the improvement of training in European education centres**.

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 1 – subpoint (a)

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) **enhancing** research and innovation **infrastructure** (R&I) and capacities to develop R&I excellence and promoting centres of competence, in particular those of European interest;

Amendment

(a) **enhancing** research and innovation (R&I) **investments in technology** and capacities to develop R&I excellence and promoting **the setting-up, upgrade and operation and** centres of competence, in particular those of European interest, **including their necessary enabling infrastructures and those presenting possible synergies with other European programmes, for example with the competition to create top research institutions under Horizon 2020**;

Justification

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 1 – subpoint (b)

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) promoting business R&I investment, product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation and public service applications, demand stimulation, **networking**, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation;

Amendment

(b) promoting business R&I investment, product and service development, technology transfer, **spin-offs, start-ups**, social **and organizational** innovation and public service applications, **eco-innovation**, demand stimulation, **collaborative R&I projects, networks of excellences, national/regional research**

*facilities and technology centres,
competence centres and science parks,
graduate and master education, clusters
and open innovation through smart
specialisation;*

Justification

*Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over
in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.*

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 1 – subpoint (b a) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*(ba) strengthening high-skilled human
capacity building, including researcher
training and mobility and centres of
excellence;*

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 1 – subpoint (b b) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*(bb) supporting adequate links and
synergies with the EU Program Horizon
2020;*

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 1 – subpoint (c)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) supporting technological and applied
research, pilot lines, early product
validation actions, advanced manufacturing
capabilities and first production in Key
Enabling Technologies and diffusion of

(c) supporting technological and applied
research, pilot lines, **human resources**,
early product validation actions, advanced
manufacturing capabilities and first
production in Key Enabling Technologies

general purpose technologies;

and diffusion of general purpose technologies, *through cooperation with actors in the world of research and education, technology transfer, applied research, technology development and demonstration facilities, in order to help companies develop more innovative products, processes, marketing and services and diversify the national/regional economy through new high-growth activities;*

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) supporting capacity-building for the swift economic exploitation of new ideas stemming from research and innovation (R&I). This includes support for clusters, cooperative partnerships between research, education and innovation actors including industry thematic research and innovation platforms, business R&I infrastructures, promotion of R&I business advisory services, also in the field of services, creative hubs, cultural and creative industries and social innovation, pilots and demonstration activities, and creating more demand for innovative products also through public procurement and project financing public tenders of innovation;

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 2 – introductory sentence

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) enhancing access to and use and quality of ICT:

Amendment

(2) ***In line with the targets of the digital agenda for Europe*** enhancing access to and use and quality of ICT ***through***:

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 2 – subpoint (a)

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) extending broadband deployment and the roll-out of high-speed networks;

Amendment

(a) extending broadband deployment and the roll-out of high-speed networks, ***and the support for the adoption of Future Emerging Technologies and digital economy network and of open, affordable, viable and future-proof Next Generation Access Infrastructures (NGA) on areas not sufficiently served by private investment, or where the market fails to deliver.***

The adoption of long-term investment models should be in line with EU guidance on broadband investment and respect of state aid rules. It should strengthen regional innovation and competitiveness, ensure a high level of competition and create a level playing field for all market players. The resulting infrastructure should enable disaggregation of services at end-user level, and the differentiation of services and end-to-end interoperability of networks and independence of operators and service suppliers.

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 2 – subpoint (a a) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a a) If the basic broadband target of the digital agenda for Europe is not reached by 2013, authorisation could be given to support investment to cover the remaining population with satellite;

Justification

Investment priority is not included in either the proposal or the staff working document but nevertheless in line with the Digital agenda for Europe.

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 2 – subpoint (c)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) strengthening ICT applications **for e-government**, e-learning, e-inclusion and **e-health**;

(c) **developing and** strengthening ICT applications **that contribute to meeting future societal challenges and opportunities such as** e-learning, **e-health**, **ageing population**, **reducing carbon emissions**, **resource-efficiency**, **education**, **e-Inclusion**, **e-Culture**, **energy efficiency**, **integrated ICT solutions for 'smart cities'**, **consumer information and empowerment and e-government applications with the aim of enhancing innovation**, **the modernisation of public administrations and access to these services by citizens**, **including marginalised groups and people with disabilities**;

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 35

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 2 – subpoint (c a) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) promoting education and training on the use of ICT;

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3) enhancing the competitiveness of SMEs:

(3) enhancing the competitiveness ***and sustainability*** of SMEs

Amendment 37

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 3 – subpoint (a)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) promoting entrepreneurship, in particular by facilitating the economic exploitation of new ideas and ***fostering the creation of new firms;***

(a) promoting entrepreneurship ***among women and men and fostering the creation of new firms and business incubators in the areas addressing major EU societal challenges; in*** particular by facilitating the economic exploitation of new ideas and ***research results, by providing the necessary enabling infrastructure and by providing sufficient financial engineering assistance to the SMEs;***

Justification

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 3 – subpoint (b)

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) developing new business models for SMEs, in particular for internationalisation;

Amendment

(b) developing ***and applying*** new business models for SMEs ***including new value chains and marketing organisation***, in particular for internationalisation;

Amendment 39

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 3 – subpoint (b a) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) promoting entrepreneurship, including the provision of start-up capital, guarantees, loans and mezzanine and seed capital through financial instruments and support for the development of business plans;

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 40

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 3 – subpoint (b b) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bb) promoting commercial exploitation of new ideas and research results and the creation of more knowledge-intensive businesses through interventions tailored to the needs of SMEs at their various stages of development and along the innovation value chain;

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 41

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 3 – subpoint (b c) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bc) promoting business advisory services, in particular in the areas of business start-up, business transfer, access to new markets, business strategy and monitoring, technology transfer and foresight as well as user-oriented and design-driven innovation, raising innovation management capacity and encouraging the development and use of such services;

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 42

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 3 – subpoint (b d) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bd) support the development of web-tools to provide targeted information and facilitate regulatory procedures for SMEs, particularly in the area of public procurement, employment law, social security, taxation and standardisation;

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 43

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 3 – subpoint (b e) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(be) development of SMEs in emerging areas linked to European and regional challenges such as creative and cultural industries, new forms of tourism, and innovative services reflecting new societal demands or products and services linked to ageing population, care and health, eco-innovations, the low carbon economy and resource efficiency, including coordination with public procurement to speed up the market take-up of innovative solutions to address these challenges.

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 44

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 3 – subpoint (b f) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bf) support in creating and increasing capacity in the development of services and products;

Amendment 45

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 3 – subpoint (b g) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bg) development of SMEs in emerging areas linked to European and regional challenges such as creative and cultural industries, new forms of tourism

including cultural tourism, and innovative services reflecting new societal demands or products and services linked to ageing population, care and health, eco-innovations, the low carbon economy and resource efficiency, including coordination with public procurement to speed up the market take-up of innovative solutions to address these challenges;

Amendment 46

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ***promoting*** energy efficiency and renewable energy use in SMEs;

Amendment

(b) ***increasing*** energy efficiency and renewable energy use in ***and by*** SMEs;

Amendment 47

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 4 – subpoint (c)

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures and in the housing sector;

Amendment

(c) supporting energy efficiency, ***wider use of Energy Performance Contracting*** and renewable energy use ***especially*** in public infrastructures and in the ***deep renovation of the*** housing sector;

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 48

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 4 – subpoint (e)

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) promoting ***low-carbon*** strategies for

Amendment

(e) promoting ***efficient and renewable-***

urban areas;

based energy strategies and interventions for urban areas, including public lighting systems and smart grids and the adaptation of these to reduce carbon emissions;

Amendment 49

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 4 – subpoint (e a) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) promoting innovative systems, in particular small-scale heating and electricity systems;

Amendment 50

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 4 – subpoint (e b) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(eb) energy efficiency and renewable heating and cooling in public buildings, in particular the demonstration of zero-emission and positive-energy buildings, as well as deep renovation of existing buildings to beyond cost-optimal levels;

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 51

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 4 – subpoint (e c) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ec) mainstreaming of innovative renewable energy technologies, in particular technologies mentioned in the

Strategic Energy Technology Plan and in the Energy Roadmap 2050, along with second- and third-generation biofuels;

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 52

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 4 – subpoint (e d) (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ed) supporting the uptake of marine-based renewable energy production, including tidal and wave energy;

Justification

Selection of elements of Commission staff working document (SWD(2012) 61 final) taken over in order to ensure a better definition of the investment priorities.

Amendment 53

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) promoting sustainable transport and removing bottlenecks in ***key network*** infrastructures:

(7) promoting ***intermodal*** sustainable transport and ***mobility while focusing on*** removing bottlenecks ***and completing missing transborder links*** in ***sustainable and intermodal transport*** infrastructures:

Amendment 54

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – point 7 – subpoint (c)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) developing environment-friendly and

(c) developing environment-friendly and

low-carbon transport systems and promoting sustainable urban mobility;

low-carbon transport systems and promoting sustainable urban ***and interurban*** mobility;

Amendment 55

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) modernising urban transport and increasing its efficiency;

Amendment 56

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 8 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) promoting employment and supporting labour mobility;

(8) promoting employment and ***the development in particular of green jobs, and*** supporting labour mobility;

Amendment 57

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 8 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) development of business incubators and investment support for self-employment and business creation;

(a) development of business incubators and investment support for self-employment, ***micro-enterprises*** and business creation;

Amendment 58

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 8 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) investing in infrastructure for public

(c) investing in infrastructure for public employment services ***and vocational***

employment services;

training centres;

Amendment 59

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 9 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) support for social enterprises;

(c) support for social **economy** enterprises
and organisations;

Justification

Support to social economy enterprises and organisations (cooperatives, mutual societies, associations and foundations) is essential to promote the values of social economy actors in Europe and recognize their role in the EU Single Market.

Amendment 60

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***Building greater synergy,
complementarity and interoperability
between the instruments of Horizon 2020,
in which excellence and the stairway to
excellence is the main driver, and the
structural funds, whose main driver is
capacity building and smart
specialisation, providing that bridges are
built in both directions linking the two
programmes;***

Amendment 61

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***The structural funds could be used to
finance equipment, human resource
development, the creation of clusters in***

the priority areas of Horizon 2020 and as a source of small grants given for the preparation of proposals to be submitted to Horizon 2020;

Amendment 62

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 1 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

National and regional funds might be used to contribute to the funding of ERC, Marie Curie or collaborative projects that meet the criteria of excellence but cannot be funded due to lack of European funds. Horizon 2020 could confer a "seal of excellence" status on positively evaluated projects that have not otherwise been able to achieve funding because of budgetary limitations;

Amendment 63

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 1 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The structural funds could be used to finance or co-finance the follow up to Horizon 2020 research projects (e.g. pilot scale and demonstration projects);

Amendment 64

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 1 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Structural funds could be used to valorise research results in such a way as to encourage easy access to knowledge or to facilitate the deployment of the resulting

knowledge in terms of its direct economic or societal use;

Amendment 65

Proposal for a regulation Article 5 – paragraph 1 f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Recommends that the Commission analyse the possibility of setting up an all-European common fund financed by the structural funds to promote collaborative European research;

Amendment 66

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Common indicators, as set out in the Annex to this Regulation, shall be used where relevant and in accordance with Article 24(3) of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR]. For common indicators, baselines shall be set at zero and cumulative targets shall be set for 2022.

Common indicators, as set out in the Annex to this Regulation, shall be used where relevant ***following consultation with Member States and regions and*** in accordance with Article 24(3) of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR]. For common indicators, baselines shall be set at zero and cumulative targets shall be set for 2022.

Justification

The regions have a key role to play in defining indicators as beneficiaries of the fund.

Amendment 67

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 – subparagraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Stresses the need to have a specific indicator in the education and training

field.

Amendment 68

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Sustainable urban development

Amendment

Sustainable **and inclusive** urban development

Amendment 69

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The ERDF shall support, within operational programmes, sustainable urban development through strategies setting out integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and social challenges affecting urban areas.

Amendment

1. The ERDF shall support, within operational programmes, sustainable **and inclusive** urban development through strategies setting out integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and social challenges affecting urban areas.

Amendment 70

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each Member State shall establish in its Partnership Contract a list of cities where integrated actions for sustainable urban development are to be implemented and an indicative annual allocation for these actions at national level.

Amendment

2. Each Member State, **in cooperation with the regional authorities**, shall establish in its Partnership Contract a list of cities where integrated actions for sustainable **and inclusive** urban development are to be implemented and an indicative annual allocation for these actions at national level.

Justification

In relation to the EU cohesion and urban development policy, it is important to highlight the

vital role played by the regional authorities, which are the institutions that guide and then implement locally the intervention strategies set out in the programming documents.

Amendment 71

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall establish, in accordance with Article 51 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR], an urban development platform to promote capacity-building and networking *between cities* and exchange of experience on urban policy at Union level in areas related to the investment priorities of the ERDF and to sustainable urban development.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall establish ***regularly***, in accordance with Article 51 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR], ***in cooperation with the Committee of the Regions and with support from the relevant territorial cooperation programmes***, an urban development platform to promote capacity-building and networking ***as well as political dialogue*** and exchange of experience on urban policy at Union level ***especially*** in areas related to the investment priorities of the ERDF and to sustainable ***and inclusive*** urban development.

Justification

There is no reason to restrict the scope for networks and exchanges of experience between cities. The Urban programme will continue to be very important for cities not involved in the platform, but could also become a programme to expand cooperation between cities both within and outside the platform.

Amendment 72

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall adopt a list of cities to participate in the platform on the basis of the lists established in the Partnership Contracts, by means of implementing acts. ***Those*** implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the advisory procedure referred to in Article 14(2).

Amendment

The Commission shall adopt, ***in conjunction with the Member States***, a list of ***conurbations, towns and*** cities to participate in the platform on the basis of the lists established in the Partnership Contracts, by means of implementing acts. ***These*** implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the advisory procedure

referred to in Article 14(2).

Amendment 73

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The list shall contain a maximum number of 300 cities, with a maximum number of 20 per Member State. Cities shall be selected based on the following criteria:

Amendment

The list shall contain a maximum number of 300 cities, with a maximum number of 20 per Member State. ***This number of cities shall also include medium and smaller sized cities. Cities shall*** be selected based on the following criteria:

Amendment 74

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) For the selection, Member State shall demand cities to include an integral strategy in the concrete projects which will take into account the added value of natural and historical resources and its impact on production and on employment.

Amendment 75

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – point b b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bb) Urges the rehabilitation of historic cities ensembles for the adaptation to the flagships of Europe2020, accessibility, ICT, energy and the needs of disabled people to be other criterias that will be taken into account in the selection of the cities.

Amendment 76

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – point b c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bc) Stressed the need to include the recovery of cities and houses after catastrophes to be also taken into account as a selection criteria.

Amendment 77

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Innovative Actions in the field of Sustainable Urban Development

Innovative Actions in the field of Sustainable **and Inclusive** Urban Development

Amendment 78

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. At the initiative of the Commission, the ERDF may support innovative actions in the field of sustainable urban development, subject to a ceiling of 0,2% of the total annual ERDF allocation. They shall include studies and pilot projects to identify or test new solutions to issues relating to sustainable urban development which are of relevance at Union level.

1. At the initiative of the Commission, the ERDF may support innovative actions in the field of sustainable **and inclusive** urban development, subject to a ceiling of 0,2% of the total annual ERDF allocation. They shall include studies and pilot projects to identify or test new solutions to issues relating to sustainable urban development which are of relevance at Union level.

Amendment 79

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 9(3) shall be conferred on the Commission for ***an indeterminate*** period of ***time*** from 1 January 2014.

Amendment

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 9(3) shall be conferred on the Commission for ***a*** period of ***five years*** from 1 January 2014.

The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the expiry of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.

Amendment 80

**Proposal for a regulation
Annex 1 – table title 1 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The common indicators should if relevant include a gender-disaggregated perspective.

PROCEDURE

Title	<i>Specific provisions concerning the European Regional Development Fund and the 'Investment for growth and jobs' goal and repeal of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006</i>						
References	<i>COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD)</i>						
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	REGI 25.10.2011						
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	<i>ITRE</i> 25.10.2011						
Rapporteur Date appointed	<i>Patrizia Toia</i> 15.11.2011						
Discussed in committee	23.4.2012						
Date adopted	19.6.2012						
Result of final vote	<table> <tr> <td>+: </td><td>47</td></tr> <tr> <td>–: </td><td>5</td></tr> <tr> <td>0: </td><td>3</td></tr> </table>	+:	47	–:	5	0:	3
+:	47						
–:	5						
0:	3						
Members present for the final vote	<i>Amelia Andersdotter, Josefa Andrés Barea, Jean-Pierre Audy, Zigmantas Balčytis, Ivo Belet, Bendt Bendtsen, Jan Březina, Reinhard Bütikofer, Maria Da Graça Carvalho, Giles Chichester, Jürgen Creutzmann, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Christian Ehler, Gaston Franco, Adam Gierek, Norbert Glante, Fiona Hall, Kent Johansson, Romana Jordan, Krišjānis Kariņš, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz, Marisa Matias, Jaroslav Paška, Aldo Patriciello, Vittorio Prodi, Miloslav Ransdorf, Herbert Reul, Teresa Riera Madurell, Michèle Rivasi, Paul Rübig, Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Francisco Sosa Wagner, Konrad Szymański, Patrizia Toia, Ioannis A. Tsoukalas, Claude Turmes, Niki Tzavela, Marita Ulvskog, Vladimir Urutchev, Kathleen Van Brempt, Alejo Vidal-Quadras, Henri Weber</i>						
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	<i>António Fernando Correia de Campos, Ioan Enciu, Roger Helmer, Jolanta Emilia Hibner, Yannick Jadot, Ivailo Kalfin, Seán Kelly, Werner Langen, Mario Pirillo, Peter Skinner, Lambert van Nistelrooij</i>						
Substitute(s) under Rule 187(2) present for the final vote	<i>Jorgo Chatzimarkakis</i>						

20.6.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORT AND TOURISM

for the Committee on Regional Development

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on specific provisions concerning the European Regional Development Fund and the Investment for growth and jobs goal and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006 (COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD))

Rapporteur: Michael Cramer

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

Commission's proposal

This regulation establishes the provisions governing the European Regional Development Fund and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006. The ERDF aims at strengthening economic and social cohesion in the EU by correcting imbalances between its regions. It supports regional and local development by co-financing investments in R&D and innovation, climate change and environment, business support to SMEs, services of common economic interest, telecommunication, energy and transport infrastructures, health, education and social infrastructures and sustainable urban development.

Rapporteur's point of view

The rapporteur supports the Commission's proposal but advocates for a better integration of the EU 2020 targets into the EU's structural instruments, in particular when it comes to funding priorities. Furthermore, coherence between this regulation and those on the Transeuropean Transport Networks (TEN-T) and the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) is indispensable.

He endorses a result-oriented approach and supports the increased use of (ex ante-) conditionality when it comes to a shift towards more sustainable and efficient transport and mobility as well as to safety, noise reduction, environmental legislation and the protection of the climate and biodiversity.

The transport sector is responsible for approximately 24% of all CO₂ emissions and has seen them increase by 34% since 1990. Given the time needed to design and deliver large-scale infrastructure projects, investments made over the next years will determine transport and mobility over the next decades. In order to ensure the quality standard of living for the next

generations of the EU citizens, European projects should support sustainable modes, focusing on mobility chains that combine walking, cycling, car sharing, car pooling and public transport and are flexible enough to accommodate new mobility solutions.

As the Cohesion Fund and the ERDF are a major source of EU transport funding it is crucial to shift the EU's funding priorities within their respective frameworks and to focus on investments in smart and sustainable mobility in order to avoid, or at least limit, any related external costs in the future. This will also reduce the burden on future public budgets at the level of regions, Member States and the EU. Europe can no longer afford to support extremely costly and lengthy large-scale projects. Instead of "thinking big" the EU start to "act smart".

In this respect, the European added value is decisive and it is the rapporteur's view that the focus of structural support should be put on reinforcing intermodality and removing bottlenecks, filling-in missing trans-border links as well as ensuring that legislation related to safety and the protection of the environment, the climate and biodiversity is fully respected. To this end there is a need for better environmental impact assessments and clear ex ante criteria in order to incentivise the beneficiaries and make them rethink their policies at national, regional and local level.

This point of view is reflected by the following amendments.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Transport and Tourism calls on the Committee on Regional Development, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Article 176 of the Treaty provides that the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) is intended to help to redress the main regional imbalances in the Union. The ERDF therefore contributes to reducing the gap between the levels of development of the various regions and the extent to which the least favoured regions, including rural and urban areas, declining industrial regions, areas with severe and permanent natural and demographic handicaps, such as islands, mountainous areas, sparsely populated areas and border

Amendment

(1) Article 176 of the Treaty provides that the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) is intended to help to redress the main regional imbalances in the Union ***and thus enhance the principle of territorial cohesion***. The ERDF therefore contributes to reducing the gap between the levels of development of the various regions and the extent to which the least favoured regions, including rural and urban areas, declining industrial regions, areas with severe and permanent natural and demographic handicaps, such as islands, mountainous areas, sparsely populated areas and border

regions, are lagging behind.

regions, are lagging behind.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation Recital 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2a) Economic, social and territorial cohesion can be strengthened only if existing territorial imbalances are addressed and disparities in terms of development and accessibility eliminated. With that aim in view, a rebalancing of activities between coastal and inland areas, between urban and rural areas and between readily accessible and isolated areas is essential and must be included among the priorities for cohesion policy as implemented by this Regulation. In the light of this imperative, balanced, environmentally sound territorial development based on effective and sustainable transport, energy, services and tourism infrastructures whose use is not hampered by physical, technical and administrative obstacles should be encouraged and guaranteed. An integrated approach of this kind can boost intelligent, inclusive and sustainable growth and help the Union achieve its regional development objectives.

Justification

Economic, social and territorial cohesion are prerequisites for intelligent, sustainable and inclusive growth in Europe. However, continuing territorial imbalances in terms of dynamism and accessibility represent an obstacle to that cohesion. If this problem is to be overcome, rebalancing between territories, on the basis of the development of effective infrastructures, should be encouraged through the ERDF objective ‘Investment for growth and employment’.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4 a (new)

(4a) As was stated in the European Parliament resolution of 8 June 2011 entitled ‘Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe’, tourism is one of the new competences to have been conferred on the Union, and the European strategy for tourism should seek to make the sector more competitive and should be supported by the necessary funding for the next period.

Justification

The object of this amendment is to make a more explicit reference to the role of tourism under the ERDF Regulation.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

(5) The ERDF should contribute to the Europe 2020 strategy, thus ensuring greater concentration of ERDF support on the priorities of the Union. According to the category of regions supported, the support from the ERDF should be concentrated on research and innovation, small and medium-sized enterprises **and** climate change mitigation. The degree of concentration should take into account the level of development of the region as well as the specific needs of regions whose GDP per capita for the 2007-13 period was less than 75% of the average GDP of the EU-25 for the reference period.

(5) The ERDF should contribute to the Europe 2020 strategy, thus ensuring greater concentration of ERDF support on the priorities of the Union. According to the category of regions supported, the support from the ERDF should be **primarily** concentrated on research and innovation, small and medium-sized enterprises, **the minimisation of external costs**, climate change mitigation **and sustainable transport infrastructure development**. The degree of concentration should take into account the level of development of the region as well as the specific needs of regions whose GDP per capita for the 2007-13 period was less than 75% of the average GDP of the EU-25 for the reference period.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5 a) When supporting investments in transport and mobility projects, the ERDF shall be oriented towards the objectives defined in the Commission's White Paper - Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area - Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system¹ and the corresponding resolution of the European Parliament of 15 December 2011²;

¹ COM2011(144)

² P7_TA(2011)0584

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) Within the framework of sustainable urban development, it is considered necessary to support integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and ***social*** challenges affecting urban areas and to define a procedure to establish the list of cities covered by such actions and the financial allocation set aside for such actions.

(7) Within the framework of sustainable urban development, it is considered necessary to support integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate, ***social*** and ***mobility*** challenges affecting urban areas and to define a procedure to establish the list of cities covered by such actions and the financial allocation set aside for such actions.

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7 a) A shift towards sustainable mobility - based on multimodal transport, integrated transport systems and diversion of international and transit traffic out of the city centres - is crucial to achieve its EU 2020 targets, given that transport accounts for 24 % of all CO2 emissions in the Union and transport in the Union has seen its emissions increase by 34 % since 1990. The sustainable mobility will require major investments, e.g. in bypassing urban areas, promotion of modern and environmentally friendly public transport, intelligent traffic management systems as well as public logistic platforms or intermodal terminals.

Amendment 8
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7b) As a result of public investments worth billions of euros, other sectors have achieved substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, amounting to - 34 % in industry, -14 % in households and -17 % in energy generation, since 1990.

Amendment 9
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7c) New funding priorities focusing on the achievement of complex multimodal transport are indispensable, given that the major share of transport investments provided by the ERDF has in the past been directed towards road transport; although road transport is already

responsible for 72 % of all CO2 emissions originating from the Union transport sector.¹

¹ see European Environment Agency (2011): EEA Report No 7/2011 - TERM 2011: transport indicators tracking progress. towards environmental targets in Europe.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) In order to identify or test new solutions to issues relating to sustainable urban development which are of relevance at Union level, the ERDF should support innovative actions in the field of sustainable urban development.

Amendment

(9) In order to identify or test new solutions to issues relating to sustainable urban development which are of relevance at Union level, the ERDF should support innovative actions *and technologies* in the field of sustainable urban development, *including innovative traffic management systems, placing the emphasis, in particular, on environmentally sound transport, intermodality and sustainable mobility between towns and cities and peri-urban and rural areas.*

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation Recital 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9a) The tourism sector offers vast potential for development, acts as a significant stimulus for growth, has a dense economic fabric, incorporating many SMUs, and provides large numbers of jobs. It is therefore of strategic importance for the Union, and the ERDF should support this industry and its related sectors. ERDF support is all the

more relevant because tourism has a real impact on cohesion, the dynamism and attractiveness of regions, territorial development and the environment. ERDF support for measures to assist professionals and customers with the aim of guaranteeing the availability and employment of sustainable, responsible and high-quality tourism infrastructures and practices which safeguard the environment, our natural heritage and biodiversity can help to achieve the objectives of cohesion policy, the Europe 2020 strategy and environmental protection.

Justification

Tourism makes a significant contribution to growth and competitiveness in Europe. The sector faces a number of challenges which are fundamental to its future and, at the same time, has a significant impact on the environment, cohesion, regional dynamism and territorial development. Provision should therefore be made for ERDF action to support measures which can help to achieve the objectives of the Europe 2020 strategy and cohesion policy.

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation Recital 9 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9b) In the light of the provisions of Parliament's roadmap for a single European transport area (2011/2096(INI)), ERDF funding for measures in urban areas should take account of the sustainable mobility plans drawn up for the urban areas concerned, in keeping with the subsidiarity principle.

Justification

In keeping with the thinking behind the roadmap for a single European transport area, in which Parliament calls for proposals concerning urban mobility to be put forward between now and 2015, the provisions of the urban mobility plan are to be laid down by the Commission and, in the context of the provision of ERDF support, due account must be taken of those plans when the eligibility of projects is verified and when the proper use of the funds allocated is scrutinised.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) The ERDF should address the problems of accessibility to, and remoteness from, large markets facing areas with an extremely low population density, as referred to in Protocol No 6 on special provisions for Objective 6 in the framework of the Structural Funds in Finland and Sweden to the 1994 Act of Accession. The ERDF should also address the specific difficulties encountered by certain islands, mountainous areas, border regions and sparsely populated areas whose geographical situation slows down their development, with a view to supporting their sustainable development.

Amendment

(10) The ERDF should address the problems of accessibility to, and remoteness from, large markets facing areas with an extremely low population density, as referred to in Protocol No 6 on special provisions for Objective 6 in the framework of the Structural Funds in Finland and Sweden to the 1994 Act of Accession. The ERDF should also address the specific difficulties encountered by certain islands, mountainous areas, border regions and sparsely populated areas whose geographical situation slows down their development, with a view to supporting their sustainable development. *As a next point, the ERDF should address the problems of regular transport services to and from national and regional capitals, links between cities and their surrounding areas - including rural areas - or regions, connections of industrial zones and international airports as well as cultural exchange and tourism infrastructure.*

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11a) The ERDF funds earmarked for transport infrastructure should be aimed at both co-financing a more sustainable transport system and at fostering social and territorial cohesion in the Union, reducing the development gap among the regions and, in particular, improving accessibility and public mobility.

Amendment 15
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11a) Article 195 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union provides that the Union shall complement the action of the Member States in the tourism sector, in particular by promoting the competitiveness of Union undertakings in that sector.

Justification

Since the entry into force, tourism is explicitly defined as one of the policy areas where the EU can take action. This change needs to be reflected in legislation and funding rules.

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The ERDF shall contribute to the financing of support which aims to reinforce economic, social and territorial cohesion by redressing the main regional imbalances through support for the development and structural adjustment of regional economies, including the conversion of declining industrial regions and regions lagging behind.

The ERDF shall contribute to the financing of support which aims to reinforce economic, social and territorial cohesion by redressing the main regional imbalances through support for the development and structural adjustment of regional economies, including the conversion of declining industrial regions and regions lagging behind, ***taking into account the difficulties affecting areas with permanent serious natural or demographic handicaps.***

Amendment 17
Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) investments in infrastructure providing basic services to citizens in the areas of energy, environment, transport, and information and communication technologies (ICT);

(b) investments in infrastructure providing basic services to citizens in the areas of energy, environment, ***sustainable tourism and*** transport, and information and communication technologies (ICT);

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) investments in measures to increase energy efficiency in the transport sector, especially in the field of urban transport;

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) development of endogenous potential by supporting regional and local development and research and innovation. These measures shall include:

(d) development of endogenous potential by supporting regional and local development and research and innovation, ***while taking care not to increase levels of bureaucracy.*** These measures shall include:

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) support to public research and innovation bodies and investment in technology and applied research in enterprises;

(iii) support to public research and innovation bodies and investment in technology, ***transport safety, technological innovation in transport and mobility as well as*** applied research in enterprises;

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d – point iv

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv) networking, cooperation and exchange of experience between regions, towns, and relevant social, economic and environmental actors;

(iv) networking, cooperation and exchange of experience ***and best practices*** between regions, towns, and relevant social, economic and environmental actors;

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d – point iv a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv a) new capacity building, studies and preparatory actions;

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In more developed regions, the ERDF shall not support investments in infrastructure providing basic services to citizens in the areas of environment, transport, and ICT.

deleted

Justification

If the ERDF is to provide effective support for the efforts to achieve the objective of 'Investment for growth and employment', its scope must include the environment, transport and ICT, which are key areas in that respect.

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 – point d a (new)

(da) large projects for road connections without a trans-border connection, airports within 200 km of each other not cooperating on the use of infrastructure capacities;

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) at least 80% of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to the thematic objectives set out in ***points 1, 3 and 4 of*** Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] ;

(i) at least 80% of the total ERDF resources at national level shall be allocated to ***up to three of*** the thematic objectives set out in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR];

Justification

Regional policy has to allow for the fact that needs are different from one area to the next. Thematic concentration is undoubtedly necessary, but decisions cannot be taken without regard to regional variations. If the individual regions, together with the Commission, assess their needs, funding priorities can be determined.

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) promoting entrepreneurship, in particular by facilitating the economic exploitation of new ideas and fostering the creation of new firms;

(a) promoting entrepreneurship, in particular by facilitating the economic exploitation of new ideas and fostering the creation of new firms ***in areas which are fundamental to European growth and competitiveness, in particular tourism and related sectors;***

Justification

The economic fabric of the tourism sector, which incorporates many SMUs, is very dense.

Increased competition at world level and the need to safeguard the competitiveness of tourism firms make Union support essential in order to foster entrepreneurship in the tourism and related sectors.

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) developing new business models for SMEs, in particular for internationalisation;

(b) developing ***and implementing*** new business models for SMEs, in particular for internationalisation;

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 3 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) developing SME activities in emerging fields linked to European and regional challenges, for example devising and promoting services related to new forms of environment-friendly tourism and eco-tourism;

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3a) Investments in tourism projects and promotion of measures consistent with the European Tourism Strategy;

Justification

This amendment reflects Article 195 TFEU, which stipulates that the Union should complement the action of the Member States in the tourism sector.

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ***promoting*** energy efficiency and renewable energy use in SMEs;

Amendment

(b) ***increasing*** energy efficiency and renewable energy use in SMEs;

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) ***supporting*** energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures and in the housing sector;

Amendment

(c) ***increasing*** energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures and in the housing sector;

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) promoting low-carbon strategies for urban areas;

Amendment

(e) promoting low-carbon strategies for urban areas, ***especially through the promotion of sustainable local, urban and regional transport and mobility such as public transport and non-motorised mobility***;

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) action to improve the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites and reduction of air pollution;

Amendment

(e) action to improve the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites and reduction of air pollution, ***including the areas located in***

the immediate neighbourhood of the urban areas;

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e a) supporting endogenous growth potential of specific areas by enhancing accessibility to and use of specific natural and cultural resources and developing sustainable regional and local tourism;

Amendment 35

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ea) measures to foster sustainable tourism and encourage responsible initiatives and practices on the part of professionals in the tourism and related sectors and of tourists, including measures to reduce the sector's impact on the environment and facilitate adaptation to climate change;

Justification

Tourism has a real impact on the environment. Measures to reduce the damage it does to our natural heritage and to biodiversity and foster responsible and sustainable behaviour and infrastructure should therefore be encouraged.

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) promoting sustainable transport and

(7) promoting *efficient co-modality aiming*

removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures:

at sustainable, safe and eco-efficient transport and mobility, while focusing on improving existing infrastructure, bridging missing-links in cross-border connections and removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures, including connections to regional, local, rural and urban areas;

Amendment 37

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) supporting a **multimodal** Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network (**TEN-T**) **network**;

Amendment

(a) supporting a **sustainable, safe and efficient co-modal** Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network **while focusing on the integration of the Member States which acceded to the European Union on or after 1 May 2004 and their infrastructure needs based on solidarity and territorial cohesion, while focusing on the eco-efficiency of modernising existing infrastructure in the short term and avoiding the reliance on the long term realisation of very large projects**;

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) enhancing regional mobility through **connecting** secondary and tertiary nodes **to** TEN-T infrastructure;

Amendment

(b) enhancing regional mobility through **the interconnection of** secondary and tertiary nodes **and networks with** TEN-T infrastructure;

Justification

Regional mobility and the dynamism and attractiveness of territories depend to a significant degree on effective interconnections between TEN-T infrastructures and secondary and

tertiary nodes and networks.

Amendment 39

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) enhancing regional mobility through connecting secondary and tertiary nodes to TEN-T infrastructure;

Amendment

(b) enhancing regional mobility through connecting secondary and tertiary nodes to TEN-T infrastructure ***and by investing in regional airports;***

Amendment 40

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) developing ***environment-friendly and low-carbon*** transport systems and promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Amendment

(c) ***improving, upgrading and*** developing ***safe, renewable-energy based, environment friendly, low-noise, intelligent and interoperable*** transport systems and promoting sustainable ***accessible regional, local, rural and*** urban ***transport and*** mobility;

Amendment 41

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) d) completing fundamental transport infrastructure in less developed regions in order to improve territorial and social cohesion, boost employment, create sustainable and interoperable transport system, deploying environmentally efficient transport and improving transport safety, convenience and accessibility for Europe's citizens;

Amendment 42

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) developing comprehensive, high quality and *interoperable* railway system;

Amendment

(d) *promoting optimum traffic development through the introduction of intelligent transport systems, such as developing and supporting comprehensive, high quality, interoperable and accessible railway systems, including the deployment of the ERTMS and rail freight noise-reduction measures at the source; supporting environment-friendly bus services and sustainable waterborne transport;*

Amendment 43

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) developing a complex system of multimodal transport including public logistic platforms, intermodal terminals and initial support of regular intermodal transport links as well as inclusion of industrial zones and international airports in multimodal transport and their adequate connection to trans-European and fundamental national infrastructure;

Amendment 44

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) modernising urban transport and increasing its efficiency;

Amendment 45

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) developing sustainable sea or air transport systems to help open up islands to the greatest extent possible to passenger and goods traffic;

Amendment 46

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) developing tourism infrastructure, including transport infrastructure to tourism sites or facilities;

Amendment 47

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) developing a safe transport network, in particular to achieve the goal of reducing road accident victims by 50%;

Amendment 48

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point d b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d b) enhancing regional mobility through connecting secondary and tertiary nodes to TEN-T infrastructure;

Amendment 49

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Common indicators, *as set out in the Annex to this Regulation, shall be used where relevant* and in accordance with Article 24(3) of Regulation (EU) No [...]/2012 [CPR]. For common indicators, baselines shall be set at zero and cumulative targets shall be fixed for 2022.

Amendment

The Commission shall further develop the list of common indicators, taking into consideration, amongst others, minimising external costs, protecting the climate, reducing accidents, air pollution and noise in accordance with Article 24(3) of *the* Regulation (EU) No [...]/2012 [CPR] *and the provisions of the European Parliament's resolution on the 'Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area' [2011/2096(INI)]*. For common indicators, baselines shall be set at zero and cumulative targets shall be fixed for 2022.

Amendment 50

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

For programme-specific result indicators, baselines shall use the latest available data and targets shall be fixed for 2022, *but may* be expressed in quantitative *or* qualitative terms.

Amendment

3. For programme-specific result indicators, baselines shall use the latest available data and targets shall be fixed for 2022, *and shall* be expressed in *both* quantitative *and* qualitative terms.

Amendment 51

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The ERDF shall support, within operational programmes, sustainable urban development through strategies setting out integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and *social* challenges affecting urban areas.

Amendment

1. The ERDF shall support, within operational programmes, sustainable urban development through strategies setting out integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate, *social* and *mobility* challenges affecting urban areas.

Amendment 52

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The ERDF shall support, within operational programmes, sustainable urban development through strategies setting out integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and social challenges affecting urban areas.

Amendment

1. The ERDF shall support, within operational programmes, sustainable urban development through strategies setting out integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate, **transport** and social challenges affecting urban areas.

Amendment 53

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. In the light of the provisions of Parliament's roadmap for a single European transport area (2011/2096(INI)), in keeping with the principle of subsidiarity support for urban development shall take account of any urban mobility plan which has been drawn up for the urban area concerned, the provisions of which shall be laid down by the Commission in a proposal on urban mobility to be submitted by 2015.

Justification

In keeping with the thinking behind the roadmap for a single European transport area, in which Parliament calls for proposals concerning urban mobility to be put forward between now and 2015, the provisions of the urban mobility plan must be laid down by the Commission and, in the context of the provision of ERDF support, due account must be taken of those plans when the eligibility of projects is verified and when the proper use of the funds allocated is scrutinised.

Amendment 54

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) the improvement of health and life quality for the population in urban areas by reducing accidents, noise and air pollution through co-funded transport projects;

Amendment 55

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a a) city budget per capita;

Amendment 56

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the existence of a strategy for integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate and ***social*** challenges affecting urban areas.

(b) the existence of a strategy for integrated actions to tackle the economic, environmental, climate, ***social*** and ***mobility*** challenges affecting urban areas.

Amendment 57

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) point (a) shall not constitute an obstacle to the selection of cities in remote or island regions or cities whose population is significantly lower than that of the large urban agglomerations of the Member State concerned.

Amendment 58

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Operational programmes co-financed by the ERDF covering areas with severe and permanent natural or demographic handicaps referred to in Article 111(4) of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] shall pay particular attention to addressing the specific difficulties of those areas.

Amendment

Operational programmes co-financed by the ERDF covering areas with severe and permanent natural or demographic handicaps referred to in Article 111(4) of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] shall pay particular attention to ***improving mobility and accessibility in those regions*** and addressing the specific difficulties of those areas.

Amendment 59

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) freight transport services and start-up aid for transport services;

Amendment

(b) freight transport services and start-up aid for ***safe*** transport services, ***based on sustainable mobility***;

Amendment 60

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) the specific opportunities of sustainable tourism projects, based on the protection of natural, cultural and historic heritage;

Amendment 61

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. All undertakings established in the outermost regions shall be eligible for the specific additional allocation and, by way

of derogation from Article 3(1)(a), any investment in production funded under the ERDF.

Amendment 62

Proposal for a regulation Article 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 15a

Evaluation

By 31 December 2017 at the latest, the Commission shall submit to the Council and Parliament an evaluation report on the achievement of the objectives set for this Regulation.

Amendment 63

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 10 a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Tourism	Visits	Number of visits to supported attractions
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Tourism	Visits	Number of visits to supported attractions
	<i>EUR</i>	<i>Volume of investment in smart and sustainable mobility</i>

Amendment 64

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 15

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
		of which: TEN-T
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of <i>repaired</i> , reconstructed or upgraded railway line
		of which: TEN-T

Amendment 65

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 16a

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
		of which: TEN-T
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line

		railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	<i>number</i>	<i>newly built, reconstructed or upgraded cross-border sections</i>

Amendment 66

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 16 a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
	<i>tonnes/km</i>	<i>Increase in the volume of goods transported by rail</i>

Amendment 67

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 16 b (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line

		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
	<i>passengers/km</i>	<i>Increase in the number of passengers transported by rail</i>

Amendment 68

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 16 c (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
	<i>km</i>	<i>Total length of railway</i>

		<i>line equipped with ERTMS</i>
--	--	-------------------------------------

Amendment 69

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 19

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Roads	km	Total length of newly built roads
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads
		of which: TEN-T
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Roads	km	Total length of newly built roads
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of repaired , reconstructed or upgraded roads
		of which: TEN-T

Amendment 70

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 20a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Roads	km	Total length of newly built roads
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads
		of which: TEN-T

<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Roads	km	Total length of newly built roads
		of which: TEN-T
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads
		of which: TEN-T
	<i>number</i>	<i>newly built, reconstructed or upgraded cross-border sections</i>

Amendment 71

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 20 a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
<i>International airports</i>	<i>minutes</i>	<i>Total reduction of the average time needed to reach the airport by means of public transport from the nearest city centre</i>

Amendment 72

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 21 a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban

		transport service
	<i>percentage</i>	<i>Change in modal share of public transport and non-motorised mobility such as walking and cycling</i>

Amendment 73

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 21 a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service
	<i>more eco-efficient vehicles</i>	<i>Increase in the number of vehicles and development of related infrastructure for more eco-efficient urban transport</i>

Amendment 74

Proposal for a regulation Annex – 22 a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Inland waterways	tonne-km	Increase of cargo transported on improved inland waterways
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Inland waterways	tonne-km	Increase of cargo transported on improved inland waterways

	<i>passenger trips</i>	<i>Increase in the number of people using inland waterway transport services</i>
--	------------------------	--

Amendment 75

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 22a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
<i>Climate effect</i>	<i>mio of tonnes of CO₂ equivalents</i>	<i>change of greenhouse gas emissions resulting from co-funded measure(s)</i>

Amandman 76.

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 27

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Wastewater treatment	population equivalent	Additional <i>population served by improved</i> wastewater treatment
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Wastewater treatment	population equivalent	Additional wastewater treatment <i>capacity</i>

Amendment 77

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 32

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Nature and biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of <i>habitats in better conservation status</i>

<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Nature and biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of <i>restored biotopes</i>

PROCEDURE

Title	<i>Specific provisions concerning the European Regional Development Fund and the ‘Investment for growth and jobs’ goal and repeal of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006</i>	
References	<i>COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD)</i>	
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	REGI 25.10.2011	
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	TRAN 25.10.2011	
Rapporteur Date appointed	Michael Cramer 25.11.2011	
Discussed in committee	27.2.2012	24.4.2012
Date adopted	19.6.2012	
Result of final vote	+: 35 -: 0 0: 2	
Members present for the final vote	<i>Magdi Cristiano Allam, Georges Bach, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica, Philip Bradbourn, Michael Cramer, Joseph Cuschieri, Philippe De Backer, Luis de Grandes Pascual, Christine De Veyrac, Saïd El Khadraoui, Ismail Ertug, Knut Fleckenstein, Jacqueline Foster, Mathieu Grosch, Jim Higgins, Juozas Imbrasas, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Ádám Kósa, Werner Kuhn, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Gesine Meissner, Dominique Riquet, Petri Sarvamaa, Vilja Savisaar-Toomast, Olga Sehnalová, Brian Simpson, Keith Taylor, Silvia-Adriana Țicău, Giommarría Uggias, Peter van Dalen, Dominique Vlasto, Artur Zasada, Roberts Zīle</i>	
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	<i>Spyros Danellis, Gilles Pargneaux, Alfreds Rubiks</i>	
Substitute(s) under Rule 187(2) present for the final vote	<i>María Irigoyen Pérez</i>	

POSTUPAK

Naslov	<i>Posebne odredbe o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj i cilju „Ulaganje u rast i radna mjesta” i stavljanje izvan snage Uredba (EZ) br. 1080/2006</i>			
Referentni dokumenti	<i>COM(2011)0614 – C7-0328/2011 – 2011/0275(COD)</i>			
Datum podnošenja EP-u	6.10.2011			
Nadležni odbor Datum objave na plenarnoj sjednici	REGI 25.10.2011			
Odbor(i) čije se mišljenje traži Datum objave na plenarnoj sjednici	BUDG 25.10.2011	EMPL 25.10.2011	ENVI 25.10.2011	ITRE 25.10.2011
	TRAN 25.10.2011	CULT 25.10.2011	FEMM 25.10.2011	
Odbori koji nisu dali mišljenje Datum odluke	EMPL 27.10.2011	CULT 23.1.2012	FEMM 30.5.2012	
Izvjestitelj(i) Datum imenovanja	Jan Olbrycht 21.6.2011			
Razmatranje u odboru	22.11.2011	25.1.2012	20.3.2012	8.5.2012
	29.5.2012	21.6.2012	11.7.2012	26.11.2012
	24.1.2013	19.2.2013	19.3.2013	23.4.2013
	29.5.2013	19.6.2013		
Datum usvajanja	10.7.2013			
Rezultat konačnog glasovanja	+: 39 –: 0 0: 2			
Zastupnici nazočni na konačnom glasovanju	<i>François Alfonsi, Luís Paulo Alves, Catherine Bearder, Jean-Jacob Bicep, Victor Boștinăru, John Bufton, Francesco De Angelis, Tamás Deutsch, Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Brice Hortefeux, Danuta Maria Hübner, Filiz Hakaeva Hyusmenova, Vincenzo Iovine, María Irigoyen Pérez, Seán Kelly, Mojca Kleva Kekuš, Constanze Angela Krehl, Jacek Olgierd Kurski, Petru Constantin Luhan, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Vladimír Maňka, Iosif Matula, Erminia Mazzoni, Miroslav Mikolášik, Jens Nilsson, Jan Olbrycht, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Younous Omarjee, Markus Pieper, Monika Smolková, Georgios Stavrakakis, Nuno Teixeira, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Oldřich Vlasák, Kerstin Westphal, Hermann Winkler, Joachim Zeller, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska</i>			
Zamjenici nazočni na konačnom glasovanju	<i>Jan Březina, Andrea Cozzolino, Karima Delli, Cornelia Ernst, Ivars Godmanis, Elisabeth Schroedter, Evžen Tošenovský, Giommaria Uggias</i>			
Datum podnošenja	17.7.2013			