



EUROPSKI PARLAMENT

2009 - 2014

Dokument s plenarne sjednice

A7-0270/2013

17.7.2013

*****I**

IZVJEŠĆE

o prijedlogu Uredbe Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća o Kohezijskom fondu i
stavljanju izvan snage Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1084/2006
(COM(2011)0612 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD))

Odbor za regionalni razvoj

Izvjestitelj: Victor Boştinaru

Oznake postupaka

- * Postupak savjetovanja
- *** Postupak suglasnosti
- ***I Redovni zakonodavni postupak (prvo čitanje)
- ***II Redovni zakonodavni postupak (drugo čitanje)
- ***III Redovni zakonodavni postupak (treće čitanje)

(Navedeni se postupak temelji na pravnoj osnovi predloženoj u nacrtu akta.)

Izmjene nacрта akta

U amandmanima Parlamenta izmjene nacрта akta označene su ***podebljanim kurzivom***. Obični kurziv naznaka je tehničkim službama da se radi o dijelovima nacрта akta za koje se predlaže ispravak prilikom izrade konačnog teksta (na primjer o očitim pogreškama ili izostavcima u danoj jezičnoj verziji). Za predložene ispravke potrebna je suglasnost dotičnih tehničkih službi.

Zaglavlje svakog amandmana na postojeći akt koji se želi izmijeniti nacrtom akta sadrži i treći redak u kojem se navodi postojeći akt te četvrti redak u kojem se navodi odredba akta na koju se izmjena odnosi. Dijelovi teksta odredbe postojećeg akta koju Parlament želi izmijeniti, a koja je u nacrtu akta ostala nepromijenjena, označeni su ***podebljanim slovima***. Za moguća brisanja u tim dijelovima teksta koristi se oznaka [...].

SADRŽAJ

Stranica

NACRT ZAKONODAVNE REZOLUCIJE EUROPSKOG PARLAMENTA	5
EXPLANATORY STATEMENT	29
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON BUDGETS.....	33
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE ENVIRONMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH AND FOOD SAFETY	41
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON INDUSTRY, RESEARCH AND ENERGY	56
OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORT AND TOURISM	67
POSTUPAK	84

NACRT ZAKONODAVNE REZOLUCIJE EUROPSKOG PARLAMENTA

o prijedlogu Uredbe Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća o Kohezijskom fondu i stavljanju izvan snage Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1084/2006 (COM(2011)0612 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD))

(Redovni zakonodavni postupak: prvo čitanje)

Europski parlament,

- uzimajući u obzir prijedlog Komisije upućen Europskom parlamentu i Vijeću (COM(2011)0612),
 - uzimajući u obzir članak 294. stavak 2. i članak 177. Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije, u skladu s kojima je Komisija podnijela prijedlog Parlamentu (C7-0325/2011),
 - uzimajući u obzir članak 294. stavak 3. Ugovora o funkcioniranju Europske unije,
 - uzimajući u obzir mišljenje Europskog gospodarskog i socijalnog odbora od 25. travnja 2012.¹;
 - uzimajući u obzir mišljenje Odbora regija od 3. svibnja 2012.²;
 - uzimajući u obzir članak 55. Poslovnika,
 - uzimajući u obzir izvješće Odbora za regionalni razvoj i mišljenja Odbora za proračunski nadzor, Odbora za okoliš, javno zdravlje i sigurnost hrane, Odbora za industriju, istraživanje i energetiku i Odbora za promet i turizam (A7-0270/2013),
1. usvaja sljedeće stajalište u prvom čitanju;
 2. traži od Komisije da predmet ponovno uputi Parlamentu ako namjerava bitno izmijeniti svoj prijedlog ili ga zamijeniti drugim tekstom;
 3. nalaže svojem predsjedniku da stajalište Parlamenta proslijedi Vijeću, Komisiji i nacionalnim parlamentima.

¹ SL C 191, 26.6.2012., str. 38.

² SL C 225, 27.7.2012., str. 143.

Amandman 1

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(1) Člankom 174. Ugovora predviđa se da **bi** Unija **trebala** razvijati i provoditi aktivnosti koje vode jačanju njezine ekonomske, socijalne i teritorijalne kohezije. Kohezijski bi fond stoga trebao osigurati financijski doprinos projektima na području okoliša i transeuropskim mrežama na području prometne infrastrukture.

Izmjena

(1) Člankom 174. Ugovora **o funkcioniranju Europske unije (UFEU)** predviđa se da Unija **treba** razvijati i provoditi aktivnosti koje vode jačanju njezine ekonomske, socijalne i teritorijalne kohezije. Kohezijski bi fond, **uspostavljen ovom Uredbom**, stoga trebao osigurati financijski doprinos projektima na području okoliša i transeuropskim mrežama na području prometne infrastrukture.

Amandman 2

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(2) Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2012 od [...] o zajedničkim odredbama o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj, Europskom socijalnom fondu, Kohezijskom fondu, Europskom poljoprivrednom fondu za ruralni razvoj i Europskom fondu za pomorstvo i ribarstvo, obuhvaćenima Zajedničkim strateškim okvirom te o općim odredbama o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj, Europskom socijalnom fondu i Kohezijskom fondu i kojom se stavlja izvan snage Uredba (EZ) br. 1083/2006 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] uspostavlja se novi okvir za djelovanje strukturnih fondova i Kohezijskog fonda. Potrebno je navesti ciljeve Kohezijskog fonda u vezi s novim okvirom za njegovo djelovanje i u vezi sa svrhom koja mu je dodijeljena Ugovorom.

Izmjena

(2) **Odredbe koje su zajedničke za Europski fond za regionalni razvoj (EFRR), Europski socijalni fond (ESF) i Kohezijski fond utvrđene su u Uredbi (EU) br. [...] /2013 od [...] o zajedničkim odredbama o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj, Europskom socijalnom fondu, Kohezijskom fondu, Europskom poljoprivrednom fondu za ruralni razvoj i Europskom fondu za pomorstvo i ribarstvo, obuhvaćenima Zajedničkim strateškim okvirom te o općim odredbama o Europskom fondu za regionalni razvoj, Europskom socijalnom fondu i Kohezijskom fondu i kojom se stavlja izvan snage Uredba (EZ) br. 1083/2006 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]. Uredbom o zajedničkim odredbama uspostavlja se novi okvir za aktivnosti europskih strukturnih i investicijskih fondova, uključujući Kohezijski fond.**

Zbog toga je potrebno navesti ciljeve Kohezijskog fonda u vezi s novim okvirom za njegovo djelovanje i u vezi sa svrhom koja mu je dodijeljena Ugovorom o funkcioniranju Europske unije.

Amandman 3

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(3) Unija može, preko Kohezijskog fonda, doprinijeti aktivnostima u provođenju ciljeva Unije na području okoliša navedenih u člancima 11. i 191. **Ugovora.**

Izmjena

(3) Unija može, preko Kohezijskog fonda, doprinijeti aktivnostima u provođenju ciljeva Unije na području okoliša navedenih u člancima 11. i 191. **UFEU-a, i to na području energetske učinkovitosti i obnovljive energije, u sektoru prometa izvan transeuropskih mreža, u željezničkom, riječnom i pomorskom prijevozu, na području kombiniranih prometnih sustava i njihove interoperabilnosti, upravljanja cestovnim, pomorskim i zračnim prometom, na području čistoga gradskog prijevoza i u javnom prijevozu.**

Amandman 4

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 3.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(3a) Kako bi se ubrzao razvoj prometne infrastrukture diljem Unije, iz Kohezijskog fonda trebalo bi izdvojiti potporu za projekte dodane vrijednosti na području prometne infrastrukture predviđene Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2013 Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od [...] o uspostavljanju instrumenta za povezivanje Europe (u daljnjem tekstu „Uredba o instrumentu za povezivanje Europe”) ukupnim iznosom od XXX EUR. Potpora

koja će se dodijeliti iz Kohezijskog fonda trebala bi biti u skladu s pravilima utvrđenima člankom [84. stavkom 4.] Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]. U skladu s Uredbom o instrumentu za povezivanje Europe potpora bi trebala biti dostupna državama članicama koje imaju pravo financiranja samo iz Kohezijskog fonda, uz stope sufinanciranja koje se primjenjuju na taj fond.

Amandman 5

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 3.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(3.b) Trebalo bi podsjetiti da se, u slučaju kada mjere na temelju članka 192. stavka 1. Ugovora uključuju troškove koje javna tijela države članice smatraju nerazmjernima i kada se financijska pomoć Kohezijskog fonda daje u skladu s člankom 192. stavkom 5., ipak primjenjuje načelo „onečišćivač plaća”.

Amandman 6

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 4.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(4.a) Europska unija i većina država članica potpisnice su Konvencije Ujedinjenih naroda o pravima osoba s invaliditetom, dok su ostale države članice u postupku njezina ratificiranja. Pri provedbi relevantnih projekata važno je u specifikaciji projekata poštovati dostupnost za osobe s invaliditetom, kako stoji u članku 9. Konvencije.

Amandman 7

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 5.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(5) Potrebno je utvrditi posebne odredbe za vrste aktivnosti za koje se može dobiti potpora iz Kohezijskog fonda, u skladu s tematskim ciljevima koji su utvrđeni Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]. ***Istovremeno, moraju se odrediti i pojasniti izdaci izvan područja primjene Kohezijskog fonda, uključujući one u vezi sa smanjenjem emisija stakleničkih plinova u postrojenjima koja potpadaju pod Direktivu 2003/87/EZ Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od 13. listopada 2003. o uspostavi sustava trgovanja emisijskim jedinicama stakleničkih plinova unutar Zajednice i o izmjeni Direktive Vijeća 96/61/EZ.***

Amandman 8

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 5.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(5) Potrebno je utvrditi posebne odredbe za vrste aktivnosti za koje se može dobiti potpora iz Kohezijskog fonda, u skladu s tematskim ciljevima koji su utvrđeni Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

(5.a) Ulaganja u smanjenje emisije stakleničkih plinova iz aktivnosti navedenih u Prilogu I. Direktivi 2003/87/EZ Europskog parlamenta i Vijeća od 13. listopada 2003., kojom se utvrđuje shema za trgovanje kvotama emisije stakleničkih plinova u okviru Zajednice i izmjenjuje Direktiva Vijeća 96/61/EZ, ne bi trebala biti prihvatljiva za potporu iz Kohezijskog fonda budući da već imaju financijske koristi od primjene te Direktive. To isključenje ne bi trebalo ograničiti mogućnost korištenja Kohezijskog fonda radi pružanja potpore aktivnostima koje nisu navedene u Prilogu I. Direktivi 2003/87/EZ, čak i ako

ih provode isti gospodarski subjekti, kao što su energetske učinkovite ulaganja u kogeneraciju toplinske i električne energije te u područne toplinske mreže, pametnu distribuciju energije, sustave pohranjivanja i prijenosa, mjere za smanjenje onečišćenja zraka itd., čak i ako je jedan od njihovih neizravnih učinaka smanjenje emisija stakleničkih plinova ili ako su navedeni u nacionalnom planu iz članka 10.c stavka 1. Direktive 2003/87/EZ.

Amandman 9

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.b (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(5 b) Ulaganja u stambeni sektor, osim radi promicanja energetske učinkovitosti i korištenja obnovljive energije, ne mogu biti prihvatljiva za potporu iz Kohezijskog fonda budući da nisu obuhvaćena područjem primjene potpore iz Kohezijskog fonda definiranom Ugovorom o funkcioniranju Europske unije.

Amandman 10

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.c (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(5 c) Važno je osigurati da se pri promicanju ulaganja u upravljanje rizikom uzimaju u obzir specifični rizici na regionalnoj, prekograničnoj i transnacionalnoj razini.

Amandman 11

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 5.d (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(5 d) Trebalo bi osigurati komplementarnost i sinergije između intervencija koje se podupiru iz Kohezijskog fonda, EFRR-a, ETS-a i instrumenta za povezivanje Europe kako bi se izbjeglo udvostručavanje napora i zajamčilo optimalno povezivanje različitih vrsta infrastrukture na lokalnoj, regionalnoj i nacionalnoj razini te širom Europske unije.

Amandman 12

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 6.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(6) Kako bi se uzele u obzir posebne potrebe Kohezijskog fonda te u skladu sa strategijom Europa 2020., prema kojoj bi se kohezijskom politikom trebala podržavati potreba za ostvarenjem pametnog, održivog i uključivog rasta, potrebno je odrediti prioritete ulaganja u okviru područja primjene tematskih ciljeva koji su utvrđeni Uredbom (EU) br. [...]/**2012** [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

(6) Kako bi se uzele u obzir posebne potrebe Kohezijskog fonda te u skladu sa strategijom Europa 2020., prema kojoj bi se kohezijskom politikom trebala podržavati potreba za ostvarenjem pametnog, održivog i uključivog rasta, potrebno je odrediti prioritete ulaganja u okviru područja primjene tematskih ciljeva koji su utvrđeni Uredbom (EU) br. [...]/**2013** [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]. ***Tim prioritetima ulaganja trebalo bi definirati specifične ciljeve, koji se uzajamno ne isključuju, kojima će Kohezijski fond davati potporu. Ti prioriteti ulaganja trebaju činiti temelj za definiciju posebnih ciljeva unutar operativnih programa koji uzimaju u obzir potrebe i karakteristike programskog područja. Kako bi se povećala fleksibilnost i smanjilo administrativno opterećenje zahvaljujući zajedničkoj provedbi, trebalo bi uskladiti prioritete ulaganja EFRR-a i Kohezijskog***

Amandman 13

Prijedlog uredbe Uvodna izjava 7.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(7) Zajednički niz pokazatelja za ocjenjivanje napretka provedbe **programa** trebalo bi odrediti **prije nego države članice izrade svoje operativne programe**. Te pokazatelje trebali bi nadopuniti pokazatelji specifični za određeni program.

Izmjena

(7) Zajednički niz pokazatelja **ostvarenja** za ocjenjivanje **ukupnog** napretka provedbe **programa na razini Unije** trebalo bi odrediti **u ovoj Uredbi. Oni bi trebali odgovarati prioritetu ulaganja i vrsti aktivnosti koje se podupiru u skladu s ovom Uredbom i relevantnim odredbama Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]**. Te pokazatelje trebali bi nadopuniti **pokazatelji rezultata** za pojedine programe, **i po potrebi** pokazatelji **ostvarenja** za pojedine programe.

Amandman 14

Prijedlog Uredbe Uvodna izjava 8.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(8) Ovom se Uredbom zamjenjuje Uredba Vijeća (EZ) br. 1084/2006 od 11. srpnja 2006. o osnivanju Kohezijskog fonda **i stavljanju izvan snage Uredbe (EZ) br. 1164/94. Radi jasnoće Uredbu (EZ) br. 1084/2006** stoga treba staviti izvan snage.

Izmjena

(8) Ovom se Uredbom zamjenjuje Uredba Vijeća (EZ) br. 1084/2006 od 11. srpnja 2006. o osnivanju Kohezijskog fonda. Zbog toga bi **tu** Uredbu trebalo staviti izvan snage. **Međutim ova Uredba ne bi trebala utjecati na nastavak niti na izmjenu pomoći koju je Komisija odobrila na temelju Uredbe (EZ) br. 1084/2006 ili bilo kojeg drugog zakonodavstva koje se primjenjuje na tu pomoć na dan 31. prosinca 2013. i koje bi se potom trebalo dosljedno primjenjivati na tu pomoć ili dotične projekte do njihova zaključenja. Stoga bi zahtjevi za dobivanje pomoći podneseni ili odobreni u skladu s Uredbom (EZ) br. 1084/2006 trebali ostati**

valjani.

Amandman 15

Prijedlog Uredbe

Uvodna izjava 8.a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(8 a) Budući da države članice ne mogu dostatno ostvariti ciljeve ekonomske, socijalne i teritorijalne kohezije zbog opsega razlika u stupnju razvijenosti različitih regija i zaostalosti regija u najnepovoljnijem položaju te zbog ograničenih financijskih sredstava država članica i regija, te se zbog toga ti ciljevi mogu lakše ostvariti na razini Unije, Unija može donijeti mjere u skladu s načelom supsidijarnosti kako je utvrđeno u članku 5. Ugovora o Europskoj uniji. U skladu s načelom proporcionalnosti iz tog članka ova Uredba ne prelazi ono što je potrebno za ostvarivanje tih ciljeva.

Amandman 16

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 1. – naslov i stavak 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Predmet

Ovom se Uredbom utvrđuju zadaci Kohezijskog fonda i područje primjene potpora u vezi s ciljem „Ulaganje u rast i radna mjesta” iz članka 81. Uredbe (EU) br. []/2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Uspostava i predmet Kohezijskog fonda

1. Ovime se uspostavlja Kohezijski fond u svrhu jačanja ekonomske, teritorijalne i socijalne kohezije Unije u interesu promicanja održivog razvoja. Ovom se Uredbom utvrđuju zadaci Kohezijskog fonda i područje primjene potpora u vezi s ciljem „Ulaganje u rast i radna mjesta” iz članka 81. Uredbe (EU) br. []/2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Amandman 17

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 1. – stavak 1.a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

1. a Kohezijski fond reguliran je Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] i ovom Uredbom.

Amandman 18

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 2. – stavak 2. – točka a

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(a) stavljanje nuklearnih elektrana izvan pogona;

(a) stavljanje izvan pogona **i izgradnja** nuklearnih elektrana;

Amandman 19

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 2. – stavak 2. – točka b

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) smanjenje emisija stakleničkih plinova **u postrojenjima** koja potpadaju pod Direktivu 2003/87/EZ;

(b) **ulaganje u** smanjenje emisija stakleničkih plinova **iz djelatnosti** koje potpadaju pod **Prilog I.** Direktivi 2003/87/EZ;

Amandman 20

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 2. – stavak 2. – točka c

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c) stambene jedinice.

(c) stambeni sektor, **osim radi promicanja energetske učinkovitosti i korištenja obnovljive energije;**

Amandman 21

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 2. – stavak 2. – točka ca (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c.a) proizvodnja, prerada i stavljanje na tržište duhana i duhanskih proizvoda;

Amandman 22

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 2. – stavak 2. – točka cb (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(cb) poduzeća u poteškoćama kako je utvrđeno propisima Unije o državnim potporama;

Amandman 23

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 2. – stavak 2. – točka cc (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(cc) ulaganje u aerodromsku infrastrukturu, osim ako se odnosi na zaštitu okoliša ili je je popraćeno ulaganjima potrebnima za ublažavanje ili smanjenje njezina negativna utjecaja na okoliš.

Amandman 24

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 2.a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 2.a

Potpora iz Kohezijskog fonda projektima prometne infrastrukture u okviru

instrumenta za povezivanje Europe

Iz Kohezijskog fonda daje se potpora projektima prometne infrastrukture europske dodane vrijednosti utvrđenima Uredbom (EU) br. [...] /2013 [o uspostavljanju instrumenta za povezivanje Europe] u iznosu od XXX EUR u skladu s člankom [84. stavkom 4.] Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama].

Amandman 25

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 1. – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

U skladu s člankom 16. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] iz Kohezijskog fonda daje se potpora sljedećim prioritetima ulaganja u okviru tematskih ciljeva određenih člankom 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama]:

Izmjena

U skladu s člankom 16. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] iz Kohezijskog fonda daje se potpora sljedećim prioritetima ulaganja u okviru tematskih ciljeva određenih člankom 9. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 **[Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama], uzimajući u obzir potrebe i potencijale opisane u Ugovoru o partnerstvu iz članka 14. stavka 1. točke (a) podtočke i. te Uredbe:**

Amandman 26

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3 – točka c – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(a) podržavanje prelaska na ekonomiju s niskom razinom emisije CO₂ u svim sektorima **na sljedeći način:**

Izmjena

(a) podrži prelasku na ekonomiju s niskom razinom emisije ugljika u svim sektorima:

Amandman 27

Prijedlog Uredbe

Article 3 – point a – point i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(i) promicanjem proizvodnje i distribucije **obnovljivih** izvora energije;

Izmjena

(i) promicanjem proizvodnje i distribucije energije **dobivene iz obnovljivih izvora**;

Amandman 28

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – stavak 1. – točka a – podtočka ii.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(ii) promicanjem energetske učinkovitosti i korištenja obnovljive energije u **malim i srednjim** poduzećima;

Izmjena

(ii) promicanjem energetske učinkovitosti i korištenja obnovljive energije u poduzećima;

Amandman 29

Prijedlog Uredbe

Article 3 – point a – point iii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iii) podržavanjem energetske učinkovitosti i korištenja obnovljive energije u javnoj infrastrukturi;

Izmjena

(iii) podržavanjem energetske učinkovitosti, **pametnog upravljanja energijom** i korištenja obnovljive energije u javnoj infrastrukturi, **uključujući javne objekte i stambeni sektor**;

Amandman 30

Prijedlog Uredbe

Article 3 – point a – point iv

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

iv. razvijanjem pametnih sustava distribucije na niskim razinama napona;

Izmjena

iv. razvijanjem **i primjenom** pametnih sustava distribucije na niskim **i srednjim** razinama napona;

Amandman 31

Prijedlog Uredbe

Article 3 – point a – point v

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

v. promicanjem strategija za niske razine ugljika u urbanim područjima;

Izmjena

v. promicanjem strategija za niske razine ugljika ***na svim vrstama teritorija, a posebno*** u urbanim područjima, ***uključujući promicanje održive multimodalne gradske mobilnosti i mjere prilagodbe relevantne za ublažavanje klimatskih promjena;***

Amandman 32

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka a – točka v a (nova)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(va) promicanje korištenja visokoučinkovite kogeneracije toplinske i električne energije na temelju potražnje korisne topline;

Amandman 33

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka b – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(b) promicanju prilagodbe klimatskim promjenama, sprečavanju rizika i upravljanju njime:

(b) promicanju prilagodbe klimatskim promjenama, sprečavanju rizika i upravljanju njime:

Amandman 34

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka b – točka i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(i) podupiranjem ***namjenskog*** ulaganja u

(i) podupiranjem ulaganja u prilagodbu

prilagodbu klimatskim promjenama;

klimatskim promjenama, ***uključujući pristupe utemeljene na ekosustavu;***

Amandman 35

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 3 – točka c – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(c) zaštititi okoliša i promicanju učinkovitosti resursa:

(c) ***očuvanju i*** zaštititi okoliša i promicanju učinkovitosti resursa:

Amandman 36

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka c – točka i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(i) ***zadovoljavanjem znatnih potreba za ulaganje*** u sektor otpada kako bi se ispunili zahtjevi pravne stečevine Unije u vezi s okolišem;

(i) ***ulaganjem*** u u sektor otpada kako bi se ispunili zahtjevi pravne stečevine Unije u vezi s okolišem ***i zadovoljile potrebe za ulaganjem izvan okvira tih zahtjeva koje su utvrdile države članice;***

Amandman 37

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka c – točka ii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

(ii) ***zadovoljavanjem znatnih potreba za ulaganje*** u vodni sektor kako bi se ispunili zahtjevi pravne stečevine Unije u vezi s okolišem;

(ii) ***ulaganjem*** u vodni sektor kako bi se ispunili zahtjevi pravne stečevine Unije u vezi s okolišem ***i zadovoljile potrebe za ulaganjem izvan okvira tih zahtjeva koje su utvrdile države članice;***

Amandman 38

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka c – točka iii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iii) zaštitom i obnovom biološke raznolikosti, uključujući preko zelenih infrastruktura;

Izmjena

(iii) zaštitom i obnovom biološke raznolikosti, **zaštitom i sanacijom tla te promicanjem usluga ekosustava**, uključujući preko **programa NATURA 2000 i** zelenih infrastruktura;

Amandman 39

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka c – točka iv

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

iv. **poboljšanjem** urbanog okoliša, **uključujući** obnovu napuštenih industrijskih područja **i** smanjenje onečišćenja zraka;

Izmjena

iv. **aktivnostima za poboljšanje** urbanog okoliša, **revitalizaciju gradova**, obnovu **i dekontaminaciju** napuštenih industrijskih područja (**uključujući područja koja se mijenjaju**), smanjenje onečišćenja zraka **i promicanje mjera za smanjenje buke**;

Amandman 40

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka d – uvodni dio

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(d) promicanju održivog prometa i otklanjanju uskih grla u infrastrukturama glavnih mreža:

Izmjena

(d) promicanju održivog prometa i otklanjanju uskih grla u infrastrukturama glavnih mreža:

Amandman 41

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka d – točka i

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(i) podupiranjem multimodalnog

Izmjena

(i) podupiranjem multimodalnog

jedinstvenog europskog prometnog prostora ulaganjem u transeuropsku prometnu mrežu;

jedinstvenog europskog prometnog prostora ulaganjem u transeuropsku prometnu mrežu (**TEN-T**);

Amandman 42

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka d – točka ii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(ii) razvijanjem prometnih sustava prihvatljivih za okoliš s niskim emisijama ugljika, uključujući **promicanje** održive **urbane** mobilnosti;

Izmjena

(ii) razvijanjem **i poboljšanjem** prometnih sustava prihvatljivih za okoliš (**uključujući nizak stupanj buke**) s niskim emisijama ugljika, uključujući **unutarnje vodne putove i pomorski promet, luke, multimodalne veze i infrastrukturu zračnih luka kako bi se promicala** održiva **regionalna i lokalna** mobilnost;

Amandman 43

Prijedlog Uredbe

Članak 3. – točka d – točka iii

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(iii) razvijanjem sveobuhvatnih, visokokvalitetnih i interoperabilnih željezničkih sustava;

Izmjena

(iii) razvijanjem **i obnavljanjem** sveobuhvatnih, visokokvalitetnih i interoperabilnih željezničkih sustava **te promicanjem mjera za smanjenje buke**;

Amandman 44

Prijedlog uredbe

Članak 3. – točka e

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

(e) poboljšanju institucijskog kapaciteta i učinkovite javne uprave jačanjem institucijskog kapaciteta i učinkovitosti javnih uprava i službi povezanih s primjenom Kohezijskog fonda.

Izmjena

(e) poboljšanje institucijskog kapaciteta **javnih vlasti i dionika** i učinkovite javne uprave jačanjem institucijskog kapaciteta i učinkovitosti javnih uprava i službi povezanih s primjenom Kohezijskog fonda.

Amandman 45

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

1. Po potrebi se i u skladu s člankom 24. stavkom 3. Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2012 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] koriste zajednički pokazatelji utvrđeni u Prilogu ovoj Uredbi. **Osnovne vrijednosti zajedničkih pokazatelja postavljaju se na nulu, a kumulativne ciljne vrijednosti utvrđuju se za 2022.**

2. Za pokazatelje ostvarenja pojedinih programa osnovne vrijednosti postavljaju se na nulu, **a kumulativne ciljne vrijednosti utvrđuju se za 2022.**

3. Za pokazatelje rezultata pojedinih programa osnovne vrijednosti postavljaju se korištenjem zadnjih dostupnih podataka, a ciljne vrijednosti utvrđuju se za 2022., **ali** mogu se izraziti u smislu količine ili kvalitete.

Izmjena

1. U skladu s člankom 24. stavkom 3. **i člankom 87. stavkom 2. točkom (b) točkama ii. i iv.** Uredbe (EU) br. [...] /2013 [Uredba o zajedničkim odredbama] koriste se zajednički pokazatelji **ostvarenja** utvrđeni u Prilogu ovoj Uredbi, **pokazatelji rezultata pojedinih programa i po potrebi pokazatelji ostvarenja pojedinih programa.**

2. Za **zajedničke pokazatelje** i pokazatelje ostvarenja pojedinih programa osnovne vrijednosti postavljaju se na nulu. Kumulativne **kvantificirane ciljne vrijednosti za te pokazatelje** utvrđuju se za 2022.

3. Za pokazatelje rezultata pojedinih programa, **koji se odnose na prioritete ulaganja**, osnovne vrijednosti postavljaju se korištenjem zadnjih dostupnih podataka, a ciljne vrijednosti utvrđuju se za 2022. **Ciljne vrijednosti** mogu se izraziti u smislu količine ili kvalitete.

3.a Komisija je ovlaštena donositi delegirane akte u skladu s člankom 5.a kako bi izmijenila listu zajedničkih pokazatelja ostvarenja iz Priloga ovoj Uredbi i po potrebi izvršila prilagodbu da bi se osigurala učinkovita procjena napretka u provedbi programa.

Amandman 46

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5. – stavak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

2. Zahtjevi za dobivanje pomoći podneseni

Izmjena

2. Zahtjevi za dobivanje pomoći podneseni

u skladu s Uredbom (EZ) br. 1084/2006
ostaju važeći.

ili odobreni u skladu s Uredbom (EZ)
br. 1084/2006 ostaju važeći.

Amandman 47

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 5.a (novi)

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Izmjena

Članak 5.a

Delegiranje ovlasti

- 1. Ovlast za donošenje delegiranih akata dodjeljuje se Komisiji podložno uvjetima utvrđenima u ovom članku.**
- 2. Ovlast za donošenje delegiranih akata iz članka 4. stavka 3.a dodjeljuje se Komisiji na neodređeno razdoblje počevši od 1. siječnja 2014.**
- 3. Europski parlament ili Vijeće mogu u bilo kojem trenutku opozvati delegiranje ovlasti iz članka 4. stavka 3.a. Odlukom o opozivu prekida se delegiranje ovlasti navedeno u toj odluci. Opoziv proizvodi učinke dan nakon objave spomenute odluke u Službenom listu Europske unije ili na kasniji dan naveden u toj odluci. On ne utječe na valjanost delegiranih akata koji su već na snazi.**
- 4. Čim donese delegirani akt, Komisija ga istodobno priopćuje Europskom parlamentu i Vijeću.**
- 5. Delegirani akt donesen na temelju članka 4. stavka 3.a stupa na snagu samo ako Europski parlament ili Vijeće u roku od dva mjeseca od priopćenja tog akta Europskom parlamentu i Vijeću na njega ne ulože nikakav prigovor ili ako su prije isteka tog roka i Europski parlament i Vijeće obavijestili Komisiju da neće uložiti prigovore. Taj se rok produžuje za dva mjeseca na inicijativu Europskog parlamenta ili Vijeća.**

Amandman 48

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 6.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Uredba (EZ) br. 1084/2006 ovime se stavlja izvan snage.

Upućivanja na Uredbu stavljenju izvan snage tumače se kao upućivanja na ovu Uredbu.

Izmjena

Ne dovodeći u pitanje uredbe utvrđene u članku 5., Uredba (EZ) br. 1084/2006 ovime se stavlja izvan snage s učinkom od 1. siječnja 2014.

Upućivanja na Uredbu stavljenju izvan snage tumače se kao upućivanja na ovu Uredbu ***i čitaju se u skladu s korelacijskom tablicom utvrđenom u Prilogu X.***

Amandman 49

Prijedlog Uredbe Članak 7.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Europski parlament i Vijeće preispitat će ovu Uredbu do **31. prosinca 2022.** u skladu s člankom 177. Ugovora.

Izmjena

Europski parlament i Vijeće preispitat će ovu Uredbu do **31. prosinca 2020.** u skladu s člankom 177. Ugovora ***o funkcioniranju Europske unije.***

Amandman 50

Prijedlog Uredbe Prilog – naslov 1. – podnaslov 1.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

Kruti otpad

Izmjena

JEDINICA

tone

IME

dodatni kapacitet
recikliranja otpada

JEDINICA

tona/godini

IME

dodatni kapacitet
recikliranja otpada

Amandman 51
Prijedlog Uredbe
Prilog – naslov 1. – podnaslov 2. – redak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

JEDINICA	IME
m ³	<i>procjena smanjenja istjecanja u mreži distribucije vode</i>

Izmjena

JEDINICA	IME
<i>Briše se.</i>	<i>Briše se.</i>

Amandman 52
Prijedlog Uredbe
Prilog – naslov 1. – podnaslov 4. – redak 2.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Sprečavanje rizika i upravljanje rizikom	osobe	broj stanovnika koji imaju korist od mjera zaštite od šumskih požara <i>i drugih zaštitnih mjera</i>

Izmjena

	JEDINICA	IME
Sprečavanje rizika i upravljanje rizikom	osobe	broj stanovnika koji imaju korist od mjera zaštite od šumskih požara

Amandman 53
Prijedlog Uredbe
Prilog – naslov 1. – podnaslov 6.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Prekrivanje zemljišta	hektari	promjene na urbaniziranom zemljištu izazvane razvojem

Izmjena

<i>Briše se.</i>	JEDINICA	IME
Amandman 54 Prijedlog Uredbe Prilog – naslov 1. – podnaslov 7.	<i>Briše se.</i>	<i>Briše se.</i>
<i>Tekst koji je predložila Komisija</i>		
	JEDINICA	IME
Priroda i biološka raznolikost	hektari	površina staništa na boljem stupnju očuvanosti
<i>Izmjena</i>		
	JEDINICA	IME
Priroda i biološka raznolikost	hektari	površina staništa za koju se daje potpora radi postizanja boljeg stupnja očuvanosti
Amandman 55 Prijedlog uredbe Prilog – naslov 2 – podnaslov 2 - redak 2		
<i>Tekst koji je predložila Komisija</i>		
	JEDINICA	IME
	kWh/godina	smanjenje potrošnje primarne energije u javnim zgradama
<i>Izmjena</i>		
	JEDINICA	IME
	kWh/godina	smanjenje godišnje potrošnje primarne energije u javnim zgradama
Amandman 56 Prijedlog Uredbe Prilog – naslov 2. – podnaslov 3.		

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Smanjenje stakleničkih plinova	u tonama ekvivalenta CO ₂	procjena smanjenja stakleničkih plinova <i>izražena u ekvivalentima CO₂</i>

Izmjena

	JEDINICA	IME
Smanjenje stakleničkih plinova	u tonama ekvivalenta CO ₂	procjena smanjenja stakleničkih plinova

Amandman 57
Prijedlog Uredbe
Prilog – naslov 3. – podnaslov 3.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Gradski prijevoz	<i>putnički promet</i>	<i>povećanje putničkog prometa korištenjem usluge gradskog prijevoza koji prima potporu</i>

Izmjena

	JEDINICA	IME
Gradski prijevoz	<i>km</i>	<i>ukupna duljina novih ili poboljšanih tramvajskih linija ili linija podzemne željeznice</i>

Amandman 58
Prijedlog Uredbe
Prilog – naslov 3. – podnaslov 4.

Tekst koji je predložila Komisija

	JEDINICA	IME
Unutarnji plovni putovi	<i>tona/km</i>	<i>povećanje količine prevezenog tereta na poboljšanim unutarnjim</i>

Izmjena

plovnim putovima

Unutarnji plovni putovi

JEDINICA

IME

km

*ukupna duljina
poboljšanih ili izgrađenih
unutarnjih plovnih putova*

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

The Commission's proposal on the Cohesion Fund

In the context of the legislative package for Cohesion Policy as proposed by the Commission, the Cohesion Fund is part of the new Common Strategic Framework Funds, which will cover the Structural Funds, the Cohesion Fund, as well as the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development and the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund. All those funds will be subject to common provisions in several areas of programming and financial management. Moreover, and like in the current programming period, the Cohesion Fund, the ERDF and the ESF will continue subject to a further set of common specific rules regarding programming, management and monitoring.

Although the Commission proposes a new framework for the funds, the scope and objectives specific to the Cohesion Fund are not dramatically changed as compared to the current programming period¹.

In its proposal for a regulation laying down the common provisions for all the funds which will replace the current General Regulation ("the CPR"), the Commission proposes a different structure of missions and goals for the funds, by submitting all the Funds to the goal of Investment for growth and jobs, in order to contribute to the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth. The Cohesion Fund will contribute to this goal and this mission by supporting Member States whose gross national income (GNI) per capita is less than 90% of the average GNI per capita of the EU-27. However, the scope of action of the Cohesion Fund will continue to be directed to the provision of financial support to projects in the field of the environment and to trans-European networks in the area of transport infrastructure, while ensuring an appropriate balance, and according to the investment and infrastructure needs specific to each Member State (Article 2 of the proposal for a Regulation on the Cohesion Fund).

The scope of the support is nevertheless slightly modified, as Article 2(2) of the proposal for a Regulation on the Cohesion Fund modifies the cases of exclusion of support, by adding the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in installations falling under Directive 2003/87/EC. The references to interest on debt, the purchase of land for an amount exceeding 10 % of the total eligible expenditure for the operation concerned, and recoverable value added tax, currently provided for in Article 3(a), (b) and (e) of the General Regulation, are now included in Article 59(3) of the CPR, which is a common provision applicable to all the CSF funds.

One of the new features as compared to the current regulation is in Article 3 of the proposal for a Regulation on the Cohesion Fund, which in accordance with the principle of thematic concentration as provided for in Article 16 of the CPR, provides that the Cohesion Fund support is subject to a list of investment priorities, within the scope as defined above and in relation to the thematic objectives as defined in Article 9 of the CPR, and in particular:

- (a) supporting the shift towards a low-carbon economy in all sectors;
- (b) promoting climate change adaptation, risk prevention and management;
- (c) protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency;

- (d) promoting sustainable transport and removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures; and,
- (e) enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration.

Each of these objectives is then divided into a specific subset of investment priorities as listed below each of those objectives.

There is now an explicit provision providing for the support to improving institutional capacity, as this is deemed to be a particular need in the field of Cohesion Policy given the size and the significance of the projects that are to be supported¹.

Like for the current transitional scheme provided for in Article 8(3) of the General Regulation, the CPR stipulates that those Member States eligible for the Cohesion Fund financing in 2013, but whose nominal GNI per capita exceeds 90% of the average GNI per capita of the EU-27 shall receive support from the Cohesion Fund on a transitional and specific basis.

The provisions on conditionality contained in Article 4 of the General Regulation are now part of the CPR (Title II, Chapters III and IV).

The proposal for a Regulation on the Cohesion Fund contains other new elements, as compared to the Regulation currently in force. In the context of the pursuit for a more results-oriented process to ensure that cohesion policy programmes are oriented towards results, and the effective implementation of the Funds, and like in the case of the ERDF, the Commission introduces common indicators for the Cohesion Fund (Article 4 and Annex of the proposal for a Regulation on the Cohesion Fund), in order to assess progress of programme implementation towards the achievement of the objectives (Article 24(3) of the CPR).

The outcome of the trilogue

The Cohesion Fund trilogue was conducted by following to the maximum possible extent in the negotiations the position voted by the REGI Committee on 12 July 2012. The outcome is a truly satisfactory one, as it was possible to keep the line drawn by most of the Parliament's amendments, and by the most important ones in particular.

It was also sought to have a good degree of coordination and synergy with the other regulations, and this is particularly true with regard to the ERDF, where the efforts made it possible to align the corresponding investment priorities, so as to guarantee simpler rules and easier interpretation for the beneficiaries.

The scope of the Cohesion Fund (Article 2 of the *proposal for a Regulation on the Cohesion Fund*) was broadened, in line with the mandate, to include the support to energy efficiency and renewable energy use in the housing sector - as already foreseen for public infrastructures and enterprises - and to allow for the insertion in the investment priorities of support for high efficiency district heating and cogeneration of heat and power.

The main reason is that according to the latest available figures, including the European Commission's ones, with the current trends the EU would be able to achieve only less than

half of the set target of 20% increase in energy efficiency by 2020. The Cohesion Fund's support for energy efficiency and renewable energy use in private housing represents the most cost-effective way to achieve the objectives of the Europe 2020 Strategy.

A similar observation can be made concerning high efficiency district heating and cogeneration of heat and power, having in mind their importance in the Cohesion Fund Member States, their ample margins of development, and the highly positive impact on the environment that can be achieved through increased energy efficiency and use of renewable energies, thus benefitting emissions reduction and energy security.

Despite of the initial resistance opposed by the Council and the Commission, a reference was maintained in relation to the support that might be granted by the Cohesion Fund to the Connecting Europe Facility's transport infrastructure projects in Cohesion Member States. This was done given that if Cohesion Fund resources are actually transferred, this cannot be done without a reference in this Regulation, even though the actual rules regulating the transfer are to be decided in art. 84 CPR. It must be pointed out that all this matter is subject to the final outcome of the CPR and MFF negotiations, both in terms of feasibility of the transfer, and of actual amounts to be transferred.

In the spirit of alignment with the ERDF regulation, it was decided to introduce also in the Cohesion Fund regulation the explicit exclusion of support for the manufacturing, processing and marketing of tobacco and tobacco products, and for undertakings in difficulties as defined under Union State aid rules.

An important change, once again in line with what requested by the Parliament, concerns the enterprises to be made eligible for support for energy efficiency and renewable energy projects, as an agreement has been reached so as to make it possible also for enterprises other than SMEs to receive support.

In the investment priorities (Article 3), particular attention has been paid to environmental issues. Apart from the support to energy efficiency and renewable energy in housing, and to district heating and cogeneration, an important agreement has been reached for the waste and water sectors, implying that investments in these sectors will be possible not only to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental *acquis* but also to go beyond that and address the needs identified by the Member States.

The support for Natura 2000 and for environmental friendly transport are as well the result of such approach.

The issue of the macroeconomic conditionality has been discussed thoroughly, and an agreement has finally been reached so as to leave any decision on such topic to the CPR negotiations, deemed to be the best place to address the problem from a more general point of view.

Finally, common indicators have been discussed several times with the Negotiating Teams of the other regulations in order to find a solution common to ERDF, ETC and the Cohesion Fund. The final agreement reached with Council and Commission foresees an interinstitutional agreement between the three institutions, so as to guarantee the original proposal made for the annexes by the Commission after long consultations with experts

remains unchanged. Any future changes, where needed, will anyway be possible through delegated acts.

Apart from the legal provisions finalised during Interinstitutional negotiations, the agreement on the text on common output indicators is accompanied by the following Joint declaration of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the application of Article 6 of the ERDF Regulation, Article 15 of the ETC Regulation and Article 4 of the Cohesion Fund Regulation:

'The European Parliament and the Council note the assurance provided by the Commission to the EU legislature that the common output indicators for the ERDF Regulation, the ETC Regulation and the Cohesion Fund Regulation to be included in an annex to, respectively, each regulation, are the outcome of a lengthy preparatory process involving the evaluation experts of both the Commission and the Member States and, in principle, are expected to remain stable'.

21.6.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON BUDGETS

for the Committee on Regional Development

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Cohesion fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006 (COM(2011)0612 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD))

Rapporteur: Andrea Cozzolino

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

As foreseen by Article 177 and Protocol No 28 of the TFEU, the Cohesion Fund helps Member States whose GNI per inhabitant is less than 90% of the EU27 average in making investments in TEN-T transport networks and the environment. In application of the Treaty, the European Commission's proposal provides that in the field of environment, the Cohesion Fund would support investment in climate change adaptation and risk prevention, investment in the water and waste sectors and the urban environment. Investment in energy would also be eligible for support, provided it has positive environmental benefits. In the field of transport, according to the Commission's proposal, the Cohesion Fund will contribute to investments in the core Trans-European Transport Network, as well as low-carbon transport systems and urban transport.

The European Commission proposes to allocate to this fund EUR 68,7 billion (in 2011 constant prices). This amount is not specified in this cohesion fund regulation, but in article 84 of the proposed regulation laying down common provisions for all funds [CPR].¹ By comparison in the current Multiannual Financial Framework, the cohesion fund was allocated approximately EUR 71 billion (in 2011 constant prices).

In EUR billion, constant 2011 prices,

	MFF 2007 - 2013	MFF 2014 - 2020	Change
ERDF	203	183	- 10 %
ESF	78	84	+ 8 %
Cohesion Fund	71	69	- 3 %

¹ COM (2011) 615

Total	354	336	- 5,3 %
--------------	-----	-----	---------

Due to rounding, figures may not add-up exactly to the total shown

Your Rapporteur regrets this decrease and recalls the conviction expressed by the European Parliament in its resolution of 8 June 2011 that "at least a 5% increase of resources is needed for the next MFF" compared to the 2013 level to reach the agreed political and legal objectives and that "the amounts allocated to cohesion policy in the current financial programming period should be at least maintained in the next period".

Furthermore, EUR 10 billion of the EUR 68,7 billion would be ring-fenced to finance core transport networks under the "Connecting Europe Facility" (CEF). It should be noted that these EUR 10 billions remain allocated to Member States whose GNI per inhabitant is less than 90% of the EU27 average but they will be directly allocated to core Transeuropean transport network projects in those Member States and deducted from the national allocations accordingly, on a pro-rata basis. It should further be stressed that these projects will comply with the revised TEN-T guidelines currently under discussion. Finally, these EUR 10 billion will benefit from the more favourable financial support conditions provided by the Cohesion Fund (a higher co-funding rate of up to 85% than the 50% foreseen under the CEF).

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Budgets calls on the Committee on Regional Development, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Draft legislative resolution **Paragraph 1a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Recalls its resolution of 8 June 2011 on Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe¹; reiterates that sufficient additional resources are needed in the next MFF in order to enable the Union to fulfil its existing policy priorities and the new tasks provided for in the Treaty of Lisbon, as well as to respond to unforeseen events; points out that, even with an increase in the level of resources for the next MFF of at least 5% compared to the 2013 level, only a limited

contribution can be made to the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives and commitments and the principle of Union solidarity; challenges the Council, if it does not share this approach, to clearly identify which of its political priorities or projects could be dropped altogether, despite their proven European added value;

¹ *Texts adopted, P7_TA(011)0266.*

Amendment 2

Draft legislative resolution Paragraph 1b (new)

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

1b. Recalls, in particular, that in its resolution of 8 June 2011 on "Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe", the European Parliament stresses that “a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding” and concludes that “the amounts allocated to it in the current financial programming period should be at least maintained in the next period”;

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation Recital 1a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. In its resolution of 8 June 2011 on Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe, the European Parliament stressed "the European added value of cohesion policy, as this policy

constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs, a major tool for convergence, sustainable development and solidarity and one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades". The European Parliament pointed out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, in particular in the field of simplification, respond to the main challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground. The European Parliament expressed its conviction that EU cohesion policy should remain an EU wide policy giving access to resources, experiences and assistance to all EU regions.

Justification

Paragraph 64 of the European Parliament's resolution of 8 June 2011 "Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe"

Amendment 4

**Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1b (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. In its resolution of 8 June 2011, the European Parliament further stressed that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, and that the amounts allocated to it in the current financial programming period should be, at least, maintained in the next period in order to step up its efforts to reduce development disparities between EU regions. During the 2007-2013 MFF, the cohesion fund was allocated EUR 71 billion (in 2011 constant prices). However, the Commission proposes to

allocate EUR 68,7 billion (in 2011 constant prices) for 2014-2020, which would amount to a decrease of 3% compared to the 2007-2013 period.

Justification

Paragraph 67 of the European Parliament's resolution of 8 June 2011 "Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe"

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 of [...] laying down common provisions on the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund, the Cohesion Fund, the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development and the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund covered by the Common Strategic Framework and laying down general provisions on the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund and the Cohesion Fund and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006 [Common Provisions Regulation - CPR] establishes a new framework for the action of the Structural Funds and the Cohesion Fund. It is necessary to specify the objectives of the Cohesion Fund in relation to the new framework for its action and in relation to the purpose assigned to it in the Treaty.

Amendment

(2) Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 of [...] laying down common provisions on the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund, the Cohesion Fund, the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development and the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund covered by the Common Strategic Framework and laying down general provisions on the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund and the Cohesion Fund and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006 [Common Provisions Regulation - CPR] establishes a new framework for the action of the Structural Funds and the Cohesion Fund. It is necessary to specify the objectives of the Cohesion Fund in relation to the new framework for its action and in relation to the purpose assigned to it in the Treaty. ***Synergies should also be fostered between the cohesion fund and other EU instruments and programmes, relevant to transport, energy, climate, and environmental policy***

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The Union *may*, through the Cohesion Fund, contribute to actions in pursuit of the Union's environmental objectives specified in Articles 11 and 191 of the Treaty.

Amendment

(3) The Union *should*, through the Cohesion Fund, *also* contribute to actions in pursuit of the Union's environmental objectives specified in Articles 11 and 191 of the Treaty.

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Trans-European transport network projects financed by the Cohesion Fund are to comply with the guidelines *adopted by Decision No 661/2010/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2010* on Union guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network. In order to concentrate efforts, priority should be given to projects of common interest as defined in that Decision.

Amendment

Trans-European transport network projects financed by the Cohesion Fund are to comply with the guidelines *set out in Regulation (EU) No [...]/2012¹* on Union guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network. In order to concentrate efforts, priority should be given to projects of common interest as defined in that Decision.

¹ *OJL ...*

Justification

Reference should be made to the TEN-T guidelines currently under negotiation.

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation Recital 7a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7a. It is important to ensure sound financial management of the programme, and that it is implemented in the most effective and user-friendly manner possible, while also ensuring legal certainty and the accessibility of the instrument for all participants. Since activities under the cohesion fund are carried out under shared management, Member States should refrain from adding additional rules, which complicate the usage of funds for the beneficiary

PROCEDURE

Title	Cohesion Fund and repeal of Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006
References	COM(2011)0612 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD)
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	REGI 25.10.2011
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	BUDG 25.10.2011
Rapporteur Date appointed	Andrea Cozzolino 6.2.2012
Date adopted	20.6.2012
Result of final vote	+: 30 –: 2 0: 0
Members present for the final vote	Richard Ashworth, Francesca Balzani, Reimer Böge, Zuzana Brzobohatá, Andrea Cozzolino, James Elles, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Jens Geier, Ivars Godmanis, Ingeborg Gräßle, Lucas Hartong, Jutta Haug, Sidonia Elżbieta Jędrzejewska, Anne E. Jensen, Ivailo Kalfin, Sergej Kozlík, Giovanni La Via, Barbara Matera, Claudio Morganti, Juan Andrés Naranjo Escobar, Nadezhda Neynsky, Alda Sousa, László Surján, Helga Trüpel, Angelika Werthmann
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	Alexander Alvaro, Jürgen Klute, Jan Mulder, María Muñoz De Urquiza, Paul Rübig, Peter Šťastný, Theodor Dumitru Stolojan
Substitute(s) under Rule 187(2) present for the final vote	Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz

22.6.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE ENVIRONMENT, PUBLIC HEALTH AND FOOD SAFETY

for the Committee on Regional Development

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Cohesion Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006 (COM(2011)0612 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD))

Rapporteur: Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

Cohesion policy is an essential element of European development and plays a crucial role in delivering the Europe 2020 objectives. This proposal is part of a wider legislative package put forward by the Commission that brings important changes to the way cohesion policy is designed and implemented, in order to maximise its impact in delivering European priorities. The new framework aims to deliver a common set of basic rules governing all structural instruments. It defines a list of thematic objectives in line with the Europe 2020 Strategy, as well as setting out provisions concerning conditionalities and performance review, arrangements for monitoring, reporting and evaluation, management and control.

In this context, the proposal sets out the specific provisions governing the Cohesion Fund, a structural instrument which helps Member States whose Gross National Income (GNI) per inhabitant is less than 90% of the EU27 average in making investments in transport networks and the environment.

The Regulation determines the scope of intervention of the Fund: according to the Commission proposal, in the environmental field the Cohesion Fund shall promote the shift towards a low carbon economy in all sectors, support investment in climate change adaptation and risk prevention, investment in the water and waste sectors and in the urban environment. Investment in energy is also eligible for support if it has clear positive environmental benefits, for example by promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy. In the field of transport, the Cohesion Fund shall contribute to investments in the Trans-European Transport Network, as well as low-carbon transport systems and sustainable urban transport.

The Rapporteur welcomes the new framework proposed by the Commission and the proposal for the specific provisions governing the Cohesion Fund. However, the Rapporteur believes that cohesion policy, viewed as a fundamental instrument for sustainable development of the European Union, should be subject to a holistic approach that takes into account at the same time the protection of the environment, the adoption of an integrated energy policy, the creation of jobs, the development of sustainable transport and of "smart cities" that ensure a high quality of life to their citizens. Stronger emphasis should be put on conditionalities for accessing the structural funds, and the funds need to be managed in full transparency, ensuring that real "quality money" is spent by Member States and regions under the supervision of the European Union.

With this goal in mind, the Rapporteur would like to introduce a number of amendments to improve the specific proposal on the Cohesion Fund.

First of all, an integrated approach is essential for sustainable urban development: achieving "smart cities" means tackling at once issues related to energy efficiency and production, accessibility, green open spaces, social integration and adaptation of human habitats to the requirements of sustainability. Therefore, several amendments have been introduced in order to better focus the Cohesion Fund on the integrated urban dimension.

In addition, capacity building and technical assistance are key towards achieving positive and lasting consequences from the Cohesion Fund, by fostering the efficiency and transparency of public administrations and private actors involved in the management of the fund. The Union should promote good governance and smart specialisation through the implementation of its cohesion policy.

Moreover, the Cohesion Fund can be an important occasion to foster an ecosystem-based approach to the protection and restoration of biodiversity and landscapes, as well as the improvement of the interface between urban and rural areas, towards the promotion of an integral territorial cohesion that includes the urban habitat and the preservation and promotion of cultural and historical heritage.

Finally, common indicators that measure the achievement of the targets of the Fund should be complemented by new and clearer indicators for sustainable development. Such indicators need to take into account the creation of jobs achieved by means of the Cohesion Fund, and via private investment matching public support; new indicators should also be introduced to measure explicitly the introduction and implementation of urban strategies that strive towards the development of "smart cities" for our future.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety calls on the Committee on Regional Development, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) In order to address the specific needs of the Cohesion Fund, and in line with the Europe 2020 strategy that cohesion policy should support the need to deliver smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, it is necessary to set out investment priorities within the scope of the thematic objectives laid down in Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Amendment

(6) In order to address the specific needs of the Cohesion Fund, and in line with the Europe 2020 strategy that cohesion policy should support the need to deliver smart, sustainable and inclusive growth ***while minimising the external costs for society of the activities supported***, it is necessary to set out investment priorities within the scope of the thematic objectives laid down in Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) investments in the environment, including areas related to sustainable development and energy which present environmental benefits;

Amendment

(a) investments in the environment, including areas related to sustainable development, ***renewable energy*** and energy ***savings*** which present environmental benefits;

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) technical assistance.

Amendment

(c) technical assistance ***and capacity building***.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) technical assistance to achieve the goals of Europe 2020 and smart specialisations.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) housing.

deleted

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) promoting the production and distribution of renewable energy *sources*;

(i) promoting the production and ***further developing the infrastructural capacity for the*** distribution of renewable energy ***and of information technology***;

Justification

Appropriate support should be given for further development of the distribution capacity so that it can respond to the volumes produced and thus support a wider use of renewable energy.

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point a – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and

renewable energy use *in* small and medium-sized enterprises;

renewable energy use *in undertakings, in particular* in small and medium-sized enterprises (*SMEs*);

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures;

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public *and private* infrastructures;

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures;

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures *as well as in the housing sector*;

Justification

Supporting the refurbishment of houses and investments that increase the energy efficiency of the housing sector would help people to make energy savings, thus contributing to the EU's environmental policy objectives and creating significant opportunities for green jobs. This is all the more important when Member States face austerity and lack the financial resources to support such investments.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point a – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iiia) supporting the adaptation of human habitats in urban and rural areas to energy efficiency requirements and

renewable energy use;

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a – point iv

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv) developing smart distribution systems at low voltage levels;

(iv) developing smart distribution systems at low **and medium** voltage levels;

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point a – point v

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(v) promoting low-carbon strategies for urban areas;

(v) promoting low-carbon strategies **and sustainable, smart and integrated energy action plans** for urban areas, **including the promotion of sustainable urban mobility, clean public transport and measures relevant to climate mitigation and adaptation;**

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a – point v a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(v a) supporting the development of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technology;

Justification

Carbon Capture and Storage technology is crucial to reducing CO2 emissions from fossil fuel power plants and industrial installations, but the technology is immature and will be initially expensive to develop. While Cohesion Funds should not be available to support the construction of new fossil fuel power plants, however efficient they may be, it should be possible to use them to support development of the technology which has the sole purpose of

avoiding CO2 emissions into the atmosphere.

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) promoting climate change adaptation, risk prevention and management by:

Amendment

(b) promoting ***ecosystem-based*** climate change adaptation, risk prevention and management by:

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point b – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) supporting dedicated investment for adaptation to climate change;

Amendment

(i) supporting dedicated investment for adaptation to climate change, ***including restoration of river and coastal ecosystems for the purposes of flood protection***;

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point b – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems;

Amendment

(ii) promoting investment, ***and technical and institutional capacity***, to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems;

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point c – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency by:

(c) protecting the environment, ***biodiversity and ecosystems*** and promoting resource efficiency by:

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point c – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ia) promoting the renewal of water infrastructure in order to reach a higher level of resource efficiency, in historic cities in particular, where important amounts of water are lost during its distribution.

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point c – point ii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iia) promoting innovation in the water sector and the installation of new technology for the control of underground water resources.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point c – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) protecting and restoring biodiversity, including through green infrastructures;

(iii) protecting and restoring biodiversity, ***soil quality, landscapes of importance to cultural heritage and promoting ecosystem services***, including through green infrastructures ***and management of***

Natura 2000 sites;

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point c – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iiia) promoting a coherent inter-relationship between urban and rural areas to improve environmental sustainability;

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point c – point iii b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iiib) promoting integrated urban development strategies for smart cities that take a holistic approach to energy efficiency, accessibility, green spaces, adaptation of habitats and social integration;

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point c – point iv

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv) improving the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites ***and*** reduction of air pollution.

(iv) improving the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites, ***creation of green spaces***, reduction of air pollution ***and adopting measures aimed at protecting and preserving historical and cultural heritage.***

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point d – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) supporting a multi-modal Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network;

Amendment

(i) supporting a multi-modal Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network ***including sustainable waterborne transport***;

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point d – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

ii) developing environment-friendly and low-carbon transport systems including promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Amendment

ii) developing ***integrated, intermodal***, environment-friendly and low-carbon ***local public*** transport systems including promoting sustainable urban ***and suburban*** mobility;

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration by ***strengthening of institutional capacity*** and the efficiency of public administrations and public services ***related to*** implementation of the Cohesion Fund.

Amendment

(e) enhancing institutional capacity and efficient public administration by ***providing technical assistance*** and ***fostering*** the efficiency of public administrations and public services ***with a view to an effective and transparent*** implementation of the Cohesion Fund.

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – table – row -1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

<i>Productive investment</i>		
<i>Growth and jobs</i>	<i>undertakings</i>	<i>Number of undertakings receiving grants</i>
	<i>EUR</i>	<i>Private investment equalling public support</i>
	<i>full-time equivalents</i>	<i>Number of jobs created via the funding</i>

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – table – column 3 – row 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Additional waste recycling capacity

Amendment

Additional waste ***reuse and*** recycling capacity

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – table – row 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

	<i>Persons</i>	<i>Additional population served by public schemes for waste separation and recycling</i>
--	----------------	--

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – table – row 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

	<i>hectares</i>	<i>Area of land with increased capacity to prevent and mitigate floods and extreme weather conditions</i>
	<i>hectares</i>	<i>Area of land with increased capacity to prevent and resist forest fires</i>

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – table – row 12

Text proposed by the Commission

Nature and biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of habitats in better conservation status
-------------------------	----------	--

Amendment

Nature and biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of <i>marine and land habitats</i> in better conservation status
	<i>hectares</i>	<i>New green infrastructure</i>
	<i>m²</i>	<i>New green spaces in urban areas</i>

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – table – row 14a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

	<i>percentage</i>	<i>Share of renewable energy sources in total energy consumption</i>
--	-------------------	--

Justification

This indicator is useful for measuring progress towards achieving the 20% target in the EU 2020 Strategy.

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation Annex – table – row 15

Text proposed by the Commission

	kWh/year	Decrease of primary energy consumption of <i>public</i> buildings
--	----------	---

Amendment

	kWh/year	Decrease of primary energy consumption of buildings
--	----------	---

Justification

The energy efficiency indicator should measure performance for all buildings, not only for public ones.

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation Annex – table – row 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

	<i>undertakings</i>	<i>Number of undertakings with improved energy efficiency, including SMEs</i>
--	---------------------	---

Amendment 35

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – table – row 18 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

<i>Urban Development</i>		
	<i>persons</i>	<i>Population living in areas with integrated urban development strategies</i>
	<i>m²</i>	<i>New green spaces in urban areas</i>
	<i>persons</i>	<i>Population living in areas benefitting from improved cultural heritage and preserved historical buildings</i>
	<i>EUR</i>	<i>Investment in the dynamisation of economic activities in urban areas</i>

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – table – row 24 – column 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service

Amendment

Increase of passenger trips using supported urban ***and suburban public*** transport service

PROCEDURE

Title	Cohesion Fund and repeal of Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006						
References	COM(2011)0612 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD)						
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	REGI 25.10.2011						
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	ENVI 25.10.2011						
Rapporteur Date appointed	Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines 8.11.2011						
Discussed in committee	7.5.2012						
Date adopted	20.6.2012						
Result of final vote	<table> <tr> <td>+: </td><td>55</td></tr> <tr> <td>–: </td><td>0</td></tr> <tr> <td>0: </td><td>0</td></tr> </table>	+:	55	–:	0	0:	0
+:	55						
–:	0						
0:	0						
Members present for the final vote	Elena Oana Antonescu, Sophie Auconie, Pilar Ayuso, Paolo Bartolozzi, Lajos Bokros, Martin Callanan, Nessa Childers, Yves Cochet, Chris Davies, Edite Estrela, Jill Evans, Karl-Heinz Florenz, Elisabetta Gardini, Matthias Groote, Françoise Grossetête, Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines, Satu Hassi, Jolanta Emilia Hibner, Dan Jørgensen, Christa Kläß, Eija-Riitta Korhola, Holger Krahmer, Peter Liese, Kartika Tamara Liotard, Zofija Mazej Kukovič, Linda McAvan, Miroslav Ouzký, Andres Perello Rodriguez, Mario Pirillo, Pavel Poc, Frédérique Ries, Anna Rosbach, Oreste Rossi, Dagmar Roth-Behrendt, Carl Schlyter, Carl Schlyter, Richard Seeber, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Anja Weisgerber, Åsa Westlund, Glenis Willmott, Sabine Wils, Marina Yannakoudakis						
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	Margrete Auken, Erik Bánki, Cristian Silviu Buşoi, Minodora Cliveti, Gaston Franco, Vittorio Prodi, Birgit Schnieber-Jastram, Rebecca Taylor, Eleni Theoharous, Marita Ulvskog, Anna Záborská, Andrea Zanoni						
Substitute(s) under Rule 187(2) present for the final vote	Véronique Mathieu						

21.6.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON INDUSTRY, RESEARCH AND ENERGY

for the Committee on Regional Development

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Cohesion Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006 (COM(2011)0612/2 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD))

Rapporteur: Patrizia Toia

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

The European Council on Energy in February 2011 emphasised that "*the 2020 20% energy efficiency target..., which is presently not on track, must be delivered. This requires determined action to tap the considerable potential for higher energy savings of buildings, transport and products and processes*".

Buildings must be central to the EU's energy efficiency policy, as nearly 40% of final energy consumption (and 36% of greenhouse gas emissions) is in houses, offices, shops and other buildings. Moreover, buildings provide the second largest untapped cost-effective potential for energy savings after the energy sector.

The cost-effective energy savings potential in the building sector (i.e. covering both residential and non-residential buildings) is estimated to be 65 Mtoe¹, corresponding to a cumulated investment need of approximately 587 billion € for the period 2011-2020. This translates to an investment need of around **60 billion € per year** to realise this savings potential.

Your rapporteur for opinion recommends therefore that Member States and the Regions be given the flexibility to decide if they want to concentrate their investments on energy efficiency on public infrastructures and/or in the housing sector.

¹ see Commission Consultation paper "Financial support for Energy efficiency in buildings"; Eichhammer, W. et al.: Study on the Energy Savings Potentials in EU Member States, Candidate Countries and EEA Countries. 2009; Wesselink, B. et al.: Energy Savings 2020 – How to triple the impact of energy saving policies in Europe. Report to the European Climate Foundation, 2010

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Industry, Research and Energy calls on the Committee on Regional Development, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Article 174 of the Treaty provides that the Union should develop and pursue its actions leading to the strengthening of its economic, social and territorial cohesion. The Cohesion Fund should therefore provide a financial contribution to projects in the field of the environment and to trans-European networks in the area of transport infrastructure.

Amendment

(1) Article 174 of the Treaty provides that the Union should develop and pursue its actions leading to the strengthening of its economic, social and territorial cohesion. The Cohesion Fund should therefore provide a financial contribution to projects in the field of the environment and to trans-European networks in the area of transport infrastructure ***and in areas related to sustainable development which clearly offer environmental benefits, such as energy efficiency and renewable energy.***

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) Energy efficiency targets can best be reached by involving as many parties as possible, public as well as private, by aiming for the achievement of leverage effects, the creation of jobs, and the contribution to greener growth, in line with the goal of a competitive and sustainable Europe.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) investments in the environment, including areas related to sustainable development and energy which present environmental benefits;

Amendment

(a) investments in the environment, including ***in*** areas related to sustainable development and energy which present environmental benefits, ***and which contribute to the reduction of CO₂ emissions;***

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) Technical assistance to develop capacity building in urban management.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) Technical assistance to achieve the goals of Europe 20/20 and smart 'specialities'.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 - paragraph 2 - point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions ***in installations*** falling under Directive 2003/87/EC;

(b) ***investment to achieve*** the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions ***from activities*** falling under ***annex 1 of*** Directive 2003/87/EC;

Justification

Clearer wording

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 - paragraph 2 - point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) housing.

(c) housing *except for promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use.*

Justification

See amendment to Article 3(a) - (iii)

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) promoting the production and distribution of renewable energy sources;

(i) promoting the production and distribution of renewable energy sources *and of information technology.*

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 - point a - point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures;

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures *and in the housing sector;*

Justification

More flexibility on investment priorities needed on this point

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 - point a - point iv

Text proposed by the Commission

(iv) developing smart distribution systems at low voltage levels;

Amendment

(iv) developing smart distribution systems at low **and medium** voltage levels;

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 - point a - point v

Text proposed by the Commission

(v) promoting low-carbon strategies for urban areas;

Amendment

(v) promoting low-carbon strategies for ***all types of territories, in particular*** urban areas, ***including the promotion of sustainable urban mobility, clean public transport and mitigation relevant adaptation measures;***

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point c – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ia) promoting the renewal of water infrastructure in order to reach a higher level of resource efficiency, in historic cities in particular, where important amounts of water are lost during the distribution.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point c – point ii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iia) promoting innovation in the water sector and the installation of new technology for the control of underground water resources.

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point c – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) protecting and restoring biodiversity, including through green infrastructures;

(iii) protecting and restoring biodiversity, including through green infrastructures ***and Natura 2000***;

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point c – point iv

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv) improving the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites ***and*** reduction of air pollution.

(iv) improving the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites, reduction of air pollution, ***and measures aimed at the protection and preservation of historical and cultural heritage.***

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point c – point iv a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv) (a) developing and supporting provision of environmental services, promoting improvement of environmental performance and resource efficiency in SMEs and the public sector;

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point d – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) promoting sustainable transport and removing bottlenecks in **key network** infrastructures, by:

Amendment

(d) promoting **intermodal** sustainable transport and **mobility while focusing on** removing bottlenecks, **and on completing missing transborder links** in **sustainable and intermodal transport** infrastructures, by

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration by strengthening of institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to implementation of the Cohesion Fund.

Amendment

(e) enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration by strengthening of institutional capacity, **innovation capacity**, and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to implementation of the Cohesion Fund.

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation

Annex 1

Text proposed by the Commission

	UNIT	NAME
Environment		
Solid waste	tons	Additional waste recycling capacity
Water supply	persons	Additional population served by improved water supply

	m ³	Estimated reduction of leakage in water distribution network
Wastewater treatment	population equivalent	Additional population served by improved wastewater treatment
Risk prevention and management	persons	Population benefiting from flood protection measures
	persons	Population benefiting from forest fire protection and other protection measures
Land rehabilitation	hectares	Total surface area of rehabilitated land
Soil sealing	hectares	Change in land sealed due to development
Nature and biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of habitats in better conservation status
Energy and climate change		
Renewables	MW	Additional capacity of renewable energy production
Energy efficiency	households	Number of households with improved energy consumption classification
	kWh/year	Decrease of primary energy consumption of public buildings
	users	Number of additional energy users connected to smart grids
GHG reduction	tons of CO ₂ eq	Estimated decrease of GHG in CO ₂ equivalents
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
Roads	km	Total length of newly built roads
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service
Inland waterways	tonne-km	Increase of cargo transported on improved inland waterways

Amendment

	UNIT	NAME
--	------	------

Environment		
Solid waste	tons	Additional waste recycling capacity
Water supply	persons	Additional population served by improved water supply
	m ³	Estimated reduction of leakage in water distribution network
Wastewater treatment	population equivalent	Additional population served by improved wastewater treatment
<i>Environmental Services</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Number of SMEs and public authorities benefitting from environmental performance services</i>
Risk prevention and management	persons	Population benefiting from flood protection measures
	persons	Population benefiting from forest fire protection and other protection measures
Land rehabilitation	hectares	Total surface area of rehabilitated land
Soil sealing	hectares	Change in land sealed due to development
Nature and biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of habitats in better conservation status
Energy and climate change		
Renewables	MW	Additional capacity of renewable energy production
	%	<i>Share of renewable energy sources in final energy consumption</i>
Energy efficiency	households	Number of households with improved energy consumption classification
	kWh/year	Decrease of primary energy consumption of public buildings
	<i>Tonnes of oil equivalent</i>	<i>Gross energy consumption per sector</i>
	users	Number of additional energy users connected to smart grids
GHG reduction	tons of CO ₂ eq	Estimated decrease of GHG in CO ₂ equivalents
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
Roads	km	Total length of newly built roads

	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service
Inland waterways	tonne-km	Increase of cargo transported on improved inland waterways

PROCEDURE

Title	Cohesion Fund and repeal of Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006						
References	COM(2011)0612 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD)						
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	REGI 25.10.2011						
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	ITRE 25.10.2011						
Rapporteur Date appointed	Patrizia Toia 15.11.2011						
Discussed in committee	23.4.2012						
Date adopted	19.6.2012						
Result of final vote	<table> <tr> <td>+: </td><td>51</td></tr> <tr> <td>–: </td><td>0</td></tr> <tr> <td>0: </td><td>3</td></tr> </table>	+:	51	–:	0	0:	3
+:	51						
–:	0						
0:	3						
Members present for the final vote	Amelia Andersdotter, Josefa Andrés Barea, Zigmantas Balčytis, Ivo Belet, Bendt Bendtsen, Jan Březina, Reinhard Bütikofer, Maria Da Graça Carvalho, Giles Chichester, Jürgen Creutzmann, Pilar del Castillo Vera, Vicky Ford, Gaston Franco, Adam Gierek, Norbert Glante, Fiona Hall, Kent Johansson, Romana Jordan, Krišjānis Kariņš, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Philippe Lamberts, Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz, Marisa Matias, Jaroslav Paška, Aldo Patriciello, Vittorio Prodi, Miloslav Ransdorf, Teresa Riera Madurell, Michèle Rivasi, Paul Rübig, Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Francisco Sosa Wagner, Konrad Szymański, Patrizia Toia, Ioannis A. Tsoukalas, Claude Turmes, Niki Tzavela, Marita Ulvskog, Vladimir Urutchev, Adina-Ioana Vălean, Kathleen Van Brempt, Alejo Vidal-Quadras, Henri Weber						
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	Antonio Cancian, António Fernando Correia de Campos, Ioan Enciu, Roger Helmer, Jolanta Emilia Hibner, Ivailo Kalfin, Seán Kelly, Werner Langen, Mario Pirillo, Lambert van Nistelrooij						
Substitute(s) under Rule 187(2) present for the final vote	Jorgo Chatzimarkakis						

20.6.2012

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORT AND TOURISM

for the Committee on Regional Development

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Cohesion Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006 (COM(2011)0612/2 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD))

Rapporteur: Michael Cramer

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

Commission's proposal

This regulation establishes the provisions governing the Cohesion Fund and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006. The Cohesion Fund supports Member States whose GNI per inhabitant is less than 90% of the EU27 average in making investments in TEN-T transport networks and the environment, as well as the environmentally beneficial energy projects. In order to ensure the coherence with the Transeuropean Transport Networks (TEN-T) and the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), the proposal foresees 10 billion EUR of the 2014-2020 Cohesion Fund allocation to be ring-fenced in order to finance core transport networks under the Connecting Europe Facility.

Rapporteur's point of view

The rapporteur supports the Commission's proposal but advocates for a better integration of the EU 2020 targets into the EU's structural instruments, in particular when it comes to funding priorities. Furthermore, coherence between this regulation and those on the Transeuropean Transport Networks (TEN-T) and the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) is indispensable.

He endorses a result-oriented approach and supports the increased use of (ex ante-) conditionality when it comes to a shift towards more sustainable and efficient transport and mobility as well as to safety, noise reduction, environmental legislation and the protection of the climate and biodiversity.

The transport sector is responsible for approximately 24% of all CO₂ emissions and has seen them increase by 34% since 1990. Given the time needed to design and deliver large-scale infrastructure projects, investments made over the next years will determine transport and

mobility over the next decades. In order to ensure the quality standard of living for the next generations of the EU citizens, European projects should support sustainable modes, focusing on mobility chains that combine walking, cycling, car sharing, car pooling and public transport and are flexible enough to accommodate new mobility solutions.

As the Cohesion Fund and the ERDF are a major source of EU transport funding it is crucial to shift the EU's funding priorities within their respective frameworks and to focus on investments in smart and sustainable mobility in order to avoid, or at least limit, any related external costs in the future. This will also reduce the burden on future public budgets at the level of regions, Member States and the EU. Europe can no longer afford to support extremely costly and lengthy large-scale projects. Instead of "thinking big" the EU start to "act smart".

In this respect, the European added value is decisive and it is the rapporteur's view that the focus of structural support should be put on reinforcing intermodality and removing bottlenecks, filling-in missing trans-border links as well as ensuring that legislation related to safety and the protection of the environment, the climate and biodiversity is fully respected. To this end there is a need for better environmental impact assessments and clear ex ante criteria in order to incentivise the beneficiaries and make them rethink their policies at national, regional and local level.

This point of view is reflected by the following amendments.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Transport and Tourism calls on the Committee on Regional Development, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Article 174 of the Treaty provides that the Union should develop and pursue its actions leading to the strengthening of its economic, social and territorial cohesion. The Cohesion Fund should therefore provide a financial contribution to projects in the field of the environment and to trans-European networks in the area of transport infrastructure.

Amendment

(1) Article 174 of the Treaty provides that the Union should develop and pursue its actions leading to the strengthening of its economic, social and territorial cohesion. The Cohesion Fund should therefore provide a financial contribution to projects ***with a European added value*** in the field of the environment and to trans-European networks in the area of transport infrastructure.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Trans-European transport network projects financed by the Cohesion Fund are to comply with the guidelines adopted by Decision No 661/2010/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2010 on Union guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network⁹. In order to concentrate efforts, priority should be given to projects of common interest as defined in that Decision.

Amendment

(4) Trans-European transport network projects financed by the Cohesion Fund are to comply with the guidelines adopted by Decision No 661/2010/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2010 on Union guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network⁹. In order to concentrate efforts, priority should be given to projects of common interest as defined in that Decision ***after their precise definition and delimitation of their key objectives.***

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) When devising, framing, and implementing projects, provision should be made for accessibility for persons with disabilities, as referred to in Article 9 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

Justification

The EU and most of the Member States are parties to the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and the other Member States are in the process of ratifying it. That being the case, an active commitment should be made to removing all the remaining barriers, not least by using cohesion policy funding to that end.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4 b) the EU transport sector accounts for 24% of all CO₂ emissions in the EU and has seen its emissions increase by 34% since 1990¹, a shift towards more support for sustainable mobility is crucial to achieve the objectives laid down in the Commission's White Paper – Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area – Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system² and the corresponding resolution of the European Parliament of 15 December 2011³;

¹ *see European Environment Agency (2009): Report No 9/2009 - Greenhouse gas emission trends and projections in Europe 2009. Tracking progress towards Kyoto targets*

² *COM2011(144)*

³ *P7_TA(2011)0584*

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation Recital 4 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4 c) Given that the number of missing links in sustainable trans-border connections, such as rail, has increased in many regions since the fall of the Iron Curtain, the EU should prioritise the revitalisation of trans-border connections, in particular between and to New Member States, as these projects provide a real European added value while contributing to the protection of the environment, the climate and biodiversity and improving access to the Transeuropean Transport Networks (TEN-T);

Amendment 6
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4d) 90 % of all car trips in European cities are shorter than 6 km and hence have considerable potential for modal shift towards walking, cycling and public transport;

Amendment 7
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4 e) New funding priorities are indispensable, given that the major share of transport investments provided by the Cohesion Fund has in the past been directed towards road transport; although road transport is already responsible for 72 % of all greenhouse gas emissions originating from the Union transport sector¹;

¹ see European Environment Agency (2011): EEA Report No 7/2011 - TERM 2011: transport indicators tracking progress. towards environmental targets in Europe

Amendment 8
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6) In order to address the specific needs of the Cohesion Fund, and in line with the Europe 2020 strategy that cohesion policy should support the need to deliver smart,

(6) In order to address the specific needs of the Cohesion Fund, and in line with the Europe 2020 strategy that cohesion policy should support the ***principle of territorial***

sustainable and inclusive growth¹¹, it is necessary to set out investment priorities within the scope of the thematic objectives laid down in Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

cohesion and the need to deliver smart, sustainable and inclusive growth¹¹ ***while minimising external costs***, it is necessary to set out investment priorities within the scope of the thematic objectives laid down in Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Amendment 9
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6a) Article 195 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union provides that the Union shall complement the action of the Member States in the tourism sector, in particular by promoting the competitiveness of Union undertakings in that sector;

Justification

Since the entry into force, tourism is explicitly defined as one of the policy areas where the EU can take action. This change needs to be reflected in legislation and funding rules.

Amendment 10
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) A common set of indicators to assess progress of programme implementation should be set out before the Member States draft their operational programmes. These indicators should be complemented by programme-specific indicators.

(7) In accordance with a results approach based on the Europe 2020 strategy, a common set of indicators to assess progress of programme implementation should be set out before the Member States draft their operational programmes. These indicators should be complemented by programme-specific indicators.

Amendment 11
Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) investments in the environment, including areas related to sustainable development and energy which present environmental benefits;

Amendment

(a) investments in the environment, including areas related to sustainable development, ***sustainable tourism*** and ***renewable*** energy which present environmental benefits;

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point a – point v

Text proposed by the Commission

(v) promoting low-carbon strategies for urban areas;

Amendment

(v) promoting low-carbon strategies for ***regional, local, rural and*** urban areas, ***particularly as regards sustainable intermodal transport and mobility***;

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point d – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) promoting sustainable transport and removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures, ***by***:

Amendment

(e) promoting ***efficient co-modality aiming at*** sustainable, ***safe and eco-efficient*** transport and ***mobility, while focusing on improving existing infrastructure, bridging missing links in cross-border connections and*** removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures, ***including connections to regional, local, rural and urban areas***;

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point d – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) supporting a **multi-modal** Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network;

Amendment

(i) supporting a **sustainable, safe and efficient co-modal** Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network **while focusing on the integration of the Member States which acceded to the European Union on or after 1 May 2004 and their infrastructure needs based on solidarity and territorial cohesion**;

Amendment 15

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – point ii**

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) developing **environment-friendly and low-carbon** transport systems **including promoting sustainable urban** mobility;

Amendment

(ii) **improving energy efficiency in the transport sector**, developing **efficient co-modality aiming at sustainable, safe, intelligent and interoperable** transport and mobility; **with a focus on promoting accessible intermodal mobility chains of public transport-walking-cycling-carsharing-carpooling; the support for these actions shall be conditional upon the adoption of a Sustainable Urban Mobility Plan (SUMP)**;

Amendment 16

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – point iii**

Text proposed by the Commission

iii) developing comprehensive, high quality and interoperable railway systems;

Amendment

iii) **promoting optimum traffic development by implementing intelligent and accessible transport systems, such as** developing comprehensive, high-quality and interoperable railway systems **while in particular deploying ERTMS and reducing rail freight noise at the source**;

supporting environment-friendly bus services and sustainable waterborne transport;

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation Article 3 – point e a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e a) strengthen the Commission's coordination role (MOVE and REGI DG's) in order to contribute to better funding efficiency and stimulate the full potential of the Cohesion fund;

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Common indicators, as set out in the Annex to this Regulation, shall be used where relevant and in accordance with Article 24(3) of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR]. For common indicators, baselines shall be set at zero and cumulative targets shall be fixed for 2022,

The Commission shall further develop the list of common indicators, taking into consideration, amongst others, minimising external costs, protecting the climate, reducing accidents, air pollution and noise in accordance with Article 24(3) of the Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] and the provisions of the European Parliament's resolution on the 'Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area' [2011/2096(INI)]. For common indicators, baselines shall be set at zero and cumulative targets shall be fixed for 2022.

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. For programme-specific result indicators, baselines shall use the latest available data and targets shall be fixed for 2022, ***but may*** be expressed in quantitative ***or*** qualitative terms.

Amendment

3. For programme-specific result indicators, baselines shall use the latest available data and targets shall be fixed for 2022, ***and shall*** be expressed in ***both*** quantitative ***and*** qualitative terms.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation Article 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 6 a

Evaluation

By 31 December 2017 at the latest, the Commission shall submit to the European Parliament an evaluation report on the achievement of the objectives set out in this Regulation.

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation Article 7 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b, No later than two years after the expiry of the programme, the Commission shall carry out an ex post evaluation of its impact and European added value and shall send the evaluation report to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the Regions.

Justification

Gives effect to Article 50 of the ‘Common Provisions’ Regulation.

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 6

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Wastewater treatment	population equivalent	Additional population served by improved water supply
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Wastewater treatment	population equivalent	Additional wastewater treatment capacity

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 13

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Nature and biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of habitats in better conservation status
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Nature and biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of restored biotopes

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 22a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Railway	km	Total length of new

		railway line
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
	<i>number</i>	<i>newly built, reconstructed or upgraded cross-border sections</i>

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – row 22b + 22c (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
	<i>tonnes/km</i>	<i>Increase in goods transported by freight</i>
	<i>passengers/km</i>	<i>Increase in number of passengers transported by rail</i>

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – row 22d (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Transport		
Railway	km	Total length of new railway line
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded railway line
	<i>km</i>	<i>Total length of ERTMS-equipped railway line</i>

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 24a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Roads	km	Total length of newly built roads
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Roads	km	Total length of newly built roads
	km	Total length of reconstructed or upgraded roads
	<i>number</i>	<i>newly built, reconstructed or upgraded cross-border sections</i>

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 25a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service
	<i>percentage</i>	<i>Change in modal share of public transport and non-motorised mobility such as walking and cycling</i>

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 25b (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Urban transport	passenger trips	Increase of passenger trips using supported urban transport service
	<i>more eco-efficient vehicles</i>	<i>Increase in the number of vehicles and development of related infrastructure for more eco-efficient urban transport</i>

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 26a (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
Inland waterways	tonne-km	Increase of cargo transported on improved inland waterways
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
Inland waterways	tonne-km	Increase of cargo transported on improved inland waterways
	<i>passenger trips</i>	<i>Increase in the number of people using inland waterway transport services</i>

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation Annex –row 26b (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
<i>Climate effect</i>	<i>mio of tonnes of CO2 equivalents</i>	<i>change of greenhouse gas emissions resulting from co-funded measure(s)</i>

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation Annex – row 26c (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		

<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
<i>Tourism</i>	<i>EUR</i>	<i>Volume of investment in smart and sustainable mobility</i>

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation Annex –row 26d, 26e, 26f (new)

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		
<i>Amendment by Parliament</i>		
<i>Tourism</i>	<i>EUR</i>	<i>Volume of investments made to improve the accessibility to tourism services for persons with reduced mobility (PRMs)</i>
	<i>EUR</i>	<i>Volume of investments into cycling networks</i>
	<i>EUR</i>	<i>Volume of investments into natural, cultural, industrial and historical heritage</i>

PROCEDURE

Title	Cohesion Fund and repeal of Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006	
References	COM(2011)0612 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD)	
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	REGI 25.10.2011	
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	TRAN 25.10.2011	
Rapporteur Date appointed	Michael Cramer 24.11.2011	
Discussed in committee	28.2.2012	24.4.2012
Date adopted	19.6.2012	
Result of final vote	+: 34 –: 0 0: 4	
Members present for the final vote	Magdi Cristiano Allam, Georges Bach, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica, Philip Bradbourn, Michael Cramer, Joseph Cuschieri, Philippe De Backer, Luis de Grandes Pascual, Christine De Veyrac, Saïd El Khadraoui, Ismail Ertug, Knut Fleckenstein, Jacqueline Foster, Mathieu Grosch, Jim Higgins, Juozas Imbrasas, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Ádám Kósa, Werner Kuhn, Bogusław Liberadzki, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Gesine Meissner, Dominique Riquet, Petri Sarvamaa, Vilja Savisaar-Toomast, Olga Sehnalová, Brian Simpson, Keith Taylor, Silvia-Adriana Țicău, Giommarría Uggias, Peter van Dalen, Dominique Vlasto, Artur Zasada, Roberts Zile	
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	Spyros Danellis, Gilles Pargneaux, Alfreds Rubiks	
Substitute(s) under Rule 187(2) present for the final vote	María Irigoyen Pérez	

POSTUPAK

Naslov	Kohezijski fond i stavljanje izvan snage Uredbe Vijeća (EZ) br. 1084/2006			
Referentni dokumenti	COM(2011)0612 – C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD)			
Datum podnošenja EP-u	6.10.2011			
Nadležni odbor Datum objave na plenarnoj sjednici	REGI 25.10.2011			
Odbor(i) čije se mišljenje traži Datum objave na plenarnoj sjednici	BUDG 25.10.2011	EMPL 25.10.2011	ENVI 25.10.2011	ITRE 25.10.2011
	TRAN 25.10.2011			
Odbori koji nisu dali mišljenje Datum odluke	EMPL 27.10.2011			
i. Datum imenovanja	Victor Boştinaru 21.6.2011			
Razmatranje u odboru	22.11.2011	25.1.2012	28.2.2012	20.3.2012
	26.4.2012	30.5.2012	21.6.2012	11.7.2012
	26.11.2012	24.1.2013	19.2.2013	19.3.2013
	23.4.2013	29.5.2013	19.6.2013	
Datum usvajanja	10.7.2013			
Rezultat konačnog glasovanja	+: 43 -: 0 0: 1			
Zastupnici nazočni na konačnom glasovanju	François Alfonsi, Luís Paulo Alves, Catherine Bearder, Jean-Jacob Bicep, Victor Boştinaru, John Bufton, Nikos Chrysogelos, Francesco De Angelis, Tamás Deutsch, Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Brice Hortefeux, Danuta Maria Hübner, Filiz Hakaeva Hyusmenova, Vincenzo Iovine, María Irigoyen Pérez, Seán Kelly, Mojca Kleva Kekuš, Constanze Angela Krehl, Jacek Olgierd Kurski, Petru Constantin Luhan, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Vladimír Maňka, Iosif Matula, Erminia Mazzoni, Miroslav Mikolášik, Jens Nilsson, Jan Olbrycht, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Younous Omarjee, Markus Pieper, Monika Smolková, Georgios Stavrakakis, Nuno Teixeira, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Oldřich Vlasák, Kerstin Westphal, Hermann Winkler, Joachim Zeller, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska			
Zamjenici nazočni na konačnom glasovanju	Jan Březina, Andrea Cozzolino, Karima Delli, Cornelia Ernst, Ivars Godmanis, Evžen Tošenovský, Giommara Uggias			
Datum podnošenja	18.7.2013			